ELASTIC ANALYSIS OF PILOT BUILDING

NSF Grant GK-27955X

Internal Study Report No. 14

Robert V. Whitman

S. Anagnostopoulos

August 1972

Department of Civil Engineering Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts

.

Processing 1. REFORT MG. Processing 2. This and Substite 1. Referent Accessing Mg. Lastic Analysis of Pilot Building (Internal Study Report 14) 1. Referent Accessing Mg. Authorizon 6. Authorizon 7. Authorizon<	0272-101		
The and Absolute Autor to a strate and advects Tastic Analysis of Pilot Building (Internal Study Report 14) Autor to a strate and advects Autor to a strate and advects Autor to a strate and advects Autor to a strate and advects A Performing Organization Name and Advects assachusetts Institute of Technology ambridge, Massachusetts 01239 10. Contract() or Grant(0) Na ambridge, Massachusetts 01239 10. Contract() or Grant(0) Na Boopmoning Organization Name and Advects anglineering and Applied Science (EAS) 13. Type of Report & Period Covered Boopdementary Name Covered 14. Boopdementary Name Covered 14. Autor town Covered 14. Covered In order to understand the reasons for the odd behavior of this building uniform properties, strength proportional to stiffness would cause y is liding the uifform properties, strength proportional to stiffness would cause y is liding the designs; and analysis using a single time-history, even an artificial time-history for a mouthe cosiderable variation in theresistance of	REPORT DOCUMENTATION 1. REPORT NO. PAGE NSF-RA-E-72-292	2. 3.	Recipient's Accession No.
Itastic Analysis of Pilot Building (Internal Study Report 14) August 19/2 Authors: Y. Whitman, S. Anagnostopoulos Authors: No. 14 Barnang Orgenzation Hame Address No. 14 Authors: No. 14 Barnang Orgenzation Hame Address No. 14 Authors: No. 14 Barnang Orgenzation Hame Address	I. Title and Subtitle	5,	Report Date
Authorso Performing Organization Rest. No. 14 No. 14 No. 14 Bassachusetts Institute of Technology In Performing Organization Rest. No. 14 Bassachusetts Institute of Technology In Performing Organization Rest. No. 14 Bassachusetts Institute of Technology In Performing Organization Rest. No. 14 Bassachusetts Institute of Technology In Contraction None and Address Bassachusetts Institute of Technology In Contraction Contraction Rest. No. 14 Semaning Organization Name and Address In Contraction Contraction Rest. No. 14 Bassachusetts Institute of Technology In Contraction Contraction Rest. No. 14 Bassachusetts Institute of Civil Engineering In Contraction Rest. No. 14 Bassachusetts Institute of Coundation In Contraction Coundation Bassachusetts Institute of Understand the reasons for the odd behavior of this building Increasing the strength of the earthquake that would cause yielding in the Uilding of Interestity Inter Study, Intis report presents a number of results room the elastic analysis of the building including discussions on: ideal building with Ine-history input. Three main conclusions are as follows: (1) for very flexible uilding in the III and actual building with Ine-history upout. Three main conclusions are as follows: (1) for very flexible uilding in Conter to anotice considerable variation in the resistance of the designs; 3) analysis using a single time-history very an artificial time-history very flexible withen instory even an artificial t	Elastic Analysis of Pilot Building (Internal S	tudy Report 14)	August 1972
Atterforme Organization Rep: No. 14 Performing Organization Rep: No. 14 IDE Provinci Organization Name and Address 11. Centract(0) or Grant(0) No. assachusetts Institute of Technology 11. Centract(0) or Grant(0) No. gambridge, Massachusetts 01239 Separtment of Civil Engineering 11. Centract(0) or Grant(0) No. agineering and Applied Science (EAS) 13. Type of Report & Period Cevered Stational Science Foundation 800 G Street, N.W. 820 G Street, N.W. 13. Stational Science Foundation 800 G Street, N.W. 13. Type of Report & Period Cevered ational Science Foundation 14. ational Science Foundation 13. Type of Report & Period Cevered ational Science Foundation 13. Type of Report & Period Cevered ational Science Foundation 13. Type of Report & Period Cevered ational Science Foundation 13. Type of Report & Period Cevered ational Science Foundation 13. Type of Report & Period Cevered ational Science Foundation 13. Type of Report & Period Cevered ational Science Foundation 13. Security Class Chine Science (EAS) ational Science Foundation 13. Security Class Chine Science (EAS) atin		P. Contraction of the second se	
	1. Author(s)	8.	Performing Organization Rept. No.
Advanced Lumin 200 point Law And Advects 10. Project Law Models Bassachusetts Institute of Technology (partment of Civil Engineering ambridge, Massachusetts 01239 11. Contract(D) or Grant(D) No. Semenance Organization Name and Advects Ingineering and Applied Science (EAS) ational Science Foundation 800 G Street, N.W. ashington, D.C. 20550 13. Type of Report & Period Coverts Amatract Lumin 200 words 14. Amatract Lumin 200 words 14. Amatract Lumin 200 words 14. Mastract Lumin 200 words 14. Amatract Lumin 200 words 14. Mastract Lumin 200 words 11. Contract(D) No. Increase in the strength of the earthquake that word cause yielding in the uilding, as indicated in an earlier study), this report presents a number	R. V. Whitinan, S. Anagnostopoulos		No. 14
assachusetts Institute of Technology empartment of Civil Engineering ambridge, Massachusetts 01239 11. Contract(C) or Grant(G) No. Sementing Organization Name and Adviews ingineering and Applied Science (EAS) attional Science Foundation 800 G Street, N.W. ashington, D.C. 20550 13. Type of Report & Period Coveres Method (umit, 200 words) he pilot building for the optimum seismic protection study is a 13-story steel frame uilding. In order to understand the reasons for the odd behavior of this building increasing the strength and stiffness of this very flexible building generally led o a decrease in the strength of the earthquake that would cause yielding in the uilding, as indicated in an earlier study), this report presents a number of results rom the elastic analysis of the building including discussions on: ideal building uniform properties, strength proportional to stiffness) with smooth response spectrum nput; actual building with smooth response spectrum input; and actual building with ime-history input. Three main conclusions are as follows: (1) for very flexible uildings, only modest increases in yield acceleration can be achieved by stiffening f the building (2) unless actual designs are checked by dynamic analysis (and then edesigned), there may be considerable variation in the resistance of the designs; 3) analysis using a single time-history, even an artificial time-history for a moothed response spectra, can introduce considerable variation in yield displacement. he time-history used for analysis of the pilot building is not adequate for very lexible buildings. Decement Analysis . Descriptors arthquakes uildings Lexibility Statement MIIS 13. Security Class (This Recent) 21. No. of Pages Advi- Advi- Advi- Advi- Advi- Advi- Advi- Advi- Advi- Advi- Advi- Advi- Advi	9. Performing Organization Name and Address	10.	Project/Task/Work Unit No.
epartment of Civil Engineering ambridge, Massachusetts 01239 in the massachusetts 01239 Semanning Organization Name and Address ingineering and Applied Science (EAS) ational Science Foundation 800 G Street, N.W. in Type of Report & Period Covered Amstract Cumit, 200 works in Supplementary Notes in Amstract Cumit, 200 works in Amstract Cumit, 200 works in in Common constraints and stiffness of this very flexible building generally led o a decrease in the strength on the erasions for the odd behavior of this building inform properties, strength proportional to stiffness) with smooth response spectrum input; actual building with smooth response spectrum input; and actual building with ime-history input. Three main conclusions are as follows: (1) for very flexible uilding; (2) unless actual designs are chocked by dynamic analysis (and then edesigned), there may be considerable variation in the resistance of the designs; 3) analysis using a single time-history, even an artificial time-history for a moothed response spectra, can introduce considerable variation in yield displacement. ht	Massachusetts Institute of Technology	11	
Ambbridge, Massachusetts 01239 00 GK27955 Seconding Organization Name and Address inglineering and Applied Science (EAS) lational Science Foundation 800 6 Street, N.W. Bashington, D.C. 20550 13. Type of Report & Period Covered Abstract (Limit: 200 words) 14. Abstract (Limit: 200 words) 15. Abstract Limit: 200 words) 15. Abstract Limit: 200 words) 16. <	Department of Civil Engineering		contractice) of grant(g) No.
(i) 6KZ/955 Sequentiation Name and Address ingineering and Applied Science (EAS) fational Science Foundation 800 6 Street, N.M. 13. Type of Report & Period Covered Suppresentation Name and Address increasing the strength of the optimum seismic protection study is a 13-story steel frame willding. In order to understand the reasons for the odd behavior of this building increasing the strength of the earthquake that would cause yielding in the willding, as indicated in an earlier study), this report presents a number of results from the elastic analysis of the building including discussions on: ideal building wiftom properties, strength proportional to stiffness) with smooth response spectrum input; actual building with smooth response spectrum input; and actual building with ime-history input. Three main conclusions are as follows: (1) for very flexible wildings, only modest increases in yield acceleration can be achieved by stiffening the building; (2) unless actual designs are checked by dynamic analysis (and then edesigned), there may be considerable variation in the resistance of the designs; 3) analysis using a single time-history were an artificial time-history for a moothed response spectra, can introduce considerable variation in yield displacement. he time-history used for analysis of the pilot building is not adequate for very lexible buildings. Decoment Analysis 1 Decoment Analysis 1 Security Class (this Recort) 21. No of Pager Amatability Statement NIIS 1 Mastra theorem 1 Mastra theorem 1 Mastra theaded therem 1 <td>Lambridge, Massachusetts 01239</td> <td></td> <td>0/07055</td>	Lambridge, Massachusetts 01239		0/07055
Sensoring Organization Hame and Address inglineering and Applied Science (EAS) ational Science Foundation 800 & Street, N.M. ashington, D.C. 20550 13. Type of Report & Period Covered 14. Abstract (Limit 200 words) he pilot building for the optimum seismic protection study is a 13-story steel frame uilding. In order to understand the reasons for the odd behavior of this building increasing the strength and stiffness of this very flexible building generally led o a decrease in the strength of the earthquake that would cause yielding in the uilding, as indicated in an earlier study), this report presents a number of results rom the elastic analysis of the building including discussions on: ideal building wifform properties, strength proportional to stiffness) with smooth response spectrum input; actual building with smooth response spectrum input; and actual building with ime-history input. Three main conclusions are as follows: (1) for very flexible designed), there may be considerable variation in the resistance of the designs; 3) analysis using a single time-history, even an artificial time-history for a moothed response spectra, can introduce considerable variation in yield displacement. he time-history used for analysis of the pilot building is not adequate for very lexible buildings. Document Analysis 21. No. of Pages / 20. Security Class (This Report) Analbability Statement NTIS 19. Security Class (This Report) 19. Security Class (This Page) 21. No. of Pages / 20. Price		(G)	GK2/955
Assnart (Limit 250 words) Assnart (Limit 250 words) he pilot building for the optimum seismic protection study is a 13-story steel frame uilding. In order to understand the reasons for the odd behavior of this building increasing the strength and stiffness of this very flexible building generally led o a decrease in the strength of the earthquak that would cause yielding in the uilding, as indicated in an earlier study), this report presents a number of results rom the elastic analysis of the building including discussions on: ideal building uniform properties, strength proportional to stiffness) with smooth response spectrum nput; actual building with smooth response spectrum input; and actual building with ime-history input. Three main conclusions are as follows: (1) for very flexible uilding; (2) unless actual designs are checked by dynamic analysis (and then edesigned), there may be considerable variation in the resistance of the designs; 3) analysis using a single time-history, even an artificial time-history for a moothed response spectra, can introduce considerable variation in yield displacement. he time-history used for analysis of the pilot building is not adequate for very lexible buildings. Decoment Analysis a. Descriptors arthquakes uildings lastic analysis b identifies/OpenEnded Terms * COSATI Field/Group / Amalubing Statement NTIS 19. Security Class (This Report) 21. No of Pages / Amalubing Statement NTIS	2. Sponsoring Organization Name and Address	13.	Type of Report & Period Covered
Abstract (Limit: 202 works). 14. Abstract (Limit: 202 works). 14. Applementary Notes Applementary Notes Applementary Notes 11. Applementary Inducts 11. Applementary Inducts 11. Applementary Inducts	Engineering and Applied Science (EAS)		
OUD G Street, N.W. 14. Supplementary Notes 14. Adstract (Limit 200 words) he pilot building for the optimum seismic protection study is a 13-story steel frame uilding. In order to understand the reasons for the odd behavior of this building increasing the strength and stiffness of this very flexible building generally led o a decrease in the strength of the earthquake that would cause yielding in the uilding, as indicated in an earlier study), this report presents a number of results rom the elastic analysis of the building including discussions on: ideal building uniform properties, strength proportional to stiffness) with smooth response spectrum input; and actual building is (and then edesigned), there may be considerable variation in the resistance of the designs; 3) analysis using a single time-history, even an artificial time-history for a moothed response spectra, can introduce considerable variation in yield displacement. he time-history used for analysis of the pilot building is not adequate for very lexible buildings. Decument Analysis a. Descriptors arthquakes uildings uildings listic analysis b. Identifiers/Opeen-Ended Terms / / Amathysis a. Descriptors arthquakes / / Decument Analysis b. Identifiers/Opeen-Ended Terms	National Science Foundation		· ··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Supplementary Notes Supplementary Notes Supplementary Notes Abstract (Limit: 200 words) Abstract (Limit: 200 words) Abstract (Limit: 200 words) Increasing the strength and stiffness of this very flexible building generally led o a decrease in the strength of the earthquake that would cause yielding in the uilding, as indicated in an earlier study), this report presents a number of results room the elastic analysis of the building including discussions on: ideal building with numert, actual building with smooth response spectrum input; and actual building with nume-history input. Three main conclusions are as follows: (1) for very flexible uildings, only modest increases in yield acceleration can be achieved by stiffening f the building; (2) unless actual designs are checked by dynamic analysis (and then edesigned), there may be considerable variation in the resistance of the designs; 3) analysis using a single time-history, even an artificial time-history for a moothed response spectra, can introduce considerable variation in yield displacement. he time-history used for analysis of the pilot building is not adequate for very lexible buildings lastic analysis lastic analysis b. Identifiers/Open-Ended Terms / 20. Se	Vashington DC 20550	14.	
Abstract (Limit 200 words) he pilot building for the optimum seismic protection study is a 13-story steel frame uilding. In order to understand the reasons for the odd behavior of this building increasing the strength and stiffness of this very flexible building generally led o a decrease in the strength of the earthquake that would cause yielding in the uilding, as indicated in an earlier study), this report presents a number of results rom the elastic analysis of the building including discussions on: ideal building uniform properties, strength proportional to stiffness) with smooth response spectrum nput; actual building with smooth response spectrum input; and actual building with ime-history input. Three main conclusions are as follows: (1) for very flexible uildings; (2) unless actual designs are checked by dynamic analysis (and then designed), there may be considerable variation in the resistance of the designs; 3) analysis using a single time-history, even an artificial time-history for a moothed response spectra, can introduce considerable variation in yield displacement. he time-history used for analysis of the pilot building is not adequate for very lexible buildings. Document Analysis a. Descriptors arthquakes uildings lastic analysis b. Identifiers/OpenEnded Terms	Washington, D.C. 2000	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Abstract (Limit 200 words) he pilot building for the optimum seismic protection study is a 13-story steel frame uilding. In order to understand the reasons for the odd behavior of this building increasing the strength and stiffness of this very flexible building generally led o a decrease in the strength of the earthquake that would cause yielding in the uilding, as indicated in an earlier study), this report presents a number of results rom the elastic analysis of the building discussions on: ideal building uniform properties, strength proportional to stiffness) with smooth response spectrum nput; actual building with smooth response spectrum input; and actual building with imme-history input. Three main conclusions are as follows: (1) for very flexible uildings, only modest increases in yield acceleration can be achieved by stiffening f the building; (2) unless actual designs are checked by dynamic analysis (and then edesigned), there may be considerable variation in the resistance of the designs; 3) analysis using a single time-history, even an artificial time-history for a moothed response spectra, can introduce considerable variation in yield displacement. he time-history used for analysis of the pilot building is not adequate for very lexible buildings. Document Analysis a Descriptors arthquakes uildings lastic analysis 19. Security Class (This Report) 21. No. of Pages If- Arelability Statement NIIS 19. Security Class (This Report) 21. No. of Pages If- Arelability Statement	J. Supplementary notes		
Abstract (Limit 200 words) In order to understand the reasons for the odd behavior of this building increasing the strength and stiffness of this very flexible building generally led o a decrease in the strength of the earthquake that would cause yielding in the uilding, as indicated in an earlier study), this report presents a number of results rom the elastic analysis of the building including discussions on: ideal building uniform properties, strength proportional to stiffness) with smooth response spectrum nput; actual building with smooth response spectrum input; and actual building with ime-history input. Three main conclusions are as follows: (1) for very flexible uildings, only modest increases in yield acceleration can be achieved by stiffening f the building; (2) unless actual designs are checked by dynamic analysis (and then edesigned), there may be considerable variation in the resistance of the designs; a) analysis using a single time-history, even an artificial time-history for a moothed response spectra, can introduce considerable variation in yield displacement. he time-history used for analysis of the pilot building is not adequate for very lexible buildings lastic analysis b. Identifies/Open-Ended Terms c. COSATI Field/Group / Arsilability Statement NTIS low for a statement NTIS low for a statement NTIS			
Abstract (Limit: 200 words) he pilot building for the optimum seismic protection study is a 13-story steel frame uilding. In order to understand the reasons for the odd behavior of this building increasing the strength and stiffness of this very flexible building generally led o a decrease in the strength of the earthquake that would cause yielding in the uilding, as indicated in an earlier study), this report presents a number of results rom the elastic analysis of the building including discussions on: ideal building uniform properties, strength proportional to stiffness) with smooth response spectrum nput; actual building with smooth response spectrum input; and actual building with ime-history input. Three main conclusions are as follows: (1) for very flexible uilding; (2) unless actual designs are checked by dynamic analysis (and then edesigned), there may be considerable variation in the resistance of the designs; 3) analysis using a single time-history, even an artificial time-history for a moothed response spectra, can introduce considerable variation in yield displacement. he time-history used for analysis of the pilot building is not adequate for very lexible buildings lastic analysis lastic analysis c. COSATI Field/Sroup / Aralability Statement / NTIS 19. Sec			
he pilot building for the optimum seismic protection study is a 13-story steel frame uilding. In order to understand the reasons for the odd behavior of this building increasing the strength and stiffness of this very flexible building generally led o a decrease in the strength of the earthquake that would cause yielding in the uilding, as indicated in an earlier study), this report presents a number of results room the elastic analysis of the building including discussions on: ideal building uniform properties, strength proportional to stiffness) with smooth response spectrum input; and actual building with smooth response spectrum input; and actual building with ime-history input. Three main conclusions are as follows: (1) for very flexible uildings, only modest increases in yield acceleration can be achieved by stiffening f the building; (2) unless actual designs are checked by dynamic analysis (and then edesigned), there may be considerable variation in the resistance of the designs; 3) analysis using a single time-history, even an artificial time-history for a moothed response spectra, can introduce considerable variation in yield displacement. he time-history used for analysis of the pilot building is not adequate for very lexible buildings Document Analysis a. Descriptors arthlysis b. dentifiers/Open.Ended Terms c. COSATI Field/Group / Availability Statement 19. Security Class (This Report) 21. No. of Pages NTIS 19. Security Class (This Report) 22. Price Availability Statement 12. Price 22. Price	6. Abstract (Limit: 200 words)		
uilding. In order to understand the reasons for the odd behavior of this building increasing the strength and stiffness of this very flexible building generally led on a decrease in the strength of the earthquake that would cause yielding in the uilding, as indicated in an earlier study), this report presents a number of results rom the elastic analysis of the building including discussions on: ideal building multiply inform properties, strength proportional to stiffness) with smooth response spectrum input; actual building with smooth response spectrum input; and actual building with ime-history input. Three main conclusions are as follows: (1) for very flexible uilding; (2) unless actual designs are checked by dynamic analysis (and then edesigned), there may be considerable variation in the resistance of the designs; 3) analysis using a single time-history, even an artificial time-history for a moothed response spectra, can introduce considerable variation in yield displacement. he time-history used for analysis of the pilot building is not adequate for very lexible buildings. Document Analysis a. Descriptors introduce for very flexible for very lexible building is not adequate for very lexible buildings. c. COSATI Field/Group / Avaiability Statement 19. Security Class (This Report) 21. No. of Pages information in the Page) NTIS 19. Security Class (This Report) 21. No. of Pages information in the Page)	The pilot building for the optimum seismic pro-	tection study is a 1	3-story steel frame
increasing the strength and stiffness of this very flexible building generally led o a decrease in the strength of the earthquake that would cause yielding in the uilding, as indicated in an earlier study), this report presents a number of results rom the elastic analysis of the building including discussions on: ideal building uniform properties, strength proportional to stiffness) with smooth response spectrum input; actual building with smooth response spectrum input; and actual building with ime-history input. Three main conclusions are as follows: (1) for very flexible uildings, only modest increases in yield acceleration can be achieved by stiffening f the building; (2) unless actual designs are checked by dynamic analysis (and then edesigned), there may be considerable variation in the resistance of the designs; 3) analysis using a single time-history, even an artificial time-history for a moothed response spectra, can introduce considerable variation in yield displacement. he time-history used for analysis of the pilot building is not adequate for very lexible buildings.	building. In order to understand the reasons	for the odd behavior	of this building
o a decrease in the strength of the earthquake that would cause yielding in the uilding, as indicated in an earlier study), this report presents a number of results rom the elastic analysis of the building including discussions on: ideal building uniform properties, strength proportional to stiffness) with smooth response spectrum nput; actual building with smooth response spectrum input; and actual building with ime-history input. Three main conclusions are as follows: (1) for very flexible uildings, only modest increases in yield acceleration can be achieved by stiffening f the building; (2) unless actual designs are checked by dynamic analysis (and then edesigned), there may be considerable variation in the resistance of the designs; 3) analysis using a single time-history, even an artificial time-history for a moothed response spectra, can introduce considerable variation in yield displacement. he time-history used for analysis of the pilot building is not adequate for very lexible buildings.	(increasing the strength and stiffness of this	very flexible build	ing generally led
uilding, as indicated in an earlier study), this report presents a number of results rom the elastic analysis of the building including discussions on: ideal building uniform properties, strength proportional to stiffness) with smooth response spectrum nput; actual building with smooth response spectrum input; and actual building with ime-history input. Three main conclusions are as follows: (1) for very flexible uildings, only modest increases in yield acceleration can be achieved by stiffening f the building; (2) unless actual designs are checked by dynamic analysis (and then edesigned), there may be considerable variation in the resistance of the designs; 3) analysis using a single time-history, even an artificial time-history for a moothed response spectra, can introduce considerable variation in yield displacement. he time-history used for analysis of the pilot building is not adequate for very lexible buildings occument Analysis a. Descriptors arthquakes uildings lastic analysis b. Identifiers/Open-Ended Terms ' Availability Statement NTIS ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '	to a decrease in the strength of the earthquak	e that would cause y	ielding in the
rom the elastic analysis of the building including discussions on: ideal building uniform properties, strength proportional to stiffness) with smooth response spectrum nput; actual building with smooth response spectrum input; and actual building with ime-history input. Three main conclusions are as follows: (1) for very flexible uildings, only modest increases in yield acceleration can be achieved by stiffening f the building; (2) unless actual designs are checked by dynamic analysis (and then edesigned), there may be considerable variation in the resistance of the designs; 3) analysis using a single time-history, even an artificial time-history for a moothed response spectra, can introduce considerable variation in yield displacement. he time-history used for analysis of the pilot building is not adequate for very lexible buildings. Document Analysis a. Descriptors arthquakes uildings lastic analysis b. Identifiers/Open-Ended Terms c. COSATI Field/Group / Availability Statement NTIS 19. Security Class (This Report) 21. No. of Pages 22. Price Apg2Apl/	building, as indicated in an earlier study), the	his report presents a	a number of results
uniform properties, strength proportional to stiffness) with smooth response spectrum nput; actual building with smooth response spectrum input; and actual building with ime-history input. Three main conclusions are as follows: (1) for very flexible uildings, only modest increases in yield acceleration can be achieved by stiffening f the building; (2) unless actual designs are checked by dynamic analysis (and then edesigned), there may be considerable variation in the resistance of the designs; 3) analysis using a single time-history, even an artificial time-history for a moothed response spectra, can introduce considerable variation in yield displacement. he time-history used for analysis of the pilot building is not adequate for very lexible buildings. Document Analysis a. Descriptors arthquakes uildings lastic analysis b. Identifiers/Open-Ended Terms . c. COSATI Field/Group NTIS 19. Security Class (This Report) 21. No. of Pages 14- 20. Security Class (This Page) 22. Price Apg2Apl/	from the elastic analysis of the building inclu	uding discussions on	: ideal building
nput; actual building with smooth response spectrum input; and actual building with ime-history input. Three main conclusions are as follows: (1) for very flexible uildings, only modest increases in yield acceleration can be achieved by stiffening f the building; (2) unless actual designs are checked by dynamic analysis (and then edesigned), there may be considerable variation in the resistance of the designs; 3) analysis using a single time-history, even an artificial time-history for a moothed response spectra, can introduce considerable variation in yield displacement. he time-history used for analysis of the pilot building is not adequate for very lexible buildings. Document Analysis a. Descriptors arthquakes uildings lastic analysis b. Identifiers/Open-Ended Terms c. COSATI Field/Group NTIS 19. Security Class (This Report) 21. No. of Pages 14/- 20. Security Class (This Page) 22. Price 402AQ/	(uniform properties, strength proportional to s	stiffness) with smoot	th response spectrum
IME-history input. Three main conclusions are as follows: (1) for very flexible uildings, only modest increases in yield acceleration can be achieved by stiffening f the building; (2) unless actual designs are checked by dynamic analysis (and then edesigned), there may be considerable variation in the resistance of the designs; 3) analysis using a single time-history, even an artificial time-history for a moothed response spectra, can introduce considerable variation in yield displacement. he time-history used for analysis of the pilot building is not adequate for very lexible buildings. Document Analysis a. Descriptors arthquakes uildings lastic analysis b. Identifiers/Open-Ended Terms f Availability Statement NTIS 19. Security Class (This Report) 21. No. of Pages 144 20. Security Class (This Page) 22. Price 140.2 A.Q./	input; actual building with smooth response spe	ectrum input; and act	tual building with
ulidings, only modest increases in yield acceleration can be achieved by stiffening f the building; (2) unless actual designs are checked by dynamic analysis (and then edesigned), there may be considerable variation in the resistance of the designs; 3) analysis using a single time-history, even an artificial time-history for a moothed response spectra, can introduce considerable variation in yield displacement. he time-history used for analysis of the pilot building is not adequate for very lexible buildings. Document Analysis a. Descriptors arthquakes uildings lastic analysis b. Identifiers/Open-Ended Terms // NTIS 19. Security Class (This Report) 21. No. of Pages 1/4- 20. Security Class (This Page) 22. Price AQ2AQ1	time-history input. Three main conclusions are	e_as follows: (1) fo	pr very flexible
<pre>c. COSATI Field/Group c. COSATI Field/G</pre>	buildings, only modest increases in yield acce	eleration can be ach	ieved by stiffening
c. COSATI Field/Group ' Availability Statement ' NTIS 19. Security Class (This Page) 21. No. of Pages	of the building; (2) unless actual designs are	checked by dynamic a	analysis (and then
S) analysis using a single time-history, even an artificial time-history for a moothed response spectra, can introduce considerable variation in yield displacement. he time-history used for analysis of the pilot building is not adequate for very lexible buildings. Document Analysis a. Descriptors arthquakes uildings lastic analysis b. Identifiers/Open-Ended Terms c. COSATI Field/Group Availability Statement NTIS 19. Security Class (This Report) 21. No. of Pages 14- 20. Security Class (This Page) 22. Price H@2AQ1	(3) analysis using a single time bistowy	on in the resistance	of the designs;
c. COSATI Field/Group / Availability Statement / NTIS 19. Security Class (This Report) 21. No. of Pages 14/- 20. Security Class (This Page) 22. Price	(5) dialysis using a single time-history, even	an artificial time-	nistory for a
Ine time-fristory used for analysis of the priot building is not adequate for very lexible buildings. Document Analysis a. Descriptors arthquakes uildings lastic analysis b. Identifiers/Open-Ended Terms	The time history used for analysis of the miles	derable variation in	yield displacement.
Document Analysis a. Descriptors arthquakes uildings lastic analysis b. Identifiers/Open-Ended Terms c. COSATI Field/Group Availability Statement NTIS 19. Security Class (This Report) 21. No. of Pages 14- 20. Security Class (This Page) 22. Price Add 2 - Add 1	flevible buildings	t building is not add	equate for very
Document Analysis a. Descriptors arthquakes uildings lastic analysis b. Identifiers/Open-Ended Terms c. COSATI Field/Group / Availability Statement NTIS 19. Security Class (This Report) 21. No. of Pages 14- 20. Security Class (This Page) 22. Price AD2 - AQ1			
Document Analysis a. Descriptors arthquakes uildings lastic analysis b. Identifiers/Open-Ended Terms c. COSATI Field/Group f Availability Statement NTIS 19. Security Class (This Report) 21. No. of Pages 14- 20. Security Class (This Page) 22. Price AP2AP1			
Document Analysis a. Descriptors arthquakes uildings lastic analysis b. Identifiers/Open-Ended Terms c. COSATI Field/Group Availability Statement NTIS 19. Security Class (This Report) 14- 20. Security Class (This Page) 22. Price ADQ 2 - AQ1 ADQ 2 - AQ1			
arthquakes uildings lastic analysis b. Identifiers/Open-Ended Terms c. COSATI Field/Group Availability Statement NTIS 19. Security Class (This Report) 21. No. of Pages 14- 20. Security Class (This Page) 22. Price Add 2 - Add 14- 20. Security Class (This Page) 22. Price	7. Document Analysis a. Descriptors		
uildings lastic analysis b. Identifiers/Open-Ended Terms c. COSATI Field/Group Availability Statement NTIS 19. Security Class (This Report) 14- 20. Security Class (This Page) 22. Price APD 2 - APT	Earthquakes	, .	
lastic analysis b. Identifiers/Open-Ended Terms c. COSATI Field/Group / Availability Statement / NTIS 19. Security Class (This Report) 21. No. of Pages 14/- 20. Security Class (This Page) 22. Price Availability Statement 14/- 14/- 20. Security Class (This Page) 22. Price Availability Statement 14/- 21. No. of Pages 14/- 20. Security Class (This Page) 22. Price Availability Statement 14/- 20. Security Class (This Page) 22. Price Availability Statement 14/- 20. Security Class (This Page) 22. Price Availability Statement 14/- 21. No. of Pages 14/-	Buildings		
b. Identifiers/Open-Ended Terms c. COSATI Field/Group / Availability Statement NTIS 19. Security Class (This Report) 21. No. of Pages 14- 20. Security Class (This Page) 22. Price 14- 20. Security Class (This Page) 22. Price 14- 21. No. of Pages 14- 22. Price 14- 23. No. of Pages 14- 24. Price 24. Price 25. Price 26. Price 27. P	Elastic analysis		
b. Identifiers/Open-Ended Terms c. COSATI Field/Group / Availability Statement NTIS 19. Security Class (This Report) 21. No. of Pages 14- 20. Security Class (This Page) 22. Price 14- 20. Security Class (This Page) 22. Price 14- 20. Security Class (This Page) 22. Price 14- 20. Security Class (This Page) 22. Price 14- 19. Security Class (This Page) 22. Price 14- 19. Security Class (This Page) 22. Price 19. Security Class (This Page) 23. Security Class (This Page) 24. Security Class (This Page) 25. Security Class (This Page) 24. Security Class			
c. COSATI Field/Group / Availability Statement / NTIS 19. Security Class (This Report) 21. No. of Pages 144 20. Security Class (This Page) 22. Price 144 AD2 - AD1	b. Identifiers/Open-Ended Terms		
c. COSATI Field/Group / Availability Statement / NTIS 19. Security Class (This Report) 21. No. of Pages // 14/- 20. Security Class (This Page) 22. Price // 14/- 20. Security Class (This Page) 22. Price // 14/-			
c. COSATI Field/Group Availability Statement NTIS 19. Security Class (This Report) 21. No. of Pages 14- 20. Security Class (This Page) 22. Price AQ2 - AQ1			
c. COSATI Field/Group / Availability Statement 19. Security Class (This Report) 21. No. of Pages 14- 20. Security Class (This Page) 22. Price 14- Add 2 - Add 1			
c. COSATI Field/Group / Availability Statement 19. Security Class (This Report) 21. No. of Pages 14- 20. Security Class (This Page) 22. Price 14- ADD 2 - AD1	2		
Availability Statement 19. Security Class (This Report) 21. No. of Pages NTIS 20. Security Class (This Page) 22. Price Add 2 -Add 1	c. COSATI Field/Group		·
NTIS $\begin{array}{c} 14-\\ 20. \ \text{Security Class (This Page)} \\ \hline A \phi 2 - A \phi I \\ \hline \end{array}$	8. Availability Statement	19. Security Class (This Re	port) 21. No. of Pages
20. Security Class (This Page) 22. Price $A\emptyset 2 - A\emptyset 1$	NTIS		14
		20. Security Class (This Pa	ge) 22. Price A(1)-7 - A(1)/
ANSI-239.18) See Instructions on Reverse UPTIONAL FORM 272 (4-7	ee ANSI-Z39.18) See Instructions of	n Reverse	OPTIONAL FORM 272 (4-77
(Formerly NTIS-35) Department of Commerce			(Formerly NTIS-35) Department of Commerce

PHUNAL FURM 272 (4-/
Formerly NTIS-35)
epartment of Commerce

List of Internal Study Reports

- R.V. Whitman, "Preliminary Work Plans and Schedules," August, 1971.
- 2. E. H. Vanmarcke and R.V. Whitman, "Background for Preliminary Expected Future Loss Computations," October, 1971.
- 3. P.J. Trudeau, "Identification of Typical Soil Profiles in the Boston Basin Area," November, 1971.
- J.M. Biggs, "Comparison of Wind and Seismic Forces on Tall Buildings," December, 1971.
- 5. R.V. Whitman, "Contribution to State-of-the-Art Report of the Earthquake Committee of the IABSE-ASCE Tall Buildings Committee--Economic and Social Aspects," March, 1972.
- J.E. Brennan and R.J. McNamara, "Optimum Seismic Protection for New Building Construction in Eastern Metropolitan Areas," April, 1972.
- 7. C.A. Cornell and H.A. Merz, "Analysis of the Seismic Risk on Firm Ground for Sites in the Central Boston Metropolitan Area," January, 1972.
- 8. R.V. Whitman, J.W. Reed, P. Marshall, "1967 Caracas Venezuela Earthquakes," May, 1972.
- 9. R.V. Whitman, E.H. Vanmarcke, "Damage Statistics from Japanese Earthquakes," May, 1972.
- E.H. Vanmarcke, J.W. Reed, and D. Roth, "Evaluation of Expected Losses and Total Present Cost: Preliminary Sensitivity Analysis," July, 1972.
- 11. R.V. Whitman, et al., "1964 Alaskan Earthquake Tall Building Damage Review," July, 1972.
- 12. R.V. Whitman and J.W. Reed, "San Fernando Earthquake Data Base Computer Storage Format," August, 1972.
- J.W. Reed, and R.V. Whitman, "San Fernando Earthquake Damage Statistics," August, 1972.

Any opinions, findings, conclusions or recommendations expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

n

INTRODUCTION

The pilot building for the optimum seismic protection study is a 13-story steel frame building. The building is described in Report 2 (Leslie thesis) and in the thesis by Anagnostopoulos. The latter thesis presents a dynamic analysis for the building, with emphasis upon results in the non-linear range. The results are rather unsatisfactory from the standpoint of the optimization study, since increasing the strength (and stiffness) of this very flexible building generally led to a decrease in the strength of the earthquake that would cause yielding in the building.

In order to understand better the reasons for the odd behavior of this building, this report presents a number of results from the elastic analysis of the building. The order of discussion is:

- Ideal building (uniform properties, strength proportional to stiffness) with smooth response spectrum input.
- 2. Actual building with smooth response spectrum input.
- 3. Actual building with time-history input.

RESPONSE OF IDEAL BUILDING

To have some idea as to how much the yield acceleration might be affected by increased strength and stiffness, let us first consider in an approximate way the behavior of a uniform shear beam where strength

-1-

and stiffness are proportional so that the yield distortion is the same in all designs.

From the theory for dynamic response of a uniform shear beam, the distortion (du/dx) of the beam in the nth mode is:

$$\frac{2S_{dn}}{H}\cos\frac{n\pi x}{2H}$$
 (1)

where S_{dn} is the spectral displacement for the nth mode and H is the length of the beam. The maximum distortion in each mode is at the bottom of the beam (as well, for modes higher than the first, at some higher point in the beam) and is $2S_{dn}/H$. Thus, if three modes are included in modal analysis using response spectrum input, the maximum distortion might be taken as either

$$\Sigma = \frac{2}{H} (S_{d1} + S_{d2} + S_{d3})$$
 (2)

SRSS =
$$\frac{2}{H} \sqrt{S_{d1}^2 + S_{d2}^2 + S_{d3}^2}$$
 (3)

Now let us assume that the response spectrum consists of two straight lines on log-log paper, with one line of constant S_v and the other of constant S_d . Further, assume that the lines intersect at a period of 3 seconds, so that $S_v + \frac{2\pi}{3}S_d$. Then, if the fundamental period T_1 lies on the line of constant S_d while the two higher modes having periods T_2 and T_3 lie on the line of constant S_v , then:

$$\Sigma = \frac{2S_d}{H} \left(1 + \frac{T_2 + T_3}{3}\right)$$
(4)

-2-

SRSS =
$$\frac{2S_d}{H} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{9} (T_2^2 + T_3^2)}$$
 (5)

For the uniform shear beam, $T_2 = T_1/3$ and $T_3 = T_1/5$. Hence,

$$\Sigma = \frac{2S_d}{H} (1 + 0.179T_1)$$
 (6)

SRSS =
$$\frac{2S_d}{H}\sqrt{1 + 0.0168T_1^2}$$
 (7)

Suppose then that we start with a fundamental period of 6 seconds for the O level design. If we increase the stiffness by a factor of 4 while keeping the yield distortion constant, then we increase the yield acceleration (proportional to S_d) by:

using
$$\Sigma$$
: $(1 + 1.074)/(1 + 0.537) = 1.35$

using SRSS:
$$\sqrt{1 + 0.607}/\sqrt{1 + 0.152} = 1.19$$

Thus, the 4-fold increase in stiffness and associated 4-fold increase in strength only increases the yield acceleration by 19% to 35%.

Using the periods for the several designs of the pilot building gives the results in Table 2. Going from the periods for level 2 to those for level 3 increases the yield acceleration from 8% to 14%, while going from the periods for level 2 to those for level 5 increases the yield acceleration from 11% to 26%.

Thus, for a flexible uniform shear beam, with strength proportional to stiffness so that the yield distortion is fixed, large increases in strength and stiffness mean only small increases in the yield acceleration.

-3-

St. Wenter States

RESPONSE OF PILOT BUILDING TO SMOOTH SPECTRA INPUT

For an actual building designed in accordance with the code, the strength and stiffness may not change in a consistent way when the design base shear is changed. This effect may be examined by using a smooth response spectra input to the actual designs made for the pilot building. Table 3 gives the yield distortions* and modal responses (participation factor x mode shape) for the various designs, for key floor levels. The input was taken as $S_d = 5$ inches and $S_v = 10.5$ in/sec, which correspond roughly to the time-history used for analysis of the pilot building normalized to a peak acceleration of 0.11g.

Results of the analysis are given in Table 4. These results were obtained by using the average of the Σ and SRSS results. It is seen that the trend for each direction is erratic: in each case there is an example of increased strength leading to decreased yield level. In the case of the X-direction, this is because the top story of design level S is unusually flexible and has a large distortion in the 1st mode; if this top story were ignored in this design, the yield acceleration would be 0.90g. In the Y-direction, the design of the first floor for level 3 appears to provide more stiffness than strength.

These results show that designs which fulfill the code but

-4-

^{*}Note: The term yield displacement as used here refers to a rough estimate of interstory distortion that will cause first yielding somewhere in the story. Since, however, it is computed in the same way for all three designs, the conclusions drawn are valid, independent of actual local yields.

which are not checked by dynamic analysis may have considerable variation in actual resistance. The average resistance (last column of Table 3) does show an increase with design level.

It may be noted that the 2nd and 3rd modes contribute very strongly to distortions at the top of the building. The UBC does not give an adequate design of the upper stories in the transverse direction.

RESPONSE OF PILOT BUILDING TO TIME HISTORY INPUT

Response computed using a time-history input (an articifial time-history corresponding to a smoothed response spectra) are presented in the thesis by Anagnostopoulos. The yield accelerations are summarized in Table 5. Now the yield acceleration varies even more widely as the strength of the building is increased. There are several reasons for this:

> The spectral displacements for the fundamental mode increase as the building is strengthened. For example:

Design level	S _{dl} in X direction
2	2.4 inches
3	3.1
3	5.9

- The spectral displacements of the higher modes jump around erratically depending upon the relation of the periods to the peaks and valleys of the response spectrum.
- 3. The phasing of the maxima of the modes. For example, consider the following results for interstory displacements, in inches, in the X direction:

-5-

Design	Story	Σ	SRSS	<u>Time-history</u>
2	11	0 094	0.062	0094
3	13	0.065	0.040	0.042
5	1	0.112	0.081	0.091
5	13	0.100	0.058	0.073

These results apply for a peak acceleration 0.007g, and were computed using the spectral displacements from the response spectrum for the time-history. For the last three entries, the time-history maximum is between those obtained by the Σ and SRSS methods. For the first entry, however, the time-history response is equal to the result by the Σ method.

SUMMARY

The results of this study are compared in Table 3. There are three main conclusions to be drawn.

- For very flexible buildings, only modest increases in yield acceleration can be achieved by stiffening of the building.
- Unless actual designs are checked by dynamic analysis (and then redesigned), there may be considerable variation in the resistance of the designs.
- 3. Analysis using a single time-history, even an artificial time-history for a smoothed response spectra, can introduce considerable variation in yield displacement. The time-history used for analysis of the pilot build-ing is not adequate for very flexible buildings.

-6-

Design Level	X-	directio	n	<u> </u>	Y-direction		
	Mode 1	Mode 2	Mode 3	Mode)	Mode 2	Mode 3	
2	5.27	1.96	1.14	4 . 50	3.31	2.95	
3	4.20	1.53	0.91	3.31	1.17	0.68	
S	3.08	1.14	0.71	2.95	1.02	0.61	

PERIODS OF PILOT BUILDING IN SECONDS

TABLE 2

RESPONSE FOR IDEAL BUILDING TO SMOOTH RESPONSE SPECTRUM INPUT

Direction Leve		max. dis	st. x H/2S _d	Ratio to Level		
	Design Level		SRSS	Σ	SRSS	
Х	2	2.04	1.26	1.00	1.00	
	3	1.81	1.16	0.89	0.,92	
	S	1.62	1.10	0.79	0.87	
Y	2	1.85	1.20	1,00	1.00	
	3	1.62	1.10	0.88	0.92	
	S	1.54	1.08	0.84	0.90	

YIELD ACCELERATION FOR PILOT BUILDING USING SMOOTH RESPONSE SPECTRA INPUT

Doctor	Earthquak	Earthquake to Yield		<u>Yield at Floor</u>		<u>Ratio to Level O</u>		
Level X	<u> X </u>	<u> </u>	<u> X </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>Ave</u> .	
2	0.064g	0.076g	11	11	1.00	1.00	1.00	
3	0.080g	0.064g	١	1	1.25	0 ~ 84	1 . 03	
S	0.077g	0.084g	13*	1	1.20	1.11	1.15	

*Followed closely by stories 1 and 9.

TABLE 5

YIELD ACCELERATION FOR PILOT BUILDING USING TIME-HISTORY INPUT

Decian	Earthquak	Earthquake to Yield		Yield at Floor		<u>Ratio to Level O</u>		
Level X	<u> </u>	<u>_X</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> Y </u>	<u>Ave</u> ,		
2	0.061g	0.071g	11	11	1.00	1.00	1.00	
3	0.106g	0.082g	13	1	1.74	1.15	1.42	
S	0.078g	0,060g	13	1	1.28	0.84	1.04	

MODAL FACTORS AND YIELD DISTORTIONS FOR PILOT BUILDING

Direction	Design Level	Story	^۲ ۱ [¢] ۱	^Γ 2 ^Φ 2	[₽] 3 [₽] 3	Yield Disp-in.
Х	2	1	0.127	0.184	0.149	1.16
		9	0.139	0.143	0.123	0.82
		11	0.132	0.254	0.147	0.82
		13	0.055	0.148	0.287	1.02
	3	1	0.178	0.191	0.141	0.95
		9	0,104	0.130	0.064	0.85
		11	0 - 092	0.179	0/082	1 02
		13	0.062	0.176	0.342	0 - 94
	S	1	0.206	0.198	0.113	1.08
		9	0.096	0.105	0,060	0.62
		11	0.088	0.163	0.024	0,79
		13	0 < 086	0-258	0.439	0.80
Y	2	1	0.117	0.179	0.150	0.82
		9	0.131	0.165	0.076	0.71
		11	0.105	0.227	0.170	0.78
		13	0.046	0.133	0.248	0.68
	3	1	0.183	0.202	0.175	0.73
		9	0.104	0.138	0.040	0.70
		11	0.089	0.182	0.140	0.81
		13	0.039	0.102	0.177	0.86
	S	1	0.142	0.183	0.155	0.75
		9	0.110	0.153	0.039	1.04
		11	0.082	0.181	0.141	0.77
		13	0.043	0.124	0,228	0.75

-9-

INCREASE IN YIELD ACCELERATION FOR VARIOUS CASES

Dooian		Ave. values			Range		
Level	<u>Ideal</u>	<u>Spectra</u>	<u>Time-history</u>	Ideal	<u>Spectra</u>	<u>Time-history</u>	
2	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1,00	1,00	
3	1.11	1.03	1.42	1,10-1,11	0.84-1.25	1.15-1.74	
S	1,18	1.15	1.04	1.15-1.20	1.11-1.20	0.84-1.28	

For "ideal" and "spectra" columns, average of Σ and SRSS was used.