

N 1520-295X

PB2000-105993

Development of Measurement Capability for Micro-Vibration Evaluations with Application to Chip Fabrication Facilities

by

G.C. Lee, Z. Liang, J.W. Song, J.D. Shen and W.C. Liu University at Buffalo, State University of New York Department of Civil, Structural and Environmental Engineering Ketter Hall Buffalo, New York 14260

Technical Report MCEER-99-0020

December 1, 1999

REPRODUCED BY: U.S. Department of Commerce National Technical Information Service Springfield, Virginia 22161

This research was conducted at the University at Buffalo, State University of New York and was supported in whole or in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. CMS 97-01471 and other sponsors.

PROTECTED UNDER INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHT ALL RIGHTS RESERVED NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE



NOTICE

This report was prepared by the University at Buffalo, State University of New York as a result of research sponsored by the Multidisciplinary Center for Earthquake Engineering Research (MCEER) through a grant from the National Science Foundation and other sponsors. Neither MCEER, associates of MCEER, its sponsors, the University at Buffalo, State University of New York, nor any person acting on their behalf:

- makes any warranty, express or implied, with respect to the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report or that such use may not infringe upon privately owned rights; or
- b. assumes any liabilities of whatsoever kind with respect to the use of, or the damage resulting from the use of, any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of MCEER, the National Science Foundation, or other sponsors.



Development of Measurement Capability for Micro-Vibration Evaluations with Application to Chip Fabrication Facilities

by

G.C. Lee¹, Z. Liang², J.W. Song³, J.D. Shen⁴ and W.C. Liu⁴

Publication Date: December 1, 1999 Submittal Date: April 6, 1999

Technical Report MCEER-99-0020

NSF Master Contract Number CMS 97-01471 and a Contract from the Erie County Industrial Development Agency

- 1 Director, Multidisciplinary Center for Earthquake Engineering Research, University at Buffalo, State University of New York
- 2 Research Associate Professor, Department of Civil, Structural and Environmental Engineering and Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, University at Buffalo, State University of New York
- 3 Research Associate, Department of Civil, Structural and Environmental Engineering, University at Buffalo, State University of New York
- 4 Graduate Research Assistant, Department of Civil, Structural and Environmental Engineering, University at Buffalo, State University of New York

MULTIDISCIPLINARY CENTER FOR EARTHQUAKE ENGINEERING RESEARCH University at Buffalo, State University of New York Red Jacket Quadrangle, Buffalo, NY 14261

· .

.

Preface

The Multidisciplinary Center for Earthquake Engineering Research (MCEER) is a national center of excellence in advanced technology applications that is dedicated to the reduction of earthquake losses nationwide. Headquartered at the University at Buffalo, State University of New York, the Center was originally established by the National Science Foundation in 1986, as the National Center for Earthquake Engineering Research (NCEER).

Comprising a consortium of researchers from numerous disciplines and institutions throughout the United States, the Center's mission is to reduce earthquake losses through research and the application of advanced technologies that improve engineering, pre-earthquake planning and post-earthquake recovery strategies. Toward this end, the Center coordinates a nationwide program of multidisciplinary team research, education and outreach activities.

MCEER's research is conducted under the sponsorship of two major federal agencies, the National Science Foundation (NSF) and the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), and the State of New York. Significant support is derived from the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), other state governments, academic institutions, foreign governments and private industry.

The study described in this report was funded by Erie County, the Town of West Seneca, Empire State Development Corp. and the West Seneca Development Corp. through an innovative partnership between government, the business sector and academia. Matching resources were provided by the University at Buffalo and MCEER.

In this project, MCEER researchers conducted vibration tests at a site in West Seneca, New York to determine its suitability for attracting and supporting a ChipFab facility. ChipFab, a short name for a semiconductor chip fabrication facility, is a high-tech manufacturing facility where the electronic chips for items ranging from computers to cellular phones to automobiles are manufactured. The industrial park site (North American Park) is located near a railroad, a major expressway and an active mining operation. The level of micro-vibrations of ground motion is critical for this type of facility.

Several locations were instrumented within the industrial park. Three direction acceleration components were measured at each location, during the period between November 1 and December 1, 1998. These acceleration data were subsequently converted into RMS velocity (one-third-octave band) through specially derived analytical relationships. It was found that the proposed ChipFab site in the northern section of the industrial park was suitable for the manufacturing facility.

The measurement system used to conduct this testing was developed specifically for this project. This report describes the measurement system in detail, including its sensory system, data acquisition and recording, sensor installation and distribution of the measurement locations. The procedure to obtain measurements, data evaluation, and results and analyses related to the West Seneca site are also described in the report.

Abstract

This report summarizes a study to measure micro-vibrations of ground motions at a proposed site to fabricate electronic IC chips. The micro-vibration level of ground motion is critical for this type of manufacturing facility. Current guidelines for semi-conductor fabrication facilities recommend that they be subjected to less than 100 micro-inches per second RMS (root-mean-square) velocity in every one-third octave frequency band with a preferred range of 60 to 70 micro-inches per second. The site under study is located near a major expressway, a train thoroughfare and an active mining operation.

There is currently no official specification on how to conduct the evaluation process. A reliable method based on proper vibration theory was therefore developed before the field investigation. An appropriate measuring system and data processing procedure was adopted. The total cost was kept low so that the procedure would be suitable for conducting a preliminary assessment.

It was found that at the proposed location, the RMS values of velocity in the one-third octave frequency band are less than 70 micro-inches per second, in spite of expressway traffic, passing trains, and operations in a near by rock mine.

In addition to the encouraging results at the specific site (North America Center, West Seneca, NY), the ground vibration measuring procedure developed can potentially be used as an industrial standard for delicate manufacturing site evaluation.

The report also introduces the theoretical development for the relationship between frequency spectra and RMS values, which can be adopted for a wide range of applications on interpretations of the data obtained from up-to-date data acquisition systems.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors express their appreciation to the County of Erie, the Town of West Seneca, and the West Seneca Development Corporation for their sponsorship of the study reported herein. They also wish to acknowledge the contribution of the state-of-the-art sensors (393B31 ICP) from PCB Piezoelectronics in this study. The State University of New York at Buffalo and the Multidisciplinary Center for Earthquake Engineering Research provided matching resources.

The authors would also like to acknowledge the comments and advice from the ChipFab Selecting Committee and the help of Professor T. Niu for his technical assistance during the field measurement period.

-

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION	TITLE	PAGE
1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Background	1
1.2	Objectives	1
2	REVIEW OF EXISTING STANDARDS, PROCEDURES, A	AND
	EQUIPMENT	3
2.1	Vibration Criteria	3
2.2	Measuring Settings	3
3	PROCEDURE DEVELOPMENT	5
3.1	Vibration Criteria	5
3.2	Measurement System	5
3.2.1	Sensors	5
3.2.2	Mountings	6
3.2.3	Noise Insulation	12
3.3	Data Acquisition System	13
4	APPLICATION TO A CANDIDATE SITE	15
4.1	Sensory System	15
4.2	Data Acquisition and Recording	15
4.3	Sensor Installation	19
4.4	Distribution of the Measurement Locations	. 19
5	MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE	25
5.1	Environmental Conditions and Other Constraints	25
5.2	Data Acquisition and Sampling Rate	25
6	MATHEMATICAL BACKGROUND	27
6.1	Transformation from Acceleration to Velocity	27
6.2	Relationship between the Frequency Spectra and RMS Value	28
6.3	The RMS Value in the One-Third Octave Band	30
7	RESULTS AND ANALYSIS	33
7.1	Calibration	33
7.2	Ground Vibration Measurement	33
7.2.1	Site One	33
7.2.2	Site Two	35
8	CONCLUSIONS	37
9	REFERENCES	39
Appendix A	SELECTED SIGNALS	41

. ł ł. ł -1 I. L L ł 1 l

I.

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	TITLE	PAGE
1-1	Site Map	2
3-1	Typical Frequency Response Function	8
3-2	Valid Combinations of Natural Frequencies and Damping Ratios	8
3-3	A Sketch of Steel-bar Mounting Systems	10
3-4	Frequency Response Function for Different Mounting Configuration	on 12
4-1	Calibration Certificate for the Sensor	16
4-2	Calibration of the Measurement System with 1000 Ft. Cables	17
4-3	Field Measurement Setup	18
4-4	Sensor Mounting Setup	20
4-5	Installation of the Mounting System	20
4-6	Glass Fiber Filling in the Inner Sound Box	21
4-7	Inner and Outer Sound Boxes	21
4-8	Mounting Base of the Sensors	22
4-9	Sensors Setup	22
4-10	Placing Inner Sound Box	23
4-11	Placing Outer Sound Box	23

.

. 1

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	TITLE	PAGE
2-1	Regular Performance of Accelerometers	4
6-1	Frequencies in One-Third-Octave Band	31
7-1	Maximum RMS Value of Velocity in One-Third-Octave Band at Different Locations	34
7-2	Maximum Values at Each Location	36

SECTION 1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Jointly sponsored by the Multidisciplinary Center for Earthquake Engineering Research (MCEER), the County of Erie, the Town of West Seneca, the Empire State Development Corporation, and the West Seneca Development Corporation, an investigation of microvibrations of ground motion at a proposed site for a ChipFab facility in the North America Center, West Seneca, New York was carried out. The purpose of this investigation was to measure the ground vibration level, in order to determine if the proposed site was suitable for fabrication of the second generation of electronic IC chips (ChipFab). This task required knowledge in different domains, including structural and geotechnical engineering, vibration analysis, and electronics.

The measurements were carried out between November 3 to 25, 1998. The records were obtained during adverse conditions, and included several vibration sources (trains, ground traffic, blasts, and windy weather with heavy water waves in Lake Erie, 10 miles away from the site).

The key issues discussed in this report include: (1) the selection of suitable sensors with sufficient sensitivity, low measurement frequency and other appropriate qualifications, (2) the configuration of the measurement system set up, including calibration, acoustic isolation and data acquisition, (3) procedures to ensure the signal pickup, and (4) development of appropriate methods for data analyses.

1.2 Objectives

The primary objective of this research was to develop an accurate, inexpensive, userfriendly, and academically appropriate procedure for early evaluation of candidate sites for microelectronic fabrication facilities and validate the procedure by applying it to an actual site.

Both a systematic review of current measurement capabilities and development beyond existing techniques for micro-vibration evaluations were needed. Some very commonly used methods of measurement were not suitable for obtaining the measurements required for approval of a ChipFab facility. For example, in existing buildings, issues of noise isolations and calibration of sensor fixtures are not significant, but in field testing, these issues become critical and can greatly affect the measurement results. Cost of obtaining the measurements is another consideration. Usually, expensive equipment must be used to collect weak and low frequency signals of micro-vibrations. New products (sensors and cables) must be tested and verified by careful calibrations and practice. This issue was emphasized in this study.

The North America Center, located in West Seneca, New York, was proposed as a potential site for fabrication of the second generation of electronic IC chips (ChipFab). A

detailed map of the site is given in figure 1-1. The micro-vibration level of the ground motion is critical for such a manufacturing facility. The demand on ground vibration level can be quantified as a maximum vibration of 100 micro-inches per second RMS velocity in every one-third-octave band with a preferred range of 60 to 70 micro-inches per second.

A railway of the Conrail Main Line and the Route 400 expressway are located at the southern side of this site. The railway and Route 400 are almost parallel to the principal axis of the site in the east-west direction. In addition, about three miles away, in the northeast direction, a rock mine operates with daily explosions. This study is concerned with determining the ground vibration levels at this site and comparing them with the recommended limit.



Figure 1-1 Site Map

SECTION 2

REVIEW OF EXISTING STANDARDS, PROCEDURES, AND EQUIPMENT

2.1 Vibration Criteria

To evaluate the level of ground vibration, the measurements must be collected in a common format with enough information for further investigation.

The International Organization for Standardization (ISO) specifies some vibration criteria regarding human comfort in workshops, offices, residences, and theatres (ISO 2631/DAD1). In each application, the limit is a constant velocity between 8 and 80 Hz and a constant acceleration between 4 and 8 Hz. The velocity is in a form of RMS (root-mean-square) value in every one-third octave frequency band. Gordon (1987) points out that the equipment maximum sensitivities at different frequencies form a constant velocity line. Since the one-third-octave frequency band happens to be close to the resonance bandwidth of equipment with 10% loss factor, the vibration criteria for the microelectronic industry can be in the same form as ISO human comfort criteria. The BBN (Bolt, Beranek and Newman) criteria suggests five additional vibration criteria over the ISO standard at velocity levels 2000, 1000, 500, 250, and 125 micro-inch per second (8, 3, 1, 0.3, and 0.1 micron/sec). Klein et al. (1995), Ammann et al. (1995), and DeSilva (1983) recommend 100 micro-inch per second RMS velocity in every one-third-octave band for ChipFab site criterion.

Powered by today's high-speed personal computers, the narrow-band analysis utilizing FFT (Fast Fourier Transform) has become more and more popular (see Owen and Hale, 1991 and Gordon, 1991). It provides more detailed information about the vibration component in different frequencies. The FHA (Frank Hubach Associates) criteria were established under FFT algorithm with a frequency resolution of 0.125 Hz and were compared with BBN criteria by Owen.

2.2 Measuring Settings

The vibration criteria stated above are specified as "floor vibrations." Before the facility is built, no floor vibration can be directly measured. On the other hand, the ground (site) vibration is an important factor for designing the facility or even in deciding whether or not it will be built. Therefore, establishing the relationship between measured ground vibrations and expected floor vibrations is the first step in the evaluation process. Jendrzejczyk and Wambsganss (1991) developed a feedback design process that modifies the preliminary design based on predicted vibration level with all the site information in hand until the predicted floor vibration is acceptable. Although this procedure is logical and reliable, it is very expensive in both money and time. It is not suitable for this type of project, where a potential site is being investigated prior to actual construction. Brochet (1991) suggested a less exhaustive approach for site selection that ignores the soil-structure interaction but reserves a buffer of 6 to 12 dB (100% to 300% difference)

between ground and required floor vibration levels. This approach is also not suitable before a final site has been selected.

Seismographs are the most common setup used in civil and earthquake engineering for low frequency, low amplitude, and long duration vibrations while accelerometers are used for medium to high frequency structural vibrations. Some of these devices are mounted on structures and others on or in the ground. The mounting of the vibration sensors is crucial to the measuring results. However, there is not much guidance regarding mounting. The ideal ground mounting system measures the "particle vibration" of the soil without disturbing it. Crouse et al. (1984) suggest that the entire setup should be as small as possible. Stiffer soil and flexible shelter can help to reduce soil-structure interaction. Novak (1985) found increasing the foundation depth results in increasing both stiffness and damping in the soil-foundation interface. Gap or different backfill between the soil and foundation cause significant changes to the dynamic properties of the system.

Different types of accelerometers have various advantages and disadvantages. The general characteristics are listed in table 2-1 (Bouche, 1974). As new technology improvements arise, some of the advantages or disadvantages may disappear. Sensitivity and frequency range is usually the most important index for selecting accelerometers. Higher sensitivity is usually preferred. ISO vibration criteria range from 1 Hz to 80 Hz (Gordon, 1991). BBN criteria use 4 to 100 Hz while FHA criteria use 5 to 50 Hz. Nugent and Amick (1991) suggest that the appropriate frequency range is between 2 Hz and 100 Hz.

Characteristics	Piezoelectric Accelerometer	Piezoresistive Accelerometer	Servo (Force-Balanced) Accelerometer
Sensitivity (pC/g, mV/g)	12	20	250
Frequency Range (Hz)	2-5500	0-750	0-500
Resonance Frequency (Hz)	27000	2500	1000
Amplitude Range (g)	10000	25	15
Shock Rating (g)	10000	2000	250
Temperature Range (°F)	-300 to +500	0 to +200	-45 to +185
Total Mass (g)	27	28	80

Table 2-1 Regular Performance of Accelerometers

SECTION 3 PROCEDURE DEVELOPMENT

3.1 Vibration Criteria

Different vibration criteria provide different information to people who make judgements or create the design for the facility to be constructed. Although the vibration limitations for this specific site had been chosen to be 100 micro-inches per second RMS velocity in every one-third octave, other standards were observed to keep the results from this study versatile.

Most standards found in the literature use RMS velocity in every one-third-octave frequency band. It is a convenient format for both analog and digital data acquisition systems in field measurements. The frequency domain analysis in analog systems can be accomplished by applying band-pass filters. An integration circuit can transform acceleration output to velocity. The RMS value can be read by instruments as simple as multi-meters. The record presents the measure of total power in each frequency band. A white noise, of which the power spectrum density is constant, will appear to have amplitude increasing with frequency by the one-third-octave RMS presentation.

The digital data acquisition becomes very convenient with the use of fast and portable personal computers. The FFT vibration criteria, e.g. FHA criteria, become feasible for vibration evaluations. The differences between using different criteria vary with the characteristics of the vibration, i.e. narrow band or broad band. Generally, the FHA criteria are stricter in the lower frequency range. Regardless of which criteria are used, the FFT method provides more information than the one-third octave records. The results of the FFT presentation can be easily transformed into one-third octave presentation. Although there are not many cases where these criteria have been used to date, increasing usage can be expected.

The procedure developed in this study is based on the one-third octave RMS velocity criteria, but utilizes digital sampling and the FFT algorithm.

3.2 Measurement System

3.2.1 Sensors

Sensor selection is decided primarily by the resolution (sensitivity), frequency range, and cost. Some additional factors in the specific application of this study include weight, size, and roughness. Usually, higher sensitivity is better unless the data acquisition system does not have enough dynamic range to cover both the weakest and strongest vibration. Judgement on the rest of the properties depends on the task to be fulfilled.

The seismograph is rather a permanent setup that is both expensive and lacks mobility. The force-balanced type of accelerometers is sophisticated and versatile. Although they have a relatively low maximum frequency and are heavier, their performance is adequate for most civil engineering applications. The piezoresistive accelerometer is much simpler and smaller than the force-balanced type, yet it carries similar or even better frequency range. The thermal-stability is, nonetheless, unacceptably low for field tests. The piezoelectric accelerometer has the simplest mechanical structure, which makes it the roughest accelerometer of all. The commonly used type cannot be employed for low frequency measurement due to its poor frequency response and low resolution. Recent developments, however, have overcome these problems and they are now at the same performance level as force-balanced accelerometers.

Resonance frequencies of all accelerometers are much higher than the working frequency needed for measuring the ground micro-vibration of ChipFab sites to avoid sensitivity change with frequency. The weight of the sensor can greatly influence the resonance frequency of the whole measuring system, which is often not much higher than the working range for a soil-mounted station. A lighter sensor is much better for this application.

The force-balanced accelerometer has a much higher cost than the others. It is not as accessible, unless the project has a very large budget.

The temperature in the field cannot be easily manipulated. If some of the equipment needs to be protected from temperature extremes, the originally difficult job will become even harder. Stability against temperature therefore becomes another important issue.

Considering the above comparison, along with the fact that working conditions in the field of a candidate site are usually poor, a newly developed piezoelectric accelerometer was chosen for its performance, size, roughness, and reasonable price.

3.2.2 Mountings

Many factors must be taken into account when establishing a design methodology for the mounting system. A variety of different types of soil, rocks, water content, and other environmental conditions may be encountered. With all the possible selections of shapes and configurations of the mounting systems, creating specifications for the details of the mounting would be tedious and inefficient. In this research, some of the most important principles for designing the mounting systems have been generated. A fast, simple and academically reliable method to check the feasibility of the system after it is installed has been developed.

The mounting of the sensors serves as a mechanical filter between the ground and the sensor. If a single-degree-of-freedom (SDOF) system is used to simulate this filter (a second order filter), the equation of motion can be written as:

$$m\ddot{x} + c\dot{x} + kx = c\dot{x}_{g} + kx_{g}$$
(3.1)

where:

m, c, k = the equivalent mass, damping coefficient, and stiffness of the mounting system x = the displacement with respect to a stationary reference $x_g =$ the displacement of the ground. It can be rewritten as:

$$\ddot{\mathbf{x}} + 2\xi\omega_{n}\dot{\mathbf{x}} + \omega_{n}^{2}\mathbf{x} = 2\xi\omega_{n}\dot{\mathbf{x}}_{g} + \omega_{n}^{2}\mathbf{x}_{g}$$
(3.2)

where $\xi = \frac{c}{2\sqrt{km}}$ is the damping ratio and $\omega_n = \sqrt{\frac{k}{m}}$ is the natural frequency (in rad/sec). By solving for the general solution, the complex frequency-response function can be found to be:

$$H(\omega) = \frac{A_a(\omega)}{A_g(\omega)} = \frac{2j\xi\omega_n\omega + \omega_n^2}{\omega_n^2 - \omega^2 + 2j\xi\omega_n\omega}$$
(3.3)

where:

 A_a =the complex amplitude of acceleration with respect to a stationary reference (\ddot{x}) A_g =the complex amplitude of ground acceleration (\ddot{x}_g) $j = \sqrt{-1}$ ω =the input frequency (in rad/sec)

The frequency response function of a system that has a natural frequency of 70 Hz and damping ratio of 2% is shown in figure 3-1. A_g is the amplitude of ground acceleration while A_m is the amplitude of acceleration measured by the sensor, which is the same as A_a . It illustrates that for lightly damped mounting systems, the measured acceleration is very close to the ground acceleration if the majority of the interested ground vibration components lie fairly far under the natural frequency of the system.

The common criterion on the valid frequency limit is where the drop or rise of the frequency response function is 3 dB. For a requirement of the highest measured frequency to be f (Hz), the required system natural frequency can be found by solving the equation:

$$\left|\frac{2j\xi(2\pi f_n)(2\pi f) + (2\pi f_n)^2}{(2\pi f_n)^2 - (2\pi f)^2 + 2j\xi(2\pi f_n)(2\pi f)}\right| = 10^{\frac{3}{20}}$$
(3.4)

where f_n is the natural frequency in Hz.

The solution is a relation between f_n and ξ . If the highest frequency to be measured is set to be 50 Hz, the solution can be expressed as shown in figure 3-2. The horizontal axis is natural frequency while the vertical axis is damping ratio. The dark area is the valid combination of natural frequency and damping ratio.



Figure 3-1 Typical Frequency Response Function



Figure 3-2 Valid Combinations of Natural Frequencies and Damping Ratios

From this point of view, the mounting system should be as stiff and lightweight as possible. A common option is to use a concrete block or slab a few feet in dimensions embedded in the ground. It is widely used in seismological surveillance. However, for application in early evaluation for potential construction sites, the measuring stations sometimes need to be moved to cover a large area and, eventually, removed from the site. The necessity of backfill when concrete base is not fabricated at the exact position to be measured makes the situation more complicated. Some smaller and more portable mounting sets are preferred. Another option is to use pile style mounting. This is usually a steel rod driven into the ground. The steel is not a favorable material for the job because of a higher density and smaller contact surface to the soil. However, the rod can be hammered or squeezed into the soil and therefore increase the contact stiffness. The total size and weight are small so that it is portable and has less influence on the measured object-the ground. The length of the rod needs to be long enough to establish sufficient bond with the soil but significantly shorter than the wavelength of soil to avoid cancellation of opposite motions. For example, the typical p-wave velocity for soil is about 1000~2500m/sec (Das). The s-wave is commonly 4 to 5 times slower than the pwave. For a frequency range under 100Hz, the minimum wavelength is:

$$\frac{V_s}{f_{max}} \ge \frac{1000/5}{100} = 2 \text{ (m)}$$
(3.5)

where V_s is the shear wave velocity and f_{max} is the highest frequency to be measured. The rods should not exceed 1 m in length. The topsoil sometimes can have a p-wave velocity as low as 200m/sec. This should be avoided. Setting up the mounting system on the soil layer under the topsoil can both increase the interfacial stiffness and the upper limit of the system dimensions.

The discussion above has been limited to SDOF systems. The real mounting system involves a partially flexible structure interacting with comparatively very flexible soil. The problem can be greatly simplified by making the man-made part of the mounting system as rigid as possible. Since most engineering materials have much greater elastic modulus than the soil, the man-made part is usually stiff enough provided it is not extraordinarily thin. This reduces the problem into a 6-DOF-vibration system (three translations and three rotations). For the sensory system conceptually shown in figure 3-3, eccentricity of the sensors on x-y plane is small. The rotation on x-y plane (θ_z) is not significant. Each of the other two rotations is coupled with one of the translation, i.e. θ_y with x and θ_x with y.



Figure 3-3 A Sketch of Steel-bar Mounting Systems

For one set of the translation and rotation, the equation of motion can be written as:

$$\overline{\mathbf{M}} \left\{ \begin{matrix} \ddot{\mathbf{x}} \\ \ddot{\mathbf{\theta}} \end{matrix} \right\} + \overline{\mathbf{C}} \left\{ \begin{matrix} \dot{\mathbf{x}} \\ \dot{\mathbf{\theta}} \end{matrix} \right\} + \overline{\mathbf{K}} \left\{ \begin{matrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{\theta} \end{matrix} \right\} = - \left\{ \begin{matrix} \mathbf{M} \\ \frac{(\mathbf{M} - \mathbf{m})(\mathbf{l}_1 + \mathbf{l}_2)}{2} \end{matrix} \right\} \mathbf{a}_g$$
(3.6)

where:

$$\overline{\mathbf{M}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M} & \frac{(\mathbf{M}-\mathbf{m})(\mathbf{l}_{1}+\mathbf{l}_{2})}{2} \\ \frac{(\mathbf{M}-\mathbf{m})(\mathbf{l}_{1}+\mathbf{l}_{2})}{2} & \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\overline{\mathbf{K}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{l}_{1}\mathbf{q} & \frac{\mathbf{l}_{1}+2\mathbf{l}_{2}}{2}\mathbf{l}_{1}\mathbf{q} \\ \frac{\mathbf{l}_{1}+2\mathbf{l}_{2}}{2}\mathbf{l}_{1}\mathbf{q} & (\mathbf{l}_{2}^{2}+\mathbf{l}_{1}\mathbf{l}_{2}+\frac{\mathbf{l}_{1}^{2}}{3})\mathbf{l}_{1}\mathbf{q} \end{bmatrix}$$

M = the total mass of the system M = the portion of mass concentrated at the sensory block $l_1 =$ the length of the rod submerged in the soil $l_2 =$ the length of the rod exposed over the ground $a_g =$ the ground acceleration (time history)

Since the corresponding damping force is quite small, the assumption of $\overline{C} = c_0 \overline{K}$ is reasonable, which will yield a quite small error. The particular solution is:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{\theta} \end{cases} = -\left(-\omega^2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \mathbf{j}\omega \mathbf{c}_0 \overline{\mathbf{M}}^{-1} \overline{\mathbf{K}} + \overline{\mathbf{M}}^{-1} \overline{\mathbf{K}} \right)^{-1} \overline{\mathbf{M}}^{-1} \begin{cases} \mathbf{M} \\ \frac{(\mathbf{M}-\mathbf{m})(\mathbf{l}_1+\mathbf{l}_2)}{2} \end{cases} \mathbf{A}_g \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{j}\omega \mathbf{t}}$$
(3.7)

or written in acceleration:

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{\mathbf{x}} \\ \ddot{\mathbf{\theta}} \end{cases} = -\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{c_0}{j\omega} \overline{\mathbf{M}}^{-1} \overline{\mathbf{K}} - \frac{1}{\omega^2} \overline{\mathbf{M}}^{-1} \overline{\mathbf{K}} \right)^{-1} \overline{\mathbf{M}}^{-1} \begin{cases} \mathbf{M} \\ \frac{(\mathbf{M}-\mathbf{m})(\mathbf{l}_1+\mathbf{l}_2)}{2} \end{cases} \mathbf{A}_g \mathbf{e}^{j\omega t}$$
(3.8)

where:

 A_g = the amplitude (or Fourier coefficient) of the ground acceleration

The horizontal acceleration amplitude with respect to a stationary reference is:

$$\ddot{\mathbf{X}}_{a} = \ddot{\mathbf{X}} + \mathbf{A}_{g} = \left(-\left[\mathbf{1} \quad 0 \right] \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{c_{0}}{j\omega} \overline{\mathbf{M}}^{-1} \overline{\mathbf{K}} - \frac{1}{\omega^{2}} \overline{\mathbf{M}}^{-1} \overline{\mathbf{K}} \right)^{-1} \overline{\mathbf{M}}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{\mathbf{M}}{\frac{(\mathbf{M}-\mathbf{m})(\mathbf{l}_{1}+\mathbf{l}_{2})}{2}} \right\} + 1 \right) \mathbf{A}_{g} \quad (3.9)$$
$$= \mathbf{H}(\omega, \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{l}_{1}, \mathbf{l}_{2}, \mathbf{q}) \mathbf{A}_{g}$$

where:

 \ddot{X}_{a} = the amplitude of the response acceleration with respect to a stationary reference.

If |H| has a less than 3dB variation in the frequency range, this system is considered valid. Some of variables (M, l_1 , l_2) can be roughly measured while others cannot be obtained directly.

Since the natural frequency and damping ratio of the system can be easily obtained by a simple hammer-test, they can be set as controlled variables. If ϕ is the modal shape matrix of the system (real valued and weighted orthogonal because of proportional damping) and define $\begin{cases} x \\ \theta \end{cases} = \phi Y$, the equation of motion becomes:

$$\phi^{\mathrm{T}}\overline{\mathbf{M}}\phi\ddot{\mathbf{Y}} + \phi^{\mathrm{T}}\overline{\mathbf{C}}\phi\dot{\mathbf{Y}} + \phi^{\mathrm{T}}\overline{\mathbf{K}}\phi\mathbf{Y} = -\phi^{\mathrm{T}}\left\{\frac{\mathbf{M}}{\frac{(\mathbf{M}-\mathbf{m})(\mathbf{l}_{1}+\mathbf{l}_{2})}{2}}\right\}\mathbf{a}_{g}$$
(3.10)

where all matrices become diagonal. It can be easily derived that the natural frequencies are the square roots of eigenvalues for $\overline{M}^{-1}\overline{K}$ and the damping ratio of the ith mode is $\frac{c_0\omega_i}{2}$ (ω_i is the ith natural frequency). By solving the eignvalues of $\overline{M}^{-1}\overline{K}$ and forcing them to be equal to ω_i^2 , the contact stiffness q can be presented as a function of ω and m. The result can be examined by substituting q back into the frequency response function H. Two results with same natural frequency (60Hz), same damping ratio (1%), and different length exposed over ground (l₂) are shown in figure 3-4. It can be seen that although a higher l₁ to l₂ ratio makes less impact on the frequency response function, it does not matter as much when a considerable amount of mass is concentrated on top of

the system. This demonstrates that the only important factors of the system are natural frequency and damping ratio for the 1st mode providing that the majority of the mounting rod is submerged in soil and the sensor mounting block is heavier than the rest of the system. It is evident that none of the variables except for the natural frequency and damping ratio need to be measured accurately under the prescribed condition.

The principles of the mounting system configuration can be concluded as follows:

- 1. Total weight should be as small as possible.
- 2. Larger surface area of the embedded part is preferred.
- 3. The dimensions of the embedded part should be significantly smaller than the minimum wavelength of the soil corresponding to the maximum frequency to be measured.
- 4. The majority of the system weight should be concentrated on the top, where the sensors are located (e.g. 50%).
- 5. Validity of the system should be checked by hammer tests, which provide the first natural frequency and damping ratio.
- 6. Natural frequency lower than the maximum frequency to be measured is not acceptable because the ground motion may be underestimated.
- 7. The legitimate combination of the natural frequency and damping ratio is governed by equation 3-4.





3.2.3 Noise Insulation

When high sensitivity transducers are used to measure the micro-vibration, even talking can be a serious noise source. The sensors should be protected from the interference from other environmental events such as wind, rain, snow, and animal activities.

To prevent electromagnetic interference, the entire system must be well shielded. The sensors and the data acquisition systems are usually well shielded as shipped. The cable that connects these two systems must be carefully selected to ensure signal protection.

3.3 Data Acquisition System

Digital data acquisition allows easy data processing at a low cost. With proper filtering, the FFT algorithm can directly derive the one-third-octave RMS presentation. It can even account for the design of a structure without further processing.

Proper analog filtering is, on most occasions, needed to ensure that no frequency interference occurs. According to the Nyquist sampling theory, the highest frequency component that can be properly identified is half of the sampling frequency. A low-pass filter that has a cut-off frequency lower than one half of the sampling frequency can satisfy this requirement. If it is found that the mechanical filter such as that of the sensory system or that of the measured object itself can satisfy the condition, the electronic lowpass filter can be ignored. A high-pass filter is optional. It can reduce the data offset given by some sensors.

Dynamic range of an analog to digital (A/D) converter is specified by the number of bits assigned to each data point. The new products on the market almost all use over 16 bits for a data point. 16 bit acquisition cuts the full range into 65536 levels to provide 96 dB digital dynamic range. It should be noted that some existing systems have only 72 dB dynamic range (12 bits) and are not suitable for field measurements of micro-vibration.

There are some concerns about the attenuation of weak signals and noise buildup along the long cables since the distance considered in the field is thousands of feet. To increase the signal-noise ratio, the charge or voltage amplifier needs to be as close as possible to the sensors. The sensors with built-in amplifying circuits are preferable.

Noise accumulation along the signal cables can be reduced by using differential signal connections where none of the signal wire is directly grounded at any point. With this connection, the interference to the signal in cables applies uniformly to both wires. They will be cancelled when subtracting one from the other in the A/D converter.

Another important advantage of the differential connection is to eliminate the different potential of the ground in multiple locations. Many sensors are locally grounded through the shell by assuming no difference between the electric potential at the local grounding and the grounding used by the data acquisition system. This is often correct in the laboratory since the distance is small and every circuit shares the same grounding with the foundation of the structure. In the field, this may not be true. The potential difference at multiple sites can induce significant error or even damage equipment. Therefore, the sensors insulated from the shells were chosen. Otherwise, additional insulation between sensors and mounting systems is required. ·

SECTION 4 APPLICATION TO A CANDIDATE SITE

To ensure valid data collection and follow-up analysis, the measuring system must be set up correctly. The system includes a sensory system with suitable sensors, and data acquisition and recording systems. The sensors must be correctly installed with precise calibrations and be suitably distributed. These issues are critical for obtaining accurate measurements and are discussed in the following subsections.

4.1 Sensory System

The study is concerned with measuring micro-vibrations throughout an approximately 200-acre site. Usually, for high precision measurement, a special type of accelerometer, called a force-balanced accelerometer, is used for its high sensitivity, high measurement resolution and low frequency range. After some intensified national searching, it was decided to use a newly developed piezoelectric type accelerometer, 393 B31 ICP (PCB). This sensor was tested before formal data acquisition and was proven to be a suitable choice for the proposed measurement. This new sensor is more economical than other state-of-the-art force-balanced sensors.

The specifications of this sensor which relate to measuring micro-vibrations are as follows:

Resolution:	1 µg
Sensitivity:	10V/g
Frequency Range:	0.05-200 Hz
Non-linearity:	<1%

A power unit, 480 E09 ICP (PCB) was used with the accelerometer (sensor), which can provide x10 amplification of the signals, necessary to increase the measurement sensitivity. The output of the signal was taken as floating ground differential output. The power supply was run with batteries.

Calibration data of the sensor and the measuring system with 1000 ft cables are provided in figures 4-1 and 4-2. These are necessary to ensure the accuracy of the long distance measurements.

4.2 Data Acquisition and Recording

Usually, two types of signal recording are used, an analog tape recorder and a digital computer. Generally, tape recorders offer long recording times and are simple to operate. However, their dynamic range cannot exceed 50 dB and therefore the recording accuracy is low. Another disadvantage of the analog recorder is that it is not convenient for field measurements. Digital technology has a much higher dynamic range. It is operable for insitu testing, and allows the signals to be analyzed in real-time, so that the operator can



Figure 4-1 Calibration Certificate for the Sensor



Figure 4-2 Calibration of the Measurement System with 1,000 ft. Cables

immediately reject abnormal phenomena. However, because of small memory space, the recording time is limited.

The major purpose of this project was to measure micro-vibrations, so high accuracy signal pick up was required and therefore, digital recording was used for the field tests. The data acquisition equipment included Pentium II personal computers with AT-MIO-16 XE-10 A/D boards (National Instruments), which provide a 16 bit A/D converter with a dynamic range of 96 dB. If the pre-programmable amplifier is activated, the corresponding dynamic range can be as high as 136 dB. In fact, the length of the recording digits or the dynamic range of the A/D board is critical in high precision measurements, to reduce quantification errors.

Software control of the A/D board is based on Virtual Bench (National Instruments), which can automatically activate the programmable amplifier. It allows convenient monitoring of the signal pickup and performs necessary mathematical calculations. The software can quickly write to the hard drive, which is a critical factor for obtaining transient signals due to mine explosions and passing trains. The input to the A/D board is differential with floating ground. Although the number of input channels is just one-half of the single-end manner, the signal-to-noise ratio can be greatly increased, which is suitable for obtaining ground measurements with long distance cabling. In this way, the measurement noises induced by the voltage differences of the grounding between the measurement locations and the computers can be greatly reduced.



The measurement system is conceptually shown in figure 4-3.

Figure 4-3 Field Measurement Setup

4.3 Sensor Installation

The installation of sensors is one of the most important steps in the whole process. The challenge is determining how to accurately detect the signal from the ground through the mounting fixture within the proper frequency range. According to Klein, et al., 1995, the frequency resolution for analyzing signals is between 3.15 Hz and 56.12 Hz. The lowest natural frequency of the fixture must be at least $56.12 + 1/3 \times 56.12 = 74.8$ Hz with about 20% damping. The detail of justification on validity of the system is derived in Section 3.

The fixture used in this project and its dimensions are shown in figure 4-4. It can be seen that the sensors are arranged to have two perpendicular horizontal directions and one vertical direction measurement, to measure the 3D ground motions. About 60% of the system weight was concentrated at the top, which extended 2 inches above the ground surface.

The installation is conceptually shown in figure 4-5. Grass and soft soils are first removed until hard soil appears. This ensures a solid setting for the fixture. The ground hole is dug to fit inner and outer acoustic boxes to isolate the influence of ambient noise. The acoustic box is necessary to increase the measurement signal-to-noise ratio.

In-field calibration was carried out to fit the measurement standard. A piezoelectric modally tuned force hammer was used to measure the input force. The resulting frequency response functions were averaged to reduce noise level. A least-square estimation was used to find the natural frequency and damping ratio.

Since the required measurement sensitivity is very high, sufficient noise reduction must be provided to reduce its level. Figure 4-5 shows the sensor and its fixture, and figures 4-6 through 4-11 show the layout of acoustic boxes, and a step-by-step view of their assembly. The acoustic box can both reduce the interference from sound and protect the sensory system from direct impact of other environmental activities such as wind and curious animals.

The power units were placed close to the sensors for signal amplifications and power supplies. The amplified signals were transferred through coaxial low-noise cables.

4.4 Distribution of the Measurement Locations

The proposed land for measurement was not well developed. The site had many bushes, deep grass and trees, which made the installation of sensors and cabling quite difficult. The time window to obtain the measurements was small due to the limited number of mine explorations.

The measurement that was carried out was limited to two sections of the site, divided by North America Drive. In this way, the measurements can roughly represent the mean value of the ground vibrations of the two sections. In each section, three locations were placed. The middle location was set to be at least 200 feet away from the measurement







Figure 4-5 Installation of the Mounting System


Figure 4-6 Glass Fiber Filling in the Inner Sound Box



Figure 4-7 Inner and Outer Sound Boxes



Figure 4-8 Mounting Base of the Sensors



Figure 4-9 Sensor Setup



Figure 4-10 Placing Inner Sound Box



Figure 4-11 Placing Outer Sound Box

station. Since the measurement crews and electricity generator were operating, they could affect the ground signals.

At each location, three direction signals were arranged to have east-west, north-south and vertical sensors. The three locations roughly formed a measurement line. Each measurement line was roughly perpendicular to the aforementioned railway and Route 400. The arrangement was prepared to measure the influence of the vibration sources from the train and high traffic volumes. The detailed location and relative coordinates are shown in figure 4-1, where the relative coordinate was determined according to a meter using Global Positioning Systems as well as in-field measurement geographically. The coordinates, however, are not exactly accurate for the rough ambient conditions.

The measurement was first carried out in the west section. Upon finishing the first line, the measurement in the east second was performed. In this manner, signals with nine channels were picked up simultaneously in each line. Two sets of data were acquired simultaneously with two identical sets of computers and A/D boards in order to ensure that the necessary data were obtained for this study within a limited time period, from November 3 to 25, 1998.

SECTION 5 MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE

5.1 Environmental Conditions and Other Constraints

This study was conducted over a limited time period and with a minimum amount of personnel. It was not possible to complete the measurements in many locations of the site, or to consider all the probable influencing factors (i.e., the speed of trains and autos, the exact number of cars on each train, loading of trains and trucks, explosive quantity, local temperature difference and wind velocity). Therefore, the measured results contain a collection of many possible vibration sources. The results, however, did include four conditions, specified by the sponsors, which were:

- a. The condition of trains passing by with normal speed, normal length of the train and normal loading.
- b. The condition of regular mine exploration.
- c. Daily highway traffic with heavy volume (both cars and truckers).
- d. Nightly highway traffic with light volume.

5.2 Data Acquisition and Sampling Rate

The sampling rate for both data acquisition systems was chosen to be 2,000 Hz with standard anti-aliasing treatment. In this way, the follow up FFT-analysis can have up to 1,000 Hz bandwidth. In fact, since the output of the accelerometer does not show significant peaks after 150 Hz, the selected sampling rate has already satisfied the Nyquist sampling theory, no aliasing can be found even without low-pass filters.

The sampling length was about 10 second for each record. In order to obtain sufficient records for follow up averaging, for each event, the recording contained at least five continuous pieces.

SECTION 6 MATHEMATICAL BACKGROUND

According to the ISO standard, in order to evaluate the effects of ambient (site, building, etc.) vibration with high-precision equipment, the root-mean square velocity with one-third-octave band must be used. The acceleration signal is therefore first measured and then the velocity is calculated correspondingly. It is noted that conventional signal processing for such a measurement is often done through analog instruments. Although the ISO standard is intended for the analog instruments, such as the one-third-octave along filters, RMS meters, etc., it is best to use the digital computer to replace the traditional measurement. However, the validity of the digital signal treatment must be justified and several formulae of the numerical treatment must be proven. In the following, such a treatment is briefly discussed as the mathematical background for the proposed signal analyses.

6.1 Transformation from Acceleration to Velocity

Since the required value is velocity and the measured signal is acceleration, it is necessary to transform the measured data to velocity. This can be done in both the time domain and the frequency domain. The latter, however, will yield better results because of dealing with integration constant. The following transformation is well known:

Let $x_a(t)$ and $x_v(t)$ denote the acceleration and the velocity signals respectively. After the FFT, the relation in the frequency domain can be written as

 $X_a(f) = j\omega X_v(f)$ $X_v(f) = 1/j\omega X_a(f)$

where the $X_a(f)$ and $X_v(f)$ stand for signals expressed in the frequency domain. The above equations are used to transfer the acceleration into the velocity.

In the time domain, they become

$$x_{a}(t) = IFFT[X_{a}(f)]$$
$$x_{v}(t) = IFFT[X_{v}(f)]$$

where the $x_a(t)$ and $x_v(t)$ stand for signals expressed in the time domain. The computerized Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) and the Inverse Fast Fourier Transform (IFFT) are easy to perform with little computational burden. Therefore, the entire data processing and analyses are based on the spectrum analyses described by the above equations.

6.2 Relationship between the Frequency Spectra and RMS Value

The next step is to calculate the RMS valued velocity from the spectra directly obtained from the FFT operation on the measured data. The formulae derived in the following are not used in the literature. However, they are easy to use under the above mentioned spectrum analyses. The deduction of these formulae is presented in the following.

In the operation of discrete Fourier Transformation, the following formulae are true:

Forward transformation:
$$X_{k} = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x_{n} e^{-j2\pi \frac{nk}{N}}$$
 (k = 0, 1, 2, ... N-1) (6.1)

Inverse transformation:
$$x_n = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} X_k e^{j2\pi \frac{nk}{N}}$$
 (n = 0, 1, 2, ... N-1) (6.2)

where N is the total number of measurement points. k and n stand for specific points. Note that, X_k is symmetric in terms of the following relationship

$$X_{k} = X_{N-k}^{*}$$
(6.3)

where the super script * stands for the complex conjugate.

In equation (6.2), the term $e^{j2\pi\frac{nk}{N}}$ has certain properties, which shall be used to evaluate the summations and to derive the applicable formula for the calculation of the RMS values in the one-third-octave band.

First let
$$E_n = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} e^{j2\pi \frac{nk}{N}}$$
 (n = 0, 1, 2, ... 2N-2) (6.4)

Here, n can be treated as a variable. When n = 0, apparently one can have

$$E_0 = N \tag{6.5}$$

Furthermore, when n = N, one can also have

$$E_{n} = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} e^{j2\pi k}$$
(6.6)

Except the above two cases, n will fall between 0 to 2N-1. In such a circumstance, n will not be dividable integrally. Therefore, the value of E_n should be evaluated separately. Note that, E_n is nothing but integration of sine and/or cosine functions over an integral period. Therefore, the following is true:

$$E_n = 0 (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 2N-2, n N)$$
 (6.7)

The root mean square value of a function in the time domain is defined as follows:

$$R = \sqrt{\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} x^{2}(t) dt}$$

In the discrete time domain, the above relationship becomes:

$$R = \sqrt{\frac{1}{T} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x_n^2(t) \Delta t} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x_n^2(t)}$$
(6.8)

Substitution of (6.2) into (6.8) yields

$$R = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} X_k e^{j2\pi \frac{nk}{N}} \right)^2} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N^3} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} X_k X_m e^{j2\pi \frac{n(m+k)}{N}}}$$

then,

$$R = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N^3} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} X_k X_m \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} e^{j 2\pi \frac{n(m+k)}{N}}}$$
(6.9)

Using the notation

$$S = \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} e^{j2\pi \frac{n(m+k)}{N}}$$
(6.10)

one can arrive at the expression of the value of R in three different cases. It is seen that,

a) When m = k = 0, from equation (6.5), one can have

$$S = N, X_0 X_0 = |X_0|^2,$$

b) When m = k = N, that is m = N- k, from combination of equations (6.6) and (6.3), one has

$$S = N, X_k X_{n-k} = |X_k|^2$$

Note that, X_k is real-valued here. Finally,

c) When m = k = 1, 2, ... N-1, N = 1, ... 2N-2, one can find from equation (6.7),

$$S = 0.$$

Using the complete set of results described in a), b) and c), we have

$$R = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} |X_k|^2} = \sqrt{\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (\frac{1}{N} X_k)^2}$$

Furthermore, one can have

$$R \approx \sqrt{2\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{N}{2}-1} \left(\frac{1}{N} X_{k}\right)^{2}}$$
(6.11)

where it is provided that

$$f_s \ge 2 f_{max}$$

Here f_s is the sampling frequency and f_{max} is maximum frequency component contained in the signal x(t). Note that

$$\Delta f k = \frac{1}{T} k$$

therefore, one can have the corresponding relationship between k = 0 up to N/2 -1 and that f = 0 up to 1/2 f_s .

6.3 The RMS Value in the One-third-Octave Band

With the above derivation, the RMS valued velocity in the one-third-octave band can be further discovered. On the axis of frequency, denote the lower frequency to be f_1 whereas the upper frequency to be f_2 . If one has

$$f_2 = 2 f_1$$

then the center frequency, denoted by f_0 becomes

$$f_0 = (f_1 f_2)^{1/2} = 2^{1/2} f_1 = 2^{-1/2} f_2$$
(6.12)

Equation (6.12) stands for the full octave band.

For the one-third-octave band, the above equation should be rewritten as

$$\mathbf{f}_0 = (\mathbf{f}_1 \ \mathbf{f}_2)^{1/6} = 2^{1/6} \ \mathbf{f}_1 = 2^{-1/6} \ \mathbf{f}_2 \tag{6.13}$$

In such a frequency bandwidth, one can have the RMS valued signal with the rest of the frequency component outside the band equal to zero. This is equivalent to using a band pass filter to remove the frequency component outside the band between f_1 and f_2 and calculate the RMS of the remaining signal. In this case, one can use equation (6.11) to

directly obtain the summation of the signal within a proper frequency band. According to the ISO standard, the central frequency and the lower and upper frequency should be taken as that shown in table 6-1.

Using table 6-1, one can have standard frequencies in terms of one-third-octave band. Then, the RMS value can be calculated by using formula (6.11).

f ₀	\mathbf{f}_1	f ₂		
3.15	2.80	3.54		
4.00	3.54	4.49		
5.00	4.49	5.61		
6.30	5.61	7.07		
8.00	7.07	8.98		
10.0	8.98	11.22		
12.5	11.22	14.03		
16.0	14.03	17.96		
20.0	17.96	22.45		
25.0	22.45	28.06		
31.5	28.06	35.36		
40.0	35.36	44.90		
50.	44.90	56.12		

Table 6-1 Frequencies in One-third-Octave Band

.

· · ·

. .

SECTION 7 RESULTS AND ANALYSES

7.1 Calibration

Hammer tests were carried out on both sites. The natural frequency ranges from 78 Hz to 88 Hz with damping ratios of 1.8% to 3.5%. This is near the edge of the proper frequency-damping ratio combinations. The frequency meets the Klein criterion but the damping ratio is lower. If the ground vibration measured later is higher but close to the criteria, appropriate modifications according to the excessive amplification must be performed. If the result is equal to or lower than the criteria, there is no need for any modifications since the ground vibration has been exaggerated and therefore, the process is conservative.

7.2 Ground Vibration Measurement

Based on the formulae discussed in Section 6, the signals detected during the measurement were presented and the RMS values of velocity in the 1/3 octave band were obtained as given in Appendix A.

The first measurement section (west section) is referred to as site one (see figure 1-1). This measurement was carried out from December 3 to 8, 1998. At site one, the only case of explosion was not well measured (the level of the explosion was too small to give significant values). This case is not listed in the appendix.

The second measurement section is referred to as site two (also see figure 1-1).

7.2.1 Site One

From table 7-1, the maximum RMS values at the middle and north locations were comparatively small. The signals in the three measurement directions were all less than 60 micro-inches per second. The signal in the north location was not greater than 30 micro-inches per second. Both were considered to be sufficiently small.

However, the south location was only 400 ft away from the railroad and the Route 400 expressway, and the ground vibrations were affected by the heavy traffic. By comparing the signals measured during the day with those measured at night, it can be determined that the RMS value in the E-W direction increased slightly. However, the maximum value of the signal in the N-S direction was almost double. The signal in the vertical direction also increased about 30%.

This implies that the traffic signals during the day and at night are quite different at the south location.

		Location S				Location M				Location N	
		E-W	S-N	V	E-W	S-N	V	E-W	S-N	V	
Site I	Night 10:40 pm 11/20/98	95.04 Hz	40.1 4Hz	31.84 Hz	16.4 4Hz	17.54 Hz	23.9 4Hz	14.74 Hz	12.6 4Hz	4.38 Hz	
Site I	Train 10:50 pm 11/20/98	298.4 25Hz	171.4 25Hz	113.7 16Hz	54.0 10Hz	31.9 16Hz	23.1 4Hz	28.2 6.3Hz	24.2 8Hz	16.4 10Hz	
Site I	Day 2:33 pm 11/21/98	98.84 Hz	78.4 4Hz	41.1 4Hz	52.9 3.15Hz	46.73 15Hz	48.1 3.15Hz	17.52 0Hz	20.6 50Hz	12.52 0Hz	
Site II	Night 1:20 am 11/23/98	41.26 .3Hz	15.6 20Hz	11.42 0Hz	28.8 3.15Hz	11.82 0Hz	5.5 5Hz	9.502 0Hz	8.41 50Hz	5.5 20Hz	
Site II	Train 2:41 am 11/23/98	121.1 5Hz	45.5 8Hz	33.41 2.5Hz	40.9 3.15Hz	16.5 20Hz	16.4 20Hz	10.18 Hz	14.4 8Hz	6.7 20Hz	
Site II	Day 4:00 pm 11/23/98	47.92 0Hz	70.3 20Hz	35.12 0Hz	50.6 3.15Hz	50.63 15Hz	26.4 3.15Hz	16.8 20Hz	11.1 3.15Hz	12.2 20Hz	
Site II	Train 5:40 pm 11/23/98	92.88 Hz	82.1 8Hz	62.11 2.5Hz	38.7 3.15Hz	23.78 Hz	18.7 20Hz	13.62 0Hz	23.8 8Hz	10.6 20Hz	
Site II	Train 11:11 pm 11/23/98	104.5 10Hz	93.9 10Hz	78.31 2.5Hz	39.3 3.15Hz	32.61 0Hz	14.5 3.15Hz	25.31 0Hz	34.9 10Hz	10.81 2.5Hz	
Site II	Day 11:50 pm 11/24/98	71.7 16Hz	108.8 16Hz	69.51 6Hz	62.3 3.15Hz	49.84 Hz	36.5 4Hz	32.41 6Hz	11.51 0Hz	16.93 15Hz	
Site II	Blast 1 1:58 am 11/24/98	78.82 0Hz	119.1 20Hz	76.41 6Hz	69.1 3.15Hz	53.44 Hz	37.1 4Hz	35.71 6Hz	17.1 10Hz	17.43. 15Hz	

Table 7-1 Maximum RMS Value of Velocity in one-third-Octave Band at Different Locations

(In table 7-1, data in each of the first rows indicate RMS velocity in micro-inches/sec. The second row indicates the center frequency where maximum value of velocity occurs)

٠

•

The trains made the signals increase by factors of 214%, 327% and 258%, in the E-W, N-S and vertical directions, respectively.

7.2.2 Site Two

Similar to the cases in site one, at the middle and northern locations, the measured RMS values were all quite small. The maximum value does not exceed 70 micro-inches per second. However, the southern location detected considerably larger signals, especially those generated by trains. The measurement in the E-W direction shows the RMS values were about 100 micro-inches per second. The averaging value was about 106.1 micro-inches per second. Compared to the night measurement without any trains, the increase was about 58%. It was noted that different measurements exhibited different values, which were affected by the length, speed and loading of the trains.

It was noted that, since the southern location in site two was further away from the railway and the expressway than in site one, the average values were all smaller than those measured at site one. It further implies the capability of attenuation in vibration level by the soil.

From table 7-1, it was also seen that the magnification of the vibration level by the mine explosion was relatively small. It was seen that the maximum magnification occurred at the northern location, in the E-W directions. About a 48.7% enlargement can be seen clearly. However, since the absolute value of the explosion was quite small, it was concluded that the explosion does not have a notable effect at that location.

The explosion magnified the measurement at the middle and the southern locations, also. About a 10.9% and 9.5-9.9% increase in vibration levels in the E-W direction was found, which was considerably smaller than the case at the northern location. It was further concluded that the explosion had little effect on ground vibration at any of the locations within the entire site though only two measurement lines were used.

In table 7-2, the maximum value of velocity of the worst case in each location is listed. At the southern location, the recorded data shows the maximum values were greater than 120 micro-inches per second. At the middle location, the highest value was close to 70 micro-inches per second. At the northern location, the highest value was about 36 microinches per second.

Briefly speaking, the trains and the traffic on the expressway were relatively significant. Notable magnification was found within the section south of the New York Electric & Gas Transmission Lines, where the ground motions with the RMS value of velocity exceed 100 micro-inches per second.

About 200 feet north of the line, regardless of the vibration source, the RMS value was smaller than 70 micro-inches per second. According to information provided by the Town of West Seneca, the major work areas of the proposed Phase I ChipFab sites are all located 600 feet north of the line. In addition, the southern portion of the proposed

ChipFab site is planned to be used for an Energy Center, Utility Service Yard, etc. Therefore, the vibration level at this site should be able to satisfy the basic requirements for the ChipFab facility.

		Location S			Location M			Location N		
		E-W	S-N	V	E-W	S-N	V	E-W	S-N	V
Site I	Train 10:50 pm 11/20/98	298.4 25Hz	171.4 25Hz	113.7 16Hz	54.0 10Hz	31.9 16Hz	23.1 4Hz	28.2 6.3Hz	24.2 8Hz	16.4 10Hz
Site II	Train 2:41 am 11/23/98	121.1 5Hz	45.5 8Hz	33.41 2.5Hz	54.0 10Hz	31.9 16Hz	23.1 4Hz	28.2 6.3Hz	24.2 8Hz	16.4 10Hz
Site II	Blast 11:58 am 11/24/98	78.82 0Hz	119.1 20Hz	76.41 6Hz	69.1 3.15Hz	53.44 Hz	37.1 4Hz	35.71 6Hz	17.1 10Hz	17.43 15Hz

Table 7-2 Maximum Values at Each Location

(In table 7-2, data in each of the first row indicates RMS velocity in micro-inches/sec. The second row indicates the center frequency where maximum value of velocity occurs)

SECTION 8 CONCLUSIONS

This study was concerned with the ground vibration level of the proposed ChipFab site within the North America Center in West Seneca, New York due to trains, expressway traffic (Route 400) and nearby mining operations. The following conclusions were obtained:

- 1. MCEER has developed a complete measurement procedure, including necessary equipment and computer software, for systematic measurement of ground micro-vibration.
- 2. Under the measurement conditions described in this context, it was found that at the proposed location for the ChipFab facility within the North America Center (200 feet north of the NY Electric and Gas transmission lines), the root mean square values of velocity in one-third-octave frequency band are all less than 70 micro-inches per second.
- 3. The southern portion of the North America Center, however, has vibration levels larger than 100 micro-inches per second, when trains pass by.
- 4. The selection of low cost accelerometers with adequate low frequency, high sensitivity and high resolutions was a major factor in obtaining accurate measurements of the ground for the micro-vibrations.
- 5. Calibration of the installation fixtures was one of the critical steps in obtaining accurate measurements. It was found that the natural frequency of the fixture used in the measurement was higher than 74 Hz, which was suitable for detecting signals from the proposed ground motion.
- 6. Using acoustic isolation boxes was another critical step in obtaining the high signal-to-noise ratio in the measurement with high sensitivity sensors.

·

SECTION 9 REFERENCES

Ammann, W., Deischl, J., Eisenmann, J. and Lande, G., 1995, Chapter 4 "Vibration Induced by Traffic and Construction Activity," *Vibration Problems In Structures Practical Guidelines*, Birkhauser Verlag, Basel. Boston. Berlin.

Bouche, R. R., 1974, "Vibration Measurements," Chapter 9, pp. 68-74, Considine, D. M., *Process Instruments and Controls Handbook*, 2nd Edition, The McGraw-Hill Book Company.

Brochet, A., 1991, "Site Selection and Design of a Low-vibration Building for Submicron Technology Applications," pp. 148-166, *Proc. of Vibration Control in Microelectronics, Optics, and Metrology*, 4-6 Nov., San Jose, California, Sponsored and Published by SPIE.

Crouse, C. B., Liang, G. C. and Martin, G. R., 1984, "Amplification of Earthquake Motions Recorded at an Accelerograph Station," pp. 55-62, *Proc. of Eighth World Conference on Earthquake Engineering*, 21-28 Jul., San Francisco, California, Vol. 2, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey.

Das, B. M., 1984, *Principles of Foundation Engineering*, Brooks/Cole Engineering Division, Monterey, California.

DeSilva, C. W., 1983, Dynamic Testing and Seismic Qualification Practice, Lexington Books.

Dowding, C. H., 1996, Construction Vibrations, Prentice Hall.

Gordon, C. G., 1987, "The Design for Low-vibration Buildings for Microelectronics and other Occupancies," pp. 2-10, *Proceedings of Vibration Control in Optics and Metrology*, 25-26 Feb., London, England, Sponsored and Published by SPIE.

Gordon, C. G., 1991, "Generic Criteria for Vibration-sensitive Equipment," pp. 71-85, *Proceedings of Vibration Control in Microelectronics, Optics, and Metrology*, 4-6 Nov., San Jose, California, Sponsored and Published by SPIE.

Hassett, Kevin J., 1991, "Micro-vibration Monitoring: Some Recent Experiences in an Operation Microelectronics Facility," pp. 315-324, *Proceedings of Vibration Control in Microelectronics, Optics, and Metrology*, 4-6 Nov., San Jose, California, Sponsored and Published by SPIE.

International Standard Organization, 1981, "Guide to the Evaluation of Human Exposure to Vibration and Shock in Buildings (1Hz to 80Hz)," Draft Proposal ISO 2631/DAD1.

Jendrzejczyk, J. A. and Wambsganss, M. W., 1991, "Vibration Considerations in the Design of the Advanced Photon Source at Argonne National Laboratory," pp. 115-126, *Proc. of Vibration Control in Microelectronics, Optics, and Metrology*, 4-6 Nov., San Jose, California, Sponsored and Published by SPIE.

Klein, G. and Rainer, J.H., 1995, Chapter 2.4 "Ground-transmitted Vibrations," Vibration Problems In Structures Practical Guidelines, Birkhauser Verlag, Basel. Boston. Berlin.

Novak, M., 1985, "Experiments with Shallow and Deep Foundations," pp. 1-26, Gazetas, G., Selig, E. T., *Vibration Problems in Geotechnical Engineering*, ASCE, New York, New York.

Nugent, R. E. and Amick, H., 1991, "Protecting Vibration Environments with Zoning and Land-use Ordinances," pp. 86-90, *Proceedings of Vibration Control in Microelectronics, Optics, and Metrology*, 4-6 Nov., San Jose, California, Sponsored and Published by SPIE.

O'Sullivan, J. J., 1991, "Monitoring Vibrations in Microelectronics Facilities", pp. 308-314 *Proceedings of Vibration Control in Microelectronics, Optics, and Metrology*, 4-6 Nov., San Jose, California, Sponsored and Published by SPIE.

Owen, N. and Hale, R., 1991, "Factors in the Design and Selection of Vibration-sensitive Equipment," pp. 56-70, *Proceedings of Vibration Control in Microelectronics, Optics, and Metrology*, 4-6 Nov., San Jose, California, Sponsored and Published by SPIE.

APPENDIX A SELECTED SIGNALS

.

.

Site 1: Selected Signals with Train	43
Site 2: Selected Signals with Train	61
Site 2: Selected Signals with Blast	79
Site 2: Selected Signals - Quiet	97



Site 1: Selected Signals with Train

Preceding Page Blank




































Site 2: Selected Signals with Train





































Site 2: Selected Signals with Blast




































Site 2: Selected Signals - Quiet























Multidisciplinary Center for Earthquake Engineering Research List of Technical Reports

The Multidisciplinary Center for Earthquake Engineering Research (MCEER) publishes technical reports on a variety of subjects related to earthquake engineering written by authors funded through MCEER. These reports are available from both MCEER Publications and the National Technical Information Service (NTIS). Requests for reports should be directed to MCEER Publications, Multidisciplinary Center for Earthquake Engineering Research, State University of New York at Buffalo, Red Jacket Quadrangle, Buffalo, New York 14261. Reports can also be requested through NTIS, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, Virginia 22161. NTIS accession numbers are shown in parenthesis, if available.

- NCEER-87-0001 "First-Year Program in Research, Education and Technology Transfer," 3/5/87, (PB88-134275, A04, MF-A01). NCEER-87-0002 "Experimental Evaluation of Instantaneous Optimal Algorithms for Structural Control," by R.C. Lin, T.T. Soong and A.M. Reinhorn, 4/20/87, (PB88-134341, A04, MF-A01). NCEER-87-0003 "Experimentation Using the Earthquake Simulation Facilities at University at Buffalo," by A.M. Reinhorn and R.L. Ketter, to be published. NCEER-87-0004 "The System Characteristics and Performance of a Shaking Table," by J.S. Hwang, K.C. Chang and G.C. Lee, 6/1/87, (PB88-134259, A03, MF-A01). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above). NCEER-87-0005 "A Finite Element Formulation for Nonlinear Viscoplastic Material Using a Q Model," by O. Gyebi and G. Dasgupta, 11/2/87, (PB88-213764, A08, MF-A01). "Symbolic Manipulation Program (SMP) - Algebraic Codes for Two and Three Dimensional Finite Element NCEER-87-0006 Formulations," by X. Lee and G. Dasgupta, 11/9/87, (PB88-218522, A05, MF-A01). NCEER-87-0007 "Instantaneous Optimal Control Laws for Tall Buildings Under Seismic Excitations," by J.N. Yang, A. Akbarpour and P. Ghaemmaghami, 6/10/87, (PB88-134333, A06, MF-A01). This report is only available through NTIS (see address given above). NCEER-87-0008 "IDARC: Inelastic Damage Analysis of Reinforced Concrete Frame - Shear-Wall Structures," by Y.J. Park, A.M. Reinhorn and S.K. Kunnath, 7/20/87, (PB88-134325, A09, MF-A01). This report is only available through NTIS (see address given above). NCEER-87-0009 "Liquefaction Potential for New York State: A Preliminary Report on Sites in Manhattan and Buffalo," by M. Budhu, V. Vijayakumar, R.F. Giese and L. Baumgras, 8/31/87, (PB88-163704, A03, MF-A01). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above). NCEER-87-0010 "Vertical and Torsional Vibration of Foundations in Inhomogeneous Media," by A.S. Veletsos and K.W. Dotson, 6/1/87, (PB88-134291, A03, MF-A01). This report is only available through NTIS (see address given above). "Seismic Probabilistic Risk Assessment and Seismic Margins Studies for Nuclear Power Plants," by NCEER-87-0011 Howard H.M. Hwang, 6/15/87, (PB88-134267, A03, MF-A01). This report is only available through NTIS (see address given above). "Parametric Studies of Frequency Response of Secondary Systems Under Ground-Acceleration Excitations," NCEER-87-0012 by Y. Yong and Y.K. Lin, 6/10/87, (PB88-134309, A03, MF-A01). This report is only available through
- NCEER-87-0013 "Frequency Response of Secondary Systems Under Seismic Excitation," by J.A. HoLung, J. Cai and Y.K. Lin, 7/31/87, (PB88-134317, A05, MF-A01). This report is only available through NTIS (see address given above).

NTIS (see address given above).

- NCEER-87-0014 "Modelling Earthquake Ground Motions in Seismically Active Regions Using Parametric Time Series Methods," by G.W. Ellis and A.S. Cakmak, 8/25/87, (PB88-134283, A08, MF-A01). This report is only available through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-87-0015 "Detection and Assessment of Seismic Structural Damage," by E. DiPasquale and A.S. Cakmak, 8/25/87, (PB88-163712, A05, MF-A01). This report is only available through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-87-0016 "Pipeline Experiment at Parkfield, California," by J. Isenberg and E. Richardson, 9/15/87, (PB88-163720, A03, MF-A01). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-87-0017 "Digital Simulation of Seismic Ground Motion," by M. Shinozuka, G. Deodatis and T. Harada, 8/31/87, (PB88-155197, A04, MF-A01). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-87-0018 "Practical Considerations for Structural Control: System Uncertainty, System Time Delay and Truncation of Small Control Forces," J.N. Yang and A. Akbarpour, 8/10/87, (PB88-163738, A08, MF-A01). This report is only available through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-87-0019 "Modal Analysis of Nonclassically Damped Structural Systems Using Canonical Transformation," by J.N. Yang, S. Sarkani and F.X. Long, 9/27/87, (PB88-187851, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-87-0020 "A Nonstationary Solution in Random Vibration Theory," by J.R. Red-Horse and P.D. Spanos, 11/3/87, (PB88-163746, A03, MF-A01).
- NCEER-87-0021 "Horizontal Impedances for Radially Inhomogeneous Viscoelastic Soil Layers," by A.S. Veletsos and K.W. Dotson, 10/15/87, (PB88-150859, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-87-0022 "Seismic Damage Assessment of Reinforced Concrete Members," by Y.S. Chung, C. Meyer and M. Shinozuka, 10/9/87, (PB88-150867, A05, MF-A01). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-87-0023 "Active Structural Control in Civil Engineering," by T.T. Soong, 11/11/87, (PB88-187778, A03, MF-A01).
- NCEER-87-0024 "Vertical and Torsional Impedances for Radially Inhomogeneous Viscoelastic Soil Layers," by K.W. Dotson and A.S. Veletsos, 12/87, (PB88-187786, A03, MF-A01).
- NCEER-87-0025 "Proceedings from the Symposium on Seismic Hazards, Ground Motions, Soil-Liquefaction and Engineering Practice in Eastern North America," October 20-22, 1987, edited by K.H. Jacob, 12/87, (PB88-188115, A23, MF-A01). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-87-0026 "Report on the Whittier-Narrows, California, Earthquake of October 1, 1987," by J. Pantelic and A. Reinhorn, 11/87, (PB88-187752, A03, MF-A01). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-87-0027 "Design of a Modular Program for Transient Nonlinear Analysis of Large 3-D Building Structures," by S. Srivastav and J.F. Abel, 12/30/87, (PB88-187950, A05, MF-A01). This report is only available through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-87-0028 "Second-Year Program in Research, Education and Technology Transfer," 3/8/88, (PB88-219480, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0001 "Workshop on Seismic Computer Analysis and Design of Buildings With Interactive Graphics," by W. McGuire, J.F. Abel and C.H. Conley, 1/18/88, (PB88-187760, A03, MF-A01). This report is only available through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-88-0002 "Optimal Control of Nonlinear Flexible Structures," by J.N. Yang, F.X. Long and D. Wong, 1/22/88, (PB88-213772, A06, MF-A01).

- NCEER-88-0003 "Substructuring Techniques in the Time Domain for Primary-Secondary Structural Systems," by G.D. Manolis and G. Juhn, 2/10/88, (PB88-213780, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0004 "Iterative Seismic Analysis of Primary-Secondary Systems," by A. Singhal, L.D. Lutes and P.D. Spanos, 2/23/88, (PB88-213798, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0005 "Stochastic Finite Element Expansion for Random Media," by P.D. Spanos and R. Ghanem, 3/14/88, (PB88-213806, A03, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0006 "Combining Structural Optimization and Structural Control," by F.Y. Cheng and C.P. Pantelides, 1/10/88, (PB88-213814, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0007 "Seismic Performance Assessment of Code-Designed Structures," by H.H-M. Hwang, J-W. Jaw and H-J. Shau, 3/20/88, (PB88-219423, A04, MF-A01). This report is only available through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-88-0008 "Reliability Analysis of Code-Designed Structures Under Natural Hazards," by H.H-M. Hwang, H. Ushiba and M. Shinozuka, 2/29/88, (PB88-229471, A07, MF-A01). This report is only available through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-88-0009 "Seismic Fragility Analysis of Shear Wall Structures," by J-W Jaw and H.H-M. Hwang, 4/30/88, (PB89-102867, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0010 "Base Isolation of a Multi-Story Building Under a Harmonic Ground Motion A Comparison of Performances of Various Systems," by F-G Fan, G. Ahmadi and I.G. Tadjbakhsh, 5/18/88, (PB89-122238, A06, MF-A01). This report is only available through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-88-0011 "Seismic Floor Response Spectra for a Combined System by Green's Functions," by F.M. Lavelle, L.A. Bergman and P.D. Spanos, 5/1/88, (PB89-102875, A03, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0012 "A New Solution Technique for Randomly Excited Hysteretic Structures," by G.Q. Cai and Y.K. Lin, 5/16/88, (PB89-102883, A03, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0013 "A Study of Radiation Damping and Soil-Structure Interaction Effects in the Centrifuge," by K. Weissman, supervised by J.H. Prevost, 5/24/88, (PB89-144703, A06, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0014 "Parameter Identification and Implementation of a Kinematic Plasticity Model for Frictional Soils," by J.H. Prevost and D.V. Griffiths, to be published.
- NCEER-88-0015 "Two- and Three- Dimensional Dynamic Finite Element Analyses of the Long Valley Dam," by D.V. Griffiths and J.H. Prevost, 6/17/88, (PB89-144711, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0016 "Damage Assessment of Reinforced Concrete Structures in Eastern United States," by A.M. Reinhorn, M.J. Seidel, S.K. Kunnath and Y.J. Park, 6/15/88, (PB89-122220, A04, MF-A01). This report is only available through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-88-0017 "Dynamic Compliance of Vertically Loaded Strip Foundations in Multilayered Viscoelastic Soils," by S. Ahmad and A.S.M. Israil, 6/17/88, (PB89-102891, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0018 "An Experimental Study of Seismic Structural Response With Added Viscoelastic Dampers," by R.C. Lin, Z. Liang, T.T. Soong and R.H. Zhang, 6/30/88, (PB89-122212, A05, MF-A01). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-88-0019 "Experimental Investigation of Primary Secondary System Interaction," by G.D. Manolis, G. Juhn and A.M. Reinhorn, 5/27/88, (PB89-122204, A04, MF-A01).

- NCEER-88-0020 "A Response Spectrum Approach For Analysis of Nonclassically Damped Structures," by J.N. Yang, S. Sarkani and F.X. Long, 4/22/88, (PB89-102909, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0021 "Seismic Interaction of Structures and Soils: Stochastic Approach," by A.S. Veletsos and A.M. Prasad, 7/21/88, (PB89-122196, A04, MF-A01). This report is only available through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-88-0022 "Identification of the Serviceability Limit State and Detection of Seismic Structural Damage," by E. DiPasquale and A.S. Cakmak, 6/15/88, (PB89-122188, A05, MF-A01). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-88-0023 "Multi-Hazard Risk Analysis: Case of a Simple Offshore Structure," by B.K. Bhartia and E.H. Vanmarcke, 7/21/88, (PB89-145213, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0024 "Automated Seismic Design of Reinforced Concrete Buildings," by Y.S. Chung, C. Meyer and M. Shinozuka, 7/5/88, (PB89-122170, A06, MF-A01). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-88-0025 "Experimental Study of Active Control of MDOF Structures Under Seismic Excitations," by L.L. Chung, R.C. Lin, T.T. Soong and A.M. Reinhorn, 7/10/88, (PB89-122600, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0026 "Earthquake Simulation Tests of a Low-Rise Metal Structure," by J.S. Hwang, K.C. Chang, G.C. Lee and R.L. Ketter, 8/1/88, (PB89-102917, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0027 "Systems Study of Urban Response and Reconstruction Due to Catastrophic Earthquakes," by F. Kozin and H.K. Zhou, 9/22/88, (PB90-162348, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0028 "Seismic Fragility Analysis of Plane Frame Structures," by H.H-M. Hwang and Y.K. Low, 7/31/88, (PB89-131445, A06, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0029 "Response Analysis of Stochastic Structures," by A. Kardara, C. Bucher and M. Shinozuka, 9/22/88, (PB89-174429, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0030 "Nonnormal Accelerations Due to Yielding in a Primary Structure," by D.C.K. Chen and L.D. Lutes, 9/19/88, (PB89-131437, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0031 "Design Approaches for Soil-Structure Interaction," by A.S. Veletsos, A.M. Prasad and Y. Tang, 12/30/88, (PB89-174437, A03, MF-A01). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-88-0032 "A Re-evaluation of Design Spectra for Seismic Damage Control," by C.J. Turkstra and A.G. Tallin, 11/7/88, (PB89-145221, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0033 "The Behavior and Design of Noncontact Lap Splices Subjected to Repeated Inelastic Tensile Loading," by V.E. Sagan, P. Gergely and R.N. White, 12/8/88, (PB89-163737, A08, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0034 "Seismic Response of Pile Foundations," by S.M. Mamoon, P.K. Banerjee and S. Ahmad, 11/1/88, (PB89-145239, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0035 "Modeling of R/C Building Structures With Flexible Floor Diaphragms (IDARC2)," by A.M. Reinhorn, S.K. Kunnath and N. Panahshahi, 9/7/88, (PB89-207153, A07, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0036 "Solution of the Dam-Reservoir Interaction Problem Using a Combination of FEM, BEM with Particular Integrals, Modal Analysis, and Substructuring," by C-S. Tsai, G.C. Lee and R.L. Ketter, 12/31/88, (PB89-207146, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0037 "Optimal Placement of Actuators for Structural Control," by F.Y. Cheng and C.P. Pantelides, 8/15/88, (PB89-162846, A05, MF-A01).

- NCEER-88-0038 "Teflon Bearings in Aseismic Base Isolation: Experimental Studies and Mathematical Modeling," by A. Mokha, M.C. Constantinou and A.M. Reinhorn, 12/5/88, (PB89-218457, A10, MF-A01). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-88-0039 "Seismic Behavior of Flat Slab High-Rise Buildings in the New York City Area," by P. Weidlinger and M. Ettouney, 10/15/88, (PB90-145681, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0040 "Evaluation of the Earthquake Resistance of Existing Buildings in New York City," by P. Weidlinger and M. Ettouney, 10/15/88, to be published.
- NCEER-88-0041 "Small-Scale Modeling Techniques for Reinforced Concrete Structures Subjected to Seismic Loads," by W. Kim, A. El-Attar and R.N. White, 11/22/88, (PB89-189625, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0042 "Modeling Strong Ground Motion from Multiple Event Earthquakes," by G.W. Ellis and A.S. Cakmak, 10/15/88, (PB89-174445, A03, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0043 "Nonstationary Models of Seismic Ground Acceleration," by M. Grigoriu, S.E. Ruiz and E. Rosenblueth, 7/15/88, (PB89-189617, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0044 "SARCF User's Guide: Seismic Analysis of Reinforced Concrete Frames," by Y.S. Chung, C. Meyer and M. Shinozuka, 11/9/88, (PB89-174452, A08, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0045 "First Expert Panel Meeting on Disaster Research and Planning," edited by J. Pantelic and J. Stoyle, 9/15/88, (PB89-174460, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0046 "Preliminary Studies of the Effect of Degrading Infill Walls on the Nonlinear Seismic Response of Steel Frames," by C.Z. Chrysostomou, P. Gergely and J.F. Abel, 12/19/88, (PB89-208383, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-88-0047 "Reinforced Concrete Frame Component Testing Facility Design, Construction, Instrumentation and Operation," by S.P. Pessiki, C. Conley, T. Bond, P. Gergely and R.N. White, 12/16/88, (PB89-174478, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0001 "Effects of Protective Cushion and Soil Compliancy on the Response of Equipment Within a Seismically Excited Building," by J.A. HoLung, 2/16/89, (PB89-207179, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0002 "Statistical Evaluation of Response Modification Factors for Reinforced Concrete Structures," by H.H-M. Hwang and J-W. Jaw, 2/17/89, (PB89-207187, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0003 "Hysteretic Columns Under Random Excitation," by G-Q. Cai and Y.K. Lin, 1/9/89, (PB89-196513, A03, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0004 "Experimental Study of `Elephant Foot Bulge' Instability of Thin-Walled Metal Tanks," by Z-H. Jia and R.L. Ketter, 2/22/89, (PB89-207195, A03, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0005 "Experiment on Performance of Buried Pipelines Across San Andreas Fault," by J. Isenberg, E. Richardson and T.D. O'Rourke, 3/10/89, (PB89-218440, A04, MF-A01). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-89-0006 "A Knowledge-Based Approach to Structural Design of Earthquake-Resistant Buildings," by M. Subramani, P. Gergely, C.H. Conley, J.F. Abel and A.H. Zaghw, 1/15/89, (PB89-218465, A06, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0007 "Liquefaction Hazards and Their Effects on Buried Pipelines," by T.D. O'Rourke and P.A. Lane, 2/1/89, (PB89-218481, A09, MF-A01).

- NCEER-89-0008 "Fundamentals of System Identification in Structural Dynamics," by H. Imai, C-B. Yun, O. Maruyama and M. Shinozuka, 1/26/89, (PB89-207211, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0009 "Effects of the 1985 Michoacan Earthquake on Water Systems and Other Buried Lifelines in Mexico," by A.G. Ayala and M.J. O'Rourke, 3/8/89, (PB89-207229, A06, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-R010 "NCEER Bibliography of Earthquake Education Materials," by K.E.K. Ross, Second Revision, 9/1/89, (PB90-125352, A05, MF-A01). This report is replaced by NCEER-92-0018.
- NCEER-89-0011 "Inelastic Three-Dimensional Response Analysis of Reinforced Concrete Building Structures (IDARC-3D), Part I - Modeling," by S.K. Kunnath and A.M. Reinhorn, 4/17/89, (PB90-114612, A07, MF-A01). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-89-0012 "Recommended Modifications to ATC-14," by C.D. Poland and J.O. Malley, 4/12/89, (PB90-108648, A15, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0013 "Repair and Strengthening of Beam-to-Column Connections Subjected to Earthquake Loading," by M. Corazao and A.J. Durrani, 2/28/89, (PB90-109885, A06, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0014 "Program EXKAL2 for Identification of Structural Dynamic Systems," by O. Maruyama, C-B. Yun, M. Hoshiya and M. Shinozuka, 5/19/89, (PB90-109877, A09, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0015 "Response of Frames With Bolted Semi-Rigid Connections, Part I Experimental Study and Analytical Predictions," by P.J. DiCorso, A.M. Reinhorn, J.R. Dickerson, J.B. Radziminski and W.L. Harper, 6/1/89, to be published.
- NCEER-89-0016 "ARMA Monte Carlo Simulation in Probabilistic Structural Analysis," by P.D. Spanos and M.P. Mignolet, 7/10/89, (PB90-109893, A03, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-P017 "Preliminary Proceedings from the Conference on Disaster Preparedness The Place of Earthquake Education in Our Schools," Edited by K.E.K. Ross, 6/23/89, (PB90-108606, A03, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0017 "Proceedings from the Conference on Disaster Preparedness The Place of Earthquake Education in Our Schools," Edited by K.E.K. Ross, 12/31/89, (PB90-207895, A012, MF-A02). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-89-0018 "Multidimensional Models of Hysteretic Material Behavior for Vibration Analysis of Shape Memory Energy Absorbing Devices, by E.J. Graesser and F.A. Cozzarelli, 6/7/89, (PB90-164146, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0019 "Nonlinear Dynamic Analysis of Three-Dimensional Base Isolated Structures (3D-BASIS)," by S. Nagarajaiah, A.M. Reinhorn and M.C. Constantinou, 8/3/89, (PB90-161936, A06, MF-A01). This report has been replaced by NCEER-93-0011.
- NCEER-89-0020 "Structural Control Considering Time-Rate of Control Forces and Control Rate Constraints," by F.Y. Cheng and C.P. Pantelides, 8/3/89, (PB90-120445, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0021 "Subsurface Conditions of Memphis and Shelby County," by K.W. Ng, T-S. Chang and H-H.M. Hwang, 7/26/89, (PB90-120437, A03, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0022 "Seismic Wave Propagation Effects on Straight Jointed Buried Pipelines," by K. Elhmadi and M.J. O'Rourke, 8/24/89, (PB90-162322, A10, MF-A02).
- NCEER-89-0023 "Workshop on Serviceability Analysis of Water Delivery Systems," edited by M. Grigoriu, 3/6/89, (PB90-127424, A03, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0024 "Shaking Table Study of a 1/5 Scale Steel Frame Composed of Tapered Members," by K.C. Chang, J.S. Hwang and G.C. Lee, 9/18/89, (PB90-160169, A04, MF-A01).

- NCEER-89-0025 "DYNA1D: A Computer Program for Nonlinear Seismic Site Response Analysis Technical Documentation," by Jean H. Prevost, 9/14/89, (PB90-161944, A07, MF-A01). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-89-0026 "1:4 Scale Model Studies of Active Tendon Systems and Active Mass Dampers for Aseismic Protection," by A.M. Reinhorn, T.T. Soong, R.C. Lin, Y.P. Yang, Y. Fukao, H. Abe and M. Nakai, 9/15/89, (PB90-173246, A10, MF-A02). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-89-0027 "Scattering of Waves by Inclusions in a Nonhomogeneous Elastic Half Space Solved by Boundary Element Methods," by P.K. Hadley, A. Askar and A.S. Cakmak, 6/15/89, (PB90-145699, A07, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0028 "Statistical Evaluation of Deflection Amplification Factors for Reinforced Concrete Structures," by H.H.M. Hwang, J-W. Jaw and A.L. Ch'ng, 8/31/89, (PB90-164633, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0029 "Bedrock Accelerations in Memphis Area Due to Large New Madrid Earthquakes," by H.H.M. Hwang, C.H.S. Chen and G. Yu, 11/7/89, (PB90-162330, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0030 "Seismic Behavior and Response Sensitivity of Secondary Structural Systems," by Y.Q. Chen and T.T. Soong, 10/23/89, (PB90-164658, A08, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0031 "Random Vibration and Reliability Analysis of Primary-Secondary Structural Systems," by Y. Ibrahim, M. Grigoriu and T.T. Soong, 11/10/89, (PB90-161951, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0032 "Proceedings from the Second U.S. Japan Workshop on Liquefaction, Large Ground Deformation and Their Effects on Lifelines, September 26-29, 1989," Edited by T.D. O'Rourke and M. Hamada, 12/1/89, (PB90-209388, A22, MF-A03).
- NCEER-89-0033 "Deterministic Model for Seismic Damage Evaluation of Reinforced Concrete Structures," by J.M. Bracci, A.M. Reinhorn, J.B. Mander and S.K. Kunnath, 9/27/89, (PB91-108803, A06, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0034 "On the Relation Between Local and Global Damage Indices," by E. DiPasquale and A.S. Cakmak, 8/15/89, (PB90-173865, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0035 "Cyclic Undrained Behavior of Nonplastic and Low Plasticity Silts," by A.J. Walker and H.E. Stewart, 7/26/89, (PB90-183518, A10, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0036 "Liquefaction Potential of Surficial Deposits in the City of Buffalo, New York," by M. Budhu, R. Giese and L. Baumgrass, 1/17/89, (PB90-208455, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0037 "A Deterministic Assessment of Effects of Ground Motion Incoherence," by A.S. Veletsos and Y. Tang, 7/15/89, (PB90-164294, A03, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0038 "Workshop on Ground Motion Parameters for Seismic Hazard Mapping," July 17-18, 1989, edited by R.V. Whitman, 12/1/89, (PB90-173923, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0039 "Seismic Effects on Elevated Transit Lines of the New York City Transit Authority," by C.J. Costantino, C.A. Miller and E. Heymsfield, 12/26/89, (PB90-207887, A06, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0040 "Centrifugal Modeling of Dynamic Soil-Structure Interaction," by K. Weissman, Supervised by J.H. Prevost, 5/10/89, (PB90-207879, A07, MF-A01).
- NCEER-89-0041 "Linearized Identification of Buildings With Cores for Seismic Vulnerability Assessment," by I-K. Ho and A.E. Aktan, 11/1/89, (PB90-251943, A07, MF-A01).

- NCEER-90-0001 "Geotechnical and Lifeline Aspects of the October 17, 1989 Loma Prieta Earthquake in San Francisco," by T.D. O'Rourke, H.E. Stewart, F.T. Blackburn and T.S. Dickerman, 1/90, (PB90-208596, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0002 "Nonnormal Secondary Response Due to Yielding in a Primary Structure," by D.C.K. Chen and L.D. Lutes, 2/28/90, (PB90-251976, A07, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0003 "Earthquake Education Materials for Grades K-12," by K.E.K. Ross, 4/16/90, (PB91-251984, A05, MF-A05). This report has been replaced by NCEER-92-0018.
- NCEER-90-0004 "Catalog of Strong Motion Stations in Eastern North America," by R.W. Busby, 4/3/90, (PB90-251984, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0005 "NCEER Strong-Motion Data Base: A User Manual for the GeoBase Release (Version 1.0 for the Sun3)," by P. Friberg and K. Jacob, 3/31/90 (PB90-258062, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0006 "Seismic Hazard Along a Crude Oil Pipeline in the Event of an 1811-1812 Type New Madrid Earthquake," by H.H.M. Hwang and C-H.S. Chen, 4/16/90, (PB90-258054, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0007 "Site-Specific Response Spectra for Memphis Sheahan Pumping Station," by H.H.M. Hwang and C.S. Lee, 5/15/90, (PB91-108811, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0008 "Pilot Study on Seismic Vulnerability of Crude Oil Transmission Systems," by T. Ariman, R. Dobry, M. Grigoriu, F. Kozin, M. O'Rourke, T. O'Rourke and M. Shinozuka, 5/25/90, (PB91-108837, A06, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0009 "A Program to Generate Site Dependent Time Histories: EQGEN," by G.W. Ellis, M. Srinivasan and A.S. Cakmak, 1/30/90, (PB91-108829, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0010 "Active Isolation for Seismic Protection of Operating Rooms," by M.E. Talbott, Supervised by M. Shinozuka, 6/8/9, (PB91-110205, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0011 "Program LINEARID for Identification of Linear Structural Dynamic Systems," by C-B. Yun and M. Shinozuka, 6/25/90, (PB91-110312, A08, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0012 "Two-Dimensional Two-Phase Elasto-Plastic Seismic Response of Earth Dams," by A.N. Yiagos, Supervised by J.H. Prevost, 6/20/90, (PB91-110197, A13, MF-A02).
- NCEER-90-0013 "Secondary Systems in Base-Isolated Structures: Experimental Investigation, Stochastic Response and Stochastic Sensitivity," by G.D. Manolis, G. Juhn, M.C. Constantinou and A.M. Reinhorn, 7/1/90, (PB91-110320, A08, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0014 "Seismic Behavior of Lightly-Reinforced Concrete Column and Beam-Column Joint Details," by S.P. Pessiki, C.H. Conley, P. Gergely and R.N. White, 8/22/90, (PB91-108795, A11, MF-A02).
- NCEER-90-0015 "Two Hybrid Control Systems for Building Structures Under Strong Earthquakes," by J.N. Yang and A. Danielians, 6/29/90, (PB91-125393, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0016 "Instantaneous Optimal Control with Acceleration and Velocity Feedback," by J.N. Yang and Z. Li, 6/29/90, (PB91-125401, A03, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0017 "Reconnaissance Report on the Northern Iran Earthquake of June 21, 1990," by M. Mehrain, 10/4/90, (PB91-125377, A03, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0018 "Evaluation of Liquefaction Potential in Memphis and Shelby County," by T.S. Chang, P.S. Tang, C.S. Lee and H. Hwang, 8/10/90, (PB91-125427, A09, MF-A01).

. .

- NCEER-90-0019 "Experimental and Analytical Study of a Combined Sliding Disc Bearing and Helical Steel Spring Isolation System," by M.C. Constantinou, A.S. Mokha and A.M. Reinhorn, 10/4/90, (PB91-125385, A06, MF-A01). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-90-0020 "Experimental Study and Analytical Prediction of Earthquake Response of a Sliding Isolation System with a Spherical Surface," by A.S. Mokha, M.C. Constantinou and A.M. Reinhorn, 10/11/90, (PB91-125419, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0021 "Dynamic Interaction Factors for Floating Pile Groups," by G. Gazetas, K. Fan, A. Kaynia and E. Kausel, 9/10/90, (PB91-170381, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0022 "Evaluation of Seismic Damage Indices for Reinforced Concrete Structures," by S. Rodriguez-Gomez and A.S. Cakmak, 9/30/90, PB91-171322, A06, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0023 "Study of Site Response at a Selected Memphis Site," by H. Desai, S. Ahmad, E.S. Gazetas and M.R. Oh, 10/11/90, (PB91-196857, A03, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0024 "A User's Guide to Strongmo: Version 1.0 of NCEER's Strong-Motion Data Access Tool for PCs and Terminals," by P.A. Friberg and C.A.T. Susch, 11/15/90, (PB91-171272, A03, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0025 "A Three-Dimensional Analytical Study of Spatial Variability of Seismic Ground Motions," by L-L. Hong and A.H.-S. Ang, 10/30/90, (PB91-170399, A09, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0026 "MUMOID User's Guide A Program for the Identification of Modal Parameters," by S. Rodriguez-Gomez and E. DiPasquale, 9/30/90, (PB91-171298, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0027 "SARCF-II User's Guide Seismic Analysis of Reinforced Concrete Frames," by S. Rodriguez-Gomez, Y.S. Chung and C. Meyer, 9/30/90, (PB91-171280, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0028 "Viscous Dampers: Testing, Modeling and Application in Vibration and Seismic Isolation," by N. Makris and M.C. Constantinou, 12/20/90 (PB91-190561, A06, MF-A01).
- NCEER-90-0029 "Soil Effects on Earthquake Ground Motions in the Memphis Area," by H. Hwang, C.S. Lee, K.W. Ng and T.S. Chang, 8/2/90, (PB91-190751, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-91-0001 "Proceedings from the Third Japan-U.S. Workshop on Earthquake Resistant Design of Lifeline Facilities and Countermeasures for Soil Liquefaction, December 17-19, 1990," edited by T.D. O'Rourke and M. Hamada, 2/1/91, (PB91-179259, A99, MF-A04).
- NCEER-91-0002 "Physical Space Solutions of Non-Proportionally Damped Systems," by M. Tong, Z. Liang and G.C. Lee, 1/15/91, (PB91-179242, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-91-0003 "Seismic Response of Single Piles and Pile Groups," by K. Fan and G. Gazetas, 1/10/91, (PB92-174994, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-91-0004 "Damping of Structures: Part 1 Theory of Complex Damping," by Z. Liang and G. Lee, 10/10/91, (PB92-197235, A12, MF-A03).
- NCEER-91-0005 "3D-BASIS Nonlinear Dynamic Analysis of Three Dimensional Base Isolated Structures: Part II," by S. Nagarajaiah, A.M. Reinhorn and M.C. Constantinou, 2/28/91, (PB91-190553, A07, MF-A01). This report has been replaced by NCEER-93-0011.
- NCEER-91-0006 "A Multidimensional Hysteretic Model for Plasticity Deforming Metals in Energy Absorbing Devices," by E.J. Graesser and F.A. Cozzarelli, 4/9/91, (PB92-108364, A04, MF-A01).

- NCEER-91-0007 "A Framework for Customizable Knowledge-Based Expert Systems with an Application to a KBES for Evaluating the Seismic Resistance of Existing Buildings," by E.G. Ibarra-Anaya and S.J. Fenves, 4/9/91, (PB91-210930, A08, MF-A01).
- NCEER-91-0008 "Nonlinear Analysis of Steel Frames with Semi-Rigid Connections Using the Capacity Spectrum Method," by G.G. Deierlein, S-H. Hsieh, Y-J. Shen and J.F. Abel, 7/2/91, (PB92-113828, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-91-0009 "Earthquake Education Materials for Grades K-12," by K.E.K. Ross, 4/30/91, (PB91-212142, A06, MF-A01). This report has been replaced by NCEER-92-0018.
- NCEER-91-0010 "Phase Wave Velocities and Displacement Phase Differences in a Harmonically Oscillating Pile," by N. Makris and G. Gazetas, 7/8/91, (PB92-108356, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-91-0011 "Dynamic Characteristics of a Full-Size Five-Story Steel Structure and a 2/5 Scale Model," by K.C. Chang, G.C. Yao, G.C. Lee, D.S. Hao and Y.C. Yeh," 7/2/91, (PB93-116648, A06, MF-A02).
- NCEER-91-0012 "Seismic Response of a 2/5 Scale Steel Structure with Added Viscoelastic Dampers," by K.C. Chang, T.T. Soong, S-T. Oh and M.L. Lai, 5/17/91, (PB92-110816, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-91-0013 "Earthquake Response of Retaining Walls; Full-Scale Testing and Computational Modeling," by S. Alampalli and A-W.M. Elgamal, 6/20/91, to be published.
- NCEER-91-0014 "3D-BASIS-M: Nonlinear Dynamic Analysis of Multiple Building Base Isolated Structures," by P.C. Tsopelas, S. Nagarajaiah, M.C. Constantinou and A.M. Reinhorn, 5/28/91, (PB92-113885, A09, MF-A02).
- NCEER-91-0015 "Evaluation of SEAOC Design Requirements for Sliding Isolated Structures," by D. Theodossiou and M.C. Constantinou, 6/10/91, (PB92-114602, A11, MF-A03).
- NCEER-91-0016 "Closed-Loop Modal Testing of a 27-Story Reinforced Concrete Flat Plate-Core Building," by H.R. Somaprasad, T. Toksoy, H. Yoshiyuki and A.E. Aktan, 7/15/91, (PB92-129980, A07, MF-A02).
- NCEER-91-0017 "Shake Table Test of a 1/6 Scale Two-Story Lightly Reinforced Concrete Building," by A.G. El-Attar, R.N. White and P. Gergely, 2/28/91, (PB92-222447, A06, MF-A02).
- NCEER-91-0018 "Shake Table Test of a 1/8 Scale Three-Story Lightly Reinforced Concrete Building," by A.G. El-Attar, R.N. White and P. Gergely, 2/28/91, (PB93-116630, A08, MF-A02).
- NCEER-91-0019 "Transfer Functions for Rigid Rectangular Foundations," by A.S. Veletsos, A.M. Prasad and W.H. Wu, 7/31/91, to be published.
- NCEER-91-0020 "Hybrid Control of Seismic-Excited Nonlinear and Inelastic Structural Systems," by J.N. Yang, Z. Li and A. Danielians, 8/1/91, (PB92-143171, A06, MF-A02).
- NCEER-91-0021 "The NCEER-91 Earthquake Catalog: Improved Intensity-Based Magnitudes and Recurrence Relations for U.S. Earthquakes East of New Madrid," by L. Seeber and J.G. Armbruster, 8/28/91, (PB92-176742, A06, MF-A02).
- NCEER-91-0022 "Proceedings from the Implementation of Earthquake Planning and Education in Schools: The Need for Change - The Roles of the Changemakers," by K.E.K. Ross and F. Winslow, 7/23/91, (PB92-129998, A12, MF-A03).
- NCEER-91-0023 "A Study of Reliability-Based Criteria for Seismic Design of Reinforced Concrete Frame Buildings," by H.H.M. Hwang and H-M. Hsu, 8/10/91, (PB92-140235, A09, MF-A02).
- NCEER-91-0024 "Experimental Verification of a Number of Structural System Identification Algorithms," by R.G. Ghanem, H. Gavin and M. Shinozuka, 9/18/91, (PB92-176577, A18, MF-A04).

- NCEER-91-0025 "Probabilistic Evaluation of Liquefaction Potential," by H.H.M. Hwang and C.S. Lee," 11/25/91, (PB92-143429, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-91-0026 "Instantaneous Optimal Control for Linear, Nonlinear and Hysteretic Structures Stable Controllers," by J.N. Yang and Z. Li, 11/15/91, (PB92-163807, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-91-0027 "Experimental and Theoretical Study of a Sliding Isolation System for Bridges," by M.C. Constantinou, A. Kartoum, A.M. Reinhorn and P. Bradford, 11/15/91, (PB92-176973, A10, MF-A03).
- NCEER-92-0001 "Case Studies of Liquefaction and Lifeline Performance During Past Earthquakes, Volume 1: Japanese Case Studies," Edited by M. Hamada and T. O'Rourke, 2/17/92, (PB92-197243, A18, MF-A04).
- NCEER-92-0002 "Case Studies of Liquefaction and Lifeline Performance During Past Earthquakes, Volume 2: United States Case Studies," Edited by T. O'Rourke and M. Hamada, 2/17/92, (PB92-197250, A20, MF-A04).
- NCEER-92-0003 "Issues in Earthquake Education," Edited by K. Ross, 2/3/92, (PB92-222389, A07, MF-A02).
- NCEER-92-0004 "Proceedings from the First U.S. Japan Workshop on Earthquake Protective Systems for Bridges," Edited by I.G. Buckle, 2/4/92, (PB94-142239, A99, MF-A06).
- NCEER-92-0005 "Seismic Ground Motion from a Haskell-Type Source in a Multiple-Layered Half-Space," A.P. Theoharis, G. Deodatis and M. Shinozuka, 1/2/92, to be published.
- NCEER-92-0006 "Proceedings from the Site Effects Workshop," Edited by R. Whitman, 2/29/92, (PB92-197201, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-92-0007 "Engineering Evaluation of Permanent Ground Deformations Duc to Seismically-Induced Liquefaction," by M.H. Baziar, R. Dobry and A-W.M. Elgamal, 3/24/92, (PB92-222421, A13, MF-A03).
- NCEER-92-0008 "A Procedure for the Seismic Evaluation of Buildings in the Central and Eastern United States," by C.D. Poland and J.O. Malley, 4/2/92, (PB92-222439, A20, MF-A04).
- NCEER-92-0009 "Experimental and Analytical Study of a Hybrid Isolation System Using Friction Controllable Sliding Bearings," by M.Q. Feng, S. Fujii and M. Shinozuka, 5/15/92, (PB93-150282, A06, MF-A02).
- NCEER-92-0010 "Seismic Resistance of Slab-Column Connections in Existing Non-Ductile Flat-Plate Buildings," by A.J. Durrani and Y. Du, 5/18/92, (PB93-116812, A06, MF-A02).
- NCEER-92-0011 "The Hysteretic and Dynamic Behavior of Brick Masonry Walls Upgraded by Ferrocement Coatings Under Cyclic Loading and Strong Simulated Ground Motion," by H. Lee and S.P. Prawel, 5/11/92, to be published.
- NCEER-92-0012 "Study of Wire Rope Systems for Seismic Protection of Equipment in Buildings," by G.F. Demetriades, M.C. Constantinou and A.M. Reinhorn, 5/20/92, (PB93-116655, A08, MF-A02).
- NCEER-92-0013 "Shape Memory Structural Dampers: Material Properties, Design and Seismic Testing," by P.R. Witting and F.A. Cozzarelli, 5/26/92, (PB93-116663, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-92-0014 "Longitudinal Permanent Ground Deformation Effects on Buried Continuous Pipelines," by M.J. O'Rourke, and C. Nordberg, 6/15/92, (PB93-116671, A08, MF-A02).
- NCEER-92-0015 "A Simulation Method for Stationary Gaussian Random Functions Based on the Sampling Theorem," by M. Grigoriu and S. Balopoulou, 6/11/92, (PB93-127496, A05, MF-A01).

- NCEER-92-0016 "Gravity-Load-Designed Reinforced Concrete Buildings: Seismic Evaluation of Existing Construction and Detailing Strategies for Improved Seismic Resistance," by G.W. Hoffmann, S.K. Kunnath, A.M. Reinhorn and J.B. Mander, 7/15/92, (PB94-142007, A08, MF-A02).
- NCEER-92-0017 "Observations on Water System and Pipeline Performance in the Limón Area of Costa Rica Due to the April 22, 1991 Earthquake," by M. O'Rourke and D. Ballantyne, 6/30/92, (PB93-126811, A06, MF-A02).
- NCEER-92-0018 "Fourth Edition of Earthquake Education Materials for Grades K-12," Edited by K.E.K. Ross, 8/10/92, (PB93-114023, A07, MF-A02).
- NCEER-92-0019 "Proceedings from the Fourth Japan-U.S. Workshop on Earthquake Resistant Design of Lifeline Facilities and Countermeasures for Soil Liquefaction," Edited by M. Hamada and T.D. O'Rourke, 8/12/92, (PB93-163939, A99, MF-E11).
- NCEER-92-0020 "Active Bracing System: A Full Scale Implementation of Active Control," by A.M. Reinhorn, T.T. Soong, R.C. Lin, M.A. Riley, Y.P. Wang, S. Aizawa and M. Higashino, 8/14/92, (PB93-127512, A06, MF-A02).
- NCEER-92-0021 "Empirical Analysis of Horizontal Ground Displacement Generated by Liquefaction-Induced Lateral Spreads," by S.F. Bartlett and T.L. Youd, 8/17/92, (PB93-188241, A06, MF-A02).
- NCEER-92-0022 "IDARC Version 3.0: Inelastic Damage Analysis of Reinforced Concrete Structures," by S.K. Kunnath, A.M. Reinhorn and R.F. Lobo, 8/31/92, (PB93-227502, A07, MF-A02).
- NCEER-92-0023 "A Semi-Empirical Analysis of Strong-Motion Peaks in Terms of Seismic Source, Propagation Path and Local Site Conditions, by M. Kamiyama, M.J. O'Rourke and R. Flores-Berrones, 9/9/92, (PB93-150266, A08, MF-A02).
- NCEER-92-0024 "Seismic Behavior of Reinforced Concrete Frame Structures with Nonductile Details, Part I: Summary of Experimental Findings of Full Scale Beam-Column Joint Tests," by A. Beres, R.N. White and P. Gergely, 9/30/92, (PB93-227783, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-92-0025 "Experimental Results of Repaired and Retrofitted Beam-Column Joint Tests in Lightly Reinforced Concrete Frame Buildings," by A. Beres, S. El-Borgi, R.N. White and P. Gergely, 10/29/92, (PB93-227791, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-92-0026 "A Generalization of Optimal Control Theory: Linear and Nonlinear Structures," by J.N. Yang, Z. Li and S. Vongchavalitkul, 11/2/92, (PB93-188621, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-92-0027 "Seismic Resistance of Reinforced Concrete Frame Structures Designed Only for Gravity Loads: Part I -Design and Properties of a One-Third Scale Model Structure," by J.M. Bracci, A.M. Reinhorn and J.B. Mander, 12/1/92, (PB94-104502, A08, MF-A02).
- NCEER-92-0028 "Seismic Resistance of Reinforced Concrete Frame Structures Designed Only for Gravity Loads: Part II -Experimental Performance of Subassemblages," by L.E. Aycardi, J.B. Mander and A.M. Reinhorn, 12/1/92, (PB94-104510, A08, MF-A02).
- NCEER-92-0029 "Seismic Resistance of Reinforced Concrete Frame Structures Designed Only for Gravity Loads: Part III -Experimental Performance and Analytical Study of a Structural Model," by J.M. Bracci, A.M. Reinhorn and J.B. Mander, 12/1/92, (PB93-227528, A09, MF-A01).
- NCEER-92-0030 "Evaluation of Seismic Retrofit of Reinforced Concrete Frame Structures: Part I Experimental Performance of Retrofitted Subassemblages," by D. Choudhuri, J.B. Mander and A.M. Reinhorn, 12/8/92, (PB93-198307, A07, MF-A02).
- NCEER-92-0031 "Evaluation of Seismic Retrofit of Reinforced Concrete Frame Structures: Part II Experimental Performance and Analytical Study of a Retrofitted Structural Model," by J.M. Bracci, A.M. Reinhorn and J.B. Mander, 12/8/92, (PB93-198315, A09, MF-A03).

- NCEER-92-0032 "Experimental and Analytical Investigation of Seismic Response of Structures with Supplemental Fluid Viscous Dampers," by M.C. Constantinou and M.D. Symans, 12/21/92, (PB93-191435, A10, MF-A03). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-92-0033 "Reconnaissance Report on the Cairo, Egypt Earthquake of October 12, 1992," by M. Khater, 12/23/92, (PB93-188621, A03, MF-A01).
- NCEER-92-0034 "Low-Level Dynamic Characteristics of Four Tall Flat-Plate Buildings in New York City," by H. Gavin, S. Yuan, J. Grossman, E. Pekelis and K. Jacob, 12/28/92, (PB93-188217, A07, MF-A02).
- NCEER-93-0001 "An Experimental Study on the Seismic Performance of Brick-Infilled Steel Frames With and Without Retrofit," by J.B. Mander, B. Nair, K. Wojtkowski and J. Ma, 1/29/93, (PB93-227510, A07, MF-A02).
- NCEER-93-0002 "Social Accounting for Disaster Preparedness and Recovery Planning," by S. Cole, E. Pantoja and V. Razak, 2/22/93, (PB94-142114, A12, MF-A03).
- NCEER-93-0003 "Assessment of 1991 NEHRP Provisions for Nonstructural Components and Recommended Revisions," by T.T. Soong, G. Chen, Z. Wu, R-H. Zhang and M. Grigoriu, 3/1/93, (PB93-188639, A06, MF-A02).
- NCEER-93-0004 "Evaluation of Static and Response Spectrum Analysis Procedures of SEAOC/UBC for Seismic Isolated Structures," by C.W. Winters and M.C. Constantinou, 3/23/93, (PB93-198299, A10, MF-A03).
- NCEER-93-0005 "Earthquakes in the Northeast Are We Ignoring the Hazard? A Workshop on Earthquake Science and Safety for Educators," edited by K.E.K. Ross, 4/2/93, (PB94-103066, A09, MF-A02).
- NCEER-93-0006 "Inelastic Response of Reinforced Concrete Structures with Viscoelastic Braces," by R.F. Lobo, J.M. Bracci, K.L. Shen, A.M. Reinhorn and T.T. Soong, 4/5/93, (PB93-227486, A05, MF-A02).
- NCEER-93-0007 "Seismic Testing of Installation Methods for Computers and Data Processing Equipment," by K. Kosar, T.T. Soong, K.L. Shen, J.A. HoLung and Y.K. Lin, 4/12/93, (PB93-198299, A07, MF-A02).
- NCEER-93-0008 "Retrofit of Reinforced Concrete Frames Using Added Dampers," by A. Reinhorn, M. Constantinou and C. Li, to be published.
- NCEER-93-0009 "Seismic Behavior and Design Guidelines for Steel Frame Structures with Added Viscoelastic Dampers," by K.C. Chang, M.L. Lai, T.T. Soong, D.S. Hao and Y.C. Yeh, 5/1/93, (PB94-141959, A07, MF-A02).
- NCEER-93-0010 "Seismic Performance of Shear-Critical Reinforced Concrete Bridge Piers," by J.B. Mander, S.M. Waheed, M.T.A. Chaudhary and S.S. Chen, 5/12/93, (PB93-227494, A08, MF-A02).
- NCEER-93-0011 "3D-BASIS-TABS: Computer Program for Nonlinear Dynamic Analysis of Three Dimensional Base Isolated Structures," by S. Nagarajaiah, C. Li, A.M. Reinhorn and M.C. Constantinou, 8/2/93, (PB94-141819, A09, MF-A02).
- NCEER-93-0012 "Effects of Hydrocarbon Spills from an Oil Pipeline Break on Ground Water," by O.J. Helweg and H.H.M. Hwang, 8/3/93, (PB94-141942, A06, MF-A02).
- NCEER-93-0013 "Simplified Procedures for Seismic Design of Nonstructural Components and Assessment of Current Code Provisions," by M.P. Singh, L.E. Suarez, E.E. Matheu and G.O. Maldonado, 8/4/93, (PB94-141827, A09, MF-A02).
- NCEER-93-0014 "An Energy Approach to Seismic Analysis and Design of Secondary Systems," by G. Chen and T.T. Soong, 8/6/93, (PB94-142767, A11, MF-A03).

- NCEER-93-0015 "Proceedings from School Sites: Becoming Prepared for Earthquakes Commemorating the Third Anniversary of the Loma Prieta Earthquake," Edited by F.E. Winslow and K.E.K. Ross, 8/16/93, (PB94-154275, A16, MF-A02).
- NCEER-93-0016 "Reconnaissance Report of Damage to Historic Monuments in Cairo, Egypt Following the October 12, 1992 Dahshur Earthquake," by D. Sykora, D. Look, G. Croci, E. Karaesmen and E. Karaesmen, 8/19/93, (PB94-142221, A08, MF-A02).
- NCEER-93-0017 "The Island of Guam Earthquake of August 8, 1993," by S.W. Swan and S.K. Harris, 9/30/93, (PB94-141843, A04, MF-A01).
- NCEER-93-0018 "Engineering Aspects of the October 12, 1992 Egyptian Earthquake," by A.W. Elgamal, M. Amer, K. Adalier and A. Abul-Fadl, 10/7/93, (PB94-141983, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-93-0019 "Development of an Earthquake Motion Simulator and its Application in Dynamic Centrifuge Testing," by I. Krstelj, Supervised by J.H. Prevost, 10/23/93, (PB94-181773, A-10, MF-A03).
- NCEER-93-0020 "NCEER-Taisei Corporation Research Program on Sliding Seismic Isolation Systems for Bridges: Experimental and Analytical Study of a Friction Pendulum System (FPS)," by M.C. Constantinou, P. Tsopelas, Y-S. Kim and S. Okamoto, 11/1/93, (PB94-142775, A08, MF-A02).
- NCEER-93-0021 "Finite Element Modeling of Elastomeric Seismic Isolation Bearings," by L.J. Billings, Supervised by R. Shepherd, 11/8/93, to be published.
- NCEER-93-0022 "Seismic Vulnerability of Equipment in Critical Facilities: Life-Safety and Operational Consequences," by K. Porter, G.S. Johnson, M.M. Zadeh, C. Scawthorn and S. Eder, 11/24/93, (PB94-181765, A16, MF-A03).
- NCEER-93-0023 "Hokkaido Nansei-oki, Japan Earthquake of July 12, 1993, by P.I. Yanev and C.R. Scawthorn, 12/23/93, (PB94-181500, A07, MF-A01).
- NCEER-94-0001 "An Evaluation of Seismic Serviceability of Water Supply Networks with Application to the San Francisco Auxiliary Water Supply System," by I. Markov, Supervised by M. Grigoriu and T. O'Rourke, 1/21/94, (PB94-204013, A07, MF-A02).
- NCEER-94-0002 "NCEER-Taisei Corporation Research Program on Sliding Seismic Isolation Systems for Bridges: Experimental and Analytical Study of Systems Consisting of Sliding Bearings, Rubber Restoring Force Devices and Fluid Dampers," Volumes I and II, by P. Tsopelas, S. Okamoto, M.C. Constantinou, D. Ozaki and S. Fujii, 2/4/94, (PB94-181740, A09, MF-A02 and PB94-181757, A12, MF-A03).
- NCEER-94-0003 "A Markov Model for Local and Global Damage Indices in Seismic Analysis," by S. Rahman and M. Grigoriu, 2/18/94, (PB94-206000, A12, MF-A03).
- NCEER-94-0004 "Proceedings from the NCEER Workshop on Seismic Response of Masonry Infills," edited by D.P. Abrams, 3/1/94, (PB94-180783, A07, MF-A02).
- NCEER-94-0005 "The Northridge, California Earthquake of January 17, 1994: General Reconnaissance Report," edited by J.D. Goltz, 3/11/94, (PB193943, A10, MF-A03).
- NCEER-94-0006 "Seismic Energy Based Fatigue Damage Analysis of Bridge Columns: Part I Evaluation of Seismic Capacity," by G.A. Chang and J.B. Mander, 3/14/94, (PB94-219185, A11, MF-A03).
- NCEER-94-0007 "Seismic Isolation of Multi-Story Frame Structures Using Spherical Sliding Isolation Systems," by T.M. Al-Hussaini, V.A. Zayas and M.C. Constantinou, 3/17/94, (PB193745, A09, MF-A02).
- NCEER-94-0008 "The Northridge, California Earthquake of January 17, 1994: Performance of Highway Bridges," edited by I.G. Buckle, 3/24/94, (PB94-193851, A06, MF-A02).

- NCEER-94-0009 "Proceedings of the Third U.S.-Japan Workshop on Earthquake Protective Systems for Bridges," edited by I.G. Buckle and I. Friedland, 3/31/94, (PB94-195815, A99, MF-A06).
- NCEER-94-0010 "3D-BASIS-ME: Computer Program for Nonlinear Dynamic Analysis of Seismically Isolated Single and Multiple Structures and Liquid Storage Tanks," by P.C. Tsopelas, M.C. Constantinou and A.M. Reinhorn, 4/12/94, (PB94-204922, A09, MF-A02).
- NCEER-94-0011 "The Northridge, California Earthquake of January 17, 1994: Performance of Gas Transmission Pipelines," by T.D. O'Rourke and M.C. Palmer, 5/16/94, (PB94-204989, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-94-0012 "Feasibility Study of Replacement Procedures and Earthquake Performance Related to Gas Transmission Pipelines," by T.D. O'Rourke and M.C. Palmer, 5/25/94, (PB94-206638, A09, MF-A02).
- NCEER-94-0013 "Seismic Energy Based Fatigue Damage Analysis of Bridge Columns: Part II Evaluation of Seismic Demand," by G.A. Chang and J.B. Mander, 6/1/94, (PB95-18106, A08, MF-A02).
- NCEER-94-0014 "NCEER-Taisei Corporation Research Program on Sliding Seismic Isolation Systems for Bridges: Experimental and Analytical Study of a System Consisting of Sliding Bearings and Fluid Restoring Force/Damping Devices," by P. Tsopelas and M.C. Constantinou, 6/13/94, (PB94-219144, A10, MF-A03).
- NCEER-94-0015 "Generation of Hazard-Consistent Fragility Curves for Seismic Loss Estimation Studies," by H. Hwang and J-R. Huo, 6/14/94, (PB95-181996, A09, MF-A02).
- NCEER-94-0016 "Seismic Study of Building Frames with Added Energy-Absorbing Devices," by W.S. Pong, C.S. Tsai and G.C. Lee, 6/20/94, (PB94-219136, A10, A03).
- NCEER-94-0017 "Sliding Mode Control for Seismic-Excited Linear and Nonlinear Civil Engineering Structures," by J. Yang, J. Wu, A. Agrawal and Z. Li, 6/21/94, (PB95-138483, A06, MF-A02).
- NCEER-94-0018 "3D-BASIS-TABS Version 2.0: Computer Program for Nonlinear Dynamic Analysis of Three Dimensional Base Isolated Structures," by A.M. Reinhorn, S. Nagarajaiah, M.C. Constantinou, P. Tsopelas and R. Li, 6/22/94, (PB95-182176, A08, MF-A02).
- NCEER-94-0019 "Proceedings of the International Workshop on Civil Infrastructure Systems: Application of Intelligent Systems and Advanced Materials on Bridge Systems," Edited by G.C. Lee and K.C. Chang, 7/18/94, (PB95-252474, A20, MF-A04).
- NCEER-94-0020 "Study of Seismic Isolation Systems for Computer Floors," by V. Lambrou and M.C. Constantinou, 7/19/94, (PB95-138533, A10, MF-A03).
- NCEER-94-0021 "Proceedings of the U.S.-Italian Workshop on Guidelines for Seismic Evaluation and Rehabilitation of Unreinforced Masonry Buildings," Edited by D.P. Abrams and G.M. Calvi, 7/20/94, (PB95-138749, A13, MF-A03).
- NCEER-94-0022 "NCEER-Taisei Corporation Research Program on Sliding Seismic Isolation Systems for Bridges: Experimental and Analytical Study of a System Consisting of Lubricated PTFE Sliding Bearings and Mild Steel Dampers," by P. Tsopelas and M.C. Constantinou, 7/22/94, (PB95-182184, A08, MF-A02).
- NCEER-94-0023 "Development of Reliability-Based Design Criteria for Buildings Under Seismic Load," by Y.K. Wen, H. Hwang and M. Shinozuka, 8/1/94, (PB95-211934, A08, MF-A02).
- NCEER-94-0024 "Experimental Verification of Acceleration Feedback Control Strategies for an Active Tendon System," by S.J. Dyke, B.F. Spencer, Jr., P. Quast, M.K. Sain, D.C. Kaspari, Jr. and T.T. Soong, 8/29/94, (PB95-212320, A05, MF-A01).

- NCEER-94-0025 "Seismic Retrofitting Manual for Highway Bridges," Edited by I.G. Buckle and I.F. Friedland, published by the Federal Highway Administration (PB95-212676, A15, MF-A03).
- NCEER-94-0026 "Proceedings from the Fifth U.S.-Japan Workshop on Earthquake Resistant Design of Lifeline Facilities and Countermeasures Against Soil Liquefaction," Edited by T.D. O'Rourke and M. Hamada, 11/7/94, (PB95-220802, A99, MF-E08).
- NCEER-95-0001 "Experimental and Analytical Investigation of Seismic Retrofit of Structures with Supplemental Damping: Part 1 - Fluid Viscous Damping Devices," by A.M. Reinhorn, C. Li and M.C. Constantinou, 1/3/95, (PB95-266599, A09, MF-A02).
- NCEER-95-0002 "Experimental and Analytical Study of Low-Cycle Fatigue Behavior of Semi-Rigid Top-And-Seat Angle Connections," by G. Pekcan, J.B. Mander and S.S. Chen, 1/5/95, (PB95-220042, A07, MF-A02).
- NCEER-95-0003 "NCEER-ATC Joint Study on Fragility of Buildings," by T. Anagnos, C. Rojahn and A.S. Kiremidjian, 1/20/95, (PB95-220026, A06, MF-A02).
- NCEER-95-0004 "Nonlinear Control Algorithms for Peak Response Reduction," by Z. Wu, T.T. Soong, V. Gattulli and R.C. Lin, 2/16/95, (PB95-220349, A05, MF-A01).
- NCEER-95-0005 "Pipeline Replacement Feasibility Study: A Methodology for Minimizing Seismic and Corrosion Risks to Underground Natural Gas Pipelines," by R.T. Eguchi, H.A. Seligson and D.G. Honegger, 3/2/95, (PB95-252326, A06, MF-A02).
- NCEER-95-0006 "Evaluation of Seismic Performance of an 11-Story Frame Building During the 1994 Northridge Earthquake," by F. Naeim, R. DiSulio, K. Benuska, A. Reinhorn and C. Li, to be published.
- NCEER-95-0007 "Prioritization of Bridges for Seismic Retrofitting," by N. Basöz and A.S. Kiremidjian, 4/24/95, (PB95-252300, A08, MF-A02).
- NCEER-95-0008 "Method for Developing Motion Damage Relationships for Reinforced Concrete Frames," by A. Singhal and A.S. Kiremidjian, 5/11/95, (PB95-266607, A06, MF-A02).
- NCEER-95-0009 "Experimental and Analytical Investigation of Seismic Retrofit of Structures with Supplemental Damping: Part II - Friction Devices," by C. Li and A.M. Reinhorn, 7/6/95, (PB96-128087, A11, MF-A03).
- NCEER-95-0010 "Experimental Performance and Analytical Study of a Non-Ductile Reinforced Concrete Frame Structure Retrofitted with Elastomeric Spring Dampers," by G. Pekcan, J.B. Mander and S.S. Chen, 7/14/95, (PB96-137161, A08, MF-A02).
- NCEER-95-0011 "Development and Experimental Study of Semi-Active Fluid Damping Devices for Seismic Protection of Structures," by M.D. Symans and M.C. Constantinou, 8/3/95, (PB96-136940, A23, MF-A04).
- NCEER-95-0012 "Real-Time Structural Parameter Modification (RSPM): Development of Innervated Structures," by Z. Liang, M. Tong and G.C. Lee, 4/11/95, (PB96-137153, A06, MF-A01).
- NCEER-95-0013 "Experimental and Analytical Investigation of Seismic Retrofit of Structures with Supplemental Damping: Part III - Viscous Damping Walls," by A.M. Reinhorn and C. Li, 10/1/95, (PB96-176409, A11, MF-A03).
- NCEER-95-0014 "Seismic Fragility Analysis of Equipment and Structures in a Memphis Electric Substation," by J-R. Huo and H.H.M. Hwang, (PB96-128087, A09, MF-A02), 8/10/95.
- NCEER-95-0015 "The Hanshin-Awaji Earthquake of January 17, 1995: Performance of Lifelines," Edited by M. Shinozuka, 11/3/95, (PB96-176383, A15, MF-A03).

- NCEER-95-0016 "Highway Culvert Performance During Earthquakes," by T.L. Youd and C.J. Beckman, available as NCEER-96-0015.
- NCEER-95-0017 "The Hanshin-Awaji Earthquake of January 17, 1995: Performance of Highway Bridges," Edited by I.G. Buckle, 12/1/95, to be published.
- NCEER-95-0018 "Modeling of Masonry Infill Panels for Structural Analysis," by A.M. Reinhorn, A. Madan, R.E. Valles, Y. Reichmann and J.B. Mander, 12/8/95.
- NCEER-95-0019 "Optimal Polynomial Control for Linear and Nonlinear Structures," by A.K. Agrawal and J.N. Yang, 12/11/95, (PB96-168737, A07, MF-A02).
- NCEER-95-0020 "Retrofit of Non-Ductile Reinforced Concrete Frames Using Friction Dampers," by R.S. Rao, P. Gergely and R.N. White, 12/22/95, (PB97-133508, A10, MF-A02).
- NCEER-95-0021 "Parametric Results for Seismic Response of Pile-Supported Bridge Bents," by G. Mylonakis, A. Nikolaou and G. Gazetas, 12/22/95, (PB97-100242, A12, MF-A03).
- NCEER-95-0022 "Kinematic Bending Moments in Seismically Stressed Piles," by A. Nikolaou, G. Mylonakis and G. Gazetas, 12/23/95.
- NCEER-96-0001 "Dynamic Response of Unreinforced Masonry Buildings with Flexible Diaphragms," by A.C. Costley and D.P. Abrams," 10/10/96.
- NCEER-96-0002 "State of the Art Review: Foundations and Retaining Structures," by I. Po Larn, to be published.
- NCEER-96-0003 "Ductility of Rectangular Reinforced Concrete Bridge Columns with Moderate Confinement," by N. Wehbe, M. Saiidi, D. Sanders and B. Douglas, 11/7/96, (PB97-133557, A06, MF-A02).
- NCEER-96-0004 "Proceedings of the Long-Span Bridge Seismic Research Workshop," edited by I.G. Buckle and I.M. Friedland, to be published.
- NCEER-96-0005 "Establish Representative Pier Types for Comprehensive Study: Eastern United States," by J. Kulicki and Z. Prucz, 5/28/96, (PB98-119217, A07, MF-A02).
- NCEER-96-0006 "Establish Representative Pier Types for Comprehensive Study: Western United States," by R. Imbsen, R.A. Schamber and T.A. Osterkamp, 5/28/96, (PB98-118607, A07, MF-A02).
- NCEER-96-0007 "Nonlinear Control Techniques for Dynamical Systems with Uncertain Parameters," by R.G. Ghanem and M.I. Bujakov, 5/27/96, (PB97-100259, A17, MF-A03).
- NCEER-96-0008 "Seismic Evaluation of a 30-Year Old Non-Ductile Highway Bridge Pier and Its Retrofit," by J.B. Mander, B. Mahmoodzadegan, S. Bhadra and S.S. Chen, 5/31/96.
- NCEER-96-0009 "Seismic Performance of a Model Reinforced Concrete Bridge Pier Before and After Retrofit," by J.B. Mander, J.H. Kim and C.A. Ligozio, 5/31/96.
- NCEER-96-0010 "IDARC2D Version 4.0: A Computer Program for the Inelastic Damage Analysis of Buildings," by R.E. Valles, A.M. Reinhorn, S.K. Kunnath, C. Li and A. Madan, 6/3/96, (PB97-100234, A17, MF-A03).
- NCEER-96-0011 "Estimation of the Economic Impact of Multiple Lifeline Disruption: Memphis Light, Gas and Water Division Case Study," by S.E. Chang, H.A. Seligson and R.T. Eguchi, 8/16/96, (PB97-133490, A11, MF-A03).

- NCEER-96-0012 "Proceedings from the Sixth Japan-U.S. Workshop on Earthquake Resistant Design of Lifeline Facilities and Countermeasures Against Soil Liquefaction, Edited by M. Hamada and T. O'Rourke, 9/11/96, (PB97-133581, A99, MF-A06).
- NCEER-96-0013 "Chemical Hazards, Mitigation and Preparedness in Areas of High Seismic Risk: A Methodology for Estimating the Risk of Post-Earthquake Hazardous Materials Release," by H.A. Seligson, R.T. Eguchi, K.J. Tierney and K. Richmond, 11/7/96.
- NCEER-96-0014 "Response of Steel Bridge Bearings to Reversed Cyclic Loading," by J.B. Mander, D-K. Kim, S.S. Chen and G.J. Premus, 11/13/96, (PB97-140735, A12, MF-A03).
- NCEER-96-0015 "Highway Culvert Performance During Past Earthquakes," by T.L. Youd and C.J. Beckman, 11/25/96, (PB97-133532, A06, MF-A01).
- NCEER-97-0001 "Evaluation, Prevention and Mitigation of Pounding Effects in Building Structures," by R.E. Valles and A.M. Reinhorn, 2/20/97, (PB97-159552, A14, MF-A03).
- NCEER-97-0002 "Seismic Design Criteria for Bridges and Other Highway Structures," by C. Rojahn, R. Mayes, D.G. Anderson, J. Clark, J.H. Hom, R.V. Nutt and M.J. O'Rourke, 4/30/97, (PB97-194658, A06, MF-A03).
- NCEER-97-0003 "Proceedings of the U.S.-Italian Workshop on Seismic Evaluation and Retrofit," Edited by D.P. Abrams and G.M. Calvi, 3/19/97, (PB97-194666, A13, MF-A03).
- NCEER-97-0004 "Investigation of Seismic Response of Buildings with Linear and Nonlinear Fluid Viscous Dampers," by A.A. Seleemah and M.C. Constantinou, 5/21/97, (PB98-109002, A15, MF-A03).
- NCEER-97-0005 "Proceedings of the Workshop on Earthquake Engineering Frontiers in Transportation Facilities," edited by G.C. Lee and I.M. Friedland, 8/29/97, (PB98-128911, A25, MR-A04).
- NCEER-97-0006 "Cumulative Seismic Damage of Reinforced Concrete Bridge Piers," by S.K. Kunnath, A. El-Bahy, A. Taylor and W. Stone, 9/2/97, (PB98-108814, A11, MF-A03).
- NCEER-97-0007 "Structural Details to Accommodate Seismic Movements of Highway Bridges and Retaining Walls," by R.A. Imbsen, R.A. Schamber, E. Thorkildsen, A. Kartoum, B.T. Martin, T.N. Rosser and J.M. Kulicki, 9/3/97.
- NCEER-97-0008 "A Method for Earthquake Motion-Damage Relationships with Application to Reinforced Concrete Frames," by A. Singhal and A.S. Kiremidjian, 9/10/97, (PB98-108988, A13, MF-A03).
- NCEER-97-0009 "Seismic Analysis and Design of Bridge Abutments Considering Sliding and Rotation," by K. Fishman and R. Richards, Jr., 9/15/97, (PB98-108897, A06, MF-A02).
- NCEER-97-0010 "Proceedings of the FHWA/NCEER Workshop on the National Representation of Seismic Ground Motion for New and Existing Highway Facilities," edited by I.M. Friedland, M.S. Power and R.L. Mayes, 9/22/97.
- NCEER-97-0011 "Seismic Analysis for Design or Retrofit of Gravity Bridge Abutments," by K.L. Fishman, R. Richards, Jr. and R.C. Divito, 10/2/97, (PB98-128937, A08, MF-A02).
- NCEER-97-0012 "Evaluation of Simplified Methods of Analysis for Yielding Structures," by P. Tsopelas, M.C. Constantinou, C.A. Kircher and A.S. Whittaker, 10/31/97, (PB98-128929, A10, MF-A03).
- NCEER-97-0013 "Seismic Design of Bridge Columns Based on Control and Repairability of Damage," by C-T. Cheng and J.B. Mander, 12/8/97.
- NCEER-97-0014 "Seismic Resistance of Bridge Piers Based on Damage Avoidance Design," by J.B. Mander and C-T. Cheng, 12/10/97.

- NCEER-97-0015 "Seismic Response of Nominally Symmetric Systems with Strength Uncertainty," by S. Balopoulou and M. Grigoriu, 12/23/97, (PB98-153422, A11, MF-A03).
- NCEER-97-0016 "Evaluation of Seismic Retrofit Methods for Reinforced Concrete Bridge Columns," by T.J. Wipf, F.W. Klaiber and F.M. Russo, 12/28/97.
- NCEER-97-0017 "Seismic Fragility of Existing Conventional Reinforced Concrete Highway Bridges," by C.L. Mullen and A.S. Cakmak, 12/30/97, (PB98-153406, A08, MF-A02).
- NCEER-97-0018 "Loss Assessment of Memphis Buildings," edited by D.P. Abrams and M. Shinozuka, 12/31/97.
- NCEER-97-0019 "Seismic Evaluation of Frames with Infill Walls Using Quasi-static Experiments," by K.M. Mosalam, R.N. White and P. Gergely, 12/31/97, (PB98-153455, A07, MF-A02).
- NCEER-97-0020 "Seismic Evaluation of Frames with Infill Walls Using Pseudo-dynamic Experiments," by K.M. Mosalam, R.N. White and P. Gergely, 12/31/97.
- NCEER-97-0021 "Computational Strategies for Frames with Infill Walls: Discrete and Smeared Crack Analyses and Seismic Fragility," by K.M. Mosalam, R.N. White and P. Gergely, 12/31/97, (PB98-153414, A10, MF-A02).
- NCEER-97-0022 "Proceedings of the NCEER Workshop on Evaluation of Liquefaction Resistance of Soils," edited by T.L. Youd and I.M. Idriss, 12/31/97.
- MCEER-98-0001 "Extraction of Nonlinear Hysteretic Properties of Seismically Isolated Bridges from Quick-Release Field Tests," by Q. Chen, B.M. Douglas, E.M. Maragakis and I.G. Buckle, 5/26/98.
- MCEER-98-0002 "Methodologies for Evaluating the Importance of Highway Bridges," by A. Thomas, S. Eshenaur and J. Kulicki, 5/29/98.
- MCEER-98-0003 "Capacity Design of Bridge Piers and the Analysis of Overstrength," by J.B. Mander, A. Dutta and P. Goel, 6/1/98.
- MCEER-98-0004 "Evaluation of Bridge Damage Data from the Loma Prieta and Northridge, California Earthquakes," by N. Basoz and A. Kiremidjian, 6/2/98.
- MCEER-98-0005 "Screening Guide for Rapid Assessment of Liquefaction Hazard at Highway Bridge Sites,"by T. L. Youd, 6/16/98.
- MCEER-98-0006 "Structural Steel/Concrete Interface Details for Bridges," by P. Ritchie, N. Kauhl and J. Kulicki, 7/13/98.
- MCEER-98-0007 "Capacity Design and Fatigue Analysis of Confined Concrete Columns," by A. Dutta and J.B. Mander, 7/14/98.
- MCEER-98-0008 "Proceedings of the Workshop on Performance Criteria for Telecommunication Services Under Earthquake Conditions," edited by A.J. Schiff, 7/15/98.
- MCEER-98-0009 "Fatigue Analysis of Unconfined Concrete Columns," by J.B. Mander, A. Dutta and J.H. Kim, 9/12/98.
- MCEER-98-0010 "Centrifuge Modeling of Cyclic Lateral Response of Pile-Cap Systems and Seat-Type Abutments in Dry Sands," by A.D. Gadre and R. Dobry, 10/2/98.
- MCEER-98-0011 "IDARC-BRIDGE: A Computational Platform for Seismic Damage Assessment of Bridge Structures," by A.M. Reinhorn, V. Simeonov, G. Mylonakis and Y. Reichman, 10/2/98.

- MCEER-98-0012 "Experimental Investigation of the Dynamic Response of Two Bridges Before and After Retrofitting with Elastomeric Bearings," by D.A. Wendichansky, S.S. Chen and J.B. Mander, 10/2/98.
- MCEER-98-0013 "Design Procedures for Hinge Restrainers and Hinge Sear Width for Multiple-Frame Bridges," by R. Des Roches and G.L. Fenves, 11/3/98, (PB99-140477, A13, MF-A03).
- MCEER-98-0014 "Response Modification Factors for Seismically Isolated Bridges," by M.C. Constantinou and J.K. Quarshie, 11/3/98, (PB99-140485, A14, MF-A03).
- MCEER-98-0015 "Proceedings of the U.S.-Italy Workshop on Seismic Protective Systems for Bridges," edited by I.M. Friedland and M.C. Constantinou, 11/3/98.
- MCEER-98-0016 "Appropriate Seismic Reliability for Critical Equipment Systems: Recommendations Based on Regional Analysis of Financial and Life Loss," by K. Porter, C. Scawthorn, C. Taylor and N. Blais, 11/10/98.
- MCEER-98-0017 "Proceedings of the U.S. Japan Joint Seminar on Civil Infrastructure Systems Research," edited by M. Shinozuka and A. Rose, 11/12/98.
- MCEER-98-0018 "Modeling of Pile Footings and Drilled Shafts for Seismic Design," by I. PoLam, M. Kapuskar and D. Chaudhuri, 12/21/98.
- MCEER-99-0001 "Seismic Evaluation of a Masonry Infilled Reinforced Concrete Frame by Pseudodynamic Testing," by S.G. Buonopane and R.N. White, 2/16/99.
- MCEER-99-0002 "Response History Analysis of Structures with Seismic Isolation and Energy Dissipation Systems: Verification Examples for Program SAP2000," by J. Scheller and M.C. Constantinou, 2/22/99.
- MCEER-99-0003 "Experimental Study on the Seismic Design and Retrofit of Bridge Columns Including Axial Load Effects," by A. Dutta, T. Kokorina and J.B. Mander, 2/22/99.
- MCEER-99-0004 "Experimental Study of Bridge Elastomeric and Other Isolation and Energy Dissipation Systems with Emphasis on Uplift Prevention and High Velocity Near-source Seismic Excitation," by A. Kasalanati and M. C. Constantinou, 2/26/99.
- MCEER-99-0005 "Truss Modeling of Reinforced Concrete Shear-flexure Behavior," by J.H. Kim and J.B. Mander, 3/8/99.
- MCEER-99-0006 "Experimental Investigation and Computational Modeling of Seismic Response of a 1:4 Scale Model Steel Structure with a Load Balancing Supplemental Damping System," by G. Pekcan, J.B. Mander and S.S. Chen, 4/2/99.
- MCEER-99-0007 "Effect of Vertical Ground Motions on the Structural Response of Highway Bridges," by M.R. Button, C.J. Cronin and R.L. Mayes, 4/10/99.
- MCEER-98-0008 "Seismic Reliability Assessment of Critical Facilities: A Handbook, Supporting Documentation, and Model Code Provisions," by G.S. Johnson, R.E. Sheppard, M.D. Quilici, S.J. Eder and C.R. Scawthorn, 4/12/99
- MCEER-99-0009 "Impact Assessment of Selected MCEER Highway Project Research on the Seismic Design of Highway Structures," by C. Rojahn, R. Mayes, D.G. Anderson, J.H. Clark, D'Appolonia Engineering, S. Gloyd and R.V. Nutt, 4/14/99.
- MCEER-99-0010 "Site Factors and Site Categories in Seismic Codes," by R. Dobry, R. Ramos and M.S. Power, 7/19/99.
- MCEER-99-0011 "Restrainer Design Procedures for Multi-Span Simply-Supported Bridges," by M.J. Randall, M. Saiidi, E. Maragakis and T. Isakovic, 7/20/99.
- MCEER-99-0012 "Property Modification Factors for Seismic Isolation Bearings," by M.C. Constantinou, P. Tsopelas, A. Kasalanati and E. Wolff, 7/20/99.
- MCEER-99-0013 "Critical Seismic Issues for Existing Steel Bridges," by P. Ritchie, N. Kauhl and J. Kulicki, 7/20/99.
- MCEER-99-0014 "Nonstructural Damage Database," by A. Kao, T.T. Soong and A. Vender, 7/24/99.
- MCEER-99-0015 "Guide to Remedial Measures for Liquefaction Mitigation at Existing Highway Bridge Sites," by H.G. Cooke and J. K. Mitchell, 7/26/99.
- MCEER-99-0016 "Proceedings of the MCEER Workshop on Ground Motion Methodologies for the Eastern United States," edited by N. Abrahamson and A. Becker, 8/11/99.
- MCEER-99-0017 "Quindio, Colombia Earthquake of January 25, 1999: Reconnaissance Report," by A.P. Asfura and P.J. Flores, 10/4/99.
- MCEER-99-0018 "Hysteretic Models for Cyclic Behavior of Deteriorating Inelastic Structures," by M.V. Sivaselvan and A.M. Reinhorn, 11/5/99.
- MCEER-99-0019 "Proceedings of the 7th U.S.- Japan Workshop on Earthquake Resistant Design of Lifeline Facilities and Countermeasures Against Soil Liquefaction," edited by T.D. O'Rourke, J.P. Bardet and M. Hamada, 11/19/99.
- MCEER-99-0020 "Development of Measurement Capability for Micro-Vibration Evaluations with Application to Chip Fabrication Facilities," by G.C. Lee, Z. Liang, J.W. Song, J.D. Shen and W.C. Liu, 12/1/99.

.