

PB 275 453

REPORT NO.  
UCB/EERC-77/21  
SEPTEMBER 1977

EARTHQUAKE ENGINEERING RESEARCH CENTER


# DYNAMIC PLASTIC ANALYSIS USING STRESS RESULTANT FINITE ELEMENT FORMULATION

by

PANITAN LUKKUNAPRASIT

and

JAMES M. KELLY



COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA • Berkeley, California



id

DYNAMIC PLASTIC ANALYSIS USING  
STRESS RESULTANT  
FINITE ELEMENT FORMULATION

by


Panitan Lukkunaprasit

and

James M. Kelly

Report No. UCB/EERC-77/21  
Earthquake Engineering Research Center  
College of Engineering  
University of California  
Berkeley, California

September 1977



ABSTRACT

A stress resultant finite element formulation is developed for the dynamic plastic analysis of plates and shells of revolution undergoing moderate deformation. A nonlinear elastic-viscoplastic constitutive relation simulates the behavior of rate-sensitive and -insensitive materials. A local time step subdivision procedure is developed to stabilize the direct numerical integration of the system of nonlinear dynamic equations; satisfactory accuracy is obtained with large time steps. The simple nonlinear viscoplastic constitutive model approximates the nonlinear dynamic behavior of metals over a wide range of strain rates and has the advantage that the need to identify the state of the material during deformation is eliminated and the numerical algorithm thereby simplified. Direct step-by-step integration techniques are used to solve the system of equations governing the motion of a structure under dynamic loading. An implicit Runge-Kutta scheme in conjunction with a Newton-Raphson iteration technique is used in solving systems of first order ordinary differential equations.



ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The research reported herein was partially supported by National Science Foundation Grant ENV76-04262.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT . . . . .	i
ACKNOWLEDGMENT . . . . .	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS . . . . .	iii
1. INTRODUCTION . . . . .	1
2. CONSTITUTIVE RELATIONS FOR ELASTIC-VISCOPLASTIC MATERIALS . . . . .	3
3. FINITE ELEMENT FORMULATION . . . . .	6
Incremental Equations of Motion . . . . .	6
Application to Thin Shells of Revolution under Axisymmetric Loading . . . . .	8
4. FORMULATION IN TERMS OF STRESS RESULTANTS . . . . .	11
5. NUMERICAL APPROACH TO NONLINEAR DYNAMIC PROBLEMS . . . . .	16
A Local Stabilizing Scheme for Numerical Solution of Nonlinear Equations . . . . .	19
6. NUMERICAL EXAMPLES . . . . .	22
Impulsively Loaded Clamped Circular Plates . . . . .	22
Impulsively Loaded Spherical Shells . . . . .	25
7. CONCLUSIONS . . . . .	27
REFERENCES . . . . .	29
FIGURES . . . . .	33



## 1. INTRODUCTION

The dynamic plastic analysis of structures and continua is a topic undergoing intense development at the present time, stimulated by the need to design structures and components in nuclear power plants against internal accident or external impact, and ductile structures against major earthquakes. Research in this area has been primarily in developing large finite element programs in which equations of motion and constitutive equations of plasticity are integrated using certain well-established numerical techniques. When substantial plastic deformation is involved, the cost and storage requirements of such programs can be prohibitive in the initial design phase, primarily due to difficulties in locating the regions of yielding and in verifying at each point and at each time whether loading or unloading is occurring. An approach affording considerable reduction in computer time and storage is proposed here. The approach is based on a modeling of plastic response in which the need to locate the yielded region and to verify whether loading or unloading is occurring is eliminated. A further simplification of the method when applied to structures composed of rods, beams, plates, or shells is achieved by formulating the yield conditions in stress resultants rather than in stresses, thereby eliminating the need to integrate stress components through the element thickness. The development of this approach to dynamic plastic analysis was motivated by recent research on the utilization of energy-absorbing devices in aseismic design. Since these devices experience substantial plastic deformation and the type currently under study operates in combined torsion and bending, the stress resultant formulation proposed here is highly useful.

The stress resultant finite element formulation proposed herein is developed for the dynamic plastic analysis of plates and shells of revolution undergoing moderate deformation. A nonlinear elastic-viscoplastic constitutive relation simulates the behavior of rate-sensitive and -insensitive materials. A local time step subdivision procedure is developed to stabilize the direct numerical integration of

the system of nonlinear dynamic equations; satisfactory accuracy is obtained with large time steps.

The principle of virtual work and a finite element discretization technique are used to establish a general finite element displacement formulation for the analysis of structures undergoing large deformation. Two solution approaches are suggested: (1) a current state formulation in which nonlinear effects are separated, and (2) an incremental formulation. These methods are then specialized to problems of thin plates and shells of revolution subjected to axisymmetric loading and undergoing moderate deformation by employing the Kirchhoff-Love kinematic assumptions and assuming that the material is elastic-perfectly viscoplastic and obeys the von Mises flow condition. Direct step-by-step integration techniques are used to solve the system of equations governing the motion of a structure under dynamic loading. An implicit Runge-Kutta scheme in conjunction with a Newton-Raphson iteration technique is used in solving systems of first order ordinary differential equations.

## 2. CONSTITUTIVE RELATIONS FOR ELASTIC-VISCOPLASTIC MATERIALS

Only problems in which moderate deformation with small rotations occur will be considered. Therefore, the influence of rotations on constitutive relations is ignored for simplicity and infinitesimal constitutive theory is employed. The error thus introduced is believed to be negligible for practical purposes.

Numerous constitutive theories of elastic-viscoplastic materials for small deformation have been developed [1-8]. Sokolovsky [1] introduced a linear viscoplastic law in which the total strain rate  $\dot{\epsilon}$  is assumed to be composed of an elastic part  $\dot{\epsilon}^e$  given by Hooke's Law and a viscoplastic part  $\dot{\epsilon}^p$  which is proportional to an overstress. A general relation was proposed by Malvern [2] in which the plastic strain rate is assumed to be some function of the current stress and strain. Dislocation theories have been used to develop constitutive models [3,4]. One such model, suggested by Gilman [4], is taken as the basis of the present development and has the form:

$$\dot{\epsilon}^p = \frac{1}{\tau} (\sigma/\sigma_0)^n \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\tau$ ,  $n$ , and  $\sigma_0$  are material constants ( $\sigma_0$  is usually taken as the static yield stress), and  $\sigma$  is the actual stress.

By superposing the elastic part we have the complete constitutive relation:

$$\frac{\dot{\sigma}}{E} = \dot{\epsilon} - \frac{1}{\tau} \left| \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_0} \right|^n \text{sign}(\sigma) \quad (2.2)$$

where  $\text{sign}(\sigma) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } \sigma > 0 \\ -1 & \text{for } \sigma < 0 \end{cases}$ , and  $E$  is Young's modulus. For constant strain rate loading, an asymptotic stress termed the dynamic yield stress  $\sigma_d$  exists and is given by

$$\left| \sigma_d/\sigma_0 \right| = \left| \tau \dot{\epsilon} \right|^{1/n} \quad (2.3)$$

Constitutive relations for elastic-viscoplastic materials under multiaxial deformation have been developed by Perzyna [5,6] who again assumed that the total strain rate  $\dot{\epsilon}_{ij}$  consists of an elastic part

$\dot{\epsilon}_{ij}^e$  and an inelastic part  $\dot{\epsilon}_{ij}^p$ . Perzyna's theory assumes the existence of an initial yield surface beyond which viscoplastic flow occurs, the rate of increase of the viscoplastic strain components being a function of the extent to which the stress state exceeds the static yield criterion. Due to these assumptions, it is difficult to generalize one-dimensional viscoplastic models with no definite yield surface to multiaxial deformation using this theory. This difficulty is overcome by using a scalar flow potential  $\Omega(\underline{\sigma}, \text{history})$  such that:

$$\dot{\epsilon}_{ij}^p = \frac{\partial \Omega(\underline{\sigma}, \text{history})}{\partial \sigma_{ij}} \quad (2.4)$$

where  $\underline{\sigma}$  is the stress tensor. Examples of such constitutive models and their implications have been explored by Kelly and Gillis [3]. The following flow potential for nonhardening materials is used to generalize the one-dimensional theory:

$$\Omega = c \left( \frac{f(\underline{\sigma})}{f_0} \right)^{n+1} \quad (2.5)$$

where  $c$  is a material constant and  $f(\underline{\sigma})$  equals  $f_0$ , a constant corresponding to the flow surface for static yielding. Thus

$$\dot{\epsilon}_{ij}^p = \beta^* \left( \frac{f(\underline{\sigma})}{f_0} \right)^n \frac{\partial f(\underline{\sigma})}{\partial \sigma_{ij}} \quad (2.6)$$

where  $\beta^* = c(n+1)f_0$ . The complete constitutive relation is obtained by superposing the elastic part and inverting the resulting expression:

$$\underline{\dot{\sigma}} = \underline{D}^e \underline{\dot{\epsilon}} - \underline{\dot{\sigma}}^{(n)} \quad (2.7a)$$

where

$$\underline{\sigma} = \langle \sigma_{11} \ \sigma_{22} \ \sigma_{33} \ \sigma_{12} \ \sigma_{23} \ \sigma_{31} \rangle$$

$$\underline{\epsilon} = \langle \epsilon_{11} \ \epsilon_{22} \ \epsilon_{33} \ \epsilon_{12} \ \epsilon_{23} \ \epsilon_{31} \rangle$$

$$\underline{D}^e - \text{matrix of elasticity constants,}$$

and

$$\dot{\underline{\sigma}}^{(n)} = \beta^* \underline{D}^e \left( \frac{f(\underline{\sigma})}{f_0} \right)^n \frac{\partial f(\underline{\sigma})}{\partial \underline{\sigma}} \quad (2.7b)$$

For a von Mises type flow function,

$$f(\underline{\sigma}) = \sqrt{J_2(s)} = \left( \frac{1}{2} s_{ij} s_{ij} \right)^{1/2} \quad (2.7c)$$

and the nonlinear stress rate  $\dot{\underline{\sigma}}^{(n)}$  simplifies to

$$\dot{\underline{\sigma}}^{(n)} = \beta \underline{D}^3 \left( \frac{\sqrt{J_2}}{k} \right)^{n-1} \underline{s}/k \quad (2.7d)$$

where  $\underline{s} = \langle s_{11} \ s_{22} \ s_{33} \ s_{12} \ s_{23} \ s_{31} \rangle^T$  is the deviatoric stress vector,  $k$  is the static yield stress in simple shear, and  $\beta = \beta^*/2$ .

For uniaxial tension the constitutive relation (2.7) reduces to:

$$\dot{\sigma}/E = \dot{\epsilon} - (2\beta/\sqrt{3}) (\sigma/\sigma_0)^n$$

The constitutive model (2.7) describes all phases of deformation (elastic and elastic-viscoplastic, loading and unloading) in a smooth fashion and can be used to approximate elastic-perfectly plastic behavior in the limit as  $n$  approaches infinity\* as suggested by Kelly [10]. Thus, there is no need to check loading or unloading processes nor to identify the state of the material during deformation when this constitutive model is incorporated in a numerical scheme, an advantage over models that assume a definite yield surface.

---

\* In practice, a value of 25 or greater for  $n$  provides satisfactory results [9].

### 3. FINITE ELEMENT FORMULATION

The principle of virtual work [11,12] and a finite element discretization are used to derive the following equations of motion [9]:

$$\underline{\underline{M}} \ddot{\underline{\underline{q}}}(t) + \underline{\underline{k}}_h - \underline{\underline{k}}_R = 0 \quad (3.1)$$

where  $\underline{\underline{M}}$  is the mass matrix,  $\underline{\underline{q}}(t)$  is the global nodal point acceleration vector,  $\underline{\underline{k}}_R$  is the external force vector,  $\underline{\underline{k}}_h$  is the equivalent nodal force vector, the superscript "k" denotes the current state, and t denotes the time parameter. The nodal forces are given by

$$\underline{\underline{k}}_h(\underline{\underline{x}}, \underline{\underline{q}}) = \int_{V_0} \underline{\underline{B}}^T(\underline{\underline{x}}, \underline{\underline{q}}) \underline{\underline{k}}_\sigma dV \quad (3.2)$$

where  $\underline{\underline{k}}_\sigma$  is as defined earlier, and  $\underline{\underline{B}}(\underline{\underline{x}}, \underline{\underline{q}})$  is the virtual global displacement - virtual strain transformation matrix, i.e.

$$\underline{\underline{k}}_{\delta E} = \underline{\underline{B}}(\underline{\underline{x}}, \underline{\underline{q}}) \underline{\underline{k}}_{\delta q} \quad (3.3)$$

in which  $\underline{\underline{k}}_{\delta E} = \langle \underline{\underline{k}}_{\delta E_{11}} \quad \underline{\underline{k}}_{\delta E_{22}} \quad \underline{\underline{k}}_{\delta E_{33}} \quad 2\underline{\underline{k}}_{\delta E_{12}} \quad 2\underline{\underline{k}}_{\delta E_{23}} \quad 2\underline{\underline{k}}_{\delta E_{31}} \rangle^T$  is the vector of virtual Green strains, and the integral is carried over the original volume of the structure.

The equations of motion (3.1), the nodal force equations (3.2) together with appropriate constitutive relations and initial and boundary conditions constitute the system of equations governing the response of the finite element model. This type of formulation has been discussed by Argyris, et al. [13] and Lukkunaprasit [9].

#### Incremental Equations of Motion

Of the numerous incremental methods available for solving nonlinear problems [14-16], a convenient approach is one in which the equations of motion (3.1) in a state "k+1" at time  $t+\Delta t$  are expressed in terms of the adjacent state "k" at time t and the incremental quantities [9]:

$$\tilde{M} \ddot{\tilde{q}} + \tilde{h}(\tilde{q}, \tilde{q}, \tilde{\sigma}) = \tilde{R}^{k+1} - \tilde{M} \tilde{q}^{\ddot{k}} - \tilde{h}^k \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$\tilde{h}(\tilde{q}, \tilde{q}, \tilde{\sigma}) = \int_{V_0} [\tilde{B}^T(\tilde{x}, \tilde{q}) \tilde{\sigma} + \frac{\partial \tilde{B}^T(\tilde{x}, \tilde{q})}{\partial \tilde{k}_q} \tilde{k}_\sigma \tilde{q}] dV \quad (3.5a)$$

$$\tilde{\sigma} = \tilde{\sigma}^{k+1} - \tilde{\sigma}^k$$

$$\tilde{q} = \tilde{q}^{k+1} - \tilde{q}^k$$

Higher order terms are neglected in (3.5a). For elastic-viscoplastic materials with constitutive relations given by (2.7) the incremental nodal force  $\tilde{h}$  is:

$$\tilde{h}(\tilde{q}, \tilde{q}, \tilde{\sigma}) = \tilde{K}(\tilde{q}, \tilde{\sigma}) \tilde{q} - \tilde{h}^{(n)} \quad (3.5b)$$

where  $\tilde{K}$  is the incremental stiffness matrix given by

$$\tilde{K}(\tilde{q}, \tilde{\sigma}) = \int_{V_0} [\tilde{B}^T \tilde{D}^e \tilde{B} + \frac{\partial \tilde{B}^T}{\partial \tilde{k}_q} \tilde{k}_\sigma] dV \quad (3.5c)$$

and

$$\tilde{h}^{(n)} = \int_{V_0} \tilde{B}^T \dot{\tilde{\sigma}}^{(n)} \Delta t dV \quad (3.5d)$$

Due to the highly nonlinear nature of the material, the state  $(\tilde{q}^{k+1}, \tilde{\sigma}^{k+1})$  as obtained from one application of the incremental equations may not adequately satisfy the equations of motion (3.1). Therefore an iterative incremental procedure is adopted wherein the incremental equations of motion (3.4) are applied to the updated state, where  $k$  now denotes the updated state,  $\tilde{q}$  is the incremental displacement between two consecutive iterations, and  $\tilde{h}^{(n)}$  is modified to  $\Delta \tilde{h}^{(n)}$ :

$$\Delta \tilde{h}^{(n)} = \int_{V_0} \tilde{B}^T \{ \dot{\tilde{\sigma}}^{(n)}(\tilde{\sigma}^{**}) - \dot{\tilde{\sigma}}^{(n)}(\tilde{\sigma}^*) \} \Delta t dV \quad (3.6)$$

in which  $\tilde{\sigma}^*$  and  $\tilde{\sigma}^{**}$  are stress states within the time increment [9].

In our numerical scheme we neglect the term  $\Delta h^{(n)}$ , which is acceptable provided that we iterate until convergence is achieved. Then,  ${}^{k+1}_R - M^i \ddot{q} - \dot{h}^i$  approaches zero as "i" approaches k+1, and the equations of motion (3.1) are satisfied.

#### Application to Thin Shells of Revolution under Axisymmetric Loading

The theory described above will now be applied to thin plates and shells of revolution under torsionless axisymmetric loading. For simplicity we employ the conical frustum element [17] slightly modified to improve membrane characteristics. Figure 1 shows such an element together with the local physical coordinates  $(\eta, \xi)$ , the local normalized coordinates  $(s, \zeta)$ , and the global coordinates  $(r, z)$ . By adopting the Kirchhoff-Love hypothesis [18] the current meridional and normal displacement fields can be expressed in terms of those of the middle surface  $u^0(\eta)$ ,  $w^0(\eta)$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} u(\eta, \xi) &= u^0(\eta) - \xi w^0_{,\eta}(\eta) \\ w(\eta, \xi) &= w^0(\eta) \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

The normal and in-plane displacement fields will be given by a cubic and quadratic representation, respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} u^0(s) &= s(s-1)/2 u_i + (1+s)(1-s) u_o + s(s+1)/2 u_j \\ w^0(s) &= \psi_1(s)w_i + \psi_2(s)w_j + \psi_3(s)\theta_i + \psi_4(s)\theta_j \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_1(s) &= 0.5 - 0.75s + 0.25s^3 \\ \psi_2(s) &= 0.5 + 0.75s - 0.25s^3 \\ \psi_3(s) &= L(1 - s - s^2 + s^3)/8 \\ \psi_4(s) &= L(-1 - s + s^2 + s^3)/8 \end{aligned}$$

L is the length of the element,  $u_i$ ,  $w_i$ , and  $\theta_i$  are respectively the meridional, normal displacement, and rotation of node "i", and  $u_o$  is

the meridional displacement of the center node "o". Due to axisymmetry of geometry and loading and the kinematic assumptions, the nonzero strain components are the meridional and circumferential strain components given, respectively, by:

$$\begin{aligned} k_{E_{\eta\eta}} &= u_{,\eta} + \frac{1}{2} w^2_{,\eta} \\ k_{E_{\theta\theta}} &= (u \cos \Phi + w \sin \Phi)/r \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

We can easily deduce the strain-nodal displacement relations from local-global coordinate transformation [19]:

$$\begin{aligned} k_{E_{\eta\eta}}(s, \xi, k_q) &= \frac{2}{L} \langle \phi \rangle_{1,s} k_q + \frac{1}{2} k_q^T \langle \phi \rangle_3^T \langle \phi \rangle_3 k_q - \xi \left(\frac{2}{L}\right)^2 \langle \phi \rangle_{2,ss} k_q \\ k_{E_{\theta\theta}}(s, \xi, k_q) &= \frac{1}{r} [\langle \phi \rangle_1 \cos \Phi + \langle \phi \rangle_2 \sin \Phi - \xi \langle \phi \rangle_3 \cos \Phi] k_q \end{aligned}$$

where  $\phi$  is the angle defining the element inclination (Fig. 1),

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi \rangle_1 &= \left\langle \frac{s(s-1)}{2} \cos \Phi, -\frac{s(s-1)}{2} \sin \Phi, 0, \frac{s(s+1)}{2} \cos \Phi, \right. \\ &\quad \left. -\frac{s(s+1)}{2} \sin \Phi, 0, 1 - s^2 \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi \rangle_2 &= \langle \psi_1(s) \sin \Phi, \psi_1(s) \cos \Phi, \psi_3(s), \psi_2(s) \sin \Phi, \\ &\quad \psi_2(s) \cos \Phi, \psi_4(s), 0 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\langle \phi \rangle_3 = \frac{2}{L} \langle \phi \rangle_{2,s}$$

and

$$k_q = \langle \bar{u}_i, \bar{w}_i, \bar{\theta}_i, \bar{u}_j, \bar{w}_j, \bar{\theta}_j, u_o \rangle^T$$

is the vector of nodal displacements in global coordinates. Finally, by writing the above in terms of virtual quantities, we obtain:

$$k_{\delta E} = \underline{B}(s, \xi, k_q) k_{\delta q}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\underline{B}}(s, \xi, \underline{\underline{k}}_q) &= \left( \frac{\frac{2}{L} \langle \phi \rangle_{1,s} + \underline{\underline{k}}_q^T \langle \phi \rangle_3^T \langle \phi \rangle_3}{r} \right) - \xi \left( \frac{\frac{4}{L^2} \langle \phi \rangle_{2,ss}}{r} \right) \\ &\equiv \underline{\underline{B}}_{(1)}(s, \underline{\underline{k}}_q) + \xi \underline{\underline{B}}_{(2)}(s) \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

which is the matrix needed to evaluate the incremental stiffness matrix.

The rates of strains and displacements are also related by the B matrix:

$$\underline{\underline{k}}_{\underline{\underline{E}}} = \underline{\underline{B}}(s, \xi, \underline{\underline{k}}_q) \underline{\underline{k}}_{\underline{\underline{q}}} \quad (3.11)$$

#### 4. FORMULATION IN TERMS OF STRESS RESULTANTS

We now introduce (3.10) into (3.2) to obtain the nodal force-internal stress resultant relations:

$$\tilde{k}_h = \sum^{\text{No. of elements}} \left[ \int_{-1}^1 (B_{(1)}^T \tilde{k}_N r^\circ(s) \frac{L}{2} + B_{(2)}^T \tilde{k}_M r^\circ(s) \frac{L}{2}) ds \right] \quad (4.1)$$

in which

$$\tilde{k}_N = \int_{-d/2}^{d/2} \begin{Bmatrix} k_{\sigma_{\eta\eta}} \\ k_{\sigma_{\theta\theta}} \end{Bmatrix} d\xi$$

$$\tilde{k}_M = \int_{-d/2}^{d/2} \begin{Bmatrix} k_{\sigma_{\eta\eta}} \\ k_{\sigma_{\theta\theta}} \end{Bmatrix} \xi d\xi$$

$d$  is the element thickness, and  $r^\circ(s)$  has been used in place of  $r(s, \xi)$  by the assumption of thin shells.

By virtue of the constitutive equations (2.7) and the rate of strain-displacement relations (3.11) the rates of the meridional and circumferential moment resultants,  $\dot{k}_{M_{\eta\eta}}$  and  $\dot{k}_{M_{\theta\theta}}$ , at a section  $\eta$  are given by

$$\tilde{k}_{M(\eta)} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \dot{k}_{M_{\eta\eta}} \\ \dot{k}_{M_{\theta\theta}} \end{pmatrix} \frac{d^3}{12} D_{(2)}^e B_{(2)} \tilde{q} - c_0 \int_{-d/2}^{d/2} \left| \frac{\frac{1}{2} k_{s_{ij}} k_{s_{ij}}}{k^2} \right|^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 2k_{\sigma_{\eta\eta}} - k_{\sigma_{\theta\theta}} \\ -k_{\sigma_{\eta\eta}} + 2k_{\sigma_{\theta\theta}} \end{pmatrix} \xi d\xi \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$c_0 = EB/[\sqrt{3} \sigma_0 (1+\nu)],$$

and

$$D_{(2)}^e = \frac{E}{1-\nu^2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \nu \\ \nu & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.3)$$

The integrals in (4.2) involve nonlinear functions of the stresses which in turn are given by nonlinear differential equations (2.7) and cannot be carried out explicitly. Our aim is to develop approximate expressions for these integrals. To do so, let us consider an elastic-perfectly

plastic material. Theoretically,  $n$  tends to infinity for such a material. Prior to yielding, the function  $1/2 k_{s_{ij}}^k s_{ij}$  is less than the yield value  $k^2$  at every point over the cross section, so that

$$\left| \frac{\frac{1}{2} k_{s_{ij}}^k s_{ij}}{k^2} \right|^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$$

and the integrals vanish in the limit as  $n$  tends to infinity.

Next, suppose that the section just reaches the limit (or plastic collapse) state, and thus that the yield condition  $1/2 k_{s_{ij}}^k s_{ij} = k^2$  is satisfied everywhere across the section. At this state, the yield condition in the stress resultant space can be derived [20] and symbolically represented by

$$f_y(\tilde{k}_n, \tilde{k}_m) = 1 \quad (4.4)$$

where

$$\tilde{k}_n = k_N/N_0$$

$$\tilde{k}_m = k_M/M_0$$

$$N_0 = \sigma_0 d$$

$$M_0 = \sigma_0 d^2/4$$

The local yield condition is replaced by the yield condition in the stress resultant space; thus,

$$\left| \frac{\frac{1}{2} k_{s_{ij}}^k s_{ij}}{k^2} \right|$$

may be replaced by

$$|f_y(\tilde{k}_n, \tilde{k}_m)|$$

so that (4.2) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} k_{\tilde{M}}^*(\eta) &= \frac{d^3}{12} D_{\tilde{B}(2)}^e k_{\tilde{q}}^* - c_o |f_y(k_{\tilde{n}}, k_{\tilde{m}})|^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \int_{-d/2}^{d/2} \begin{pmatrix} 2k_{\sigma_{\eta\eta}} - k_{\sigma_{\theta\theta}} \\ -k_{\sigma_{\eta\eta}} + 2k_{\sigma_{\theta\theta}} \end{pmatrix} \xi d\xi \\ &= \frac{d^3}{12} D_{\tilde{B}(2)}^e k_{\tilde{q}}^* - c_o |f_y(k_{\tilde{n}}, k_{\tilde{m}})|^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 2k_{M_{\eta\eta}} - k_{M_{\theta\theta}} \\ -k_{M_{\eta\eta}} + 2k_{M_{\theta\theta}} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

or in normalized form,

$$k_{\tilde{M}}^*(\eta) = D_{\tilde{(2)}\tilde{(2)}} B_{\tilde{(2)}} k_{\tilde{q}}^* - c_o |f_y(k_{\tilde{n}}, k_{\tilde{m}})|^{\frac{n-1}{2}} k_{\tilde{m}}^* \quad (4.5a)$$

in which

$$D_{\tilde{(2)}} = d^3 D^e / 12M_o$$

and

$$k_{\tilde{m}}^* = \begin{pmatrix} 2k_{m_{\eta\eta}} - k_{m_{\theta\theta}} \\ -k_{m_{\eta\eta}} + 2k_{m_{\theta\theta}} \end{pmatrix}$$

Equations (4.5a) are also valid at incipient yielding and will be adopted as interpolation functions, as shown schematically in Fig. 2 for one-dimensional bending of a beam section.

By the same procedure we arrive at the constitutive relations for the in-plane stress resultants:

$$k_{\tilde{N}}^*(\eta) = D_{\tilde{(1)}\tilde{(1)}} B_{\tilde{(1)}} k_{\tilde{q}}^* - c_o |f_y(k_{\tilde{n}}, k_{\tilde{m}})|^{\frac{n-1}{2}} k_{\tilde{n}}^* \quad (4.5b)$$

where

$$D_{\tilde{(1)}} = d D^e / N_o$$

and

$$k_{\tilde{n}}^* = \begin{pmatrix} 2k_{n_{\eta\eta}} - k_{n_{\theta\theta}} \\ -k_{n_{\eta\eta}} + 2k_{n_{\theta\theta}} \end{pmatrix}$$

The foregoing treatment can be extended to the elastic-viscoplastic case by assuming that a viscoplastic collapse state exists for which the function  $1/2 k_{s_{ij}}^k s_{ij}$  takes on a value of  $k_d^2$  throughout the cross-section, where  $k_d$  is the dynamic yield value. The corresponding yield surface in the stress resultant space is

$$f_y(k_n, k_m) = \left(\frac{k_d}{k}\right)^2 \quad (4.6)$$

The function  $1/2 k_{s_{ij}}^k s_{ij}/k^2$  in eqs. (4.2) is then replaced by  $f_y(k_n, k_m)$  and the results are again eqs. (4.5) but, of course, with different material constants than for the previous case. As before, eqs. (4.5) are adopted as interpolation functions for intermediate states. It should be noted that in the stress resultant formulation only the value of the yield function  $f_y(k_n, k_m)$  need be known. The flow condition has been incorporated in the constitutive relations for the stress components.

The yield condition in terms of stress resultants was first obtained by Ilyushin [21] in a parametric representation for the general thin shell obeying the von Mises yield criterion. Several cases where this relation could be expressed explicitly as a function of stress resultants were also given. By using the flow theory of plasticity, exact yield conditions for rotationally symmetric shells were derived by Onat and Prager [22] for Tresca materials and by Hodge [23] for von Mises yield criterion. Due to the complexity of these yield conditions, simplifying methods were introduced to obtain an approximate yield condition that was computationally manageable. Hodge [23,24] replaced the uniform shell by an idealized sandwich shell. Approximations for the Tresca condition have been proposed by Flugge and Nakamura [25], Hodge [26], and others. Robinson [27] adopted the following yield conditions obtained by Ilyushin [21] for special cases as approximations to the whole yield surface, and obtained bounds for the collapse load associated with each case:

$$Y_1 : J_2(n) + J_2(m) = 1 ; \quad 0.955 p_0 \leq p_1 \leq 1.155 p_0$$

$$Y_2: J_2(\tilde{n}) = [1 - J_2(\tilde{n})]^2 ; \quad 0.834 p_0 \leq p_2 \leq p_0$$

$$Y_3: J_2(\tilde{n}) + J_2(\tilde{m}) + |J_{nm}|/\sqrt{3} = 1 ; \quad 0.939 p_0 \leq p_3 \leq 1.034 p_0$$

where

$$J_2(n) = n_1^2 + n_2^2 - n_1 n_2 + 3n_{12}^2$$

$$J_2(m) = m_1^2 + m_2^2 - m_1 m_2 + 3m_{12}^2$$

$$J_{nm} = n_1(m_1 - \frac{m_2}{2}) + n_2(m_2 - \frac{m_1}{2}) + 3n_{12}m_{12}$$

n's and m's are, respectively, the nondimensional membrane and moment resultants,  $p_i$  is the collapse load corresponding to the yield condition  $y_i$ , and  $p_0$  is the exact collapse load. The approximate condition  $Y_1$  was also obtained by Rozenblum [28] who used the lower and upper bound approach. Lukkunaprasit [9] arrived at  $Y_2$  for the axisymmetric case by means of some physical and mechanical considerations and compared it to the exact von Mises condition given by Hodge [23]. The bounds for the collapse loads were:

$$0.854 p_0 \leq p_2 \leq p_0$$

Since the lower bound is slightly greater than that obtained by Robinson [27],  $Y_2$  will be slightly more conservative for some deformation other than axisymmetric. More complicated higher order approximate yield conditions have been studied [27], but will not be discussed here.

## 5. NUMERICAL APPROACH TO NONLINEAR DYNAMIC PROBLEMS

Widely used direct integration operators in structural dynamics are the Houbolt [29], Newmark [30], and Wilson [31] operators. Their characteristics and unconditional stability criteria have been studied extensively with regard to their application to linear systems [30,32-35]. Several A-stable methods for solving first order ordinary differential equations (o.d.e.) also exist [35,36], but are less often used by structural engineers. An implicit second order Runge-Kutta method, with characteristics identical to the trapezoidal rule when applied to linear systems, will be employed in this study.

In applying integration operators to nonlinear systems, stability is governed not only by the integration operator used, but also by the method used to treat the nonlinear terms, and to some extent by the nature of the nonlinearity, e.g. geometric or material. In dealing with nonlinear vibrations of elastic shells, Stricklin, et al. [37] treated the nonlinear terms as pseudo-generalized forces and used a linear extrapolation to obtain values at the end of the increment. Both the Newmark ( $\gamma = 1/2$ ,  $\beta = 1/4$ ) and Houbolt operators were investigated, and while the former was found to be unstable, the large artificial damping inherent in the latter method maintained stability. Wu and Witmer [38] employed a similar extrapolation procedure to solve for the dynamic response of an elastic-plastic beam under impulsive loading and reported less satisfactory results; even the Houbolt method ceased to maintain stability. Weeks [39] employed a Newton-Raphson iteration technique together with the Houbolt and Newmark methods for solving nonlinear problems and obtained very satisfactory results. However, all nonlinear terms were included explicitly in the equations of motion, requiring excessive storage for the Jacobian matrix generated by the Newton-Raphson procedure. Therefore, several difficulties, namely those of numerical stability, storage requirements, and practicality, must be resolved.

In the current state formulation it is convenient to decompose the equations of motion into a system of first order o.d.e., thus:

$$\underline{\underline{M}} \underline{\underline{\dot{k}}}_v = \underline{\underline{k}}_R - \underline{\underline{k}}_h \quad (5.1)$$

$$\underline{\underline{k}}_v = \underline{\underline{k}}_q \quad (5.2)$$

These equations, together with the constitutive relations (4.5), will be discretized using an implicit second order Runge-Kutta method [35], and the Newton-Raphson iteration scheme will be employed to solve the discretized algebraic equations.

To advance from the known state "k" to the next state "k+1", iterations 1, 2, .., i, i+1, .. are performed, and the implicit Runge-Kutta scheme is applied to the equations of motion:

$$\underline{\underline{f}}_v(\underline{\underline{i}}_v, \underline{\underline{i}}_h) \equiv \underline{\underline{M}} \frac{\underline{\underline{i}}_v - \underline{\underline{k}}_v}{\Delta t} - \frac{\underline{\underline{i}}_R + \underline{\underline{k}}_R}{2} + \frac{\underline{\underline{i}}_h + \underline{\underline{k}}_h}{2} = 0$$

where  $\Delta t$  is the time increment.

The residual is defined as:

$$\underline{\underline{f}}_v(\underline{\underline{j}}_v, \underline{\underline{j}}_h) \equiv \underline{\underline{M}} \frac{\underline{\underline{j}}_v - \underline{\underline{k}}_v}{\Delta t} - \frac{\underline{\underline{j}}_R + \underline{\underline{k}}_R}{2} + \frac{\underline{\underline{j}}_h + \underline{\underline{k}}_h}{2} \quad (5.3)$$

which can be identified physically as the unbalanced nodal forces. With trial values  $\underline{\underline{i}}_v, \underline{\underline{i}}_h$  the residual will in general be nonzero. The corrections  $\Delta \underline{\underline{i}}_v \equiv \underline{\underline{i}}_v^{i+1} - \underline{\underline{i}}_v$  and  $\Delta \underline{\underline{i}}_h \equiv \underline{\underline{i}}_h^{i+1} - \underline{\underline{i}}_h$  are sought to make  $\underline{\underline{f}}_v(\underline{\underline{i}}_v^{i+1}, \underline{\underline{i}}_h^{i+1}) = 0$  by applying the Newton-Raphson scheme. Thus,

$$\underline{\underline{f}}_v(\underline{\underline{i}}_v + \Delta \underline{\underline{i}}_v, \underline{\underline{i}}_h + \Delta \underline{\underline{i}}_h) = 0 = \underline{\underline{f}}_v(\underline{\underline{i}}_v, \underline{\underline{i}}_h) + \frac{\partial \underline{\underline{f}}_v}{\partial \underline{\underline{i}}_v} \Delta \underline{\underline{i}}_v + \frac{\partial \underline{\underline{f}}_v}{\partial \underline{\underline{i}}_h} \Delta \underline{\underline{i}}_h$$

which, after introducing (5.3), yields:

$$\Delta \underline{\underline{i}}_v = \Delta t \underline{\underline{M}}^{-1} \left( -\underline{\underline{f}}_v - \frac{\Delta \underline{\underline{i}}_h}{2} \right) \quad (5.4)$$

As a first approximation  $\Delta_{\tilde{h}}^i$  is taken as zero, equivalent to holding  $\tilde{h}^i$  fixed and allowing the velocity field to vary in such a way that the residual becomes zero.

By the procedures used before, the residual in the constitutive function is defined as:

$$\tilde{f}_m(\tilde{i}_m, \tilde{i}_v) = \frac{\tilde{i}_m - k_m}{\Delta t} - D_{(2)} B_{(2)} \frac{\tilde{i}_v + k_v}{2} + c_0 f_y \frac{n-1}{2} (\bar{n}, \bar{m}) \bar{m}^* \quad (5.5)$$

in which the bar above a vector denotes the average values of the state "k" and the "i"th iterate. The Newton-Raphson method is applied to (5.5) with the velocity field fixed, resulting in [9]:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\Delta t} + \frac{c_0}{2} a_1 & \frac{c_0}{2} a'_1 \\ \frac{c_0}{2} a_2 & \frac{1}{\Delta t} + \frac{c_0}{2} a'_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{\tilde{m}}^i \\ \Delta_{\tilde{m}}^i \end{pmatrix} = -\tilde{f}_m \quad (5.6)$$

where  $c_1 = c'_2 = 2$ ;  $c'_1 = c_2 = -1$ .

$$\tilde{a} = f_y \frac{n-1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \bar{m}_{\eta\eta} + c'_1 \bar{m}_{\theta\theta} \\ c_2 \bar{m}_{\eta\eta} + c'_2 \bar{m}_{\theta\theta} \end{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f_y}{\partial \bar{m}} \frac{n-1}{2},$$

$$\tilde{a}' = f_y \frac{n-1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} c'_1 \\ c'_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \bar{m}_{\eta\eta} + c'_1 \bar{m}_{\theta\theta} \\ c_2 \bar{m}_{\eta\eta} + c'_2 \bar{m}_{\theta\theta} \end{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f_y}{\partial \bar{m}} \frac{n-1}{2}$$

It can be shown that  $a_1$  and  $a'_2$  are positive, and that  $a_1 a'_2 > a_2 a'_1$ . It follows that the determinant of the coefficient matrix of  $\Delta_{\tilde{m}}^i$  is nonzero and that an inverse exists. The in-plane stress resultants can be integrated in a similar manner.

Finally, the integration of (5.2) is simply

$${}^{i+1}\underline{q} - {}^k\underline{q} = \frac{{}^{i+1}\underline{v} + {}^k\underline{v}}{2} \Delta t$$

No storage problems are created by applying the Newton-Raphson procedure to the global "linear" equations of motion (5.1) because (5.4) can be solved by Gaussian elimination or by other convenient alternative procedures. For nonlinear systems of equations (4.1, 4.5) the finite element discretization technique allows the Newton-Raphson scheme to be applied locally at each section. Consequently, very little storage is required for the Jacobian matrix as is evident from (5.6).

#### A Local Stabilizing Scheme for Numerical Solution of Nonlinear Equations

To stabilize the numerical integration of the system of nonlinear equations, a local step size subdivision stabilizing scheme is used in conjunction with the numerical integration method just described. Beginning with the incremental velocity predicted by (5.4), the residual in the constitutive functions and the change in internal stress at each Gauss station are calculated element-by-element. If the residual is large, the time step is subdivided into  $n$  equal subdivisions of duration  $\Delta t_s = \Delta t/n$  for that Gauss station of the element (Fig. 3). If it is assumed that the variation of velocity within the time step is linear, then:

$${}^{j+1}\underline{v} = {}^j\underline{v} + \frac{{}^i\underline{v} - {}^k\underline{v}}{n}$$

Given the velocity field, the numerical procedures discussed previously can be applied successively to each subdivision to determine the internal stresses at the end of each subdivision.

Where the material is elastic, the original procedure with large time steps is used. The internal stresses are then integrated numerically throughout the element to obtain the element nodal forces. By summing over all elements, the structural nodal forces are obtained and again introduced into the equations of motion, providing an improved solution  ${}^{i+1}\underline{v}$ . Iteration continues until convergence is achieved.

To illustrate the effectiveness of the local step size subdivision procedure, a single-story shear building subjected to base excitation as shown in Fig. 4 was considered. The columns are made of an elastic-perfectly plastic material and the column shear-displacement relation is approximated by an elastic-viscoplastic model:

$$\dot{F} = k\dot{u} - \frac{F_0}{\tau} \left( \frac{F}{F_0} \right)^n$$

where  $F$  is total story shear,  $F_0$  is static yield value of total story shear,  $k$  is total elastic shear stiffness,  $u$  is story displacement, and  $n$  and  $\tau$  are material parameters. A large value, 51, was used for  $n$  and a value of 0.1 sec. was assumed for  $\tau$ .

A very small time step of approximately  $1/74 T_1$  where  $T_1$  is the fundamental period for linear elastic vibration was used without applying the subdivision procedure and the scheme performed satisfactorily. Although some extremely small oscillations occurred in the story shear-displacement response during yielding (Fig. 5), the plot of story displacement vs. time (Fig. 4) reveals that the steady state was accurately reproduced. This solution will be referred to as a converged solution.

A time step four times the previous value was then used, still without using the subdivision procedure. The scheme functioned perfectly for the first 16 steps, during which the story shear was below the static yield level. During yielding, however, spurious oscillation occurred (see Fig. 5). Although the solution did not blow up, accuracy in the yielding regime was greatly reduced. Moreover, for problems such as impulsive loading of structures, it would be difficult to interpret the internal stress vs. time response because real oscillations occur for such problems.

The difficulties described above were overcome by applying the subdivision procedure. With the large time step size as before and by subdividing the local time step into three once the constitutive residual exceeded 1.8, spurious oscillation was eliminated and the resulting solution compared very favorably with the converged solution

(less than 4% maximum error). The error in peak displacement did not increase with time, indicating that the scheme did not introduce damping, either positive or negative. As in the linear case, a small error in phase occurred. It is evident from the story shear vs. displacement diagram that a time step of  $1/19 T_1$  was rather large. Only three steps were required to stress the structure from a small negative shear force to a positive yield level, but the subdivision scheme maintained accuracy and stability.

## 6. NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

The incremental formulation developed herein was used to solve several dynamic problems in order to: (a) test the applicability and accuracy of the formulation, (b) develop an understanding of the behavior of the structural systems studied, and (c) determine effects of mathematical modeling on structural response.

### Impulsively Loaded Clamped Circular Plates

Several tests described in Ref. [40] were simulated using the present finite element model. In these experiments, the plates were clamped to prevent rotation but not radial displacement, and were subjected to uniformly distributed impulses. The material properties and geometries of the aluminum and mild steel plates are given in Table 1.

TABLE 1 MATERIAL PROPERTIES AND PLATE GEOMETRY

Material	Static yield stress, psi.	Specific gravity	Plate radius	Plate thickness
AL 6061-T6	42,000	2.7	4.0 in.	0.251 in.
H.R. Steel A 285	41,080	7.8	4.0	0.245

Five elements were used to discretize half the plate. Geometric changes were accounted for in all analyses.

### Idealization of Materials

The aluminum alloy was assumed to be relatively rate insensitive. The material constants were:  $n = 25$ ,  $\tau = 0.00577$  sec., yielding,  $\sigma_d = 1.06 \sigma_0$  at  $\dot{E} = 700$  sec.<sup>-1</sup>

The choice of constants for the steel was difficult since dynamic stress-strain rate tests were not carried out. Available experimental data with large variances had therefore to be used (see discussion by Perzyna [7]). Furthermore there is no standard value for strain-rate

during a static test, so that the so-called "static yield stress" may differ among various laboratories. Therefore, two sets of material constants were chosen so that a wide range of dynamic stress-strain rate relationships could be represented (see Fig. 6): (1)  $n = 17$ ,  $\tau = 25,205$  sec., providing a good fit to Manjoine's curve [41], and (2)  $n = 7$ ,  $\tau = 0.75$  sec., approximating the experimental curve obtained by Clark and Duwez at low and medium strain rates [42].

Due to the difference in order of  $n$  and  $m$  in the yield function  $YF_2$ , the resulting constitutive model is more rate sensitive in bending when applied to rate-dependent materials. Therefore, the yield function  $YF_1 = J_2(\underline{n}) + J_2(\underline{m})$  was used in all analyses but one.

#### Idealization of Impulsive Loading

The applied impulse was idealized as a high pressure acting over a short duration  $t_p$  such that the product  $pt_p$  equaled the impulse. A very small pulse duration of  $15 \mu s$ . was used, based on the time required for the detonation at the center to reach the edge of the plate [40]. An equivalent pressure of more than 20 times the static collapse load ( $ps$ ) was applied. According to Florence [43], an ideal impulse is closely approximated for large values of  $p/ps$ .

#### Some Numerical Aspects

The loading condition described above constitutes a rigorous test of the numerical schemes. Due to the high intensity and short duration of the pulse, yielding began almost instantaneously and higher mode response was significant. To capture the rapidly changing response with sufficient accuracy, a small time step of  $3 \mu s$ . was used. However, the time step should be increased once the fundamental mode becomes predominant. To prevent spurious oscillations in integrating the constitutive equations during time step changes, the subdivision procedure was employed. In one case, the time step was increased to  $40 \mu s$ ., about  $1/13$  the fundamental period of nonlinear vibration estimated from the free oscillation response, with satisfactory results. Subdivisions were used whenever the value of the yield function exceeded 0.8. Two to four subdivisions sufficed.

### Numerical Results

#### a) Al. Plates (relatively rate insensitive)

Two magnitudes of impulse were investigated, namely  $I = 0.180$  and  $0.228$  psi-sec. The permanent deflection was estimated from the mean position of the free oscillation and compared to the experimental results [40] in Fig. 7 with very good agreement. The final plate profile for  $I = 0.180$  psi-sec. is shown in Fig. 8. The plate model was stiffer than the actual structure due to the approximation inherent in the stress resultant formulation and the coarse mesh discretization. The central deflection-time response for 100 integration steps is plotted in Fig. 9. During oscillation, the peak amplitude was reduced due to dissipation of plastic energy near stress reversals. Although the mechanism of deformation was extremely complex due to factors such as higher mode participation and interaction of membrane forces and bending moments, a general understanding of the mechanism can be gained by examining the variation of yield function values along the plate radius at different times (Fig. 10). Immediately after the impulse, plastic regions formed in the vicinity of  $r/a = 0.81$  and 1 (support). These regions will be identified as negative and positive plastic regions according to the sign of the meridional moment. The rest of the plate remained elastic. Progressively, the plastic zone at the support spread and the negative plastic region moved toward the center, with an elastic region in between. The second phenomenon is analogous to the traveling plastic hinge of rigid-plastic analyses. It was not until  $t = 155 \mu\text{s}$  ( $t_{\delta_{\max}} = 255 \mu\text{s}$ ), when the entire plate had yielded, that general unloading occurred due to the contribution of membrane forces that had increased with increasing deflection. While the plate oscillated, a major portion remained elastic except for a small region near the support that was close to the yield level during reversals of the velocities. The plastic zones were highly localized for a considerable period of time while plastic deformation occurred. Loading and unloading occurred in these zones due to the participation of higher modes that cannot be considered in rigid-plastic analyses.

b) Steel Plates (rate sensitive)

The permanent central deflections for  $I = 0.206$  and  $0.379$  psi-sec. are shown in Fig. 7. The correlation with experimental data is not as good as for the perfectly plastic case because the response of viscoplastic materials depends on the idealization of  $\sigma_d - \dot{E}$  behavior of the material over the entire range of strain rate during deformation. During the period of increasing deflection, strain rates were high (of the magnitude  $300-1000 \text{ sec.}^{-1}$ ) due to the high initial kinetic energy of the plate after the impulse was applied. Part of this energy was dissipated by plastic work so that free oscillation followed with lower strain rates (less than  $100 \text{ sec.}^{-1}$ ). If, for instance, the idealized dynamic yield stress is too high at some strain rate, then plastic flow will occur for higher stresses. Consequently, the material model will be too stiff and less deflection will result, as demonstrated in the plots of permanent central deflection as a function of time for the two material idealizations (Fig. 11). The lower  $\sigma_d - \dot{E}$  curve ( $n = 7$ ,  $\tau = 0.75 \text{ sec.}$ ) resulted in a peak deflection 1.14 times that for the higher curve ( $n = 17$ ,  $\tau = 25,205 \text{ sec.}$ ). Furthermore, the amplitude of oscillation about the mean position was greater for the latter, indicating, as would be expected, less plastic dissipation.

Due to the problem associated with  $YF_2$  as discussed earlier, the material parameters were selected from a pure-bending test. Thus, in order to fit the ( $n = 7$ ,  $\tau = 0.75 \text{ sec.}$ ) curve in Fig. 6,  $n$  and  $\tau$  were taken as 14 and  $0.75 \text{ sec.}$ , respectively, when  $YF_2$  was used. The resulting permanent deflection (Fig. 7) was, as would be expected, greater by approximately 15% than the value predicted using  $YF_1$ .

Impulsively Loaded Shallow Spherical Shells

Shallow spherical shells with identical thickness, horizontally projected diameter, and boundary conditions as the circular plates treated previously were subjected to a uniform radial impulse with a magnitude of  $0.379$  psi-sec. (Fig. 12). The material behavior was assumed to be characterized by the ( $n = 7$ ,  $\tau = 0.75 \text{ sec.}$ ) curve in Fig. 6. The idealization of impulses and time steps used in the plate

problem were again assumed. Four shells with  $\lambda = 1.5, 3.0, 4.23,$  and  $8.16$  were investigated ( $\lambda = a^2/Rh$  where  $2a$  is equal to shell diameter,  $R$  is the radius of curvature, and  $h$  is shell thickness), corresponding to half subtended angles ( $\alpha$ ) of  $5.27^\circ, 10.6^\circ, 15.0^\circ,$  and  $30^\circ,$  respectively. Ten elements were used, except for the  $10.6^\circ$  shell which was the first to be analyzed using 8 elements. Changes in geometry were considered.

An integration time step as large as  $20 \mu s,$  approximately  $1/20$  of the fundamental period of nonlinear vibration ( $T_1$ ) for the  $30^\circ$  spherical shell, was used. To verify the accuracy of the solution, an analysis was performed with very small time steps on the order of  $1/200 T_1$  for the  $30^\circ$  shell. Resulting solutions are shown in Figs. 13 and 14. The central deflection increased slightly with smaller time steps. The maximum deflection differed by about 7%. Of the stress resultants, the meridional moment near the center fluctuated the most and was chosen for comparison. The agreement was generally good except during the early stage of response (about 3 times the pulse duration) at which very high-frequency modes prevailed. However, this result is not significant since the maximum moment associated with lower modes was predicted quite accurately using the larger time steps.

The predicted permanent central deflections are plotted in Fig. 15 with those for a circular plate. In contrast to what might have been expected, a very shallow shell ( $\alpha < \text{approximately } 19^\circ$ ) underwent a deflection larger than that for a circular plate. This result can be explained as follows. A very shallow shell derives a major portion of load-carrying capacity from bending action. During the initial stage of response, however, such a shell is a softening structure due to the prevailing compressive membrane stress field. Only after membrane tensile stresses develop does the shell begin to stiffen due to membrane effect. On the other hand, a flat plate will exhibit membrane-strengthening behavior from the beginning. Therefore, a very shallow shell will deflect more under an identical loading. However, for deeper shells, shell action increasingly predominates and less deflection occurs.

## 7. CONCLUSIONS

The ability of the stress resultant finite element formulation to predict perfectly plastic collapse loads and elastic-plastic dynamic response of thin plates and shells of revolution has been demonstrated. Due to the inherent approximation introduced by the stress resultant formulation and the constitutive relation, the model is somewhat stiff in the elastic-partially plastic regime, but when plastic deformation predominates -- the case when energy input is several times elastic strain energy of a structure -- the stress resultant approach provides very satisfactory results.

The nonlinear elastic-viscoplastic model very effectively approximates elastic-perfectly plastic behavior when appropriate material parameters are chosen. In viscoplastic analysis, strain rate is extremely important. In structural dynamics, especially where impulsive loads or impacts are involved, strain rates can vary over a wide range, and predicted response will depend on how well the constitutive model represents the actual (nonlinear) dynamic behavior of the material over the spectrum of strain rates involved. The nonlinear constitutive relation used in this study is simple, easy to use, and approximates dynamic yield stress-strain rate behavior reasonably well over a considerable range of strain rate.

An advantage of the approach used in the present stress resultant formulation is that only the value of the yield function need be known. Any yield condition may theoretically be used. However, in dynamic problems we find that the use of a homogeneous yield function eliminates apparent rate effects that are introduced when a nonhomogeneous yield function is used.

The example problems were a rigorous test of the numerical integration scheme, an implicit Runge-Kutta scheme with Newton-Raphson iteration, and the local time step subdivision procedure that were developed to improve the efficiency of the approach. These procedures were found to be very effective in handling the nonlinear constitutive relations. Predicted response was accurate even when very large time steps were

used. No numerical damping was observed, but a small period error did occur. A computational advantage afforded by the local time step subdivision procedure is that it applies only to those elements currently yielding. The original time step is retained for all other elements during integration of the equations of motion. During a change in time step size, the procedure was effective in suppressing oscillations. This local time step subdivision concept should be valuable in conventional plasticity formulations as well since it allows the history-dependent response of materials to be traced with greater accuracy.

Although not studied in detail, a rough comparison of computational time required to solve a typical problem revealed that for the same degree of accuracy, the present formulation required approximately one-half as much time as a conventional stress component finite element formulation using perfectly plastic theory. Furthermore, the formulation is readily applicable to analyses of nonlinear creep problems since the form of the constitutive relations would remain the same. Shearing effects can be included in both the kinematic of deformation and yield conditions, so that moderately thick shells could be analyzed. The constitutive relations could be modified to include strain-hardening and thermal effects. To extend the method to large displacement analysis would require only slight modifications to incorporate appropriate constitutive relations for finite deformation and to account for the effects of geometric changes in calculating nodal forces. The simplicity, versatility, and accuracy of the formulation make it attractive for use in design offices as well as in research.


REFERENCES

1. V. V. Sokolovsky, "Propagation of Elastic-Viscoplastic Waves in Bars," (in Russian) Prikl. Mat. Mekh. 12, 261-280 (1948).
2. L. E. Malvern, "The Propagation of Longitudinal Waves of Plastic Deformation in a Bar of Material Exhibiting a Strain-Rate Effect," J. Appl. Mech. 18, 203-208 (1951).
3. J. M. Kelly and P. P. Gillis, "The Influence of a Limiting Dislocation Flux on the Mechanical Response of Polycrystalline Metals," Int. J. Solids Struct. 10, 45-59 (1974).
4. J. J. Gilman, Micromechanics of Flow in Solids, McGraw-Hill (1969).
5. P. Perzyna, "The Constitutive Equations for Rate Sensitive Plastic Materials," Quart. Appl. Math. 20, 321-322 (1963).
6. P. Perzyna, "The Constitutive Equations for Work-Hardening and Rate Sensitive Materials," Proc. Vibr. Probl. 4, 281-290 (1963).
7. P. Perzyna, "Fundamental Problems in Viscoplasticity," Advances in Applied Mechanics, Vol. 9, 244-377, Academic Press (1966).
8. E. O. Hall, Yield Point Phenomena in Metals and Alloys, McMillan (1970).
9. P. Lukkunaprasit, "Dynamic Analysis of Elastic-Viscoplastic Plates and Shells of Revolution Using a Stress Resultant Finite Element Formulation," Ph.D. Dissertation, Department of Civil Engineering, University of California, Berkeley (1974).
10. J. M. Kelly, "An Elastic Plastic Shell Theory for the Analysis of Millimeter Wave Guide Strengthening Process," Bell Telephone Lab. Report (1973).
11. S. Yaghmi, "Incremental Analysis of Large Deformations in Mechanics of Solids with Application to Axisymmetric Shells of Revolution," Ph.D. Dissertation, Department of Civil Engineering, University of California, Berkeley (1968).
12. K. Washizu, Variational Methods in Elasticity and Plasticity, Pergamon Press (1968).
13. J. H. Argyris, P. C. Dunne, and T. Angelopoulos, "Non-linear Oscillations Using the Finite Element Technique," Comp. Meth. in Appl. Mech. Engrg. 2, 203-250 (1973).
14. C. A. Felippa, "Refined Finite Element Analysis of Linear and Nonlinear Two-Dimensional Structures," Ph.D. Dissertation, Department of Civil Engineering, University of California, Berkeley (1966).


15. H. D. Hibbit, P. V. Marcal, and J. R. Rice, "A Finite Element Formulation for Problems of Large Strain and Large Displacements," Int. J. Solids Struct. 6, 1069-1086 (1970).
16. J. T. Oden, Finite Elements of Nonlinear Continua, McGraw-Hill (1972).
17. Z. A. Lu, J. Penzien, and E. P. Popov, "Finite Element Solution for Thin Shells of Revolution," J. of the Engrg Mech. Div. ASCE, Vol. 90, EM5, 119-145 (1964).
18. S. Timoshenko and S. Woinowsky-Krieger, Theory of Plates and Shells, McGraw-Hill (1959).
19. O. C. Zienkiewicz, The Finite Element Method in Engineering Science, McGraw-Hill (1971).
20. P. G. Hodge, Limit Analysis of Rotationally Symmetric Plates and Shells, Prentice-Hall, Inc. (1963).
21. A. A. Ilyushin, Plasticity, (in Russian) Gostekhizdat, Moscow (1948), and Plasticité, (in French) Eyrolles, Paris (1956).
22. E. T. Onat and W. Prager, "Limit Analysis of Shells of Revolution," Proc. Roy. Netherlands Acad. Sci. B57, 534-548 (1954).
23. P. G. Hodge, "The Mises Yield Condition for Rotationally Symmetric Shells," Quart. Appl. Math. 18, 305-311 (1961).
24. P. G. Hodge, "The Rigid-Plastic Analysis of Symmetrically Loaded Cylindrical Shells," J. Appl. Mech. 21, 336-342 (1954).
25. W. Flugge and T. Nakamura, "Plastic Analysis of Shells of Revolution Under Axisymmetric Loads," Ingenieur-Archiv. 34, 238-247 (1965).
26. P. G. Hodge, "Yield Conditions for Rotationally Symmetric Shells Under Axisymmetric Loading," J. Appl. Mech. 27, 323-331 (1960).
27. M. Robinson, "A Comparison of Yield Surfaces for Thin Shells," Int. J. Mech. Sci. 13, 345-354 (1971).
28. V. I. Rozenblium, "Plasticity Conditions for Thin Shells," Prikl. Mat. Mekh. 24, 264-372 (1960).
29. J. C. Houbolt, "A Recurrence Matrix Solution for the Dynamic Response of Elastic Aircraft," J. Aero. Sci. 17, 540-550 (1950).
30. N. M. Newmark, "A Method of Computation for Structural Dynamics," J. of the Engrg. Mech. Div. ASCE, Vol. 85, EM3, 67-94 (1959).

31. E. L. Wilson, "A Computer Program for the Dynamic Stress Analysis of Underground Structures," SESM Report No. 68-1, Department of Civil Engineering, University of California, Berkeley (1968).
32. G. L. Goudreau and R. L. Taylor, "Evaluation of Numerical Integration Methods in Elasto-Dynamics," Comp. Meth. in Appl. Mech. Engrg. 2, 69-97 (1973).
33. R. E. Nickell, "On the Stability of Approximation Operators in Problems of Structural Dynamics," Int. J. Solids Struct. 7, 301-319 (1972).
34. R. E. Nickell, "Direct Integration Methods in Structural Dynamics," J. of the Engrg. Mech. Div. ASCE, Vol. 93, EM2, 303-317 (1973).
35. L. Lapidus and J. H. Seinfeld, Numerical Solution of Ordinary Differential Equations, Academic Press (1971).
36. G. G. Dahlquist, "A Special Stability Problem for Linear Multi-Step Methods," Nordisk Tidskrift Informations be Handling 3, 27-43 (1963).
37. J. A. Stricklin, et al., "Nonlinear Dynamic Analysis of Shells of Revolution by the Matrix Displacement Method," AIAA J. 9, 629-636 (1971).
38. R. W.-H. Wu and E. A. Witmer, "Nonlinear Transient Response of Structures by the Spatial Finite Element Method," AIAA J. 11, 1110-1117 (1973).
39. G. E. Weeks, "Temporal Operators for Nonlinear Structural Dynamics Problems," J. of the Engrg. Mech. Div. ASCE, Vol. 98, EM5, 1087-1104 (1972).
40. Y. Wierzbicki and A. L. Florence, "A Theoretical and Experimental Investigation of Impulsively Loaded Clamped Circular Viscoplastic Plates," Int. J. Solids Struct. 6, 553-568 (1970).
41. M. Manjoine, "Influence of Rate of Strain and Temperature on Yield Stresses of Mild Steel," J. Appl. Mech. 11, 211-218 (1944).
42. D. S. Clark and P. E. Duwez, "The Influence of Strain Rate on Some Tensile Properties of Steel," Proc. ASTM 50, 560-575 (1950).
43. A. L. Florence, "Clamped Circular Rigid-Plastic Plates Under Central Blast Loading," Int. J. Solids Struct. 2, 319-335 (1966).
44. A. J. Wang and H. G. Hopkins, "On the Plastic Deformation of Built-In Circular Plates under Impulsive Load," J. Mech. Phys. 3, 22-37 (1954).





(A) CONICAL FRUSTUM ELEMENT - GEOMETRY & COORDINATE SYSTEMS



(B) MIDSURFACE NODAL DISPLACEMENTS IN GLOBAL COORDINATES

FIGURE 1 ELEMENT GEOMETRY

Preceding page blank






FIGURE 2 APPROXIMATION OF THE ACTUAL ELASTIC-PLASTIC MOMENT-CURVATURE RELATION IN BEAM BENDING




(A) VELOCITY FIELD




(B) CONSTITUTIVE RELATION

FIGURE 3 LOCAL TIME STEP SUBDIVISION SCHEME



$n = 51$   
 $\tau = 0.1$

$T_1$  = FUNDAMENTAL PERIOD OF LINEAR VIBRATION = 0.452 SEC.  
 $A = 10$  FT./SEC.<sup>2</sup>  
 $p = 12$  RAD./SEC.  
 $u_0$  = YIELD DISPLACEMENT = 0.1 FT.



—  $\Delta t = 0.006125$  SEC., W/O SUBDIVISION  
 - - -  $4\Delta t =$  W/O SUBDIVISION  
 - . -  $4\Delta t =$  W/ SUBDIVISION

FIGURE 4 DYNAMIC ANALYSIS OF A SHEAR BUILDING USING A VISCOPLASTIC MODEL - TEST OF SUBDIVISION STABILIZING SCHEME




FIGURE 5 STORY SHEAR - DISPLACEMENT DIAGRAM




FIGURE 6 UNIAXIAL DYNAMIC YIELD STRESS-STRAIN RATE CURVES




FIGURE 7 IMPULSIVE LOADING OF CLAMPED CIRCULAR PLATES - COMPARISON OF FINITE ELEMENT SOLUTIONS TO EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS




FIGURE 8 FINAL PLATE PROFILE






FIGURE 9 DYNAMIC RESPONSE OF ALUMINUM CIRCULAR PLATE



AL. PLATE,  $I = 0.180 \text{ PSI} \cdot \text{SEC}$ .  
 END OF PULSE AT  $15 \mu\text{S}$ .  
 MAX. DEFLECTION AT  $255 \mu\text{S}$ .

FIGURE 10 VARIATION OF VALUES OF THE YIELD FUNCTION ALONG THE RADIUS AT VARIOUS TIMES





$$E = 30 \times 10^6 \text{ PSI.}, \quad \sigma_0 = 41,080 \text{ PSI.}$$

$$\nu = 0.3$$

$$p = 0.000729 \text{ LB.} - \text{SEC.}^2/\text{IN.}^4$$

FIGURE 12 IMPULSIVE LOADING OF SHALLOW SPHERICAL SHELLS




FIGURE 13 CENTRAL DEFLECTION VS. TIME FOR SMALL AND LARGE TIME STEPS






FIGURE 15 EFFECT OF SHELL GEOMETRY ON PERMANENT CENTRAL DEFLECTION

## EARTHQUAKE ENGINEERING RESEARCH CENTER REPORTS

NOTE: Numbers in parentheses are Accession Numbers assigned by the National Technical Information Service; these are followed by a price code. Copies of the reports may be ordered from the National Technical Information Service, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, Virginia, 22161. Accession Numbers should be quoted on orders for reports (PB--- ---) and remittance must accompany each order. Reports without this information were not available at time of printing. Upon request, EERC will mail inquirers this information when it becomes available.

- EERC 67-1 "Feasibility Study of Large-Scale Earthquake Simulator Facility," by J. Penzien, J. G. Bouwkamp, R. W. Clough, and D. Rea - 1967 (PB 187 905)A07
- EERC 68-1 Unassigned
- EERC 68-2 "Inelastic Behavior of Beam-to-Column Subassemblages under Repeated Loading," by V. V. Bertero - 1968 (PB 184 888)A05
- EERC 68-3 "A Graphical Method for Solving the Wave Reflection-Refraction Problem," by H. D. McNiven and Y. Mengi - 1968 (PB 187 943)A03
- EERC 68-4 "Dynamic Properties of McKinley School Buildings," by D. Rea, J. G. Bouwkamp, and R. W. Clough - 1968 (PB 187 902)A07
- EERC 68-5 "Characteristics of Rock Motions during Earthquakes," by H. B. Seed, I. M. Idriss, and F. W. Kiefer - 1968 (PB 188 338)A03
- EERC 69-1 "Earthquake Engineering Research at Berkeley," - 1969 (PB 187 906)A11
- EERC 69-2 "Nonlinear Seismic Response of Earth Structures," by M. Dibaj and J. Penzien - 1969 (PB 187 904)A08
- EERC 69-3 "Probabilistic Study of the Behavior of Structures during Earthquakes," by R. Ruiz and J. Penzien - 1969 (PB 187 886)A06
- EERC 69-4 "Numerical Solution of Boundary Value Problems in Structural Mechanics by Reduction to an Initial Value Formulation," by N. Distefano and J. Schujman - 1969 (PB 187 942)A02
- EERC 69-5 "Dynamic Programming and the Solution of the Biharmonic Equation," by N. Distefano - 1969 (PB 187 941)A03
- EERC 69-6 "Stochastic Analysis of Offshore Tower Structures," by A. K. Malhotra and J. Penzien - 1969 (PB 187 903)A09
- EERC 69-7 "Rock Motion Accelerograms for High Magnitude Earthquakes," by H. B. Seed and I. M. Idriss - 1969 (PB 187 940)A02
- EERC 69-8 "Structural Dynamics Testing Facilities at the University of California, Berkeley," by R. M. Stephen, J. G. Bouwkamp, R. W. Clough and J. Penzien - 1969 (PB 189 111)A04
- EERC 69-9 "Seismic Response of Soil Deposits Underlain by Sloping Rock Boundaries," by H. Dezfulian and H. B. Seed - 1969 (PB 189 114)A03
- EERC 69-10 "Dynamic Stress Analysis of Axisymmetric Structures under Arbitrary Loading," by S. Ghosh and E. L. Wilson - 1969 (PB 189 026)A10
- EERC 69-11 "Seismic Behavior of Multistory Frames Designed by Different Philosophies," by J. C. Anderson and V. V. Bertero - 1969 (PB 190 662)A10
- EERC 69-12 "Stiffness Degradation of Reinforcing Concrete Members Subjected to Cyclic Flexural Moments," by V. V. Bertero, B. Bresler, and H. Ming Liao - 1969 (PB 202 942)A07
- EERC 69-13 "Response of Non-Uniform Soil Deposits to Travelling Seismic Waves," by H. Dezfulian and H. B. Seed - 1969 (PB 191 023)A03
- EERC 69-14 "Damping Capacity of a Model Steel Structure," by D. Rea, R. W. Clough, and J. G. Bouwkamp - 1969 (PB 190 663)A06
- EERC 69-15 "Influence of Local Soil Conditions on Building Damage Potential during Earthquakes," by H. B. Seed and I. M. Idriss - 1969 (PB 191 036)A03

- EERC 69-16 "The Behavior of Sands under Seismic Loading Conditions," by M. L. Silver and H. B. Seed - 1969 (AD 714 982)A07
- EERC 70-1 "Earthquake Response of Gravity Dams," by A. K. Chopra - 1970 (AD 709 640)A03
- EERC 70-2 "Relationships between Soil Conditions and Building Damage in the Caracas Earthquake of July 29, 1967," by H. B. Seed, I. M. Idriss, and H. Dezfoulian - 1970 (PB 195 762)A05
- EERC 70-3 "Cyclic Loading of Full Size Steel Connections," by E. P. Popov and R. M. Stephen - 1970 (PB 213 545)A04
- EERC 70-4 "Seismic Analysis of the Charaima Building, Caraballeda, Venezuela," by Subcommittee of the SEAONC Research Committee: V. V. Bertero, P. F. Fratessa, S. A. Mahin, J. H. Sexton, A. C. Scordelis, E. L. Wilson, L. A. Wyllie, H. B. Seed, and J. Penzien, Chairman - 1970 (PB 201 455)A06
- EERC 70-5 "A Computer Program for Earthquake Analysis of Dams," by A. K. Chopra and P. Chakrabarti - 1970 (AD 723 994)A05
- EERC 70-6 "The Propagation of Love Waves Across Non-Horizontally Layered Structures," by J. Lysmer and L. A. Drake - 1970 (PB 197 896)A03
- EERC 70-7 "Influence of Base Rock Characteristics on Ground Response," by J. Lysmer, H. B. Seed, and P. B. Schnabel - 1970 (PB 197 897)A03
- EERC 70-8 "Applicability of Laboratory Test Procedures for Measuring Soil Liquefaction Characteristics under Cyclic Loading," by H. B. Seed and W. H. Peacock - 1970 (PB 198 016)A03
- EERC 70-9 "A Simplified Procedure for Evaluating Soil Liquefaction Potential," by H. B. Seed and I. M. Idriss - 1970 (PB 198 009)A03
- EERC 70-10 "Soil Moduli and Damping Factors for Dynamic Response Analysis," by H. B. Seed and I. M. Idriss - 1970 (PB 197 869)A03
- EERC 71-1 "Koyna Earthquake of December 11, 1967 and the Performance of Koyna Dam," by A. K. Chopra and P. Chakrabarti - 1971 (AD 731 496)A06
- EERC 71-2 "Preliminary In-Situ Measurements of Anelastic Absorption in Soils using a Prototype Earthquake Simulator," by R. D. Borcherdt and P. W. Rodgers - 1971 (PB 201 454)A03
- EERC 71-3 "Static and Dynamic Analysis of Inelastic Frame Structures," by F. L. Porter and G. H. Powell - 1971 (PB 210 135)A06
- EERC 71-4 "Research Needs in Limit Design of Reinforced Concrete Structures," by V. V. Bertero - 1971 (PB 202 943)A04
- EERC 71-5 "Dynamic Behavior of a High-Rise Diagonally Braced Steel Building," by D. Rea, A. A. Shah, and J. G. Bouwkamp - 1971 (PB 203 584)A06
- EERC 71-6 "Dynamic Stress Analysis of Porous Elastic Solids Saturated with Compressible Fluids," by J. Ghaboussi and E. L. Wilson - 1971 (PB 211 396)A06
- EERC 71-7 "Inelastic Behavior of Steel Beam-to-Column Subassemblages," by H. Krawinkler, V. V. Bertero, and E. P. Popov - 1971 (PB 211 355)A14
- EERC 71-8 "Modification of Seismograph Records for Effects of Local Soil Conditions," by P. Schnabel, H. B. Seed, and J. Lysmer - 1971 (PB 214 450)A03
- EERC 72-1 "Static and Earthquake Analysis of Three Dimensional Frame and Shear Wall Buildings," by E. L. Wilson and H. H. Dovey - 1972 (PB 212 904)A05
- EERC 72-2 "Accelerations in Rock for Earthquakes in the Western United States," by P. B. Schnabel and H. B. Seed - 1972 (PB 213 100)A03
- EERC 72-3 "Elastic-Plastic Earthquake Response of Soil-Building Systems," by T. Minami - 1972 (PB 214 868)A08
- EERC 72-4 "Stochastic Inelastic Response of Offshore Towers to Strong Motion Earthquakes," by M. K. Kaul - 1972 (PB 215 713)A05

## EERC-3

- EERC 72-5 "Cyclic Behavior of Three Reinforced Concrete Flexural Members with High Shear," by E. P. Popov, V. V. Bertero, and H. Krawinkler - 1972 (PB 214 555)A05
- EERC 72-6 "Earthquake Response of Gravity Dams Including Reservoir Interaction Effects," by P. Chakrabarti and A. K. Chopra - 1972 (AD 762 330)A08
- EERC 72-7 "Dynamic Properties of Pine Flat Dam," by D. Rea, C. Y. Liaw, and A. K. Chopra - 1972 (AD 763 928)A05
- EERC 72-8 "Three Dimensional Analysis of Building Systems," by E. L. Wilson and H. H. Dovey - 1972 (PB 222 438)A06
- EERC 72-9 "Rate of Loading Effects on Uncracked and Repaired Reinforced Concrete Members," by S. Mahin, V. V. Bertero, D. Rea and M. Atalay - 1972 (PB 224 520)A08
- EERC 72-10 "Computer Program for Static and Dynamic Analysis of Linear Structural Systems," by E. L. Wilson, K.-J. Bathe, J. E. Peterson and H. H. Dovey - 1972 (PB 220 437)A04
- EERC 72-11 "Literature Survey - Seismic Effects on Highway Bridges," by T. Iwasaki, J. Penzien, and R. W. Clough - 1972 (PB 215 613)A19
- EERC 72-12 "SHAKE - A Computer Program for Earthquake Response Analysis of Horizontally Layered Sites," by P. B. Schnabel and J. Lysmer - 1972 (PB 220 207)A06
- EERC 73-1 "Optimal Seismic Design of Multistory Frames," by V. V. Bertero and H. Kamil - 1973
- EERC 73-2 "Analysis of the Slides in the San Fernando Dams during the Earthquake of February 9, 1971," by H. B. Seed, K. L. Lee, I. M. Idriss, and F. Makdisi - 1973 (PB 223 402)A14
- EERC 73-3 "Computer Aided Ultimate Load Design of Unbraced Multistory Steel Frames," by M. B. El-Hafez and G. H. Powell - 1973 (PB 248 315)A09
- EERC 73-4 "Experimental Investigation into the Seismic Behavior of Critical Regions of Reinforced Concrete Components as Influenced by Moment and Shear," by M. Celebi and J. Penzien - 1973 (PB 215 884)A09
- EERC 73-5 "Hysteretic Behavior of Epoxy-Repaired Reinforced Concrete Beams," by M. Celebi and J. Penzien - 1973 (PB 239 568)A03
- EERC 73-6 "General Purpose Computer Program for Inelastic Dynamic Response of Plane Structures," by A. Kanaan and G. H. Powell - 1973 (PB 221 260)A08
- EERC 73-7 "A Computer Program for Earthquake Analysis of Gravity Dams Including Reservoir Interaction," by P. Chakrabarti and A. K. Chopra - 1973 (AD 766 271)A04
- EERC 73-8 "Behavior of Reinforced Concrete Deep Beam-Column Subassemblages under Cyclic Loads," by O. Küstü and J. G. Bouwkamp - 1973 (PB 246 117)A12
- EERC 73-9 "Earthquake Analysis of Structure-Foundation Systems," by A. K. Vaish and A. K. Chopra - 1973 (AD 766 272)A07
- EERC 73-10 "Deconvolution of Seismic Response for Linear Systems," by R. B. Reimer - 1973 (PB 227 179)A08
- EERC 73-11 "SAP IV: A Structural Analysis Program for Static and Dynamic Response of Linear Systems," by K.-J. Bathe, E. L. Wilson, and F. E. Peterson - 1973 (PB 221 967)A09
- EERC 73-12 "Analytical Investigations of the Seismic Response of Long, Multiple Span Highway Bridges," by W. S. Tseng and J. Penzien - 1973 (PB 227 816)A10
- EERC 73-13 "Earthquake Analysis of Multi-Story Buildings Including Foundation Interaction," by A. K. Chopra and J. A. Gutierrez - 1973 (PB 222 970)A03
- EERC 73-14 "ADAP: A Computer Program for Static and Dynamic Analysis of Arch Dams," by R. W. Clough, J. M. Raphael, and S. Mojtahedi - 1973 (PB 223 763)A09
- EERC 73-15 "Cyclic Plastic Analysis of Structural Steel Joints," by R. B. Pinkney and R. W. Clough - 1973 (PB 226 843)A08
- EERC 73-16 "QUAD-4: A Computer Program for Evaluating the Seismic Response of Soil Structures by Variable Damping Finite Element Procedures," by I. M. Idriss, J. Lysmer, R. Hwang, and H. B. Seed - 1973 (PB 229 424)A05

## EERC-4

- EERC 73-17 "Dynamic Behavior of a Multi-Story Pyramid Shaped Building," by R. M. Stephen, J. P. Hollings, and J. G. Bouwkamp - 1973 (PB 240 718)A06
- EERC 73-18 "Effect of Different Types of Reinforcing on Seismic Behavior of Short Concrete Columns," by V. V. Bertero, J. Hollings, O. Küstü, R. M. Stephen, and J. G. Bouwkamp - 1973
- EERC 73-19 "Olive View Medical Center Materials Studies, Phase I," by B. Bresler and V. V. Bertero - 1973 (PB 235 986)A06
- EERC 73-20 "Linear and Nonlinear Seismic Analysis Computer Programs for Long Multiple-Span Highway Bridges," by W. S. Tseng and J. Penzien - 1973
- EERC 73-21 "Constitutive Models for Cyclic Plastic Deformation of Engineering Materials," by J. M. Kelly and P. P. Gillis - 1973 (PB 226 024)A03
- EERC 73-22 "DRAIN-2D User's Guide," by G. H. Powell - 1973 (PB 227 016)A05
- EERC 73-23 "Earthquake Engineering at Berkeley - 1973 " 1973 (PB 226 033)A11
- EERC 73-24 Unassigned
- EERC 73-25 "Earthquake Response of Axisymmetric Tower Structures Surrounded by Water," by C. Y. Liaw and A. K. Chopra - 1973 (AD 773 052)A09
- EERC 73-26 "Investigation of the Failures of the Olive View Stairtowers during the San Fernando Earthquake and Their Implications on Seismic Design," by V. V. Bertero and R. G. Collins - 1973 (PB 235 106)A13
- EERC 73-27 "Further Studies on Seismic Behavior of Steel Beam-Column Subassemblages," by V. V. Bertero, H. Krawinkler, and E. P. Popov - 1973 (PB 234 172)A06
- EERC 74-1 "Seismic Risk Analysis," by C. S. Oliveira - 1974 (PB 235 920)A06
- EERC 74-2 "Settlement and Liquefaction of Sands under Multi-Directional Shaking," by R. Pyke, C. K. Chan, and H. B. Seed - 1974
- EERC 74-3 "Optimum Design of Earthquake Resistant Shear Buildings," by D. Ray, K. S. Pister, and A. K. Chopra - 1974 (PB 231 172)A06
- EERC 74-4 "LUSH - A Computer Program for Complex Response Analysis of Soil-Structure Systems," by J. Lysmer, T. Udaka, H. B. Seed, and R. Hwang - 1974 (PB 236 796)A05
- EERC 74-5 "Sensitivity Analysis for Hysteretic Dynamic Systems: Applications to Earthquake Engineering," by D. Ray - 1974 (PB 233 213)A06
- EERC 74-6 "Soil Structure Interaction Analyses for Evaluating Seismic Response," by H. B. Seed, J. Lysmer, and R. Hwang - 1974 (PB 236 519)A04
- EERC 74-7 Unassigned
- EERC 74-8 "Shaking Table Tests of a Steel Frame - A Progress Report," by R. W. Clough and D. Tang - 1974 (PB 240 869)A03
- EERC 74-9 "Hysteretic Behavior of Reinforced Concrete Flexural Members with Special Web Reinforcement," by V. V. Bertero, E. P. Popov, and T. Y. Wang - 1974 (PB 236 797)A07
- EERC 74-10 "Applications of Reliability-Based, Global Cost Optimization to Design of Earthquake Resistant Structures," by E. Vitiello and K. S. Pister - 1974 (PB 237 231)A06
- EERC 74-11 "Liquefaction of Gravelly Soils under Cyclic Loading Conditions," by R. T. Wong, H. B. Seed, and C. K. Chan - 1974 (PB 242 042)A03
- EERC 74-12 "Site-Dependent Spectra for Earthquake-Resistant Design," by H. B. Seed, C. Ugas, and J. Lysmer - 1974 (PB 240 953)A03
- EERC 74-13 "Earthquake Simulator Study of a Reinforced Concrete Frame," by P. Hidalgo and R. W. Clough - 1974 (PB 241 944)A13
- EERC 74-14 "Nonlinear Earthquake Response of Concrete Gravity Dams," by N. Pal - 1974 (AD/A 006 583)A06

## EERC-5

- EERC 74-15 "Modeling and Identification in Nonlinear Structural Dynamics - I. One Degree of Freedom Models," by N. Distefano and A. Rath - 1974 (PB 241 548)A06
- EERC 75-1 "Determination of Seismic Design Criteria for the Dumbarton Bridge Replacement Structure, Vol. I: Description, Theory and Analytical Modeling of Bridge and Parameters," by F. Baron and S.-H. Pang - 1975 (PB 259 407)A15
- EERC 75-2 "Determination of Seismic Design Criteria for the Dumbarton Bridge Replacement Structure, Vol. II: Numerical Studies and Establishment of Seismic Design Criteria," by F. Baron and S.-H. Pang - 1975 (PB 259 408)A11 [For set of EERC 75-1 and 75-2 (PB 241 454)A09]
- EERC 75-3 "Seismic Risk Analysis for a Site and a Metropolitan Area," by C. S. Oliveira - 1975 (PB 248 134)A09
- EERC 75-4 "Analytical Investigations of Seismic Response of Short, Single or Multiple-Span Highway Bridges," by M.-C. Chen and J. Penzien - 1975 (PB 241 454)A09
- EERC 75-5 "An Evaluation of Some Methods for Predicting Seismic Behavior of Reinforced Concrete Buildings," by S. A. Mahin and V. V. Bertero - 1975 (PB 246 306)A16
- EERC 75-6 "Earthquake Simulator Story of a Steel Frame Structure, Vol. I: Experimental Results," by R. W. Clough and D. T. Tang - 1975 (PB 243 981)A13
- EERC 75-7 "Dynamic Properties of San Bernardino Intake Tower," by D. Rea, C.-Y. Liaw and A. K. Chopra - 1975 (AD/A 008 406)A05
- EERC 75-8 "Seismic Studies of the Articulation for the Dumbarton Bridge Replacement Structure, Vol. 1: Description, Theory and Analytical Modeling of Bridge Components," by F. Baron and R. E. Hamati - 1975 (PB 251 539)A07
- EERC 75-9 "Seismic Studies of the Articulation for the Dumbarton Bridge Replacement Structure, Vol. 2: Numerical Studies of Steel and Concrete Girder Alternates," by F. Baron and R. E. Hamati - 1975 (PB 251 540)A10
- EERC 75-10 "Static and Dynamic Analysis of Nonlinear Structures," by D. P. Mondkar and G. H. Powell - 1975 (PB 242 434)A08
- EERC 75-11 "Hysteretic Behavior of Steel Columns," by E. P. Popov, V. V. Bertero, and S. Chandramouli - 1975 (PB 252 365)A11
- EERC 75-12 "Earthquake Engineering Research Center Library Printed Catalog " - 1975 (PB 243 711)A26
- EERC 75-13 "Three Dimensional Analysis of Building Systems (Extended Version)," by E. L. Wilson, J. P. Hollings, and H. H. Dovey - 1975 (PB 243 989)A07
- EERC 75-14 "Determination of Soil Liquefaction Characteristics by Large-Scale Laboratory Tests," by P. De Alba, C. K. Chan, and H. B. Seed - 1975 (NUREG 0027)A08
- EERC 75-15 "A Literature Survey - Compressive, Tensile, Bond and Shear Strength of Masonry," by R. L. Mayes and R. W. Clough - 1975 (PB 246 292)A10
- EERC 75-16 "Hysteretic Behavior of Ductile Moment-Resisting Reinforced Concrete Frame Components," by V. V. Bertero and E. P. Popov - 1975 (PB 246 388)A05
- EERC 75-17 "Relationships Between Maximum Acceleration, Maximum Velocity, Distance from Source, Local Site Conditions for Moderately Strong Earthquakes," by H. B. Seed, R. Murarka, J. Lysmer, and I. M. Idriss - 1975 (PB 248 172)A03
- EERC 75-18 "The Effects of Method of Sample Preparation on the Cyclic Stress-Strain Behavior of Sands," by J. Mulilis, C. K. Chan, and H. B. Seed - 1975 (Summarized in EERC 75-28)
- EERC 75-19 "The Seismic Behavior of Critical Regions of Reinforced Concrete Components as Influenced by Moment, Shear and Axial Force," by M. B. Atalay and J. Penzien - 1975 (PB 258 842)A11
- EERC 75-20 "Dynamic Properties of an Eleven Story Masonry Building," by R. M. Stephen, J. P. Hollings, J. G. Bouwkamp, and D. Jurukovski - 1975 (PB 246 945)A04
- EERC 75-21 "State-of-the-Art in Seismic Strength of Masonry - An Evaluation and Review," by R. L. Mayes and R. W. Clough - 1975 (PB 249 040)A07
- EERC 75-22 "Frequency Dependent Stiffness Matrices for Viscoelastic Half-Plane Foundations," by A. K. Chopra, P. Chakrabarti, and G. Dasgupta - 1975 (PB 248 121)A07

- EERC 75-23 "Hysteretic Behavior of Reinforced Concrete Framed Walls," by T. Y. Wang, V. V. Bertero, and E. P. Popov - 1975
- EERC 75-24 "Testing Facility for Subassemblages of Frame-Wall Structural Systems," by V. V. Bertero, E. P. Popov, and T. Endo - 1975
- EERC 75-25 "Influence of Seismic History on the Liquefaction Characteristics of Sands," by H. B. Seed, K. Mori, and C. K. Chan - 1975 (Summarized in EERC 75-28)
- EERC 75-26 "The Generation and Dissipation of Pore Water Pressures during Soil Liquefaction," by H. B. Seed, P. P. Martin, and J. Lysmer - 1975 (PB 252 648)A03
- EERC 75-27 "Identification of Research Needs for Improving Aseismic Design of Building Structures," by V. V. Bertero - 1975 (PB 248 136)A05
- EERC 75-28 "Evaluation of Soil Liquefaction Potential during Earthquakes," by H. B. Seed, I. Arango, and C. K. Chan - 1975 (NUREG 0026)A13
- EERC 74-29 "Representation of Irregular Stress Time Histories by Equivalent Uniform Stress Series in Liquefaction Analyses," by H. B. Seed, I. M. Idriss, F. Makdisi, and N. Banerjee - 1975 (PB 252 635)A03
- EERC 75-30 "FLUSH - A Computer Program for Approximate 3-D Analysis of Soil-Structure Interaction Problems," by J. Lysmer, T. Udaka, C.-F. Tsai, and H. B. Seed - 1975 (PB 259 332)A07
- EERC 75-31 "ALUSH - A Computer Program for Seismic Response Analysis of Axisymmetric Soil-Structure Systems," by E. Berger, J. Lysmer, and H. B. Seed - 1975
- EERC 75-32 "TRIP and TRAVEL - Computer Programs for Soil-Structure Interaction Analysis with Horizontally Travelling Waves," by T. Udaka, J. Lysmer, and H. B. Seed - 1975
- EERC 75-33 "Predicting the Performance of Structures in Regions of High Seismicity," by J. Penzien - 1975 (PB 248 130)A03
- EERC 75-34 "Efficient Finite Element Analysis of Seismic Structure-Soil-Direction," by J. Lysmer, H. B. Seed, T. Udaka, R. N. Hwang, and C.-F. Tsai - 1975 (PB 253 570)A03
- EERC 75-35 "The Dynamic Behavior of a First Story Girder of a Three-Story Steel Frame Subjected to Earthquake Loading," by R. W. Clough and L.-Y. Li - 1975 (PB 248 841)A05
- EERC 75-36 "Earthquake Simulator Story of a Steel Frame Structure, Volume II - Analytical Results," by D. T. Tang - 1975 (PB 252 926)A10
- EERC 75-37 "ANSR-I General Purpose Computer Program for Analysis of Non-Linear Structural Response," by D. P. Mondkar and G. H. Powell - 1975 (PB 252 386)A08
- EERC 75-38 "Nonlinear Response Spectra for Probabilistic Seismic Design and Damage Assessment of Reinforced Concrete Structures," by M. Murakami and J. Penzien - 1975 (PB 259 530)A05
- EERC 75-39 "Study of a Method of Feasible Directions for Optimal Elastic Design of Frame Structures Subjected to Earthquake Loading," by N. D. Walker and K. S. Pister - 1975 (PB 247 781)A06
- EERC 75-40 "An Alternative Representation of the Elastic-Viscoelastic Analogy," by G. Dasgupta and J. L. Sackman - 1975 (PB 252 173)A03
- EERC 75-41 "Effect of Multi-Directional Shaking on Liquefaction of Sands," by H. B. Seed, R. Pyke, and G. R. Martin - 1975 (PB 258 781)A03
- EERC 76-1 "Strength and Ductility Evaluation of Existing Low-Rise Reinforced Concrete Buildings - Screening Method," by T. Okada and B. Bresler - 1976 (PB 257 906)A11
- EERC 76-2 "Experimental and Analytical Studies on the Hysteretic Behavior of Reinforced Concrete Rectangular and T-Beams," by S.-Y. M. Ma, E. P. Popov, and V. V. Bertero - 1976 (PB 260 843)A12
- EERC 76-3 "Dynamic Behavior of a Multistory Triangular-Shaped Building," by J. Petrovski, R. M. Stephen, E. Gartenbaum, and J. G. Bouwkamp - 1976
- EERC 76-4 "Earthquake Induced Deformations of Earth Dams," by N. Serff and H. B. Seed - 1976
- EERC 76-5 "Analysis and Design of Tube-Type Tall Building Structures," by H. de Clercq and G. H. Powell - 1976 (PB 252 220)A10

- EERC 76-6 "Time and Frequency Domain Analysis of Three-Dimensional Ground Motions, San Fernando Earthquake," by T. Kubo and J. Penzien - 1976 (PB 260 556)A11
- EERC 76-7 "Expected Performance of Uniform Building Code Design Masonry Structures," by R. L. Mayes, Y. Omote, S. W. Chen, and R. W. Clough - 1976
- EERC 76-8 "Cyclic Shear Tests on Concrete Masonry Piers, Part I - Test Results," by R. L. Mayes, Y. Omote, and R. W. Clough - 1976 (PB 264 424)A06
- EERC 76-9 "A Substructure Method for Earthquake Analysis of Structure-Soil Interaction," by J. A. Gutierrez and A. K. Chopra - 1976 (PB 247 783)A08
- EERC 76-10 "Stabilization of Potentially Liquefiable San Deposits using Gravel Drain Systems," by H. B. Seed and J. R. Booker - 1976 (PB 248 820)A04
- EERC 76-11 "Influence of Design and Analysis Assumptions on Computed Inelastic Response of Moderately Tall Frames," by G. H. Powell and D. G. Row - 1976
- EERC 76-12 "Sensitivity Analysis for Hysteretic Dynamic Systems: Theory and Applications," by D. Ray, K. S. Pister, and E. Polak - 1976 (PB 262 859)A04
- EERC 76-13 "Coupled Lateral Torsional Response of Buildings to Ground Shaking," by C. L. Kan and A. K. Chopra - 1976 (PB 257 907)A09
- EERC 76-14 "Seismic Analyses of the Banco de America," by V. V. Bertero, S. A. Mahin, and J. A. Hollings - 1976
- EERC 76-15 "Reinforced Concrete Frame 2: Seismic Testing and Analytical Correlation," by R. W. Clough and J. Gidwani - 1976 (PB 261 323)A08
- EERC 76-16 "Cyclic Shear Tests on Masonry Piers, Part II - Analysis of Test Results," by R. L. Mayes, Y. Omote, and R. W. Clough - 1976
- EERC 76-17 "Structural Steel Bracing Systems: Behavior under Cyclic Loading," by E. P. Popov, K. Takanashi, and C. W. Roeder - 1976 (PB 260 715)A05
- EERC 76-18 "Experimental Model Studies on Seismic Response of High Curved Overcrossings," by D. Williams and W. G. Godden - 1976
- EERC 76-19 "Effects of Non-Uniform Seismic Disturbances on the Dumbarton Bridge Replacement Structure," by F. Baron and R. E. Hamati - 1976
- EERC 76-20 "Investigation of the Inelastic Characteristics of a Single Story Steel Structure using System Identification and Shaking Table Experiments," by V. C. Matzen and H. D. McNiven - 1976 (PB 258 453)A07
- EERC 76-21 "Capacity of Columns with Splice Imperfections," by E. P. Popov, R. M. Stephen and R. Philbrick - 1976 (PB 260 378)A04
- EERC 76-22 "Response of the Olive View Hospital Main Building during the San Fernando Earthquake," by S. A. Mahin, V. V. Bertero, A. K. Chopra, and R. Collins," - 1976
- EERC 76-23 "A Study on the Major Factors Influencing the Strength of Masonry Prisms," by N. M. Mostaghel, R. L. Mayes, R. W. Clough, and S. W. Chen - 1976
- EERC 76-24 "GADFLEA - A Computer Program for the Analysis of Pore Pressure Generation and Dissipation during Cyclic or Earthquake Loading," by J. R. Booker, M. S. Rahman, and H. B. Seed - 1976 (PB 263 947)A04
- EERC 76-25 "Rehabilitation of an Existing Building: A Case Study," by B. Bresler and J. Axley - 1976
- EERC 76-26 "Correlative Investigations on Theoretical and Experimental Dynamic Behavior of a Model Bridge Structure," by K. Kawashima and J. Penzien - 1976 (PB 263 388)A11
- EERC 76-27 "Earthquake Response of Coupled Shear Wall Buildings," by T. Srichatrapimuk - 1976 (PB 265 157)A07
- EERC 76-28 "Tensile Capacity of Partial Penetration Welds," by E. P. Popov and R. M. Stephen - 1976 (PB 262 899)A03
- EERC 76-29 "Analysis and Design of Numerical Integration Methods in Structural Dynamics," by H. M. Hilber - 1976 (PB 264 410)A06

## EERC-8

- EERC 76-30 "Contribution of a Floor System to the Dynamic Characteristics of Reinforced Concrete Buildings," by L. E. Malik and V. V. Bertero - 1976
- EERC 76-31 "The Effects of Seismic Disturbances on the Golden Gate Bridge," by F. Baron, M. Arikan, R. E. Hamati - 1976
- EERC 76-32 "Infilled Frames in Earthquake-Resistant Construction," by R. E. Klingner and V. V. Bertero - 1976 (PB 265 892)A13
- UCB/EERC-77/01 "PLUSH - A Computer Program for Probabilistic Finite Element Analysis of Seismic Soil-Structure Interaction," by M. P. Romo Organista, J. Lysmer, and H. B. Seed - 1977
- UCB/EERC-77/02 "Soil-Structure Interaction Effects at the Humboldt Bay Power Plant in the Ferndale Earthquake of June 7, 1975," by J. E. Valera, H. B. Seed, C.-F. Tsai, and J. Lysmer - 1977 ( B 265 795)A04
- UCB/EERC-77/03 "Influence of Sample Disturbance on Sand Response to Cyclic Loading," by K. Mori, H. B. Seed, and C. K. Chan - 1977 (PB 267 352)A04
- UCB/EERC-77/04 "Seismological Studies of Strong Motion Records," by J. Shoja-Taheri - 1977 (PB 269 655)A10
- UCB/EERC-77/05 "Testing Facility for Coupled Shear Walls," by L.-H. Lee, V. V. Bertero, and E. P. Popov - 1977
- UCB/EERC-77/06 "Developing Methodologies for Evaluating the Earthquake Safety of Existing Buildings," No. 1 - B. Bresler; No. 2 - B. Bresler, T. Okada, and D. Zisling; No. 3 - T. Okada and B. Bresler; No. 4 - V. V. Bertero and B. Bresler - 1977 (PB 267 354)A08
- UCB/EERC-77/07 "A Literature Survey - Transverse Strength of Masonry Walls," by Y. Omote, R. L. Mayes, S. W. Chen, and R. W. Clough - 1977
- UCB/EERC-77/08 "DRAIN-TABS: A Computer Program for Inelastic Earthquake Response of Three Dimensional Buildings," by R. Guendelman-Israel and G. H. Powell - 1977
- UCB/EERC-77/09 "SUBWALL: A Special Purpose Finite Element Computer Program for Practical Elastic Analysis and Design of Structural Walls with Substructure Option," by D. Q. Le, H. Petersson, and E. P. Popov - 1977
- UCB/EERC-77/10 "Experimental Evaluation of Seismic Design Methods for Broad Cylindrical Tanks," by D. P. Clough - 1977
- UCB/EERC-77/11 "Earthquake Engineering Research at Berkeley - 1976," - 1977
- UCB/EERC-77/12 "Automated Design of Earthquake Resistant Multistory Steel Building Frames," by N. D. Walker, Jr. - 1977
- UCB/EERC-77/13 "Concrete Confined by Rectangular Hoops and Subjected to Axial Loads," by J. Vallenias, V. V. Bertero, and E. P. Popov - 1977
- UCB/EERC-77/14 "Seismic Strain Induced in the Ground during Earthquakes," by Y. Sugimura - 1977
- UCB/EERC-77/15 "Bond Deterioration under Generalized Loading," by V. V. Bertero, E. P. Popov, and S. Viwathanatepa - 1977
- UCB/EERC-77/16 "Computer-Aided Optimum Design of Ductile Reinforced Concrete Moment-Resisting Frames," by S. W. Zagajeski and V. V. Bertero - 1977
- UCB/EERC-77/17 "Earthquake Simulation Testing of a Stepping Frame with Energy-Absorbing Devices," by J. M. Kelly and D. F. Tzsoo - 1977
- UCB/EERC-77/18 "Inelastic Behavior of Eccentrically Braced Steel Frames under Cyclic Loadings," by C. W. Roeder and E. P. Popov - 1977