



### ULTIMATE CAPACITY TESTING OF LAMINATED ELASTOMERIC BASE ISOLATION BEARINGS UNDER AXIAL LOADING

(U.S.) NATIONAL INST. OF STANDARDS AND TECHNOLOGY (BFRL) GAITHERSBURG, MD

MAR 97



National Technical Information Service

ł. ł. ÷. L I.

# PB97-167530 NISTIR 6002

# Ultimate Capacity Testing of Laminated Elastomeric Base Isolation Bearings Under Axial Loading

Building and Fire Research Laboratory National Institute of Standards and Technology Gaithersburg, Maryland 20899



United States Department of Commerce ' Technology Administration National Institute of Standards and Technology

> REPRODUCED BY U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE SPRINGFIELD, VA 22161

# Ultimate Capacity Testing of Laminated Elastomeric Base Isolation Bearings Under Axial Loading

March 1997

Gregory L. Bradley, Andrew W. Taylor, and Peter C. Chang

Building and Fire Research Laboratory National Institute of Standards and Technology Gaithersburg, Maryland 20899



U.S. Department of Commerce William M. Daley, Secretary Technology Administration Mary L. Good, Under Secretary for Technology National Institute of Standards and Technology Arati A. Prabhakar, Director

.

		U.S. DEPARTMEN	T OF COMMERC	્રા 🗠		(ENB USE ON	(LY)
(REV. 6-93)	NATIONAL INSTITUTE	E OF STANDARDS A	ND TECHNOLOG	iY EN	CONTROLNU		
ADMAN 4.09			PRO	   1 - CE	1570	0175	CATEGOR
MA	NUSCRIPT REVIE	EW AND APPR			リノコンク		14
NSTRUCTIONS: AT	TACH ORIGINAL OF THIS FORM T	O ONE (1) COPY OF MANU	IN I	18 ( <b>1. 1. 1</b> . 1)   <b>1. 1</b>	HERE F <b>ILLER</b>		IER PRINTE
THE SECRETARY, AP	PROPRIATE EDITORIAL REVIEW B	OARD.				<u> </u>	
TITLE AND SUBTITLE	(CITE IN FULL)						
Ultimate Ca	pacity Testing of La	aminated Elaston	neric Base Is	olati	on Bearin	lgs Under	Axial L
	•						
CONTRACT OR GRAN	T NUMBER		TYPE OF REPORT A	ND/OR P	ERIOD COVER	ED + 15 100	04
TUNAND HOUD	DAME EIRST INITIAL SECOND INIT		August 15.	1995	50 Augus	ANIZATION (C	90
Dradiate C	T T TOTION A U	d Change B C				AITHERSPILRO	NIST Cate
Bradley, G.	ь.; Taylor, A.W.; a	nu onang, F.C.			NIST/B	DULDER	NDEL /2
					JILA/BC	ULDEA	7
LABORATORY AND D	IVISION NAMES (FIRST NIST AUT)	HOR ONLY)		· _ ·			
Building an	a fire Research Labo	bratory, Structi	Hes Division				
SPONSORING ORGA	NIZATION NAME AND COMPLETE	AUDRESS (STREET, CITY,	STATE, ZIP)	_			-
Building an	d Fire Research Labo	oratory. Nationa	al Institute (	of St	andards a	nd Techno	ology
Building 22	o, Room Bibo, Gaithe	ersourg. MD 200	DYY	-		•	
PROPOSED FOR NIST	PUBLICATION	<u> </u>					··· <u></u>
JOURNAL	OF RESEARCH (NIST JRES)	MONOGRAPH (N	IST MN)			LETTER C	IRCULAR
J. PHYS. &	CHEM. REF. DATA (JPCRD)	NATL. STD. REF.	DATA SERIES (NIST N	ISRDS)		BUILDING	SCIENCE SE
HANDBOO	K (NIST HB)	FEDERAL INF. PR	ROCESS, STDS. (NIST	FIPS)		PRODUCT	STANDARDS
SPECIAL P			ATIONS (NIST LP)		"	OTHER _	
	LAUIE (NIST IN)	LX NISTINTERAGEN	TOTINIERNAL REPOR		NI ISHING MEN	1114	
Nor COLD   Children		′ <u> </u>	FOREIGN			0.14	[]
		1			PAPER		
	$Vi \in IV$					IE (SPECIFT) _	-
	NIJIN	6002				(SDECIEV)	
SUPPLEMENTARY NO		6002			OTHER	(SPECIFY)	
SUPPLEMENTARY NO	1015171 DTES	6002			OTHER	(SPECIFY)	
SUPPLEMENTARY NO	NISIN DTES	6002			OTHER	(SPECIFY)	······································
SUPPLEMENTARY NO	TES	UMMARY OF MOST SIGNIF	ICANT INFORMATION.		OTHER	(SPECIFY) ES A SIGNIFIC	ANT BIBLIOG
SUPPLEMENTARY NO ABSTRACT (A 2000-C DR LITERATURE SUR	TES	UMMARY OF MOST SIGNIF	ICANT INFORMATION. RENCE.) (CONTINUE (	IF DOCI	UMENT INCLUD	(SPECIFY) ES A SIGNIFIC NECESSARY.)	ANT BIBLIOG
SUPPLEMENTARY NO ABSTRACT (A 2000-C DR LITERATURE SUR NISTIR 58	HARACTER OR LESS FACTUAL SI VEY, CITE IT HERE. SPELL OUT A 300, "Guidelines for Pre-Qua	G OO J UMMARY OF MOST SIGNIF CRONYMS ON FIRST REFE lification, Prototype an	ICANT INFORMATION. RENCE.) (CONTINUE O d Quality Control 7	IF DOCL ON SEPA Testing	UMENT INCLUD RATE PAGE, IF of Seismic Is	(SPECIFY) ES A SIGNIFIC. NECESSARY.) solation Syst	ANT BIBLIOG
SUPPLEMENTARY NO ABSTRACT (A 2000-C DR LITERATURE SUR NISTIR 58 a Pre-Qual	HARACTER OR LESS FACTUAL SI VEY, CITE IT HERE. SPELL OUT A 300, "Guidelines for Pre-Quai ification Test series to determ	G OOA	ICANT INFORMATION. RENCE.) (CONTINUE d Quality Control Reserve Capacity of	IF DOCL ON SEPA Testing of an Is	UMENT INCLUD RATE PAGE, IF of Seismic Is olation Unit	(SPECIFY) ES A SIGNIFIC, NECESSARY.) Solation Syst In order to	ANT BIBLIOG
SUPPLEMENTARY NO ABSTRACT (A 2000-C DR LITERATURE SUR NISTIR 58 a Pre-Qual current gui	HARACTER OR LESS FACTUAL SI VEY, CITE IT HERE. SPELL OUT A 300, "Guidelines for Pre-Qual ification Test series to determ delines for these tests, NISTI	G OOA UMMARY OF MOST SIGNIF CRONYMS ON FIRST REFE lification, Prototype an nine the Ultimate and R 5800 recommends te	ICANT INFORMATION. RENCE.) (CONTINUE O d Quality Control 7 Reserve Capacity o esting full-scale and	IF DOCI ON SEPA Testing of an Is I scale-n	DISKET OTHER OTHER OF Seismic Is olation Units nodel base is busen full se	(SPECIFY) ES A SIGNIFIC, NECESSARY.) Solation Syst In order to olation units ola and coole	ANT BIBLIOG ems defir improve l s to failure model uni
SUPPLEMENTARY NO ABSTRACT (A 2000-C DR LITERATURE SUR NISTIR 58 a Pre-Qual current gui determine	TTES HARACTER OR LESS FACTUAL SI VEY, CITE IT HERE. SPELL OUT A 300, "Guidelines for Pre-Quai ification Test series to determ delines for these tests, NISTI actual failure loads and to inv	GOOJ UMMARY OF MOST SIGNIF CRONYMS ON FIRST REFE lification, Prototype an nine the Ultimate and R 5800 recommends te restigate whether simili	ICANT INFORMATION. RENCE.) (CONTINUE of d Quality Control Reserve Capacity of sting full-scale and tude relationships a	IF DOCI ON SEPA Testing of an Is I scale-n inpply be	DISKET OTHER OTHER OF Seismic Is olation Unit. nodel base is tween full sc is important	(SPECIFY) ES A SIGNIFIC, NECESSARY.) Solation Syst In order to olation units ale and scale since the ex	ANT BIBLIOG emfs", defin b improve s to failure model uni tremely la
ABSTRACT (A 2000-C DR LITERATURE SUR NISTIR 58 a Pre-Qual current gui determine Reliable p	ATES CHARACTER OR LESS FACTUAL SI VEY, CITE IT HERE. SPELL OUT A 300, "Guidelines for Pre-Qual ification Test series to determ delines for these tests, NISTI actual failure loads and to inv rediction of full-scale bearing ing agnosity of most rejemin	UMMARY OF MOST SIGNIF CRONYMS ON FIRST REFE lification, Prototype an nine the Ultimate and R 5800 recommends te restigate whether simili g ultimate capacities fr isolation units prevent	CANT INFORMATION. RENCE.) (CONTINUE of d Quality Control 7 Reserve Capacity of esting full-scale and tude relationships a rom scale model ve s testing to failure	IF DOCL ON SEPA Testing of an Is I scale-n apply be ersions i in mos	OTHER OTHER OTHER OF Seismic Is olation Unit. nodel base is tween full sc is important t existing ter	(SPECIFY) ES A SIGNIFIC, NECESSARY.) Solation Syst In order to olation units ale and scale since the ex st facilities	ANT BIBLIOG emis" defin o improve s to failure model uni stremely la As a sten
ABSTRACT (A 2000-C DR LITERATURE SUR NISTIR 58 a Pre-Qual current gui determine Reliable p load-carry	ATTES CHARACTER OR LESS FACTUAL SI VEY, CITE IT HERE. SPELL OUT A 300, "Guidelines for Pre-Qual ification Test series to determ delines for these tests, NISTI actual failure loads and to inv rediction of full-scale bearing ing capacity of most seismic ion this report presents test	<b>C</b> OC <b>C</b> <b>UMMARY OF MOST SIGNIF</b> <b>CRONYMS ON FIRST REFE</b> lification, Prototype an nine the Ultimate and R 5800 recommends te restigate whether simili g ultimate capacities fr isolation units prevents results to determine	CANT INFORMATION. RENCE.) (CONTINUE of d Quality Control 7 Reserve Capacity of esting full-scale and tude relationships a rom scale model ve is testing to failure	IF DOCL ON SEPA Testing of an Is I scale-n apply be ersions i in mos	DISKET OTHER OTHER OTHER OF Seismic Is olation Unit. nodel base is tween full sc is important t existing te: er zero later	(SPECIFY) ES A SIGNIFIC. NECESSARY.) Solation Syst In order to olation units ale and scale since the ex st facilities. I load for fu	ANT BIBLIOG emis" defin o improve s to failure model uni atremely la As a step our lamina
ABSTRACT (A 2000-C DR LITERATURE SUR NISTIR 58 a Pre-Qual current gui determine Reliable p load-carry this direct	TTES CHARACTER OR LESS FACTUAL SI VEY, CITE IT HERE. SPELL OUT A 300, "Guidelines for Pre-Qualification Test series to determ delines for these tests, NISTI actual failure loads and to invi- rediction of full-scale bearing ing capacity of most seismic to ion, this report presents test to base isolation bearings. The	<b>C OO</b> <b>UMMARY OF MOST SIGNIF</b> <b>CRONYMS ON FIRST REFE</b> lification, Prototype an nine the Ultimate and R 5800 recommends te restigate whether simili g ultimate capacities fr isolation units prevents results to determine to results to determine to results to determine to	ICANT INFORMATION. RENCE.) (CONTINUE of d Quality Control 7 Reserve Capacity of esting full-scale and tude relationships a rom scale model ve s testing to failure ultimate compressi e nearly geometrica	IF DOCL ON SEPA Testing of an Is I scale-n apply be ersions i in mos ion und	DISKET OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER	(SPECIFY) ES A SIGNIFIC. NECESSARY.) Solation Syst In order to olation units ale and scale since the ex st facilities. al load for fu	ANT BIBLIOG emis", defir o improve t s to failure o model uni ktremely lar As a step our laminal ort as Type
ABSTRACT (A 2000-C OR LITERATURE SUR NISTIR 58 a Pre-Qual current gui determine Reliable p load-carry this direct elastomeri Baazings)	TTES CHARACTER OR LESS FACTUAL SI VEY, CITE IT HERE. SPELL OUT A 300, "Guidelines for Pre-Qual ification Test series to determ delines for these tests, NISTI actual failure loads and to invor- rediction of full-scale bearing ing capacity of most seismic to ion, this report presents test c base isolation bearings. Thu- full-scale 1/2 scale and 1/4	<b>C</b> OCA <b>UMMARY OF MOST SIGNIF</b> <b>CRONYMS ON FIRST REFE</b> lification, Prototype an nine the Ultimate and R 5800 recommends te restigate whether simili g ultimate capacities fr isolation units prevents results to determine to results to determine to scale models and were	CANT INFORMATION. RENCE.) (CONTINUE of d Quality Control 7 Reserve Capacity of esting full-scale and tude relationships a rom scale model ve s testing to failure ultimate compressi e nearly geometrica e tested to failure	IF DOCL ON SEPA Testing of an Is I scale-n apply be ersions i in mos ion und ally simi The resu	DISKET OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER	(SPECIFY) ES A SIGNIFIC. NECESSARY.) solation Syst In order to olation units ale and scale since the ex st facilities. al load for fu- to in the repre- earing tests i	ANT BIBLIOG emis", defin o improve s to failure model un atremely la As a step our lamina ort as Type ndicate tha
ABSTRACT (A 2000-C OR LITERATURE SUR NISTIR 58 a Pre-Qual current gui determine Reliable p load-carry this direct elastomeri Bearings) may be po	TTES CHARACTER OR LESS FACTUAL SI VEY, CITE IT HERE. SPELL OUT A 800, "Guidelines for Pre-Qualification Test series to determ delines for these tests, NISTI actual failure loads and to inv rediction of full-scale bearing ing capacity of most seismic ion, this report presents test c base isolation bearings. Thu - full-scale, 1/2 scale, and 1/4 ssible to use scale-models to	<b>C OO</b> <b>UMMARY OF MOST SIGNIF</b> <b>CRONYMS ON FIRST REFE</b> lification, Prototype an nine the Ultimate and R 5800 recommends te restigate whether simili g ultimate capacities fr isolation units prevents results to determine to ree of the bearings were scale models, and were o determine failure load	CANT INFORMATION. RENCE.) (CONTINUE of d Quality Control 7 resting full-scale and tude relationships a rom scale model ve s testing to failure ultimate compressi e nearly geometrica e tested to failure. T ds of full-scale bea	JF DOCL ON SEPA Testing of an Is I scale-n apply be ersions i in mos ion und ally simi The resu urings.	DISKET OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER	(SPECIFY) ES A SIGNIFIC. NECESSARY.) solation Syst In order to olation units ale and scale since the ex st facilities. al load for for to in the represent earing tests i rain curves of	ANT BIBLIOG emfs", defin o improve s to failure model un atremely la As a step our lamina ort as Type ndicate tha
ABSTRACT (A 2000-C DR LITERATURE SUR NISTIR 58 a Pre-Qual current gui determine Reliable p load-carry this direct elastomeri Bearings) may be po Bearings a	TTES CHARACTER OR LESS FACTUAL SI VEY, CITE IT HERE. SPELL OUT A 300, "Guidelines for Pre-Quai ification Test series to determ delines for these tests, NISTI actual failure loads and to inv rediction of full-scale bearing ing capacity of most seismic ion, this report presents test c base isolation bearings. Thu - full-scale, 1/2 scale, and 1/4 sosible to use scale-models to re similar in shape: however.	<b>C OO</b> <b>UMMARY OF MOST SIGNIF</b> <b>CRONYMS ON FIRST REFE</b> lification, Prototype an nine the Ultimate and R 5800 recommends te restigate whether simili g ultimate capacities fr isolation units prevents results to determine to ree of the bearings were scale models, and were o determine failure load they are not identical.	ICANT INFORMATION. RENCE.) (CONTINUE of d Quality Control 7 Reserve Capacity of esting full-scale and tude relationships a rom scale model ve s testing to failure ultimate compressi e nearly geometrica e tested to failure. T ds of full-scale bea The testing program	IF DOCL ON SEPA Testing of an Is I scale-n apply be ersions i in mos ion und ally simi The resu urings. m includ	DISKET OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER	(SPECIFY) ES A SIGNIFIC. NECESSARY.) Solation Syst In order to olation units ale and scale since the ex st facilities. al load for fu- to in the rep- earing tests i rain curves of bearing of	ANT BIBLIOG emising define s to failure e model un atremely la As a step our lamina ort as Type ndicate tha of the Type each size,
ABSTRACT (A 2000-C OR LITERATURE SUR NISTIR 58 a Pre-Qual current gui determine Reliable p load-carry this direct elastomeri Bearings) may be po Bearings a further exr	TTES CHARACTER OR LESS FACTUAL SA VEY, CITE IT HERE. SPELL OUT A 300, "Guidelines for Pre-Qual ification Test series to determ delines for these tests, NISTI actual failure loads and to inv rediction of full-scale bearing ing capacity of most seismic ion, this report presents test c base isolation bearings. Thu- full-scale, 1/2 scale, and 1/4 possible to use scale-models to re similar in shape; however, periments should be conducted	<b>C C C C C UMMARY OF MOST SIGNIF</b> <b>CRONYMS ON FIRST REFE</b> lification, Prototype an nine the Ultimate and R 5800 recommends te vestigate whether simili g ultimate capacities fr isolation units prevents results to determine to ree of the bearings were scale models, and were o determine failure load they are not identical. d. In a later study, finit	ICANT INFORMATION. RENCE.) (CONTINUE of d Quality Control 7 Reserve Capacity of esting full-scale and tude relationships a rom scale model ve s testing to failure ultimate compressi e nearly geometrica e tested to failure. T ds of full-scale bea The testing program te element analyses	IF DOCL ON SEPA Testing of an Is I scale-n apply be ersions i in mos ion und ally simi The resu urings. m inclus s will b	UMENT INCLUD RATE PAGE, IF of Seismic Is olation Unit. nodel base is tween full sc is important t existing tea er zero latera lar (referred lts of these b The stress-st ded only one e conducted to	(SPECIFY) ES A SIGNIFIC. NECESSARY.) Solation Syst In order to olation units ale and scale since the ex st facilities. al load for for to in the repo- earing tests i rain curves of bearing of to investigate	ANT BIBLIOG emfs" defin o improve s to failure e model un ctremely la As a step our lamina ort as Type ndicate tha of the Type each size, e the effect
ABSTRACT (A 2000-C DR LITERATURE SUR NISTIR 58 a Pre-Qual current gui determine Reliable p load-carry this direct elastomeri Bearings) may be po Bearings a further exp the geome	THES THARACTER OR LESS FACTUAL SI VEY, CITE IT HERE. SPELL OUT A 300, "Guidelines for Pre-Qual ification Test series to determ delines for these tests, NISTI actual failure loads and to inv rediction of full-scale bearing ing capacity of most seismic ion, this report presents test c base isolation bearings. Thu- full-scale, 1/2 scale, and 1/4 possible to use scale-models to re similar in shape; however, periments should be conducted tric dissimilarities on the str	<b>C OO</b> <b>UMMARY OF MOST SIGNIF</b> <b>CRONYMS ON FIRST REFE</b> lification, Prototype an nine the Ultimate and R 5800 recommends te vestigate whether simili g ultimate capacities fr isolation units prevents results to determine to results to determine to scale models, and were o determine failure load they are not identical. d. In a later study, finite ress-strain curves of th	ICANT INFORMATION. RENCE.) (CONTINUE of d Quality Control 7 Reserve Capacity of esting full-scale and tude relationships a rom scale model ve s testing to failure ultimate compressi e nearly geometrica e tested to failure. T ds of full-scale bea The testing program te element analyses e scale-model bear	JF DOCL ON SEPA Testing of an Is I scale-n apply be ersions i in mos ion und ally simi The resu urings. m inclus s will b ings. T	UMENT INCLUD RATE PAGE, IF of Seismic Is olation Unit, nodel base is tween full sc is important t existing ter er zero laterri lar (referred lts of these b The stress-st ded only one e conducted the The Type A	(SPECIFY) ES A SIGNIFIC. NECESSARY.) Solation Syst In order to olation units ale and scale since the ex st facilities. al load for fa to in the repa earing tests i rain curves of bearing of to investigate Bearing was	ANT BIBLIOG emfs", defin o improve s to failure e model un dremely la As a step our lamina ort as Type ndicate tha of the Type each size, e the effect tested first
SUPPLEMENTARY NO ABSTRACT (A 2000-C DR LITERATURE SUR NISTIR 58 a Pre-Qual current gui determine Reliable p load-carry this direct elastomeri Bearings) may be po Bearings a further exp the geome order to ga	ARAACTER OR LESS FACTUAL SI VEY, CITE IT HERE. SPELL OUT A 300, "Guidelines for Pre-Qual ification Test series to determ delines for these tests, NISTI actual failure loads and to inv rediction of full-scale bearing ing capacity of most seismic ion, this report presents test c base isolation bearings. Thu- full-scale, 1/2 scale, and 1/4 possible to use scale-models to re similar in shape; however, periments should be conducted there experience with the testing	<b>C OO</b> <b>UMMARY OF MOST SIGNIF</b> <b>CRONYMS ON FIRST REFE</b> lification, Prototype an nine the Ultimate and R 5800 recommends te restigate whether simili g ultimate capacities fr isolation units prevents results to determine to reso of the bearings were scale models, and were o determine failure load they are not identical. d. In a later study, finit ress-strain curves of th ng procedure and to inv	ICANT INFORMATION. RENCE.) (CONTINUE of d Quality Control 7 Reserve Capacity of esting full-scale and tude relationships a rom scale model vers testing to failure ultimate compressi e nearly geometrica e tested to failure. T ds of full-scale bear the testing program te element analyses e scale-model bear estigate dependence	JF DOCI ON SEPA Testing of an Is l scale-n apply be ersions i in mos ion und ally simi The resu urings. m inclus s will b ings. T e of beau	UMENT INCLUD RATE PAGE, IF of Seismic Is olation Unit. nodel base is tween full sc is important t existing te: er zero latera lar (referred lts of these b The stress-st ded only one e conducted to he Type A J ring response	(SPECIFY) ES A SIGNIFIC. NECESSARY.) Solation Syst In order to olation units ale and scale since the ex st facilities. al load for fa to in the represent earing tests i rain curves of bearing of to investigate Bearing was on strain rain	ANT BIBLIOG ems", defin s to failure s model uni dremely lan As a step our laminat ort as Type ndicate that of the Type each size, a e the effect tested first nte. The te
ABSTRACT (A 2000-C DR LITERATURE SUR NISTIR 58 a Pre-Qual current gui determine Reliable p load-carry this direct elastomeri Bearings) may be po Bearings a further exp the geome order to ga indicate th	ARACTER OR LESS FACTUAL SI VEY, CITE IT HERE. SPELL OUT A 300, "Guidelines for Pre-Quai ification Test series to determ delines for these tests, NISTI actual failure loads and to inv rediction of full-scale bearing ing capacity of most seismic ion, this report presents test c base isolation bearings. Thu- full-scale, 1/2 scale, and 1/4 possible to use scale-models to re similar in shape; however, periments should be conducted there experience with the testin at the bearing response is inde	<b>C OO</b> <b>UMMARY OF MOST SIGNIF</b> <b>CRONYMS ON FIRST REFE</b> lification, Prototype an nine the Ultimate and R 5800 recommends te restigate whether simili g ultimate capacities fr isolation units prevents results to determine units results to determine units results to determine units cale models, and were to determine failure load they are not identical. d. In a later study, finit ress-strain curves of th ng procedure and to inve ependent of loading rate	ICANT INFORMATION. RENCE.) (CONTINUE of d Quality Control 7 Reserve Capacity of sting full-scale and tude relationships a rom scale model vers s testing to failure ultimate compressi e nearly geometrica e tested to failure. T ds of full-scale bea The testing program te element analyses e scale-model bear estigate dependence e over the range of	JF DOCL ON SEPA Testing of an Is I scale-n apply be ersions i in mos ion und ally simi The resu trings. m inclus s will b ings. T e of beau f head sp	UMENT INCLUD RATE PAGE, IF of Seismic Is olation Unit. nodel base is tween full sc is important t existing te: er zero latera lar (referred lts of these b The stress-st ded only one e conducted I he Type A J ing response peeds obtaina	(SPECIFY) ES A SIGNIFIC. NECESSARY.) Solation Syst In order to olation units ale and scale since the ex st facilities. I load for fa to in the repu- earing tests i rain curves of bearing of a bearing was on strain rain able with the	ANT BIBLIOG emfs", defir o improve to s to failure e model uni dremely lau As a step our laminat ort as Type ndicate that of the Type each size, a e the effect tested first the. The te e test mach
ABSTRACT (A 2000-C DR LITERATURE SUR NISTIR 58 a Pre-Qual current gui determine Reliable p load-carry this direct elastomeri Bearings) may be po Bearings a further exp the geome order to ga indicate th (maximum	ATTES CHARACTER OR LESS FACTUAL SI VEY, CITE IT HERE. SPELL OUT A 300, "Guidelines for Pre-Qual ification Test series to determ delines for these tests, NISTI actual failure loads and to inv rediction of full-scale bearing ing capacity of most seismic ion, this report presents test c base isolation bearings. Thu- full-scale, 1/2 scale, and 1/4 periments should be conducted there experience with the testing at the bearing response is inden head speed of about 0.40 m	<b>C OO</b> <b>UMMARY OF MOST SIGNIF</b> <b>CRONYMS ON FIRST REFE</b> lification, Prototype an nine the Ultimate and R 5800 recommends te restigate whether simili g ultimate capacities fr isolation units prevents results to determine of resolts to determine of scale models, and were obtained and the bearings were scale models and were bear and the bearings were scale models and were bear and the bear and the bear scale models and were bear and the bear and the bear and the bear scale models and were bear and the bear and the bear and the bear scale models and the bear and the bear and the bear scale models and the bear and the bear and the bear scale models and the bear and the bear and the bear and the bear scale models and the bear and t	ICANT INFORMATION. IRENCE.) (CONTINUE of d Quality Control 7 Reserve Capacity of esting full-scale and tude relationships a rom scale model very s testing to failure ultimate compressi e nearly geometrica te tested to failure. The ds of full-scale bear the testing program te element analyses e scale-model bear estigate dependence e over the range of MITH SEMICOLOUS	IF DOCI ON SEPA Testing of an Is I scale-n apply be ersions i in mos ion und ally simi The resu minclus s will b ings. T e of bean f head sp	UMENT INCLUD RATE PAGE. IF of Seismic Is olation Unit. nodel base is tween full sc is important t existing tea er zero latera lar (referred lts of these b The stress-st ded only one e conducted th he Type A lating response peeds obtaina	(SPECIFY) ES A SIGNIFIC. NECESSARY.) Solation Syst In order to olation units ale and scale since the ex st facilities. I load for fe to in the repu- earing tests i rain curves of be bearing of to be bearing was on strain rain the with the	ANT BIBLIOG tems", defin to improve to s to failure to
ABSTRACT (A 2000-C OR LITERATURE SUR NISTIR 58 a Pre-Qual current gui determine Reliable p load-carry this direct elastomeri Bearings) may be po Bearings a further exp the geome order to ga indicate th (maximum KEY WORKS (MAXIM	TTES CHARACTER OR LESS FACTUAL SI VEY, CITE IT HERE. SPELL OUT A 300, "Guidelines for Pre-Qualification Test series to determ delines for these tests, NISTI actual failure loads and to invor- rediction of full-scale bearing ing capacity of most seismic ion, this report presents test c base isolation bearings. Thu- full-scale, 1/2 scale, and 1/4 possible to use scale-models to re similar in shape; however, periments should be conducted ther experience with the testing at the bearing response is inder head speed of about 0.40 mm UM OF 9; 28 CHARACTERS AND SI	<b>C OO</b> <b>UMMARY OF MOST SIGNIF</b> <b>CRONYMS ON FIRST REFE</b> lification, Prototype an nine the Ultimate and R 5800 recommends te restigate whether simili g ultimate capacities fr isolation units prevents results to determine of ree of the bearings were scale models, and were o determine failure load they are not identical. d. In a later study, finit ress-strain curves of th ng procedure and to inv ependent of loading rate m/s). PACES EACH; SEPARATE V	ICANT INFORMATION. IRENCE.) (CONTINUE of d Quality Control 7 Reserve Capacity of esting full-scale and tude relationships a rom scale model very s testing to failure ultimate compressi e nearly geometrica e tested to failure. The ds of full-scale bear the element analyses e scale-model bear estigate dependence e over the range of WITH SEMICOLONS; AI	JF DOCL ON SEPA Testing of an Is l scale-n apply be ersions i in mos ion undu ally simi The resu urings. The e of beas f head sp LPHABET	MENT INCLUD RATE PAGE. IF of Seismic Is olation Unit. nodel base is tween full sc is important t existing tea er zero laterat lar (referred lts of these b The stress-st ded only one e conducted to he Type A D ring response peeds obtaina	(SPECIFY) ES A SIGNIFIC. NECESSARY.) Solation Syst In order to olation units ale and scale since the ex st facilities. al load for for to in the repu- earing tests i rain curves of bearing of for to investigate Bearing was on strain rain table with the PITALIZE ONLY	ANT BIBLIOG tems", defin to improve 1 s to failure to failure temely lau As a step four laminar ort as Type ndicate that of the Type each size, a e the effect tested first the. The te te test mach
ABSTRACT (A 2000-C DR LITERATURE SUR NISTIR 58 a Pre-Qual current gui determine Reliable p load-carry this direct elastomeri Bearings) may be po Bearings a further exp the geome order to ga indicate th (maximun KEY WOHDS (MAXIM	TTES CHARACTER OR LESS FACTUAL SI VEY, CITE IT HERE. SPELL OUT A 300, "Guidelines for Pre-Quai ification Test series to determ delines for these tests, NISTI actual failure loads and to inv rediction of full-scale bearing ing capacity of most seismic ion, this report presents test c base isolation bearings. Thu- full-scale, 1/2 scale, and 1/4 possible to use scale-models to re similar in shape; however, periments should be conducted ther experience with the testing at the bearing response is inden head speed of about 0.40 mm UM OF 9; 28 CHARACTERS AND SI echnology: compression	UMMARY OF MOST SIGNIF CRONYMS ON FIRST REFE lification, Prototype an nine the Ultimate and R 5800 recommends te restigate whether simili g ultimate capacities fr isolation units prevents results to determine to results to determine to scale models, and were b determine failure load they are not identical. d. In a later study, finit ress-strain curves of th ng procedure and to inv ependent of loading rate m/s). PACES EACH; SEPARATE V on testing; eart	ICANT INFORMATION. RENCE.) (CONTINUE of d Quality Control 7 Reserve Capacity of esting full-scale and tude relationships a rom scale model ver s testing to failure ultimate compressi e nearly geometrica e tested to failure. T ds of full-scale bear the testing program te element analyses e scale-model bear estigate dependence e over the range of NITH SEMICOLONS; Au	JF DOCL ON SEPA Testing of an Is I scale-n apply be ersions i in mos ion und ally simi The resu urings. m inclus s will b ings. T e of beau f head sp LPHABET	DISKET OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER IS OTHER IS OTHER IS OTHER IS OTHER IS OTHER IS OTHER IS OTHER IS OTHER IS OTHER IS IS IS IS IS IS IS IS IS IS	(SPECIFY) ES A SIGNIFIC. NECESSARY.) Solation Syst In order to olation units ale and scale since the ex st facilities. al load for for to in the representation to in the representation to in the representation to investigate Bearing was on strain ra- able with the PITALIZE ONLY	ANT BIBLIOG emis", defin o improve s to failure e model uni atremely la As a step our lamina ort as Type ndicate tha of the Type each size, e the effect tested first inte. The te test mach
SUPPLEMENTARY NO ABSTRACT (A 2000-C DR LITERATURE SUR NISTIR 58 a Pre-Qual current gui determine Reliable p load-carryi this direct elastomeri Bearings) may be po Bearings a further exp the geome order to ga indicate th (maximum KEY WOHDS (MAXIM building te reserve cap	THES THARACTER OR LESS FACTUAL SI VEY, CITE IT HERE. SPELL OUT A 300, "Guidelines for Pre-Qualification Test series to determ delines for these tests, NISTI actual failure loads and to invi- rediction of full-scale bearing ing capacity of most seismic ion, this report presents test c base isolation bearings. Thu- full-scale, 1/2 scale, and 1/4 possible to use scale-models to re similar in shape; however, periments should be conducted there experience with the testing at the bearing response is inden head speed of about 0.40 mm UM OF 9; 28 CHARACTERS AND SI pacity; seismic base	<b>C OO</b> <b>UMMARY OF MOST SIGNIF</b> <b>CRONYMS ON FIRST REFE</b> lification, Prototype an nine the Ultimate and R 5800 recommends te restigate whether simili g ultimate capacities fr isolation units prevents results to determine to ree of the bearings were scale models, and were o determine failure load they are not identical. d. In a later study, finit ress-strain curves of th ng procedure and to inve ependent of loading rate m/s). <b>PACES EACH; SEPARATE V</b> on testing; eart isolation; test	ICANT INFORMATION. RENCE.) (CONTINUE of d Quality Control 7 Reserve Capacity of esting full-scale and tude relationships a rom scale model vers testing to failure ultimate compressi e nearly geometrica e tested to failure. T ds of full-scale bear the testing program te element analyses e scale-model bear estigate dependence e over the range of WITH SEMICOLONS; AU thquake engin ting; ultimat	JF DOCL ON SEPA Testing of an Is l scale-n apply be ersions i in mos ion und ally simi The resu urings. m inclus s will b ings. T e of beau f head sp LPHABET ie loa	UMENT INCLUD RATE PAGE, IF of Seismic Is olation Unit. nodel base is tween full sc is important t existing te: er zero latera lar (referred lts of these b The stress-st ded only one e conducted I ing response peeds obtaina IC ORDER: CA g; elastc d tests	(SPECIFY) ES A SIGNIFIC. NECESSARY.) Solation Syst In order to olation units ale and scale since the ex st facilities. al load for fa to in the represent to in the represent bearing tests i rain curves of bearing of factor bearing was on strain rain able with the PITALIZE ONLY omeric bear	ANT BIBLIOG emistic defini- to improve is to failure to model uni- tremely lau As a step our lamina ort as Type ndicate tha of the Type each size, is the effect tested first ate. The te te test mach
ABSTRACT (A 2000-C DR LITERATURE SUR NISTIR 58 a Pre-Qual current gui determine Reliable p load-carry this direct elastomeri Bearings) may be po Bearings a further exp the geome order to ga indicate th (maximun CEY WOHDS (MAXIM building te reserve cap	ARARACTER OR LESS FACTUAL SI VEY, CITE IT HERE. SPELL OUT A 300, "Guidelines for Pre-Quai ification Test series to determ delines for these tests, NISTI actual failure loads and to inv rediction of full-scale bearing ing capacity of most seismic ion, this report presents test c base isolation bearings. Thu- full-scale, 1/2 scale, and 1/4 bisible to use scale-models to re similar in shape; however, beriments should be conducted there experience with the testin at the bearing response is inden head speed of about 0.40 mm UM OF 9; 28 CHARACTERS AND SI echnology: compression bacity; seismic base	<b>C OO</b> <b>UMMARY OF MOST SIGNIF</b> <b>CRONYMS ON FIRST REFE</b> lification, Prototype an nine the Ultimate and R 5800 recommends te restigate whether simili g ultimate capacities fr isolation units prevents results to determine of scale models, and were observed the bearings were scale models, and were bearing to determine failure load they are not identical. d. In a later study, finit ress-strain curves of the ng procedure and to inve ependent of loading rate m/s). <b>PACES EACH; SEPARATE V</b> on testing; ear isolation; test	ICANT INFORMATION. IRENCE.) (CONTINUE of d Quality Control 7 Reserve Capacity of siting full-scale and tude relationships a om scale model very s testing to failure ultimate compressi e nearly geometrica te tested to failure. The ds of full-scale bear the testing program te element analyses e scale-model bear estigate dependence e over the range of WITH SEMICOLONS; Au thquake engin ting; ultimat	JF DOCL ON SEPA Testing of an Is I scale -n apply be ersions i in mos ion und ally simi The resu urings. I the resu urings. I the of bean f head sp LPHABET ise loa	UMENT INCLUD RATE PAGE. IF of Seismic Is olation Unit. nodel base is tween full sc is important t existing te: er zero latera lar (referred lts of these b The stress-st ded only one e conducted t he Type A I ring response peeds obtaina IC ORDER: CA g; elasto d tests	(SPECIFY) ES A SIGNIFIC. NECESSARY.) Solation Syst In order to olation units ale and scale since the ex st facilities. I load for fit to in the repu- earing tests i rain curves of bearing of a bearing was on strain rain the with the PITALIZE ONLY (S): IF YOU DO	ANT BIBLIOG rems", defir o improve to s to failure or as top our laminal ort as top our laminal ort as Type ndicate that of the Type each size, a e the effect tested first ate. The te test mach
ABSTRACT (A 2000-C DR LITERATURE SUR NISTIR 58 a Pre-Qual current gui determine Reliable p load-carry this direct elastomeri Bearings) may be po Bearings a further exp the geome order to ga indicate th (maximum CEY WORDS (MAXIM building te reserve cap	TTES CHARACTER OR LESS FACTUAL SI VEY, CITE IT HERE. SPELL OUT A 300, "Guidelines for Pre-Qualification Test series to determ delines for these tests, NISTI actual failure loads and to invi- rediction of full-scale bearing ing capacity of most seismic to ion, this report presents test c base isolation bearings. This- full-scale, 1/2 scale, and 1/4 possible to use scale-models to re similar in shape; however, periments should be conducted ther experience with the testing at the bearing response is inder head speed of about 0.40 mm UM OF 9; 28 CHARACTERS AND SI echnology: compression pacity; seismic base FOR OFFI	UMMARY OF MOST SIGNIF CRONYMS ON FIRST REFE lification, Prototype an nine the Ultimate and R 5800 recommends te restigate whether simili g ultimate capacities fr isolation units prevents results to determine of ree of the bearings were scale models, and were obtained by a capacities for scale models, and were obtained by a capacity of the scale models	ICANT INFORMATION. IRENCE.) (CONTINUE of d Quality Control 7 Reserve Capacity of esting full-scale and tude relationships a rom scale model very s testing to failure ultimate compressi e nearly geometrica e tested to failure. T ds of full-scale bear the testing program te element analyses e scale-model bear estigate dependence e over the range of WITH SEMICOLONS; And thquake engin ting; ultimat	JF DOCL ON SEPA Testing of an Is l scale-n apply be ersions i in mos ion und ally simi The resu minclus s will b ings. T e of bean f head sp LPHABET seerin se loa	DISKET OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER OTHER Is of Seismic Is olation Unit. nodel base is tween full sc is important t existing te: er zero later lar (referred lts of these b The stress-st ded only one e conducted t the Type A I ing response beeds obtaina TC ORDER: CA g; elastc d tests	(SPECIFY) ES A SIGNIFIC. NECESSARY.) Solation Syst In order to olation units ale and scale since the ex st facilities. I load for fa to in the repu- earing tests i rain curves of be bearing of a bearing was on strain rain the with the PITALIZE ONLY OMERIC DEC OUNCED BEFO	ANT BIBLIOG tems", defir to improve to s to failure to failure to model uni tremely lar As a step four laminal ort as Type ndicate that of the Type each size, a e the effect tested first te. The te te test mach <b>PROPER NA</b> arings;
ABSTRACT (A 2000-C DR LITERATURE SUR NISTIR 58 a Pre-Qual current gui determine Reliable p load-carry this direct elastomeri Bearings) may be po Bearings a further exp the geome order to ga indicate th (maximum CEY WORDS (MAXIM building te reserve cap	TTES CHARACTER OR LESS FACTUAL SI VEY, CITE IT HERE. SPELL OUT A 300, "Guidelines for Pre-Quai ification Test series to determ delines for these tests, NISTI actual failure loads and to invi- rediction of full-scale bearings ing capacity of most seismic ion, this report presents test c base isolation bearings. Thu- full-scale, 1/2 scale, and 1/4 possible to use scale-models to re similar in shape; however, beriments should be conducted ther experience with the testin at the bearing response is inde head speed of about 0.40 mi- um OF 9; 28 CHARACTERS AND SI echnology; compression pacity; seismic base FOR OFFI OM SUPERINTENDENT OF DOCUM	UMMARY OF MOST SIGNIF CRONYMS ON FIRST REFE lification, Prototype an nine the Ultimate and R 5800 recommends te restigate whether simili g ultimate capacities fr isolation units prevents results to determine of ree of the bearings were scale models, and were o determine failure load they are not identical. d. In a later study, finit ress-strain curves of th ng procedure and to inv ependent of loading rate m/s). PACES EACH; SEPARATE V On testing; ear isolation; test	ICANT INFORMATION. IRENCE.) (CONTINUE of d Quality Control 7 Reserve Capacity of esting full-scale and tude relationships a rom scale model ves s testing to failure ultimate compressi e nearly geometrica e tested to failure. T ds of full-scale bear the testing program te element analyses e scale-model bear estigate dependence e over the range of WITH SEMICOLONS; Au thquake engin ting; ultimat NOT RELEASE TO NTIS GTON, DC 20402	JF DOCL ON SEPA Testing of an Is l scale-n apply be ersions i in mos ion undu ally simi The resu minclus s will b ings. T e of beau f head sp LPHABET se loa	MENT INCLUD RATE PAGE. IF of Seismic Is olation Unit. nodel base is tween full sc is important t existing te: er zero latera lar (referred lts of these b The stress-st ded only one e conducted t 'he Type A I ing response peeds obtaina IC ORDER: CA g; elastc d tests	(SPECIFY) ES A SIGNIFIC. NECESSARY.) Solation Syst In order to olation units ale and scale since the ex st facilities. I load for fa to in the repu- earing tests i rain curves of to investigate Bearing was on strain rain table with the PITALIZE ONLY OMETIC DEC GUNCED BEFO ERE.	ANT BIBLIOG tems", defir to improve t s to failure to model uni- teremely lar As a step four laminal ort as Type ndicate that of the Type each size, a e the effect tested first te. The te te test mach <b>PROPER NA</b> arings; <b>DNOT WISH</b>

·

·

#### ABSTRACT

#### Ultimate Capacity Testing of Laminated Elastomeric Base Isolation Bearings Under Axial Loading

NISTIR 5800, "Guidelines for Pre-Qualification, Prototype and Quality Control Testing of Seismic Isolation Systems," defines a Pre-Qualification Test series to determine the Ultimate and Reserve Capacity of an Isolation Unit. In order to improve the current guidelines for these tests, NISTIR 5800 recommends testing full-scale and scale-model base isolation units to failure to determine actual failure loads and to investigate whether similitude relationships apply between full scale and scale model units. Reliable prediction of full-scale bearing ultimate capacities from scale model versions is important since the extremely large load-carrying capacity of most seismic isolation units prevents testing to failure in most existing test facilities. As a step in this direction, this report presents test results to determine ultimate compression under zero lateral load for four laminated elastomeric base isolation bearings. Three of the bearings were nearly geometrically similar (referred to in the report as Type B Bearings) -- full-scale, 1/2-scale, and 1/4-scale models, and were tested to failure. The results of these bearing tests indicate that it may be possible to use scale-models to determine failure loads of full-scale bearings. The stress-strain curves of the Type B Bearings are similar in shape; however, they are not identical. The testing program included only one bearing of each size, and further experiments should be conducted. In a later study, finite element analyses will be conducted to investigate the effect of the geometric dissimilarities on the stress-strain curves of the scale-model bearings. The Type A Bearing was tested first in order to gather experience with the testing procedure and to investigate dependence of bearing response on strain rate. The tests indicate that the bearing response is independent of loading rate over the range of head speeds obtainable with the test machine (maximum head speed of about 0.40 mm/s).

Key Words: building technology; compression testing; earthquake engineering; elastomeric bearings; reserve capacity; seismic base isolation; testing; ultimate load tests.

•

•

iv

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This testing program was supported by the Structures Division, Building and Fire Research Laboratory, National Institute of Standards and Technology, U.S. Department of Commerce through a grant to the University of Maryland (UM).

The authors would like to thank the following individuals without whose assistance these tests could not have been satisfactorily completed: Mr. Eric Anderson for his expert running of the NIST Universal Testing Machine, and Mr. Frank Rankin and Mr. Max Peltz for great help in LVDT calibration and data acquisition.

ABST	RACT.			
ACKN	NOWLE	EDGMEN	JTS	v
TABL	E OF C	ONTEN	TS	vii
LIST	OF FIG	URES		ix
LIST	OFTAF	BLES		xi
1			ON	1
1.	INTRO	ODUCII	UIN	
2.	DESC	RIPTION	N OF TES	T SPECIMENS
	2.1	Dimens	ions	
	2.2	Materia	l Propertie	·s
		2.2.1	Rubber T	esting
			2.2.1.1	Rubber for Type B Bearings
			2.2.1.2	Rubber for Type A Bearings
			2.2.1.3	Planned tests on rubber10
		2.2.2	Steel Test	ting11
3.	DESC	RIPTION	OF TES	T SETUP13
	3.1	Test Ma	chine	
	3.2	Test Set	up	
4.	DESC	RIPTION	I OF TEST	Γ PROCEDURE19
	4.1	Control	of Test Ma	achine
	4.2	Depende	ence on St	rain Rate
	4.3	Test Pro	cedure	
5.	OBSE	RVATIO	NS AND	RESULTS OF TESTS21
	5.1	Bearing	A - First I	Γest21
	5.2	Bearing	A - Secon	.22 d Test
	5.3	Bearing	B1	
	5.4	Bearing	B2	
	5.5	Bearing	ВЗ	
	5.6	Compara	ative Plots	

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

6.	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	31
REFEF	RENCES	32
APPEN	NDIX A. FORMAT OF TEST DATA FILES INCLUDED ON FLOPPY DISK	33

### LIST OF FIGURES

Bearing A dimensions
Full-Scale (Bearing B1) dimensions
1/2-Scale (Bearing B2) dimensions
1/4-Scale (Bearing B3) dimensions7
Test machine14
Setup for Bearing A tests16
Setup for Bearing B tests17
Load-head speed versus load for Bearing A, initial test (2nd cycle)
Load-Displacement plot for Bearing A, first test
Load-Displacement plot for Bearing A, second test
Load-Displacement plot for Bearing B1, full-scale23
Head velocity and load during shim failures for Bearing B1, full-scale24
Load-Displacement plot for Bearing B2, 1/2-scale25
Head velocity and load during shim failures for Bearing B2, 1/2-scale26
Load-Displacement plot for Bearing B3, 1/4-scale27
Head velocity and load during shim failures, for Bearing B3, 1/4-scale28
Comparison of stress-strain curves for all bearings
Comparison of adjusted stress-strain curves for Type B Bearings

### LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Bearing dimensions	. 3
Table 2.2	Type B Bearings - rubber batches	. 8
Table 2.3	ASTM test results for elastomers	9
Table 6.1	Test results summary	31

Ż

xii

.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Seismic base isolation is one of the most promising concepts in seismic resistant construction to come of age in this century. In an effort to facilitate the use of this technology, the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) has published Guidelines for Pre-Qualification, Prototype and Quality Control Testing of Seismic Isolation Systems (NISTIR 5800).

A seismic Isolation System is defined as the collection of Isolation Units, Isolation Components and all other structural elements that transfer force between the foundation/substructure and the superstructure. The Isolation System provides the lateral flexibility and damping necessary for effective isolation, and the high initial stiffness required to resist wind loads. An Isolation Unit is defined as a device that provides all the necessary characteristics in an integral device; an Isolation Component is defined as a device that provides some of the necessary characteristics (e.g. flexibility or damping) in a single device.

Pre-Qualification tests are conducted in order to establish the fundamental properties and characteristics of the isolation system, and need not be related to a specific construction project. The Pre-Qualification Test series includes tests to determine the Ultimate and Reserve Capacity of an Isolation Unit (NISTIR 5800, Section 5.4).

In Section 8.2 of NISTIR 5800, it is noted that further research is needed to better understand the ultimate capacity of seismic isolation devices. Quoting from that section:

Very few Isolation Units and Components have been tested to complete failure, particularly full scale specimens. One reason for this is the extremely large load carrying capacity of most Units and Components and the limitations of existing test facilities. Consequently, the true factor of safety of many of these systems remains an unknown.

Research is needed to investigate the ultimate load carrying capacity of a variety of Isolation Units and Components. The research should include tests on full scale and scale model specimens, and include both vertical and lateral ultimate capacity. Results of the full scale and scale model tests should be correlated so that future tests can be conducted on smaller, less expensive specimens. Failure load models should be refined or developed for these systems and the results verified by experiments. Results of this research should be incorporated into Category II tests described in these guidelines.

Isolation Systems/Units are broadly grouped into three categories: elastomeric, sliding, and hybrid. A common type of elastomeric isolation system is the ordinary laminated bearing, which consists of layers of elastomer and steel bonded under high temperature and pressure to form an integral bearing that is free of joints (elastomer and rubber are used interchangeably throughout this report). The restoring force and damping mechanism are combined in a single device. Sliding systems rely on simple Coulomb friction between two surfaces, and hybrid systems can include aspects of the previous categories, but generally use independent components to provide the restoring force, damping, wind restraint and ultimate restraint.

This report presents the results of testing to determine ultimate compression under zero lateral load for four steel and rubber ordinary laminated base isolation units, three of which are geometrically similar.

#### 2. DESCRIPTION OF TEST SPECIMENS

#### 2.1 Dimensions

Specimen B1 is a full scale (or prototype) elastomeric bearing. Specimens B2 and B3 are respectively 1/2 scale and 1/4 scale versions of Specimen B1. These specimens were produced by the same manufacturer with the goal of minimizing any differences in material properties between specimens. Specimen A is not geometrically similar to Specimens B1, B2, and B3, and was manufactured by a different company. All specimens were fabricated using materials and fabrication procedures typical of those used in the manufacture of commercial isolators. Table 2.1 lists important dimensions of each bearing, and Figures 2.1 through 2.3.(b), following the table, are dimensioned drawings of Bearings A, B1, B2, and B3, respectively

Specimen B1 is meant to represent a realistic base isolation bearing, but was not designed for a specific application. It was sized to have a slightly lower capacity than a typical full scale isolator in order to ensure failure in the testing facilities available at NIST.

Even though strict geometric similarity was desired, for various reasons strict geometric similarity was not met in the Type B bearings.

• Layer Thicknesses – For geometric similarity to hold, each shim and rubber layer in Bearing B1 must be twice as thick as Bearing B2 and four times as thick as Bearing B3. Due to a manufacturing error the shims for Bearing B1 are less than twice the thickness of the shims for Bearing B2. Geometric similarity is maintained in shim thickness between Bearings B2 and B3, and between all Type B bearings with respect to rubber thickness.

• Bearing Diameters – The outer diameters of Bearings B1, B2 and B3 could not be made geometrically similar because the manufacturer used readily available molds. The outer diameters of the bonded areas, which correspond to the shim diameters, are geometrically similar. Therefore, the cover layers, consisting of the same rubber as used between the shims, and which protect the shims from environmental exposure, are not geometrically similar. Also, the center alignment holes, which are used to facilitate alignment of the bearing components during manufacture, are not similar.

	А	B1	B2	B3
Total Height	584	304	167	109
Total Rubber Layer Thickness (mm)	392	<i>191</i> <sup>1</sup>	95	48
Total Shim Thickness (mm)	115.6	37.2	21.3	10.7
Thickness: Each End Plate (mm)	38.1	38.1	25.4	25.4

Table 2.1 – Bearing dimensions

<sup>1</sup> Dimensions in italics are geometrically similar

	A	B1	B2	B3
Rubber Layers		1997 - 19		
# of Layers	39	15	15	15
Thickness (mm)	10.1	12.7	6.4	3.2
Shape Factor <sup>2</sup>	24.4	15	15	15
Total Diameter (mm)	1016	800	406	229
Bonded Dia (mm)	991	762	381	191
Cover Layer Thickness (mm)	2 x 12.5	2 x 19	2 x 12.5	2 x 19
Steel Shims				
No. of shims	38	14	14	14
Thickness (gage)	11 gage	12 gage	16 gage	22 gage
Diameter (mm)	<b>99</b> 1	762	381	191
Center Alignment Hole <sup>3</sup> Diameter (mm)	76.2	50.1	30.1	30.1
End Plate				
Plan Dimensions (mm)	991 mm diameter	876 mm edge length	508 mm edge length	305 mm edge length

#### Table 2.1 (continued) - Bearing dimensions

.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Shape factor (S) is defined as the bonded plan area of the bearing (one side only) divided by the area of the edge of a single layer of elastomer (S = d/4t, where d is the bonded diameter and t is the rubber layer thickness). <sup>3</sup> Holes are placed in shim plates to facilitate alignment of the bearing components during

manufacture.



Figure 2.1 - Bearing A dimensions



Figure 2.2 - Full-Scale (Bearing B1) dimensions



(b) 1/4-Scale (Bearing B3) dimensions

Figure 2.3 - Bearing dimensions

#### 2.2 Material Properties

Shown below are the results of ASTM tests on the rubber compounds. Both bearing types use a high damping natural rubber vulcanizate. Following that is a description of the rubber model to be used in finite element analyses, and which requires uniaxial tensile and compressive test data. These tests have been performed. Finally, there is a discussion of the steel properties and tests performed to fully characterize the steel for finite element analysis.

#### 2.2.1 Rubber Testing

#### 2.2.1.1 Rubber for Type B Bearings

All natural rubber used for these bearings came from the same shipment. The natural rubber is mixed with various chemicals, but due to the size of the rubber mixing equipment, three batches were required. Actually, four batches were mixed, but plant quality control rejected the first batch (the rejected batch had been calendared to the wrong thickness). Each batch was blended from identical proportions of identical ingredients. The rubber was vulcanized only after placing the shims and the rubber in alternating layers into a mold.

Two bearings of each size were manufactured; one bearing of each size was tested in this program. The second bearing will be tested to ultimate compression with lateral load applied in a later test program. Table 2.2 shows the batch numbers for each bearing.

Bearing	Ultimate Compression No Lateral Load	Ultimate Compression Lateral Load Applied
		(Future Test Program)
B1	Batch 3	Batch 2
B2	Batch 3	Batch 3
B3	Batch 4	Batch 4

#### Table 2.2 - Type B Bearings - rubber batches

As noted above, Batch 1 was rejected by plant quality control. However, for ASTM testing, four of the six tests were conducted using specimens made from a composite batch, which consisted of equal quantities from each of the four batches. The results of ASTM testing are shown in Table 2.3.

#### 2.2.1.2 Rubber for Type A Bearings

Test results of the rubber used in the particular bearing tested were not available. The manufacturer, however, reported test results for elastomers with the same chemical composition. These results are shown in Table 2.3.

	Shear Modulus [1] ASTM D 4014 Annex A1	Tensile Strength [2] ASTM D 412 Method A	Ultimate Elongation [2] ASTM D 412 Method A	Compression Set [3] ASTM D 395 Method B	
Composite Batch	128 psi	2406 psi	621%	20.75%	
Batch 1	N/A <sup>4</sup>	2425 psi	597%	N/A	
Batch 2	N/A	2537 psi	608%	N/A	
Batch 3	N/A	2491 psi	613%	N/A	
Batch 4	N/A	2589 psi	664%	N/A	
Bearing A elastomer	173 psi	2827 – 2891psi	529% – 579%	N/A	

Table 2.3 - ASTM test results for elastomers

	Shore A	Peel Strength [5]	Ozone Resistance [6]	
	ASTM D 2240	ASTM D 429 Method B	ASTM D 1149 <sup>5</sup>	
Composite	65	89 lb/in.	No cracks at	
Batch		100% rubber tear	magnification 7X	
Batch 1	64	N/A	N/A	
Batch 2	65	N/A	N/A	
Batch 3	65	N/A	N/A	
Batch 4	65	N/A	N/A	
Bearing A elastomer	63 - 65	81 - 93 lb/in	N/A	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> N/A denotes that tests were not performed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The ozone concentration was 50 parts per hundred million (50pphm). The specimens were stretched to 20% strain, and placed for 100 hours at a temperature of  $100^{\circ}$  F.

#### 2.2.1.3 Additional tests on rubber

Uniaxial compressive and tensile tests have been performed. The nominal stress-strain results are being used to conduct finite element analyses with the finite element code ABAQUS<sup>6</sup> [7]. The basic assumptions for modeling rubbers that ABAQUS uses are

- (1) The material behavior is elastic;
- (2) The material is isotropic, and
- (3) The material is approximately incompressible.

The first two assumptions allow the strain energy potential to be defined in terms of the strain invariants, i.e.  $U = U(I_1, I_2, J)$ , where J is the volume change. Using assumption (3), approximate incompressibility,  $J \cong 1$ , so  $U = U(I_1, I_2)$ . The ABAQUS code utilizes invariants that separate the deviatoric and volumetric effects. The portion of the deformation gradient  $\mathbf{F}$  that is due to deviatoric effects is denoted  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}$ , and is defined by  $\overline{\mathbf{F}} = J^{-\frac{1}{3}}\mathbf{F}$ . From this,  $\overline{I}_1 = tr(\overline{\mathbf{B}}) = tr(\overline{\mathbf{F}} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{F}}^T)^7$  and  $\overline{I}_2 = \frac{1}{2}(\overline{I}_1^2 - tr(\overline{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{B}}))$ .

ABAQUS provides two forms of the strain energy function for solid rubber:

(1) The polynomial form

$$U = \sum_{i+j-1}^{N} C_{ij} (\bar{I}_1 - 3)^i (\bar{I}_2 - 3)^j + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{D_i} (J_{ei} - 1)^{2i}$$

and

(2) The Ogden form

$$U = \sum_{i+j-1}^{N} \frac{2u_i}{\alpha_i^2} (\overline{\lambda}_1^{\alpha_i} + \overline{\lambda}_2^{\alpha_i} + \overline{\lambda}_3^{\alpha_i} - 3) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{D_i} (J_{ei} - 1)^{2i}$$

In each case, the first summation is the contribution due to deviatoric effects and the second summation is the contribution due to volumetric effects. The subscript " $_{el}$ " to the third strain invariant J refers to the elastic portion of the volume change. For an incompressible material, the second summation in each case is zero. The coefficients  $C_{ij}$ ,  $u_i$  and  $\alpha_i$  are found by ABAQUS using a least-squares curvefit on the experimental nominal stress-strain data.

In general, the results from the Ogden strain energy potential are more accurate than the polynomial form; however, both methods will be run and compared.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> ABAQUS is a commercially available finite element software code, and is identified in order to adequately specify the analysis and experimental procedures. In no case does such identification imply recommendation or endorsement by the National Institute of Standards and Technology, nor does it imply that this product is necessarily the best available for the purpose.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Dyadic notation – the product of two second order tensors, yielding a second order tensor. In indicial notation, a contraction on one index.

#### 2.2.2 Steel Testing

The type of steel for the shims and end plates for the Type A Bearings is unknown. For the Type B Bearings, the shims were made of ASTM A570 Grade 36 steel, and the end plates were made of ASTM Grade A36 steel. Actual tensile coupons from the millings used in manufacture of the shims were tested.

A ductile material yields at stress levels that are orders of magnitude less than the elastic modulus, due to large inelastic strains. As stated in the ABAQUS manual, the relevant stress and strain measures are true (Cauchy) stress and logarithmic strain, and material data for all these models must be given in these measures. True stress and log strain have been experimentally determined from tensile dog-bone specimens.

To prepare the shims for the bonding process with the rubber, they are sandblasted and then coated with a chemical compound in a process known as chemlocking. Tests were done on smooth coupons, sandblasted coupons, and chemlocked coupons in order to determine if these processes have an important effect on the steel material properties. The chemlocked specimens showed a consistently lower yield stress than either the sandblasted or smooth specimens.

#### **3. DESCRIPTION OF TEST SETUP**

#### 3.1 Test Machine

The Universal Testing Machine is located in the Large Scale Structural Testing Facility (Building 202) at the National Institute of Standards and Technology. It is managed by the Building and Fire Research Laboratory. The test machine has a maximum capacity in compression of 53.4 MN (12 million pounds). See Figure 3.1. The machine has four large screw columns that rise to approximately 23.8 m above ground. The load head is connected to the four columns, and is positioned by moving it up or down on the screw columns. Once in position, the head is locked in place so that throughout the test the head does not move relative to the screw columns. The screw columns extend to about 7.0 m below ground, where a hydraulic cylinder assembly is located. The cylinder is approximately 2.1 m in diameter and is rigidly connected to the four screw columns down simultaneously. This, in turn, moves the load head down, forcing the head against the specimen. The maximum stroke of the machine is 1.5 m.



Figure 3.1 - Test machine

#### 3.2 Test Setup

For the Bearing A tests, the bearing was placed on two large cylindrical steel plates. These were in turn placed on a reinforced concrete platform designed to withstand greater than 53.4 MN. See Figure 3.2. For the Bearing B tests, the reinforced concrete platform was not used. Instead, a third cylindrical piece of steel was placed on top of the two steel plates used in the Bearing A tests. See Figure 3.3. Also, for each test one smooth steel plate 6.35 mm (1/4 in) thick was placed directly above and below the bearing. This ensured a smooth contact surface, and protected the test machine load head from damage that could result from the irregular surface on the top of the bearings.



Figure 3.2 - Setup for Bearing A tests



Figure 3.3 - Setup for Bearing B tests

18

•

#### 4. DESCRIPTION OF TEST PROCEDURE

#### 4.1 Control of Test Machine

The test machine is controlled by an open-loop control system. The rate of flow of hydraulic fluid into the test machine cylinder determines the head speed. To control the head displacement, the operator manually adjusts the rate of flow of hydraulic fluid. Load and rate of loading indicated by the movement of the load indicator tells the operator if he is allowing too much or too little fluid to move the head. To maintain a constant head velocity (i.e., a constant rate of flow of hydraulic fluid), the operator must continually increase the valve opening to compensate for a reduced flow rate as the fluid pressure rises. However, even with these manual adjustments, head speed decreases as the load approaches the machine's capacity of 53.4 MN (12 million pounds).

#### 4.2 Dependence on Strain Rate

Rubber's stress-strain behavior is a function of strain rate [8]. Based on the response of Bearing A over a range of head speeds, it was concluded that viscoelastic effects can be ignored over the relatively slow range of heads speed generated by the test machine. Four sets of three cycles of loading were applied, each to the machine load capacity. The first set was run at the maximum head speed, and the second, third and fourth sets at approximately 50%, 25%, and 10% of the maximum head speed, respectively (the maximum head speed measured in these tests was 0.40 mm/s). As shown in Figure 5.2, the stress-strain curves for each set are virtually identical.

#### 4.3 Test Procedure

As described in NISTIR 5800, Section 5.4.1, each bearing was subjected to simple axial compression under zero lateral load. Two tests were run on Bearing A in order to gain experience with test machine control and data acquisition prior to conducting the tests on the geometrically similar bearings B1, B2, and B3.

It is the usual practice in testing elastomeric bearings to mechanically condition the elastomer, since the initial response of an elastomeric specimen is stiffer than the response after even one cycle of loading. The response of the elastomer typically stabilizes after a number of cycles; however, there is no firm guidance on the number of conditioning cycles needed to attain equilibrium. Researchers of bridge elastomeric bearings have used four (4) mechanical conditioning cycles [9]. For dynamic testing, at least six cycles have been recommended by Brown [10]. We decided to use at least six conditioning cycles for this test series. In the first test on Bearing A, seven conditioning cycles to the stress level of 13.79 MPa (2000 psi) compressive stress were applied. In the second test on the Type A Bearing and the subsequent tests on the Type B Bearings, six conditioning cycles were applied to 13.79 MPa compressive stress. Six conditioning cycles were adequate to reach an equilibrium stress-strain curve.

#### Type A Bearing - Initial Test

After mechanical conditioning, five load cycles to the machine capacity of 53.4 MN were applied. The test was run at the maximum speed attainable, which was measured at 0.40 mm/sec. However, Figure 4.1, which shows a plot of head speed versus load for a typical load cycle, clearly shows that maintenance of a constant velocity was not possible. The average speed over this cycle was 0.30 mm./sec, with a standard deviation of 0.09 mm/sec.



Figure 4.1 - Load-head speed vs load for Bearing A, initial test (2nd cycle)

Type A Bearing - Second Test

The second test was run primarily to investigate the influence of strain rate on bearing response. No noticeable difference in bearing response was observed over the range of head speeds attainable by the test machine.

Type B Bearings tests

Each of the geometrically similar bearings failed as a result of shim rupture. Accordingly, the loading history consisted of six mechanical conditioning cycles followed by loading to failure. In all cases, load was applied well beyond initial shim failure.

#### 5. OBSERVATIONS AND RESULTS OF TESTS

#### 5.1 Bearing A - First Test

#### Visual Observations

During the conditioning cycles to 13.79 MPa (2000 psi), bulging of the sides of the bearing was not noticeable. During the load cycles to the machine maximum of 53.4 MN, slight bulging was visible. The middle third of the bearing, as viewed from the West, skewed slightly to the North. There was a slight residual bulge and skew at the conclusion of the test.

#### Load-Displacement Curve

The load-displacement plot (Figure 5.1) shows a change in stiffness at approximately 50 MN compressive force (65 MPa) and 4.2% compressive strain. In each succeeding load cycle, the maximum displacement/strain increased slightly, indicating small increments of permanent deformation. After removal of load, the bearing did not return to its pre-loaded height, thus confirming that inelastic deformation took place during the preceding load cycles. It is reasonable to conclude that the change in slope in the first loading cycle was due to yielding of the steel shims.

Prior to initial yielding, the curve was nearly linear, with a tangent stiffness of 1700 MPa. After the initial yielding, the tangent stiffness dropped by 47% to 900 MPa. The second through fifth load cycles exhibit strain-hardening behavior. Strain-hardening refers to an increasing tangent stiffness as the strain increases, whereas strain-softening refers to a decreasing tangent stiffness as the strain increases. Pure rubber strain-hardens at higher tensile and compressive stresses, and this supports the conclusion that the steel yielded in the initial load cycle to 53.4 MN – the bearing's behavior is dominated more by the rubber than the steel in the second through fifth cycles.



Figure 5.1 - Load-Displacement plot for Bearing A, first test

During the unloading portion of the first cycle, the operator inadvertently reversed the flow of hydraulic fluid, causing a slight increase in load for a moment. This is noticeable in the plot.

#### 5.2 Bearing A - Second Test

#### Visual Observations

The second test was performed seven days after the first. The slight bulge and skew of the bearing in the northerly direction resulting from the first test was still visible prior to the second test. As in the first test, the bulging and lateral deformation increased when the bearing was loaded.

#### Load-Displacement Curve

The general shape of the curves (Figure 5.2) is similar to the curves obtained in the first test after yielding. The vertical compressive strain in the first load cycle of this second test was approximately 4.0% at 53.4 MN, which is about the same as the vertical strain in the second load cycle of the first test (the first cycle after yielding), with the permanent set due to yielding removed. The plot also shows a slight increase in the maximum displacement in each succeeding load cycle, as in the first test.



Figure 5.2- Load-Displacement plot for Bearing A, second test

The plot also clearly indicates that the bearing response was virtually identical at head speeds ranging from the maximum head speed down to approximately 10% of the head speed.

Therefore, for the limited range of loading rates examined in this study, bearing response to compressive loading can be considered to be independent of loading rate.

#### 5.3 Bearing B1

#### Visual Observations

Bulging was first noticeable at approximately 65 MPa compressive stress and 12% compressive strain, and increased sharply between 80 and 90 MPa. Bulging was greatest at the center, and varied in a parabolic shape from the top to the bottom of the bearing. Bulging appeared to be fairly uniform around the circumference of the bearing. Failure occurred at 90.5 MPa compressive stress and 26.6% compressive strain. Failure was indicated by a loss of strength as displacement continued. This phenomenon was accompanied by an audible rupture emanating from the mid-height of the bearing, followed shortly thereafter by a rapid series of audible ruptures successively further away from the mid-level.

Each audible rupture was followed by a sudden drop in compressive load. Loading was stopped when the load had dropped to less than half its peak value.

#### Load-Displacement Curve

The stress-strain curve (Figure 5.3) shows initial shim yielding at about 42 MPa compressive stress and 6% compressive strain. The shape of the stress-strain curve up to this point indicates strain-hardening behavior, whereas between initial yield and the first shim rupture the bearing strain-softens.



Figure 5.3 - Load-Displacement plot for Bearing B1, full-scale

After the initial shim rupture, displacement was increased at the same rate. As shims failed, the load dropped. Small load recovery occurred between shim ruptures.

Head Velocity and Load During Failure

Figure 5.4 shows load and head velocity versus time during the failure process. Each velocity peak corresponds to a shim failure. The head velocity at failure was one to two orders of magnitude greater than the head velocity prior to failure. This is consistent with the visual observation that the head movement prior to failure was imperceptible, with downward jumps of the load head observable as shims failed.

After the initial shim failure, resistance decreased rapidly. Five shims ruptured during a period of nine seconds, and the resistance decreased from 40 MN to 21 MN. The bearing then recovered to 22 MN, whereupon another series of ruptures followed. This pattern was repeated once more before the test was terminated.



Figure 5.4 - Head velocity and load during shim failures for Bearing B1, full-scale

#### 5.4 Bearing B2

#### Visual Observations

During loading to failure, bulging became noticeable at about 40 MPa compressive stress and 5.2% compressive strain. The bulging was asymmetric: the bearing bulged significantly on the south and southwest side, and bulged very little on the north and west side. This bulging pattern continued up through failure. Failure occurred at 89.3 MPa compressive stress and 22.8% compressive strain, and was initiated by a shim rupture at or near mid-height, followed by a series of ruptures successively further away from mid-height.

#### Load-Displacement Curve

Figure 5.5 shows initial shim yielding at about 45 MPa and about 6% compressive strain. Similar to Bearing B1, the behavior is slightly strain-hardening prior to yield, whereas between initial yield and the first shim rupture the bearing strain-softens.



Figure 5.5 - Load-Displacement plot for Bearing B2, 1/2-scale

Head Velocity and Load During Failure

Figure 5.6 shows load and head velocity versus time during the failure process. Each velocity peak corresponds to a shim failure. The head velocity at failure was one to two orders of magnitude greater than the velocity prior to failure. This is consistent with the visual observation that the head movement prior to failure was imperceptible, with downward jumps of the load head observable as shims failed.

After initial failure, load fell quickly. Over fifteen seconds, there were at least five shim ruptures, and the resistance decreased from 10.7 MN to 5.8 MN. The bearing then recovered to 6.8 MN, whereupon there were another series of ruptures.



Figure 5.6 - Head velocity and load during shim failures for Bearing B2, 1/2-scale

#### 5.5 Bearing B3

#### Visual Observations

Bulging was first noticeable at approximately 20 MPa compressive stress and 6.7% compressive strain, and increased steadily to failure, at 99.3 MPa compressive stress and 28.2% compressive strain. Bulging appeared to be uniform around the circumference of the bearing. Bulging was greatest at the mid-height and the shape was parabolic when viewed from the side. Initial shim failure was not audible. It appeared that shim rupture was at or near the mid-height of the bearing, with subsequent failures occurring successively further from mid-height.

In this test, load application was continued considerably beyond failure of all shims. The load carrying capacity eventually increased because after the failure of all shims, the bearing essentially

acted as a single, unbonded layer of elastomer (even bond to the end plates was eventually lost). By the end of the test compressive strains exceeded 75%.

#### Load-Displacement Curve

Figure 5.7 shows initial shim yielding at about 52 MPa compressive stress and 7% compressive strain. Similar to Bearings B1 and B2, the behavior is slightly strain-hardening prior to yield, whereas between initial yield and the first shim rupture the bearing strain-softens.



Figure 5.7 - Load-Displacement plot for Bearing B3, 1/4-scale

Head Velocity and Load During Failure

Figure 5.8 shows load and head velocity versus time during the failure process. Each velocity peak corresponds to a shim failure. The head velocity at failure was one order of magnitude greater than the velocity prior to failure. This is consistent with the visual observation that the head movement prior to failure was imperceptible, with downward jumps of the load head observable as shims failed.

After initial failure, load fell quickly. Over thirty seconds, there were at least five shim ruptures, and the resistance decreased from 2.75 MN to 1.70 MN. The bearing then recovered to 1.85 MN, whereupon there were another series of ruptures.



Figure 5.8 - Head velocity and load during shim failures for Bearing B3, 1/4-scale

#### 5.6 Comparative Plots

Figure 5.9 shows the stress-strain curves of all specimens on the same plot (the first cycle of loading to 53.4 MN for the Bearing A test is shown). Note the similarity between the three curves for the three Type B Bearings, in spite of the fact that the bearings were not strictly geometrically similar (see Table 1). All curves have similar slopes, except at low stress values. Differences in the initial stiffnesses may be due to misalignment of the load head and end plate.

The approximate tangent stiffness of the Type A Bearing is 1700 MPa just prior to yield. The corresponding stiffness for the Type B Bearings is 930 MPa. Bearing A had a rubber layer shape factor of 24.4, whereas the Type B Bearings had a shape factor of 15. A higher shape factor results in a higher compressive stiffness for the Type A Bearing. This result has been reported by researchers of bridge elastomeric bearings [9], [10], [12].



Figure 5.9 - Comparison of stress-strain curves for all bearings

If the lower initial stiffnesses of the full-scale and 1/4 scale models were indeed due to plate and head misalignment, the stress-strain curves can be shifted horizontally. In Figure 5.10, the 1/4 scale stress-strain and full-scale stress-strain curves were shifted to the left by strains of .037 and .005 respectively. This plot clearly shows the nearly identical stiffness prior to initial shim yielding. However, the stress-strain curves, while similar in shape, are clearly not identical. For example, the maximum stress at failure for the full-scale bearing is 90.5 MPa – for the 1/2 scale and 1/4 scale bearings the maximum stresses are 1.3% smaller and 9.6% greater, respectively, than this value. Also, the strain at failure for the full-scale bearing is 0.262, but is 13.0% below this value for the 1/2 scale bearing, and 6.5% below this value for the 1/4 scale bearing. Finally, there is a clear trend toward higher stress and strain at the point of initial yielding as we move from the full-scale bearing.



Figure 5.10 - Comparison of adjusted stress-strain curves for Type B Bearings

#### 6. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The results of these bearing tests indicate that it may be possible to use scale-models to determine failure loads of full-scale bearings. The stress-strain curves of the Type B Bearings are similar in shape; however, they are not identical. It should be remembered that this testing program included only one bearing of each size. Further experiments should be conducted.

In a later study, finite element analyses will be conducted to investigate the effect of the geometric dissimilarities on the stress-strain curves of the scale-model bearings. The finite element model will be calibrated to the full-scale experimental stress-strain curve. Differences between the experimental and analytical stress-strain curves for the scale-model specimens would indicate that the geometric dissimilarity of the bearings does not fully account for the differences in the experimental stress-strain results. Table 6.1 is a summary of the stresses and strains at which initial bulging, initial shim yielding, and failure were recorded for each bearing.

			······································			
	<b>Initial Bulging</b>		Initial Shim Yielding		Failure	
Bearing	Stress (MPa)	Strain	Stress (MPa)	Strain	Stress (MPa)	Strain
A	Not Observed		65	.042	No Rupture Occurred	
B1	65	.12	41.6	.057	90.5	.266
B2	40	.052	44.8	.061	89.3	.228
B3	20	.067	50	.068	99.3	.245

Table 6.1 - Test results summary

Bearing response should be a function of strain rate, since rubber is a viscoelastic material [2]. However, at the relatively low head speeds of the test machine used in the study, no dependence on strain rate was observed. The maximum speed of the load head was 0.40 mm/s, with an average speed over a full load cycle of 0.30 mm/s and a standard deviation of 0.09 mm/s.

The Type B Bearings show a more nonlinear response than Bearing A prior to initial shim yielding. This is probably due to the lower shape factor of the Type B bearings. The "aggregate" behavior of a bearing with a lower shape factor seems to be more influenced by the rubber. Aggregate is meant in the sense that two distinctly different materials comprise these bearings. In general, rubber strain-hardens, so in a typical stress-strain curve, with strain on the horizontal axis, the shape is concave-upward. Steel, of course, exhibits linear stress-strain behavior prior to yield. The Type B Bearings have a stress-strain curve which shows strain-hardening prior to initial shim yielding, whereas the Type A Bearing, which has less rubber per unit bearing height, has a linear stress-strain curve prior to initial shim yield. Also, there is a stiffer response for bearings with higher shape factors. For Bearing A, with a shape factor of 24.4, the approximate tangent stiffness prior to yield is 1700 MPa. The tangent stiffness reduces to 900 MPa after yield, for a reduction in stiffness of 47%. For The Type B Bearings, with a shape factor of 15, the respective numbers are 930 MPa and 250 MPa, for a reduction in stiffness of 71%.

The Type A Bearing shows initial yielding of the shims at a compressive strain of 4.1%, versus approximately 6.0% for the Type B Bearings. This is to be expected because the two bearing types have different shim thicknesses and shape factors.

#### REFERENCES

1- ASTM D 4014-89, Standard Specification for Plain and Steel-Laminated Elastomeric Bearings for Bridges, Annex A1, Determination of Shear Modulus

2- ASTM D 412-92, Standard Test Methods for Vulcanized Rubber and Thermoplastic Rubbers and Thermoplastic Elastomers - Tension, Test Method A

3- ASTM D 395-89 (Reapproved 1994), Standard Test Methods for Rubber Property - Compression Set

4- ASTM D 2240-91, Standard Test Method for Rubber Property - Durometer Hardness, Durometer A

5- ASTM D 429-81, Standard Test Methods for Rubber Property - Adhesion to Rigid Substrates, Method B

6- ASTM D 1149-91, Standard Test Method for Rubber Deterioration - Surface Ozone Cracking in a Chamber

7- ABAQUS/Standard Users Manual and ABAQUS Theory Manual (1995), Version 5.5, HKS, Inc.

8- Treloar, L.R.G. (1975), The Physics of Rubber Elasticity, 2nd ed., Oxford University Press

9- Minor, J.C. and Egen, R.A. (1970), Elastomeric Bearing Research, National Cooperative Highway Research Program Report (NCHRPR) 109

10- Brown, R.P. (1986), Physical Testing of Rubber, 2nd ed., Elsevier Applied Science Publishers

11- Stanton, J.F. and Roeder, C.W. (1982), Elastomeric Bearings Design, NCHRPR 248

12- Roeder, C.W, Stanton, J.F., Taylor, A.W. (1987), Performance of Elastomeric Bearings, NCHRPR 298

## APPENDIX A. FORMAT OF TEST DATA FILES INCLUDED ON FLOPPY DISK

Attached is a PC formatted diskette of the complete load and displacement data files for each test. There are a total of ten files; a load and displacement file for each of the five tests. The files are in ASCII format, and can be imported into many different spreadsheet packages available on personal computers. Each load file contains the load in MN. The displacement files contain the average vertical displacement in mm. In each case, the vertical displacement was adjusted so that zero vertical displacement corresponds to zero load for the first cycle after the conditioning cycles. That is, the residual displacement of the initial conditioning cycles has been subtracted from the displacement data. Time is not included in the files. However, each row of data represents a time step of 0.1 seconds.

In order to fit the data files on one diskette, the files were compressed using the pkzip utility. To decompress each file, at the prompt type pkunzip "filename".

The Bearing A, test 1 files are compressed under A1.ZIP. The decompressed file names are A1\_LOAD and A1\_DISP.

The Bearing A, test 2 files were separately compressed due to their size, and the decompressed file names are A2\_LOAD and A2\_DISP.

The Bearing B tests are all included under B.ZIP. Therefore, decompression will yield six files: Bearing B1 test - B1\_LOAD and B1\_DISP; Bearing B2 test - B2\_LOAD and B2\_DISP; and Bearing B3 test - B3\_LOAD and B3\_DISP.

## **Reproduced by NTIS**

National Technical Information Service Springfield, VA 22161

## This report was printed specifically for your order from nearly 3 million titles available in our collection.

For economy and efficiency, NTIS does not maintain stock of its vast collection of technical reports. Rather, most documents are printed for each order. Documents that are not in electronic format are reproduced from master archival copies and are the best possible reproductions available. If you have any questions concerning this document or any order you have placed with NTIS, please call our Customer Service Department at (703) 487-4660.

#### About NTIS

NTIS collects scientific, technical, engineering, and business related information — then organizes, maintains, and disseminates that information in a variety of formats — from microfiche to online services. The NTIS collection of nearly 3 million titles includes reports describing research conducted or sponsored by federal agencies and their contractors; statistical and business information; U.S. military publications; audiovisual products; computer software and electronic databases developed by federal agencies; training tools; and technical reports prepared by research organizations worldwide. Approximately 100,000 *new* titles are added and indexed into the NTIS collection annually.

For more information about NTIS products and services, call NTIS at (703) 487-4650 and request the free *NTIS Catalog of Products and Services*, PR-827LPG, or visit the NTIS Web site http://www.ntis.gov.

NTIS

Your indispensable resource for government-sponsored information—U.S. and worldwide

cred 00 of items permit return acement will 001 does not Ū retuno ma 0 ğ G .



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Technology Administration National Technical Information Service Springfield, VA 22161 (703) 487-4650