REPORT NO. UCB/EERC-81/11 AUGUST 1981

EARTHQUAKE ENGINEERING RESEARCH CENTER

TWO-DIMENSIONAL HYBRID MODELLING OF SOIL-STRUCTURE INTERACTION

by

TSAIR-JYH TZONG SUNIL GUPTA JOSEPH PENZIEN

Report to the National Science Foundation



COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

PRODUCT OF: NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE SPRINGFIELD, VA. 22161 UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA · Berkeley, California

For sale by the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, Virginia 22161.

See back of report for up to date listing of EERC reports.

DISCLAIMER

Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation or the Earthquake Engineering Research Center, University of California, Berkeley

TWO-DIMENSIONAL HYBRID MODELLING OF SOIL-STRUCTURE INTERACTION

by

Tsair-Jyh Tzong Sunil Gupta and Joseph Penzien

Report to the National Science Foundation

Report No. UCB/EERC-81/11 Earthquake Engineering Research Center University of California Berkeley, California

August 1981

· · ·

50272-101			
REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	NSF/CEE-81042	. 2.	3. Recipient's Accession No. PB82 14211 8
. Title and Subtitle			5. Report Date
Two-Dimensional Hy	brid Modelling of Soil-S	itructure Interaction	August 1981
			<u>U. 356019</u>
T = 1 Trong S Gu	unta and 1 Ponzion		8. Performing Organization Rept. No.
- Performing Organization Name	and Address		10. Project/Task/Work Unit No
Earthquake Enginee	ering Research Center	,	
University of Cali	fornia, Berkeley		11. Contract(C) or Grant(G) No.
4/th Street & Hoff Pichmond Calif 9	man Blvd. Mgod		(C)
Alcaniona, calif. 5	4004		(G) PFR-79-08979
12. Sponsoring Organization Nam	e and Address		13. Type of Report & Period Covered
National Science F	oundation		
1800 G Street, N.W	1		
Washington, D.C.	20550		14.
15. Supplementary Notes	·····		
•			
16. Abstract (Limit: 200 words)			
foundation is mode investigation is t modelling by emplo To determine t of system identifi the known compliar impedance function different R/a rati shown.	elled through continuous to complement the previou bying the same technique the two-dimensional frequ- cation is used to insure nces of an infinite rigic as have been employed to os. Good agreement betw	impedance functions. us research on three-di to the two-dimensional uency dependent impedan that the resulting hy strip on an elastic h calculate the complian ween the computed and k	The main purpose of this mensional hybrid case. ace functions, a method /brid model reproduces nalfspace. These aces of the strip for known compliances is
			、
17. Document Analysis a. Desc	riptors		
	~		
b. Identifiers/Open-Ended Ter	rms		
c. COSATI Field/Group			
18. Availability Statement		19. Security Class (Th	is Report) 21. No. of Pages
Dolose	a Unlimited		59
Keredse	5 OILLIMITUCU	20. Security Class (Th	is Page) 22. Price
See ANSI-Z39.18)	See Instru	ctions on Reverse	OPTIONAL FORM 272 (4-7
·		 	(Formerly NTIS-35) Department of Commerce

• ł

ABSTRACT

A hybrid model formed by partitioning a soil-structure system into a near-field and a far-field has been successfully exploited in the analysis of three dimensional soil-structure interaction problems. The near field which consists of the structure and a finite region of soil around it is modelled by the finite element method. The far-field which accounts for the loss of energy due to stress waves travelling away from the foundation is modelled through continuous impedance functions. The main purpose of this investigation is to complement the previous research on three-dimensional hybrid modelling by employing the same technique to the two-dimensional case.

To determine the two-dimensional frequency dependent impedance functions, a method of system identification is used to insure that the resulting hybrid model reproduces the known compliances of an infinite rigid strip on an elastic halfspace. These impedance functions have been employed to calculate the compliances of the strip for different R/a ratios. Good agreement between the computed and known compliances is shown.

i

ł ł ł ł -

ł

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The research reported herein was conducted as part of an ongoing cooperative research program between the United States and Taiwan. The financial support provided by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. PFR-79-08979 is gratefully acknowledged. Computing facilities were provided by the computer centers at the University of California, Berkeley, and the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory.

The authors wish to thank Ms. Toni Avery for typing the manuscript and Ms. Gail Feazell and her staff for preparing the figures.

ii

.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

																									Page
ABST	RACT	• • •	• • •		•	•		•	•	9	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	i
ACKN	IOWLEI	DGEMEN	NTS .	•••	•	•	••	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	ii
TABL	Æ OF	CONTI	ENTS.	••	•	•	• •	•	٠	•		•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	٠	iii
1.	INTRO	ODUCTI	ION .		•			•	•	•	-	-	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	-	•	•	•	1
2.	HYBR	ID MOI	ELLI	NG A	PPF	ROA	CH	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	-	•		•	•	•	٠	•	5
	2.1	Hybri	ld Mo	del.	•	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	-	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	5
	2.2	Equat	tions	of	Mot	io:	n.	•	•	•	•	đ	•	•	-	•	-	•		-	-		-	•	6
	2.3	Dynar	nic R	espo	onse	•	• •		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•		•	•	9
3.	FAR-1	FIELD	IMPE	DANC	E F	UN	CTI	ON	5.		•	o	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	11
	3.1	Mathe	emati	cal	Mođ	lel	•••	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	4	•	•	•	11
	3.2	Para	neter	Ide	nti	fi	cat	io	n.	•	•	•	•	•	•		٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	15
4.	NUME	RICAL	RESU	LTS.	•	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	¢	-	•	•	•	•	-	-	•	23
	4.1	Near-	-Fiel	d Fi	nit	e	Ele	eme	nt	Mc	ođe	1	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	23
	4.2	Comp	ltati	onal	. De	eta	ils	з.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	24
	4.3	Numer	rical	Res	ult	s	• •	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	26
5.	CONC	LUSIO	NS	• •	•	•	• •	•	•	•	•	٠	٠	٠	•	•		•	•	•	٠	٠	٩	•	29
LIST	OF	REFERI	ENCES	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	• ·	•	•	•	٩	٩	٠	٩	٠	•	٩	٠	31
FIGU	IRES.	• • •		σ.	•				ų	•	-	•			•	٠	÷	•		٩	•	•		•	33

· · · ·

-

1. INTRODUCTION

Soil-structure interaction has considerable influence on the dynamic response of deeply embedded or massive structures such as nuclear power plant buildings, offshore gravity towers, and dams. A concerted research effort in recent years, primarily motivated by the concern for safety and reliability of nuclear power plants during earthquakes, has considerably improved the state of the art of soilstructure interaction analysis. However, conceptual and computational difficulties still remain, primarily due to the three-dimensional semiinfinite nature of the soil medium and the complexities caused by the embedment of structures. Non-homogeneity and strain dependency of soil properties, and uncertainties associated with seismic input motions are factors which further complicate the modelling process.

The two basic methods currently in use for the analysis of soilstructure interaction are the finite element method and the substructure or continuum method⁽¹⁾. In the finite element method both the structure and the soil are modelled as a single system using finite elements. This method has been used extensively because of its ability to model embedded structures and the natural layering of the soil deposits. Nonlinear soil properties can also be treated in an approximate fashion. However, a major disadvantage of this method is that the soil, essentially semi-infinite in nature, is normally modelled by a two-dimensional, finite-sized system with a rigid lower boundary. Thus, radiation damping which accounts for the loss of energy due to waves traveling away from the foundation can not be modelled accurately, although the use of viscous⁽²⁾ or transmitting⁽³⁾ boundaries to simulate the lateral extent of the soil region may somewhat mitigate these errors.

In the substructure method, the foundation is usually idealized by a rigid, massless, circular plate bonded to the surface of a semiinfinite halfspace. Frequency dependent impedance functions for the plate are developed and incorporated into the Fourier transformed equations of motion for the structure by imposing the conditions of compatibility and equilibrium between the structure and the plate. This method accounts for radiation damping in the semi-infinite soil medium and provides a realistic, simple, and economical three-dimensional model for a restricted class of structures satisfying the rigid plate foundation conditions mentioned above. Theoretically, the substructure method is also applicable to structures having more complex foundation conditions; e.g., embedded structures ⁽⁴⁾. Difficulties arise, however, in using the method due to lack of realistic solutions for the required impedances representing the semi-infinite soil medium.

Although both methods, when used carefully and skillfully, may provide realistic estimates of the soil-structure interaction effects, it is apparent that they have certain inherent limitations. In an earlier investigation⁽⁵⁾, noting that generally the advantages of one approach are the disadvantages of the other, a hybrid model was introduced in which the whole system is modelled through a combination of finite elements and impedance functions resulting in a realistic, practical, and economical method for the three-dimensional analysis of soil-structure interaction.

In the present investigation, the previously reported hybrid modelling approach is applied to the analysis of plane problems, e.g., long concrete gravity or earth dams where it is reasonable to assume two-dimensional behavior. Although most of the concepts are the same as presented before, they are repeated here for the sake of completeness.

The hybrid model is developed in Chapter 2 of this report by partitioning the total soil-structure system into a near-field and a far-field. The near-field may be modelled by finite elements. Modelling of the far-field by continuous impedance functions and the determination of these impedance functions by the methods of system identification is explained in Chapter 3. In Chapter 4, numerical results for the identified far-field impedance functions are presented and the responses of some simple systems using these impedance functions are compared with other analytical solutions. Significant conclusions and remarks for further developments are presented in Chapter 5.

The second se

.

.

.

. .

.

2.1 Hybrid Model

The hybrid model is obtained by partitioning the total soilstructure system into two substructures, termed the near-field and the far-field. The approach is, therefore, similar in concept to the general substructure method in that the total system is considered to be composed of two subsystems. However, a major difference is that in the present case the near-field consists not only of the structure but also a portion of the foundation soil within the smooth interface shown in Fig. 2.1. The far-field contains the remaining soil region outside this interface. For three-dimensional problems the interface was appropriately chosen to be hemispherical. For plane problems, with which the present investigation is concerned, this interface is taken to be a semi-cylinder.

Both the structure and the soil in the near-field may be modelled in discrete form using the finite element method, thus taking advantage of its ability to accommodate irregular geometries such as those encountered with embedded foundations. Non-homogeneous and nonlinear soil properties in the immediate vicinity of the foundation can also be modelled by assigning appropriate properties to the affected finite elements.

The far-field, which in the present investigation is a uniform elastic half-space with a semi-cylindrical cavity, shares a common interface with the near-field along which the nodal points are common to both. It accounts for the loss of energy due to waves travelling away from the foundation. An accurate representation of this behavior requires the development of a far-field impedance matrix which relates

the far-field forces to the far-field displacements corresponding to the interface degrees of freedom. Since rigorous solutions to this problem appear mathematically intractable at present, the far-field is modelled in this investigation by continuous impedance functions distributed over the interface. The far-field impedance matrix may then be obtained by discretizing these impedance functions at the boundary nodes. This matrix when combined with the near-field equations of motion effectively and efficiently simulates the total soil-structure system. The determination of the far-field impedance functions using the method of system identification will be discussed in Chapter 3.

The term "hybrid" adopted herein reflects the fact that by this approach the soil region is modelled by both finite elements and impedance functions allowing realistic modelling of both the near- and far-fields which has been difficult to accomplish in the past by the existing methods for the analysis of soil-structure interaction.

2.2 Equations of Motion

The equation of motion for the isolated near-field subjected to uniform ground motion along the interface can be written as

$$M\ddot{u} + Cu + Ku = p(t) + f(t)$$
(2.1)

in which $\underline{u}(t)$ is the vector of nodal point displacements in the nearfield (including interface nodes) relative to the motion of the boundary, and $\underline{\dot{u}}$ and $\underline{\ddot{u}}$ are the corresponding velocity and acceleration vectors, respectively. Mass matrix \underline{M} is, in general, a full matrix but it can be diagonalized using a lumping procedure that gives sufficient accuracy and saves substantial computer storage. The near-field stiffness matrix \underline{K} is positive semi-definite. Viscous damping matrix <u>C</u> accounts for energy dissipation in the near-field due to material damping. Vector p(t)

contains the components of effective inertia loading on the system due to earthquake ground motion, and vector $\underline{f}(t)$ contains the far-field interaction forces corresponding to the interface degrees of freedom.

For steady state response, Eq. 2.1 can be transformed into frequency domain, giving

$$(-\omega^{2}\underline{M} + i\omega\underline{C} + \underline{K}) \underline{U}(\omega) = \underline{P}(\omega) + \underline{F}(\omega)$$
(2.2)

or

$$\underline{S}(\omega) \ \underline{U}(\omega) = \underline{P}(\omega) + \underline{F}(\omega)$$
(2.3)

where

$$\underline{S}(\omega) = -\omega^2 \underline{M} + i\omega \underline{C} + \underline{K}$$

is the frequency dependent impedance matrix which characterizes the mass, damping and stiffness properties of the near field. $\underline{U}(\omega)$, $\underline{P}(\omega)$ and $\underline{F}(\omega)$ are the Fourier transforms of the displacement, loading, and interaction force vectors, respectively, and ω is the excitation frequency.

The vector \underline{U} of nodal point displacements can be partitioned into two parts: $\underline{U}_{\underline{b}}$ corresponding to the nodal displacements at the boundary common to the near- and far-fields, and $\underline{U}_{\underline{S}}$ corresponding to the remaining nodal displacements of the near-field. Thus, Eq. 2.3 can then be written in the partitioned form

$$\begin{bmatrix} \underline{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathbf{s}\mathbf{s}} & \underline{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathbf{s}\mathbf{b}} \\ \underline{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathbf{s}\mathbf{b}}^{\mathrm{T}} & \underline{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathbf{b}\mathbf{b}} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} \underline{\mathbf{U}}_{\mathbf{s}} \\ \underline{\mathbf{U}}_{\mathbf{b}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \underline{\mathbf{P}}_{\mathbf{s}} \\ \underline{\mathbf{P}}_{\mathbf{b}} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \underline{\mathbf{0}} \\ \underline{\mathbf{F}}_{\mathbf{b}} \end{bmatrix}$$
(2.4)

Because there are no interaction forces in the interior of the near-field, only vector \underline{F}_{b} corresponding to the interface degrees of freedom exists in vector $\underline{F}(\omega)$. For the isolated far-field, the interface dynamic force-deflection relationship is

$$\underline{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathbf{f}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \ \underline{\mathbf{U}}_{\mathbf{f}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \underline{\mathbf{F}}_{\mathbf{f}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \tag{2.5}$$

where $\underline{S}_{f}(\omega)$ is the far-field impedance matrix which has to be determined by a separate analysis. In rigorous form, it is a full matrix the elements of which characterize the mass, radiation damping, and stiffness characteristics of the far-field. It is complex valued and frequency dependent.

The equations of motion for the far-field are incorporated into the frequency domain near-field equations by invoking the conditions of compatibility and equilibrium at the interface, i.e.,

$$\underline{U}_{f} = \underline{U}_{h}$$
(2.6)

anđ

$$\frac{\mathbf{F}}{\mathbf{f}} + \frac{\mathbf{F}}{\mathbf{b}} = 0 \tag{2.7}$$

Substitution of Eqs. 2.5, 2.6 and 2.7 into Eq. 2.4, leads to the following equations of motion for the hybrid model of the entire soilstructure system in the frequency domain:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \underline{S}_{SS} & \underline{S}_{Sb} \\ \\ \underline{S}_{Sb}^{T} & \underline{S}_{bb} + \underline{S}_{f} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \begin{cases} \underline{U}_{S} \\ \\ \underline{U}_{b} \end{cases} = \begin{cases} \underline{P}_{S} \\ \\ \underline{U}_{b} \end{cases}$$
(2.8)

or,

$$\hat{\underline{S}}(\omega) \underline{U}(\omega) = \underline{P}(\omega)$$
 (2.9)

where $\underline{\hat{S}}(\omega)$ is the impedance matrix of the total hybrid system including near- and far-fields.

2.3 Dynamic Response

For a prescribed earthquake input motion, the Fourier amplitude, $P(\omega)$, of the resulting load vector, p(t), can be obtained from

$$\underline{P}(\omega) = \int_{0}^{T_{d}} \underline{p}(t) \bar{e}^{i\omega t} dt \qquad (2.10)$$

where T_d is the time duration of excitation. The solution $\underline{U}(\omega)$ of Eq. 2.9 for discrete values of the excitation frequency completely characterizes the response in the frequency domain. The time histories of response can then be obtained by the inverse Fourier transformation of the complex frequency response into the time domain using

$$\underline{\mathbf{u}}(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \underline{\mathbf{U}}(\omega) e^{\mathbf{i}\omega t} d\omega \qquad (2.11)$$

The transform pairs of Eqs. 2.10 and 2.11 can be evaluated in a very efficient and economical way by using Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) techniques.

The definition of a realistic input motion to the soil-structure systems is still a debatable issue. The seismic energy arriving at a particular site depends upon so many factors, such as fault rupture mechanism, travel path of the seismic waves, and local soil conditions, that a complete characterization of the earthquake ground motion unique to a particular site appears impossible and impractical within the present state of the art. Analytical studies to predict the motion on the surface of a layered halfspace⁽⁶⁾ or along cavities of various shapes^(7,8,9) in a uniform halfspace due to a system of travelling waves provide an insight into this complex phenomonen, but have limited use because of lack of knowledge about the angle of incidence of incoming seismic waves and their composition which vary from earthquake to earthquake. Therefore, at the present time it seems reasonable and prudent to specify a site-dependent response spectrum from which time histories of motion can be generated to be used as input to the soilstructure system.

The equations of motion in this report have been developed for uniform earthquake excitation at the interface. Spatially varying ground motions along the interface, if known, can be incorporated by solving a modified set of dynamic equilibrium equations and combining the resulting nodal displacements with the corresponding quasi-static displacements produced by the prescribed interface displacements ⁽¹⁰⁾.

3. FAR-FIELD IMPEDANCE FUNCTIONS

3.1 Mathematical Model

An accurate representation of the far-field which accounts for radiation damping in the semi-infinite soil medium is central to the concept of hybrid modelling. The development of a far-field impedance matrix, $\underline{S}_{_{f}}(\omega)\,,$ as needed by the hybrid model, requires the solution of a set of partial differential equations with prescribed boundary conditions at the interface. Since such analytical solutions are difficult to obtain except for very simple systems ⁽⁵⁾, a semi-analytical approach is adopted here in which, physically, the far-field may be thought of as being composed of infinitesimally thin soil elements, extending to infinity in the direction normal to the semi-cylindrical cavity, and which act independently of each other. This is a realistic assumption if the deformations are smooth and slowly varying functions over the interface. This can be assured by placing the interface at a reasonable distance from the structure since the influence of foundation irregularities on stresses and displacements along the semi-cylindrical boundary diminish with distance from the foundation.

The dynamic load-deflection relationship of each of these infinitesimal soil elements can be characterized by impedance elements, the real part representing stiffness and the imaginary part representing radiation damping. Since there is an innumerable number of such closely spaced infinitesimal soil elements, the far-field, in the limit, may be replaced by continuous impedance functions placed in the two coordinate directions on the interface between the near- and far-fields. Conceptually, this is the dynamic equivalent of the Winkler assumption made for the case of static loading of beams on an elastic foundation.

In general, the far-field impedances can be expressed in terms of a Fourier series involving the angle ϕ . Since for uniform or horizontally layered halfspaces the far-field possesses material and geometric symmetry about the vertical axis, the impedance functions must be symmetric in ϕ ; thus giving

$$S_{R}(R,\phi,b_{o}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} S_{Rn}(R,b_{o}) \cos \phi$$

$$S_{\phi}(R,\phi,b_{o}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} S_{\phi n}(R,b_{o}) \cos \phi$$
(3.1)

in which S_R and S_{φ} are the complex valued far-field impedances per unit area in the normal and tangential directions to the semi-cylindrical interface as shown in Fig. 3.1.a. Coefficients S_{Rn} and $S_{\varphi n}$ are functions of the interface radius R, the shear modulus G, and the nondimensional frequency parameter b_0 defined by $b_0 = \omega R/C_S$ where ω is the excitation frequency, $C_S = \sqrt{G/\rho}$ is the shear wave velocity, and ρ is the mass density of the far-field material.

The number of terms required in Eq. 3.1 to properly represent the far-field depends upon the complexity of layering. In the present investigation the far-field is considered to be a homogeneous, isotropic, and elastic halfspace for which the infinitesimal soil elements around the interface will have the same properties. This gives rise to uniformly distributed impedance functions. Therefore, only the constant terms in Eq. 3.1 need be retained, giving

$$S_{R}(R,\phi,b_{O}) = S_{RO}(R,b_{O}) = \eta_{R} + i\xi_{R}$$

$$(3.2)$$

$$S_{\phi}(R,\phi,b_{O}) = S_{\phi O}(R,b_{O}) = \eta_{\phi} + i\xi_{\phi}$$

where the η 's and ξ 's are the real and imaginary parts, respectively, of the unknown far-field impedance functions.

These continuous far-field impedance functions can be discretized at the boundary nodes to obtain the far-field impedance matrix. This can be achieved by using the principle of virtual work expressed as

$$\delta \mathbf{w} = \int \delta \underline{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathrm{T}} \underline{\mathbf{p}} \, \mathrm{d} \mathbf{s} \tag{3.3}$$

where $\delta \underline{u}$ is the 2-component vector of kinematically admissible virtual displacements on the interface, and \underline{p} is the corresponding 2-component vector of real interface forces in equilibrium, and s is distance along the interface.

From the assumed model of the far-field, the interface forces and displacements are related by

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{r}} \\ \mathbf{p}_{\phi} \end{cases} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{R}} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{s}_{\phi} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{r}} \\ \mathbf{u}_{\phi} \end{cases}$$
(3.4)

where ${\rm S}_R$ and ${\rm S}_\varphi$ are the continuous far-field impedance functions defined earlier.

In addition, the far-field displacements expressed in the cylindrical coordinate system will be transformed into the Cartesian coordinate system used for the near-field finite element model by the relation

$$\begin{cases} u_{\mathbf{r}} \\ u_{\phi} \end{cases} = \begin{bmatrix} \sin\phi & \cos\phi \\ & & \\ \cos\phi & -\sin\phi \end{bmatrix} \begin{cases} u_{\mathbf{x}} \\ u_{\mathbf{z}} \end{cases}$$
(3.5)

Substitution of Eqs. 3.4 and 3.5 into 3.3 gives

$$\delta W = \int \delta \langle \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{x}} | \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{z}} \rangle \begin{bmatrix} S_{\mathrm{R}} \sin^2 \phi + S_{\phi} \cos^2 \phi & (S_{\mathrm{R}} - S_{\phi}) \sin \phi \cos \phi \\ (S_{\mathrm{R}} - S_{\phi}) \sin \phi \cos \phi & S_{\mathrm{R}} \cos^2 \phi + S_{\phi} \sin^2 \phi \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{x}} \\ \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{z}} \end{pmatrix} ds$$
(3.6)

Now, the displacements on the interface may be expressed in terms of the same interpolation functions as used for the near-field finite element discretization to ensure compatibility of displacements along the interface. Thus, for a quadratic element 'p' on the interface

$$\begin{cases} u_{\mathbf{x}} \\ u_{\mathbf{z}} \\ \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} N_1 & N_2 & N_3 \\ & & N_1 & N_2 & N_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_{\mathbf{x}1} \\ u_{\mathbf{x}2} \\ u_{\mathbf{x}3} \\ u_{\mathbf{z}1} \\ u_{\mathbf{z}2} \\ u_{\mathbf{z}3} \\ \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{N} \\ \underline{N} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \underline{u}_{\mathbf{x}} \\ \underline{u}_{\mathbf{z}} \\ \underline{u}_{\mathbf{z}} \\ p \end{bmatrix}$$
(3.7)

where u_{xl} , u_{zl} , etc. are the nodal point displacements at the interface, and N_1 , N_2 , N_3 are the interpolation functions given by

$$N_{1} = \frac{t}{2} (t-1)$$

$$N_{2} = 1-t^{2} \qquad -1 \le t \le 1$$

$$N_{3} = \frac{t}{2} (t+1)$$

Therefore, the contribution of element 'p' to the total virtual work can be obtained by substituting Eq. 3.7 into Eq. 3.6, giving

$$\delta \mathbf{w}^{\mathbf{p}} = \delta \langle \underline{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{T}} \ \underline{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{z}}^{\mathbf{T}} \rangle_{\mathbf{p}} \ \underline{\mathbf{s}}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{p}} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \underline{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{x}} \\ \underline{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{z}} \end{array} \right\}_{\mathbf{p}}$$
(3.8)

in which,

$$\underline{S}_{f}^{p} = \int_{p} \begin{bmatrix} (S_{R} \sin^{2} \phi + S_{\phi} \cos^{2} \phi) & \underline{N}^{T} \underline{N} & (S_{R} - S_{\phi}) \sin \phi \cos \phi \underline{N}^{T} \underline{N} \\ (S_{R} - S_{\phi}) \sin \phi \cos \phi & \underline{N}^{T} \underline{N} & (S_{R} \cos^{2} \phi + S_{\phi} \sin^{2} \phi) & \underline{N}^{T} \underline{N} \end{bmatrix} ds \quad (3.9)$$

is the 6 x 6, consistent far-field impedance matrix in Cartesian coordinates for an element p on the interface. Because of the complexity of the terms in Eq. 3.9, six Gaussian quadrature points along an element interface are needed to avoid incomplete integration.

The far-field impedance matrix for the entire interface may be obtained by standard assembly procedure giving



in which, the element impedance matrix given by Eq. 3.9 is used for assembly.

The overall far-field impedance matrix so obtained may be employed in the hybrid system as represented by Eq. 2.8 to solve two dimensional problems.

3.2 Parameter Identification

The unknown far-field impedance functions S_R and S_{ϕ} are determined by the method of system identification. System identification is an iterative process in which the unknown parameters of the postulated analytical model are determined by systematically adjusting them in such a way that the resulting model provides a best fit to the actual observed behavior of the system. In the present investigation, which is concerned with two-dimensional problems, the "observed behavior" is taken as that given by the theoretical solutions for the dynamic response of an infinitely long, rigid, massless strip footing on a uniform elastic halfspace in the vertical and coupled translationrocking modes of vibration. These solutions have been determined by $Oien^{(11)}$ and Luco and Westmann⁽¹²⁾ and can be defined by the following matrix equation.

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_{\mathbf{V}} \\ \Delta_{\mathbf{H}} \\ \Delta_{\mathbf{M}} \end{cases} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}} & & \\ & \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{H}\mathbf{H}} & \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{H}\mathbf{M}} \\ & & \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{M}\mathbf{H}} & \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{M}\mathbf{M}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{cases} \mathbf{V} \\ \mathbf{H} \\ \mathbf{M} \end{cases}$$
(3.11)

In the above equation, the coupling compliance $C_{\rm HM}$ equals $C_{\rm MH}$ owing to the reciprocity condition. Since the solutions obtained by Luco and Westmann for Poisson's ratio other than 1/2 are valid only for nondimensional frequency a_o below 1.5 due to the numerical difficulties involved, the compliances used here are those generated by Oien. The corresponding hybrid model of the rigid strip, with the near-field modeled by finite elements and far-field by impedance functions, must reproduce these known solutions within some prescribed tolerance level.

For a prescribed value of the excitation frequency and for assumed values of far-field impedance functions, the equation of motion for the hybrid system, Eq. 2.9, can be solved to yield the complex displacement amplitudes (compliances) of the rigid massless strip footing. These compliances depend upon the assumed far-field impedance and will, in general, be in error with the known compliances. To systematically minimize these errors using the methods of system identification, an error function containing the sum of squared errors of all the strip compliances is formed giving,

$$J(\underline{\beta}, \omega) = \sum_{i=1}^{NC} \left[U_{i}(\underline{\beta}, \omega) - C_{i} \right]^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{NC} \left\{ \left[R_{e}(U_{i}) - R_{e}(C_{i}) \right]^{2} + \left[I_{m}(U_{i}) - I_{m}(C_{i}) \right]^{2} \right\}$$
(3.12)

in which, $\underline{\beta}$ is an n-dimensional vector containing all of the far-field impedance coefficients (in the present case $\underline{\beta}^{T} = \langle n_{R} \xi_{R} n_{\phi} \xi_{\phi} \rangle$ as given by Eq. 3.2), $U_{i} = U_{i}(\underline{\beta}, \omega)$ are the strip compliances from the hybrid model, $C_{i} = C_{i}(\omega)$ are the known strip compliances, ω is the excitation frequency, and NC is the total number of strip compliances considered in the solution.

The error function $J(\beta, \omega)$ which can be visualized as an ndimensional space surface corresponding to the n parameters in the farfield impedance vector $\underline{\beta}$ is minimized for discrete values of $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ to give the corresponding $\underline{\beta}$ over the desired range of frequencies. Methods of system identification are used to systematically adjust the originally assumed values of the far-field impedance coefficients. Most of these methods use the so-called gradient techniques in which new values for the components in vector β are obtained by following in the direction of the negative gradient of the error function in the n-dimensional parameter spaces. However, the convergence by employing the gradient techniques is always slow. To overcome this difficulty the modified Gauss-Newton method which makes use of the information on second derivatives has been selected for the present study, thus resulting in an improved convergence rate (13). The procedure is to expand the error function $J(\beta,\omega)$ into a Taylor's series, neglecting the terms of order higher than two, and then equating the gradient to zero which leads to the equation

$$\underline{g}(\underline{\beta}_{i-1},\omega) + \underline{h}(\underline{\beta}_{i-1},\omega)(\underline{\beta}_{i}-\underline{\beta}_{i-1}) = \underline{0}$$
(3.13)

where $\underline{\beta}_{i-1}$ and $\underline{\beta}_i$ are the parameter vectors at iterative steps i-1 and i, respectively,

$$\underline{g}^{\mathrm{T}}(\underline{\beta},\omega) = \langle \frac{\partial J}{\partial \beta_{1}}, \frac{\partial J}{\partial \beta_{2}}, ---, \frac{\partial J}{\partial \beta_{n}} \rangle \qquad (3.14)$$

is the gradient vector, and

$$\underline{\mathbf{h}}(\underline{\beta},\omega) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{J}}{\partial \beta_{1}^{2}} - \dots - \frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{J}}{\partial \beta_{1} \partial \beta_{n}} \\ \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{I} \\ \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{I} \\ \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{I} \\ \frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{J}}{\partial \beta_{n} \partial \beta_{1}} - \dots - \frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{J}}{\partial \beta_{n}^{2}} \end{bmatrix}$$
(3.15)

is the n x n Hessian matrix.

If the Hessian matrix is inversible, $\underline{\beta}_i$ can be expressed as

$$\underline{\beta}_{i} = \underline{\beta}_{i-1} - \lambda \underline{h}^{-1}(\underline{\beta}_{i-1}, \omega) \underline{q}(\underline{\beta}_{i-1}, \omega)$$
(3.16)

The equation in which the inverse Hessian matrix modifies both the magnitude and the direction of the steepest descent given by the negative gradient defines the Gauss-Newton method. Scalar λ is a positive parameter selected to ensure a decrease in error within each iteration cycle. Eq. 3.16 may also be written as

$$\underline{\beta}_{i} = \underline{\beta}_{i-1} - \lambda \underline{d}_{i-1}$$
(3.17)

where

$$\underline{\mathbf{d}}_{i-1} = \underline{\mathbf{h}}^{-1} (\underline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{i-1}, \boldsymbol{\omega}) \underline{\mathbf{g}} (\underline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{i-1}, \boldsymbol{\omega})$$

is the search direction vector as defined by the modified Gauss-Newton method.

The components of the gradient vector in Eq. 3.14 are obtained by taking the partial derivatives of the error function at β_{i-1} , i.e.,

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \beta_{j}} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{NC} \left[\operatorname{Re}(U_{i}) - \operatorname{Re}(C_{i}) \right] \frac{\partial \operatorname{Re}(U_{i})}{\partial \beta_{j}} +$$

$$2 \sum_{i=1}^{NC} \left[\operatorname{Im}(U_{i}) - \operatorname{Im}(C_{i}) \right] \frac{\partial \operatorname{Im}(U_{i})}{\partial \beta_{j}}$$
(3.18)

Similarly, the coefficients of the Hessian matrix are

$$\frac{\partial^{2} J}{\partial \beta_{j} \partial \beta_{k}} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{NC} \left\{ \frac{\partial \operatorname{Re}(U_{i})}{\partial \beta_{j}} \frac{\partial \operatorname{Re}(U_{i})}{\partial \beta_{k}} + \left[\operatorname{Re}(U_{i}) - \operatorname{Re}(C_{i})\right] \frac{\partial^{2} \operatorname{Re}(U_{i})}{\partial \beta_{j} \partial \beta_{k}} \right\} + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{NC} \left\{ \frac{\partial \operatorname{Im}(U_{i})}{\partial \beta_{j}} \frac{\partial \operatorname{Im}(U_{i})}{\partial \beta_{k}} + \left[\operatorname{Im}(U_{i}) - \operatorname{Im}(C_{i})\right] \frac{\partial^{2} \operatorname{Im}(U_{i})}{\partial \beta_{j} \partial \beta_{k}} \right\}$$
(3.19)

Since the effort required to calculate the second derivatives in Eq. 3.19 is prohibitive, the modified Gauss-Newton method approximates the coefficients of the Hessian matrix by

$$\frac{\partial^2 J}{\partial \beta_j \partial \beta_k} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{NC} \left[\frac{\partial \operatorname{Re}(U_i)}{\partial \beta_j} + \frac{\partial \operatorname{Re}(U_i)}{\partial \beta_k} + \frac{\partial \operatorname{Im}(U_i)}{\partial \beta_j} + \frac{\partial \operatorname{Im}(U_i)}{\partial \beta_k} \right]$$
(3.20)

A justification for neglecting the two higher order terms in Eq. 3.19 is that near the minimum these terms are small compared to the first order terms. The approximation given by Eq.3.20 makes the Hessian matrix positive semi-definite, a property that the original matrix based on Eq. 3.19 does not posses. To ensure that the inverse of the Hessian matrix in Eq. 3.16, does exist, it is necessary only to add a small positive constant to the diagonal elements. The added term can be considered as an approximation to the higher order terms ignored in Eq. 3.20, and it improves the search direction. Although, this modification usually damps the rate of convergence ⁽¹⁶⁾, its use is advantageous when approaching the minimum where convergence is not always stable. Also, since the response quantity $U_{i}(\underline{\beta}, \omega)$ is not an explicit function of $\underline{\beta}$, but is obtained through a numerical process involving the solution of Eq. 2.9, the partial derivatives $\frac{\partial U_i}{\partial \beta_j}$ in Eqs. 3.18 and 3.20 are replaced by finite differences $\frac{\Delta U_i}{\Delta \beta_j}$.

The error function $J(\underline{\beta}, \omega)$ defines an n-dimensional surface which in two dimensions is easy to visualize as shown in Fig. 3.2. The modified Gauss-Newton method is an iterative process in which the error is minimized by obtaining successively better estimates of the farfield impedance vector $\underline{\beta}$ until a point $\underline{\beta}^*$ is located where the slope of the error surface approaches zero. The slope of the error profile at a point $\underline{\beta}_i$ along the search direction \underline{d}_{i-1} is obtained by differentiating the error function with respect to the step size λ_i , giving

$$\alpha_{i-1}(\underline{\beta}_{i}) = -\underline{g}^{T}(\underline{\beta}_{i}, \omega) \underline{d}_{i-1}$$
(3.21)

At any step i-1, a typical iteration cycle proceeds as follows -- The far-field impedance matrices corresponding to the parameter vector $\underline{\beta}_{i-1}$ are formed as explained earlier and then they are combined with the near-field finite element equations to give the equations of motion, Eq. 2.9, for the hybrid model. These equations are solved to obtain the response U_i of the rigid strip and the error is evaluated according to Eq. 3.12. The slope of the error surface, $\alpha_{i-1}(\underline{\beta}_{i-1})$ is obtained by substituting $\underline{\beta}_{i-1}$ for $\underline{\beta}_i$ in Eq. 3.21 which is then compared against a specified tolerance on slope taken sufficiently close to zero. If the slope is less than the specified tolerance, the error surface is considered flat (or nearly flat) and the error J is assumed to be minimized. The parameter vector $\underline{\beta}_{i-1}$ in that case is the desired farfield impedance vector $\underline{\beta}^*$. If the slope is greater than the specified tolerance, a line search along the direction \underline{d}_{i-1} is made as shown in

Fig. 3.2. According to Eq. 3.17 each value of the step size parameter λ defines a different point $\underline{\beta}_i$ along this direction. Within a line search, the step size λ is systematically adjusted in such a way that a point $\underline{\beta}$, is obtained where the slope of the error profile is sufficiently small and the error is minimized in that direction. The parameter vector $\underline{\beta}_i$ so obtained is then used as the next point in the iteration process. The tolerance on slope within a line search affects the number of steps required to determine the step size for which the error profile reaches a minimum in the search direction. If a crude "line stopping" tolerance is specified the process may take fewer steps within each line search but may require a large number of iterations to reach the true minimum indicated by the dashed lines in Fig. 3.2. It has, therefore, been recommended that a moderate amount of effort be spent in the step length determination. How accurately the true minimum is determined depends upon the specified criteria for overall convergence. If a strict tolerance on slope is specified, the process may take longer to converge, but the minimum will be determined more accurately.

To start the iterative process one must have an initial estimate $\underline{\beta}_{0}$ of the far-field impedance function. The success of the method depends upon the accuracy of this estimate. If the starting vector $\underline{\beta}_{0}$ is far from the true minimum, the convergence may be very slow. It is possible that, although the iterative process converges to a minimum, the error at that point is still large. This implies one of two possibilities -- either it is a local minimum, or it is a global minimum but the model chosen for the far-field impedances is not adequate. In the first eventuality, one may start from a different set of starting values $\underline{\beta}_{0}$ until the true minimum is achieved. In the second case, one may try including additional terms in the Fourier expansion of Eq. 3.1.

If that does not work either, then it implies that the chosen model is not realistic. If, however, at the minimum the error approaches zero, it signifies that the chosen mathematical model for the farfield impedances is adequate and that the iterative process has converged to the true minimum.

4. NUMERICAL RESULTS

4.1 Near-Field Finite Element Model

Discretization of the near-field by finite elements causes a filtering effect on waves in the high frequency range. The ability of the finite element near-field to transmit waves will depend upon the assumed displacement field within and the size of each element. It is, therefore, important that having selected the type of finite element to be used, the finite element mesh is fine enough to ensure transmission of waves over the entire frequency range of interest.

The element selected in this study is a quadratic 9-node planar element. The accuracy and stability characteristics of this element have been studied previously $^{(14)}$ where it was noted that the addition of the ninth node to the center of the more conventional 8-node isoparametric element increases its reliability under geometric distortion. The effectiveness of this element in transmitting waves was studied by analyzing the problem of one-dimensional wave propagation through a semi-infinite rod constrained to undergo motion only in the longitudinal direction⁽⁵⁾. It was observed that the analytical solutions to this problem are reproduced with an excellent degree of accuracy if the size of these 9-node elements is 1/4th of the wave length. Although this observation pertains to one-dimensional wave propagation, it serves as a useful guideline in selecting the size of the finite element for twoand three-dimensional problems also.

The near-field finite element mesh used in the present investigation to model the rigid strip footing on a uniform elastic halfspace is shown in Fig. 4.1. Since any arbitrary loading on the strip can be decomposed into symmetrical and antisymmetrical components, only one-half of the

total region need to be considered and appropriate boundary conditions imposed on the nodes lying on the vertical axis. The radius of the far-field, R, has been initially chosen to be three times the half-width, a, of the rigid strip to ensure slowly varying displacements and stresses at the interface. The largest dimension of the elements anywhere in the mesh is approximately 1/4th of the wave length corresponding to a non-dimensional frequency $b_0 = \frac{\omega R}{C_s}$ of 9.0. It is anticipated that the errors in the displacement field, for frequencies below this value, will be on the order of 5%. Such a refined mesh was deemed necessary to ensure that the far-field impedances, identified using this mesh, will not be unduly influenced by the near-field discretization.

4.2 Computational Details

The stiffness and lumped mass matrices of the near-field are obtained using a specifically developed FORTRAN Computer program. The stiffness matrix is stored using an active column scheme to minimize the computer storage. Since no material damping has been used in generating the compliance functions for the infinite rigid strip, the near-field damping matrix is set equal to zero for purposes of generating the far-field impedance functions.

The modified Gauss-Newton algorithum for parameter adjustment is an iterative process requiring repeated solutions of the equations of motion, Eq. 2.9, of the hybrid system. In order to minimize the computational effort the degrees of freedom of the rigid strip are numbered last in the overall equations which can then be partitioned as shown below

$$\begin{array}{cccc} {}^{2N}s & \left[\begin{array}{cccc} \underline{s}_{ss} & \underline{s}_{sb} & \underline{s}_{st} \\ \underline{s}_{ss}^{T} & \underline{s}_{bb} + \underline{s}_{f} (\underline{\beta}, \omega) & \underline{s}_{bt} \\ \underline{s}_{st}^{T} & \underline{s}_{bt}^{T} & \underline{s}_{bt} \\ \underline{s}_{st}^{T} & \underline{s}_{bt}^{T} & \underline{s}_{tt} \end{array} \right] \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \underline{U}_{s} \\ \underline{U}_{s} \\ \underline{U}_{b} \\ \underline{U}_{t} \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \underline{P}_{s} \\ \underline{P}_{b} \\ \underline{P}_{t} \\ \underline{P}_{t} \end{array} \right\}$$
(4.1)

where, \underline{U}_{s} now represents the interior nodes, \underline{U}_{b} the boundary nodes, and \underline{U}_{t} the rigid strip degrees of freedom.

Since within any interaction only the elements of the far-field impedance matrix, $\underline{S}_{f}(\underline{\beta}, \omega)$, are modified, it is not necessary to reduce the entire set of equations every time the far-field impedance vector $\underline{\beta}$ is changed. Instead, the overall impedance matrix in Eq. 4.1 is forwardly reduced only up to the first $2N_{g}$ equations, at which stage the coefficient matrix appears as shown below.



The $(2N_b + N_t) \times (2N_b + N_t)$ submatrix is kept in lower speed storage and read in as new estimates of the parameter vector $\underline{\beta}$ are introduced during the iterations. The advantage of numbering the rigid strip degrees of freedom at the end is that within each iteration the solution procedure requires the repeated forward reduction of the $(2N_b + N_t) \times$ $(2N_b + N_t)$ submatrix, but only the last N_t equations need to be backsubstituted to obtain the response of the rigid strip. Therefore, since the number of nodes on the interface, N_b , is significantly smaller than the number of interior nodes, N_g , and the number of degrees of freedom

of the rigid strip, N_t , is not greater than 2, a great saving in computational effort is achieved.

4.3 Numerical Results

The parameter vector $\underline{\beta}$ includes the coefficients < η_R , ξ_R , η_{ϕ} , ξ_{ϕ} > corresponding to the far-field impedance functions η_R + $i\xi_R$ in the normal direction and η_{ϕ} + $i\xi_{\phi}$ in the tangential direction as discussed in Chapter 3. These impedance functions are determined by minimizing the error function which is formed by considering the response of the strip in the vertical and the coupled translation-rocking modes of vibration.

The identified far-field impedance functions have been plotted in a non-dimensional form in Figures 4.2 and 4.3 as a function of the non-dimensional frequency b_0 . These impedances have been generated for a R/a ratio of 3.0 and a Poisson's ratio of 1/3 which is a fairly representative value for soils. For any particular frequency, these uniformly distributed far-field impedance functions are directly proportional to the shear modulus G, and inversely proportional to the interface radius R. Therefore, knowing these two sets of curves, the far-field impedances for any size of far-field, with any shear modulus and mass density can be readily obtained.

The compliances of the rigid strip footing using these far-field impedances are compared with the analytical solutions in Fig. 4.4. In these figures, the abscissa is a non-dimensional frequency defined by $a_0 = \omega a/C_S$. For non-dimensional frequencies, a_0 , between 0.4 and 2.0 the agreement between the calculated and the exact values is excellent. For high frequencies, $a_0 > 2.0$, the agreement is not as good. This may be due to the relatively small values of the real parts of the vertical

and horizontal compliances. Also, for very small frequencies, $a_0 < 0.4$, because of the singularities in the analytical solutions, the agreement between the analytical and calculated results is less satisfactory, but is still in the acceptable range. Although slight errors are observed in these figures, the proposed hybrid model, in general, is quite effective in reproducing the theoretical solutions over the entire frequency range considered.

In Fig. 4.4, there are some discrepancies observed in the solutions for the coupling compliance, $C_{\rm HM}$. These may be due to the sensitivity of coupling compliances to the approximate nature of the proposed far-field model. However, these discrepancies can be ignored because the coupling compliance is small compared to the direct compliances. In the analytical solutions ⁽¹¹⁾, a singularity in the stresses exists at the edges of the strip. To incorporate this singularity, a finer mesh in the vicinity of the strip edges was used. However, no significant improvement in the solutions was observed. Therefore, the singularity of stresses under the rigid strip has little effect on the far-field impedance functions.

To investigate the range of applicability of this model, the impedances have been employed to calculate the compliances of the rigid strip for three other R/a ratios of 4.0, 2.4, 1.935 as shown in Figs. 4.5, 4.6 and 4.7. The agreement in solutions for R/a ratios of 4.0 and 2.4 is still very good. Even though a somewhat larger error appears in the real part of the rocking compliance, it does not exceed 5%. Solutions for R/a ratio of 1.935 also look reasonable. The largest error in this case is only 10% in the real part of the rocking compliance. For all the results presented the errors in the imaginary parts are generally much smaller than in the real parts.

ł ł

5. CONCLUSIONS

A hybrid model for the analysis of soil-structure interaction has been presented in which the near-field is modelled by finite elements and far-field by continuously distributed impedance functions which have been determined by system identification methods. The validity of this model has been demonstrated by comparing the theoretical and numerical compliances of a rigid strip for several R/a ratios. For three-dimensional problems, the effectiveness of the hybrid modelling technique for surface⁽⁵⁾ and embedded foundations⁽¹⁵⁾ has been demonstrated earlier. The hybrid modelling approach, therefore, offers an efficient, simple, and flexible method for the analysis of complex soil-structure interaction problems for which the direct finite element and continuum methods have been found to be deficient.

Further developments are required to extend the method to situations involving layered foundations for which it may be necessary to include more than the first term in the Fourier series expansion of the impedance functions (Eq. 3.1), or it may be more appropriate to choose a cylindrical interface. Efforts are currently underway in this direction.

LIST OF REFERENCES

- 1. "Analysis of Soil-Structure Interaction Effects for Nuclear Power Plants," <u>Report by the Ad Hoc Group</u> on the Soil-Structure Interaction of the Committee on Nuclear Safety and Materials of the Structural Division of ASCE, 1979.
- Lysmer, J. and Kuhlemeyer, R. L., "Finite Dynamic Model for Infinite Media," <u>Journal of the Engineering Mechanics Division</u>, ASCE, Vol. 95, No. EM4, 859-877, 1969.
- Waas, G., "Linear Two-Dimensional Analysis of Soil Dynamic Problems in Semi-Infinite Layered Media," <u>Ph. D. Thesis</u>, University of California, Berkeley, California, 1972.
- 4. Gutierrez, J. A., and Chopra, A. K., "A Substructure Method for Earthquake Analysis of Structures Including Structure-Soil Interaction," <u>International Journal of Earthquake Engineering and</u> Structural Dynamics, Vol. 6, 51-69, 1978.
- 5. Gupta, S., Lin, T. W., Penzien, J., and Yeh, C. S., "Hybrid Modelling of Soil-Structure Interaction," Report No. UCB/EERC-80/09, <u>Earthquake Engineering Research Center</u>, University of California, Berkeley, California, May 1980.
- 6. Chen, J. C., "Analysis of Local Variations of Seismic Free-Field Motions," <u>Ph. D. Thesis</u>, University of California, Berkeley, California, 1979.
- Trifunac, M. H., "Scattering of Plane SH-Waves by a Semi-Cylindrical Canyon," <u>International Journal of Earthquake Engineering and</u> Structural Dynamics, Vol. 1, 267-281, 1973.
- Lee, V. W., "Displacements Near a Three-Dimensional Hemispherical Canyon Subjected to Incident Plane Waves," <u>Report No. CE 78-16</u>, Department of Civil Engineering, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, Calif., December 1978.
- Wong, H. L., "Diffraction of P, SV, and Rayleigh Waves by Surface Topographics," <u>Report No. CE 79-05</u>, Department of Civil Engineering, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California, January 1979.
- Clough, R. W., and Penzien, J., <u>Dynamics of Structures</u>, McGraw-Hill, 1975.
- Oien, M. A., "Steady State Motion of a Rigid Strip Bonded to an Elastic Halfspace," Journal of Applied Mechanics, ASME, Vol. 8, No. E2, 328-334, June 1971.
- 12. Luco, J. E., and Westmann, R. A., "Dynamic Response of a Rigid Footing Bonded to an Elastic Halfspace," Journal of Applied Mechanics, ASME, Vol. 39, No. E2, 527-534, June 1972.

- 13. Matzen, V. C. and McNiven, H. D., "Investigation of the Inelastic Characteristics of a Single Story Steel Structure Using System Identification and Shaking Table Experiments," <u>Report No. EERC 76-20</u>, Earthquake Engineering Research Center, University of California, Berkeley, California, 1976.
- 14. Hollings, J. P. and Wilson, E. L., "3-9 Node Isoparametric Planar or Axisymmetric-Finite Element," <u>Report No. UC SESM 78-3</u>, Department of Civil Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, California, December 1977.
- Gupta, S. and Penzien, J., "Hybrid Modelling of Soil-Structure Interaction for Embedded Structures," <u>Paper No. K 4/2, 6th SMIRT</u> Conference, Paris, France, August 1981.
- 16. Davies, M., and Whitting, I. J., "A Modified Form of Levenberg's Correction" in <u>Numerical Methods for Nonlinear Optimization</u>, edited by F. A. Lootsma, University of Dundee in Scotland, New York's Academic Press, 1971.





(a) CONTINUOUS FAR-FIELD IMPEDANCES



(b) FINITE-ELEMENT CONSISTENT IMPEDANCES

FIG. 3.1 FAR-FIELD MODELLING BY IMPEDANCE FUNCTIONS





FIG. 4.1 NEAR-FIELD FINITE ELEMENT MESH FOR INFINITE RIGID STRIP









FIG. 4.3 FAR-FIELD IMPEDANCE FUNCTIONS -- TANGENTIAL COMPONENT









EARTHQUAKE ENGINEERING RESEARCH CENTER REPORTS

NOTE: Numbers in parenthesis are Accession Numbers assigned by the National Technical Information Service; these are followed by a price code. Copies of the reports may be ordered from the National Technical Information Service, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, Virginia, 22161. Accession Numbers should be quoted on orders for reports (PB -----) and remittance must accompany each order. Reports without this information were not available at time of printing. Upon request, EERC will mail inquirers this information when it becomes available.

- EERC 67-1 "Feasibility Study Large-Scale Earthquake Simulator Facility," by J. Penzien, J.G. Bouwkamp. R.W. Clough and D. Rea - 1967 (PB 187 905)A07
- EERC 68-1 Unassigned
- EERC 68-2 "Inelastic Behavior of Beam-to-Column Subassemblages Under Repeated Loading," by V.V. Bertero 1968 (PB 184 888)A05
- EERC 68-3 "A Graphical Method for Solving the Wave Reflection-Refraction Problem," by H.D. McNiven and Y. Mengi-1968 (PB 187 943)A03
- EERC 68-4 "Dynamic Properties of McKinley School Buildings," by D. Rea, J.G. Bouwkamp and R.W. Clough 1968 (PB 187 902)A07
- EERC 68-5 "Characteristics of Rock Motions During Earthquakes," by H.B. Seed, I.M. Idriss and F.W. Kiefer 1968 (PB 188 338)A03
- EERC 69-1 "Earthquake Engineering Research at Berkeley," 1969 (PB 187 906)All
- EERC 69-2 "Nonlinear Seismic Response of Earth Structures," by M. Dibaj and J. Penzien 1969 (PB 187 904)A08
- EERC 69-3 "Probabilistic Study of the Behavior of Structures During Earthquakes," by R. Ruiz and J. Penzien 1969 (PB 187 886)A06
- EERC 69-4 "Numerical Solution of Boundary Value Problems in Structural Mechanics by Reduction to an Initial Value Formulation," by N. Distefano and J. Schujman 1969 (PB 187 942)A02
- EERC 69-5 "Dynamic Programming and the Solution of the Biharmonic Equation," by N. Distefano 1969 (PB 187 941) A03
- EERC 69-6 "Stochastic Analysis of Offshore Tower Structures," by A.K. Malhotra and J. Penzien 1969 (PB 187 903) A09
- EERC 69-7 "Rock Motion Accelerograms for High Magnitude Earthquakes," by H.B. Seed and I.M. Idriss 1969 (PB 187 940) A02
- EERC 69-8 "Structural Dynamics Testing Facilities at the University of California, Berkeley," by R.M. Stephen, J.G. Bouwkamp, R.W. Clough and J. Penzien 1969 (PB 189 111)A04
- EERC 69-9 "Seismic Response of Soil Deposits Underlain by Sloping Rock Boundaries," by H. Dezfulian and H.B. Seed 1969 (PB 189 114)A03
- EERC 69-10 "Dynamic Stress Analysis of Axisymmetric Structures Under Arbitrary Loading," by S. Ghosh and E.L. Wilson 1969 (PB 189 026)Al0
- EERC 69-11 "Seismic Behavior of Multistory Frames Designed by Different Philosophies," by J.C. Anderson and V. V. Bertero 1969 (PB 190 662)A10
- EERC 69-12 "Stiffness Degradation of Reinforcing Concrete Members Subjected to Cyclic Flexural Moments," by V.V. Bertero, B. Bresler and H. Ming Liao 1969 (PB 202 942)A07
- EERC 69-13 "Response of Non-Uniform Soil Deposits to Travelling Seismic Waves," by H. Dezfulian and H.B. Seed 1969 (PB 191 023)A03
- EERC 69-14 "Damping Capacity of a Model Steel Structure," by D. Rea, R.W. Clough and J.G. Bouwkamp 1969 (PB 190 663) A06
- EERC 69-15 "Influence of Local Soil Conditions on Building Damage Potential during Earthquakes," by H.B. Seed and I.M. Idriss 1969 (PB 191 036)A03
- EERC 69-16 "The Behavior of Sands Under Seismic Loading Conditions," by M.L. Silver and H.B. Seed 1969 (AD 714 982) A07
- EERC 70-1 "Earthquake Response of Gravity Dams," by A.K. Chopra 1970 (AD 709 640) A03
- EERC 70-2 "Relationships between Soil Conditions and Building Damage in the Caracas Earthquake of July 29, 1967," by H.B. Seed, I.M. Idriss and H. Dezfulian - 1970 (PB 195 762)A05
- EERC 70-3 "Cyclic Loading of Full Size Steel Connections," by E.P. Popov and R.M. Stephen 1970 (PB 213 545) A04
- EERC 70-4 "Seismic Analysis of the Charaima Building, Caraballeda, Venezuela," by Subcommittee of the SEAONC Research Committee: V.V. Bertero, P.F. Fratessa, S.A. Mahin, J.H. Sexton, A.C. Scordelis, E.L. Wilson, L.A. Wyllie, H.B. Seed and J. Penzien, Chairman - 1970 (PB 201 455)A06

"The Propagation of Love Waves Across Non-Horizontally Layered Structures," by J. Lysmer and L.A. Drake EERC 70-6 1970 (PB 197 896)A03 "Influence of Base Rock Characteristics on Ground Response," by J. Lysmer, H.B. Seed and P.B. Schnabel EERC 70-7 1970 (PB 197 897)A03 "Applicability of Laboratory Test Procedures for Measuring Soil Liquefaction Characteristics under Cyclic EERC 70-8 Loading," by H.B. Seed and W.H. Peacock - 1970 (PB 198 016)A03 "A Simplified Procedure for Evaluating Soil Liquefaction Potential," by H.B. Seed and I.M. Idriss - 1970 EERC 70-9 (PB 198 009)A03 "Soil Moduli and Damping Factors for Dynamic Response Analysis," by H.B. Seed and I.M. Idriss - 1970 EERC 70~10 (PB 197 869)A03 "Koyna Earthquake of December 11, 1967 and the Performance of Koyna Dam," by A.K. Chopra and P. Chakrabarti EERC 71-1 1971 (AD 731 496)A06 "Preliminary In-Situ Measurements of Anelastic Absorption in Soils Using a Prototype Earthquake Simulator," EERC 71~2 by R.D. Borcherdt and P.W. Rodgers - 1971 (PB 201 454) A03 EERC 71-3 "Static and Dynamic Analysis of Inelastic Frame Structures," by F.L. Porter and G.H. Powell - 1971 (PB 210 135)A06 EERC 71~4 "Research Needs in Limit Design of Reinforced Concrete Structures," by V.V. Bertero - 1971 (PB 202 943)A04 EERC 71~5 "Dynamic Behavior of a High-Rise Diagonally Braced Steel Building," by D. Rea, A.A. Shah and J.G. Bouwhawp 1971 (PB 203 584)A06 EERC 71-6 "Dynamic Stress Analysis of Porous Elastic Solids Saturated with Compressible Fluids," by J. Ghaboussi and E. L. Wilson - 1971 (PB 211 396) A06 EERC 71-7 "Inelastic Behavior of Steel Beam-to-Column Subassemblages," by H. Krawinkler, V.V. Bertero and E.P. Popov 1971 (PB 211 335)A14 EERC 71-8 "Modification of Seismograph Records for Effects of Local Soil Conditions," by P. Schnabel, H.B. Seed and J. Lysmer - 1971 (PB 214 450)A03 EERC 72-1 "Static and Earthquake Analysis of Three Dimensional Frame and Shear Wall Buildings," by E.L. Wilson and H.H. Dovey - 1972 (PB 212 904)A05 EERC 72-2 "Accelerations in Rock for Earthquakes in the Western United States," by P.B. Schnabel and H.B. Seed-1972 (PB 213 100)A03 EERC 72-3 "Elastic-Plastic Earthquake Response of Soil-Building Systems," by T. Minami - 1972 (PB 214 868)A08 EERC 72-4 "Stochastic Inelastic Response of Offshore Towers to Strong Motion Earthquakes," by M.K. Kaul ~ 1972 (PB 215 713)A05 EERC 72-5 "Cyclic Behavior of Three Reinforced Concrete Flexural Members with High Shear," by E.P. Popov, V.V. Bertero and H. Krawinkler ~ 1972 (PB 214 555) A05

- EERC 72-6 "Earthquake Response of Gravity Dams Including Reservoir Interaction Effects," by P. Chakrabarti and A.K. Chopra 1972 (AD 762 330)A08
- EERC 72-7 "Dynamic Properties of Pine Flat Dam," by D. Rea, C.Y. Liaw and A.K. Chopra 1972 (AD 763 928)A05
- EERC 72-8 "Three Dimensional Analysis of Building Systems," by E.L. Wilson and H.H. Dovey 1972 (PB 222 438) A06
- EERC 72-9 "Rate of Loading Effects on Uncracked and Repaired Reinforced Concrete Members," by S. Mahin, V.V. Bertero, D. Rea and M. Atalay - 1972 (PB 224 520)A08
- EERC 72-10 "Computer Program for Static and Dynamic Analysis of Linear Structural Systems," by E.L. Wilson, K.-J.Bathe, J.E. Peterson and H.H.Dovey - 1972 (PB 220 437)A04
- EERC 72-11 "Literature Survey Seismic Effects on Highway Bridges," by T. Iwasaki, J. Penzien and R.W. Clough 1972 (PB 215 613)A19
- EERC 72-12 "SHAKE-A Computer Program for Earthquake Response Analysis of Horizontally Layered Sites," by P.B. Schnabel and J. Lysmer - 1972 (PB 220 207)A06
- EERC 73-1 "Optimal Seismic Design of Multistory Frames," by V.V. Bertero and H. Kamil-1973
- EERC 73-2 "Analysis of the Slides in the San Fernando Dams During the Earthquake of February 9, 1971," by H.B. Seed, K.L. Lee, I.M. Idriss and F. Makdisi - 1973 (PB 223 402)Al4

EERC 70-5

"A Computer Program for Earthquake Analysis of Dams," by A.K. Chopra and P. Chakrabarti - 1970 (AD 723 994)A05

- EERC 73-3 "Computer Aided Ultimate Load Design of Unbraced Multistory Steel Frames," by M.B. El-Hafez and G.H. Powell 1973 (PB 248 315)A09
- EERC 73-4 "Experimental Investigation into the Seismic Behavior of Critical Regions of Reinforced Concrete Components as Influenced by Moment and Shear," by M. Celebi and J. Penzien ~ 1973 (PB 215 884)A09
- EERC 73-5 "Hysteretic Behavior of Epoxy-Repaired Reinforced Concrete Beams," by M. Celebi and J. Penzien 1973 (PB 239 568)A03
- EERC 73-6 "General Purpose Computer Program for Inelastic Dynamic Response of Plane Structures," by A. Kanaan and G.H. Powell-1973 (PB 221 260)A08
- EERC 73-7 "A Computer Program for Earthquake Analysis of Gravity Dams Including Reservoir Interaction," by P. Chakrabarti and A.K. Chopra-1973 (AD 766 271)A04
- EERC 73-8 "Behavior of Reinforced Concrete Deep Beam-Column Subassemblages Under Cyclic Loads," by O. Küstü and J.G. Bouwkamp 1973 (PB 246 117)Al2
- EERC 73-9 "Earthquake Analysis of Structure-Foundation Systems," by A.K. Vaish and A.K. Chopra 1973 (AD 766 272) A07
- EERC 73-10 "Deconvolution of Seismic Response for Linear Systems," by R.B. Reimer 1973 (PB 227 179) A08
- EERC 73-11 "SAP IV: A Structural Analysis Program for Static and Dynamic Response of Linear Systems," by K.-J. Bathe, E.L. Wilson and F.E. Peterson - 1973 (PB 221 967)A09
- EERC 73-12 "Analytical Investigations of the Seismic Response of Long, Multiple Span Highway Bridges," by W.S. Tseng and J. Penzien - 1973 (PB 227 816)Al0
- EERC 73-13 "Earthquake Analysis of Multi-Story Buildings Including Foundation Interaction," by A.K. Chopra and J.A. Gutierrez 1973 (PB 222 970)A03
- EERC 73-14 "ADAP: A Computer Program for Static and Dynamic Analysis of Arch Dams," by R.W. Clough, J.M. Raphael and S. Mojtahedi 1973 (PB 223 763)A09
- EERC 73-15 "Cyclic Plastic Analysis of Structural Steel Joints," by R.B. Pinkney and R.W. Clough 1973 (PB 226 843)A08
- EERC 73-16 "QUAD-4: A Computer Program for Evaluating the Seismic Response of Soil Structures by Variable Damping Finite Element Procedures," by I.M. Idriss, J. Lysmer, R. Hwang and H.B. Seed - 1973 (PB 229 424)A05
- EERC 73-17 "Dynamic mehavior of a Multi-Story Pyramid Shaped Building," by R.M. Stephen, J.P. Hollings and J.G. Bouwkamp 1973 (PB 240 718)A06
- EERC 73-18 "Effect of Different Types of Reinforcing on Seismic Behavior of Short Concrete Columns," by V.V. Bertero, J. Hollings, O. Küstü, R.M. Stephen and J.G. Bouwkamp - 1973
- EERC 73-19 "Olive View Medical Center Materials Studies, Phase I," by B. Bresler and V.V. Bertero 1973 (PB 235 986)A06
- EERC 73-20 "Linear and Nonlinear Seismic Analysis Computer Programs for Long Multiple-Span Highway Bridges," by W.S. Tseng and J. Penzien 1973
- EERC 73-21 "Constitutive Models for Cyclic Plastic Deformation of Engineering Materials," by J.M. Kelly and P.P. Gillis 1973 (PB 226 024)A03
- EERC 73-22 "DRAIN 2D User's Guide," by G.H. Powell 1973 (PB 227 016)A05
- EERC 73-23 "Earthquake Engineering at Berkeley 1973," (PB 226 033)All
- EERC 73-24 Unassigned
- EERC 73-25 "Earthquake Response of Axisymmetric Tower Structures Surrounded by Water," by C.Y. Liaw and A.K. Chopra 1973 (AD 773 052)A09
- EERC 73-26 "Investigation of the Failures of the Olive View Stairtowers During the San Fernando Earthquake and Their Implications on Seismic Design," by V.V. Bertero and R.G. Collins - 1973 (PB 235 106)Al3
- EERC 73-27 "Further Studies on Seismic Behavior of Steel Beam-Column Subassemblages," by V.V. Bertero, H. Krawinkler and E.P. Popov ~ 1973 (PB 234 172)A06
- EERC 74-1 "Seismic Risk Analysis," by C.S. Oliveira 1974 (PB 235 920)A06
- EERC 74-2 "Settlement and Liquefaction of Sands Under Multi-Directional Shaking," by R. Pyke, C.K. Chan and H.B. Seed 1974
- EERC 74-3 "Optimum Design of Earthquake Resistant Shear Buildings," by D. Ray, K.S. Pister and A.K. Chopra 1974 (PB 231 172)A06
- EERC 74-4 "LUSH A Computer Program for Complex Response Analysis of Soil-Structure Systems," by J. Lysmer, T. Udaka, H.B. Seed and R. Hwang - 1974 (PB 236 796)A05

- EERC 74-5 "Sensitivity Analysis for Hysteretic Dynamic Systems: Applications to Earthquake Engineering," by D. Ray 1974 (PB 233 213)A06
- EERC 74-6 "Soil Structure Interaction Analyses for Evaluating Seismic Response," by H.B. Seed, J. Lysmer and R. Hwang 1974 (BB 236 519)A04
- EERC 74-7 Unassigned
- EERC 74-8 "Shaking Table Tests of a Steel Frame A Progress Report," by R.W. Clough and D. Tang-1974 (PB 240 869)A03
- EERC 74-9 "Hysteretic Behavior of Reinforced Concrete Flexural Members with Special Web Reinforcement," by V.V. Bertero, E.P. Popov and T.Y. Wang - 1974 (PB 236 797)A07
- EERC 74-10 "Applications of Reliability-Based, Global Cost Optimization to Design of Earthquake Resistant Structures," by E. Vitiello and K.S. Pister - 1974 (PB 237 231)A06
- EERC 74-11 "Liquefaction of Gravelly Soils Under Cyclic Loading Conditions," by R.T. Wong, H.B. Seed and C.K. Chan 1974 (PB 242 042)A03
- EERC 74-12 "Site-Dependent Spectra for Earthquake-Resistant Design," by H.B. Seed, C. Ugas and J. Lysmer 1974 (PB 240 953)A03
- EERC 74-13 "Earthquake Simulator Study of a Reinforced Concrete Frame," by P. Hidalgo and R.W. Clough 1974 (PB 241 9/4)Al3
- EERC 74-14 "Nonlinear Earthquake Response of Concrete Gravity Dams," by N. Pal-1974 (AD/A 006 583)A06
- EERC 74-15 "Modeling and Identification in Nonlinear Structural Dynamics I. One Degree of Freedom Models," by N. Distefano and A. Rath - 1974 (PB 241 548)A06
- EERC 75-1 "Determination of Seismic Design Criteria for the Dumbarton Bridge Replacement Structure, Vol.I: Description, Theory and Analytical Modeling of Bridge and Parameters," by F. Baron and S.-H. Pang - 1975 (PB 259 407)A15
- EERC 75-2 "Determination of Seismic Design Criteria for the Dumbarton Bridge Replacement Structure, Vol. II: Numerical Studies and Establishment of Seismic Design Criteria," by F. Baron and S.-H. Pang - 1975 (PB 259 408)All (For set of EERC 75-1 and 75-2 (PB 259 406))
- EERC 75-3 "Seismic Risk Analysis for a Site and a Metropolitan Area," by C.S. Oliveira 1975 (PB 248 134)A09
- EERC 75-4 "Analytical Investigations of Seismic Response of Short, Single or Multiple-Span Highway Bridges," by M.-C. Chen and J. Penzien - 1975 (PB 241 454)A09
- EERC 75-5 "An Evaluation of Some Methods for Predicting Seismic Behavior of Reinforced Concrete Buildings," by S.A. Mahin and V.V. Bertero - 1975 (PB 246 306)Al6
- EERC 75-6 "Earthquake Simulator Study of a Steel Frame Structure, Vol. I: Experimental Results," by R.W. Clough and D.T. Tang 1975 (PB 243 981)Al3
- EERC 75-7 "Dynamic Properties of San Bernardino Intake Tower," by D. Rea, C.-Y. Liaw and A.K. Chopra 1975 (AD/A008 406) A05
- EERC 75-8 "Seismic Studies of the Articulation for the Dumbarton Bridge Replacement Structure, Vol. I: Description, Theory and Analytical Modeling of Bridge Components," by F. Baron and R.E. Hamati - 1975 (PB 251 539)A07
- EERC 75-9 "Seismic Studies of the Articulation for the Dumbarton Bridge Replacement Structure, Vol. 2: Numerical Studies of Steel and Concrete Girder Alternates," by F. Baron and R.E. Hamati - 1975 (PB 251 540)Al0
- EERC 75-10 "Static and Dynamic Analysis of Nonlinear Structures," by D.P. Mondkar and G.H. Powell 1975 (PB 242 434)A08
- EERC 75-11 "Hysteretic Behavior of Steel Columns," by E.P. Popov, V.V. Bertero and S. Chandramouli 1975 (PB 252 365)All
- EERC 75-12 "Earthquake Engineering Research Center Library Printed Catalog," 1975 (PB 243 711) A26
- EERC 75-13 "Three Dimensional Analysis of Building Systems (Extended Version)," by E.L. Wilson, J.P. Hollings and H.H. Dovey - 1975 (PB 243 989)A07
- EERC 75-14 "Determination of Soil Liquefaction Characteristics by Large-Scale Laboratory Tests," by P. De Alba, C.K. Chan and H.B. Seed - 1975 (NUREG 0027)A08
- EERC 75-15 "A Literature Survey Compressive, Tensile, Bond and Shear Strength of Masonry," by R.L. Mayes and R.W. Clough - 1975 (PB 246 292)Al0
- EERC 75-16 "Hysteretic Behavior of Ductile Moment Resisting Reinforced Concrete Frame Components," by V.V. Bertero and E.P. Popov 1975 (PB 246 388)A05
- EERC 75-17 "Relationships Between Maximum Acceleration, Maximum Velocity, Distance from Source, Local Site Conditions for Moderately Strong Earthquakes," by H.B. Seed, R. Murarka, J. Lysmer and I.M. Idriss - 1975 (PB 248 172)A03
- EERC 75-18 "The Effects of Method of Sample Preparation on the Cyclic Stress-Strain Behavior of Sands," by J. Mulilis, C.K. Chan and H.B. Seed - 1975 (Summarized in EERC 75-28)

- EERC 75-19 "The Seismic Behavior of Critical Regions of Reinforced Concrete Components as Influenced by Moment, Shear and Axial Force," by M.B. Atalay and J. Penzien - 1975 (PB 258 842)All
- EERC 75-20 "Dynamic Properties of an Eleven Story Masonry Building," by R.M. Stephen, J.P. Hollings, J.G. Bouwkamp and D. Jurukovski ~ 1975 (PB 246 945)A04
- EERC 75-21 "State-of-the-Art in Seismic Strength of Masonry An Evaluation and Review," by R.L. Mayes and R.W. Clough 1975 (PB 249 040)A07
- EERC 75-22 "Frequency Dependent Stiffness Matrices for Viscoelastic Half-Plane Foundations," by A.K. Chopra, P. Chakrabarti and G. Dasgupta ~ 1975 (PB 248 121)A07
- EERC 75-23 "Hysteretic Behavior of Reinforced Concrete Framed Walls," by T.Y. Wong, V.V. Bertero and E.P. Popov 1975
- EERC 75-24 "Testing Facility for Subassemblages of Frame-Wall Structural Systems," by V.V. Bertero, E.P. Popov and T. Endo - 1975
- EERC 75-25 "Influence of Seismic History on the Liquefaction Characteristics of Sands," by H.B. Seed, K. Mori and C.K. Chan 1975 (Summarized in EERC 75-28)
- EERC 75-26 "The Generation and Dissipation of Pore Water Pressures during Soil Liquefaction," by H.B. Seed, P.P. Martin and J. Lysmer - 1975 (PB 252 648)A03
- EERC 75-27 "Identification of Research Needs for Improving Aseismic Design of Building Structures," by V.V. Bertero 1975 (PB 248 136)A05
- EERC 75-28 "Evaluation of Soil Liquefaction Potential during Earthquakes," by H.B. Seed, I. Arango and C.K. Chan-1975 (NUREG 0026)Al3
- EERC 75-29 "Representation of Irregular Stress Time Histories by Equivalent Uniform Stress Series in Liquefaction Analyses," by H.B. Seed, I.M. Idriss, F. Makdisi and N. Banerjee - 1975 (PB 252 635)A03
- EERC 75-30 "FLUSH A Computer Program for Approximate 3-D Analysis of Soil-Structure Interaction Problems," by J. Lysmer, T. Udaka, C.-F. Tsai and H.B. Seed 1975 (PB 259 332)A07
- EERC 75-31 "ALUSH A Computer Program for Seismic Response Analysis of Axisymmetric Soil-Structure Systems," by E. Berger, J. Lysmer and H.B. Seed - 1975
- EERC 75-32 "TRIP and TRAVEL Computer Programs for Soil-Structure Interaction Analysis with Horizontally Travelling Waves," by T. Udaka, J. Lysmer and H.B. Seed - 1975
- EERC 75-33 "Predicting the Performance of Structures in Regions of High Seismicity," by J. Penzien 1975 (PB 248 130)A03
- EERC 75-34 "Efficient Finite Element Analysis of Seismic Structure Soil Direction," by J. Lysmer, H.B. Seed, T. Udaka, R.N. Hwang and C.-F. Tsai - 1975 (PB 253 570)A03
- EERC 75-35 "The Dynamic Behavior of a First Story Girder of a Three-Story Steel Frame Subjected to Earthquake Loading." by R.W. Clough and L.-Y. Li - 1975 (PB 248 841)A05
- EERC 75-36 "Earthquake Simulator Study of a Steel Frame Structure, Volume II Analytical Results," by D.T. Tang 1975 (PB 252 926)Al0
- EERC 75-37 "ANSR-I General Purpose Computer Program for Analysis of Non-Linear Structural Response," by D.P. Mondkar and G.H. Powell - 1975 (PB 252 386)A08
- EERC 75-38 "Nonlinear Response Spectra for Probabilistic Seismic Design and Damage Assessment of Reinforced Concrete Structures," by M. Murakami and J. Penzien - 1975 (PB 259 530)A05
- EERC 75-39 "Study of a Method of Feasible Directions for Optimal Elastic Design of Frame Structures Subjected to Earthquake Loading," by N.D. Walker and K.S. Pister - 1975 (PB 257 781)A06
- EERC 75-40 "An Alternative Representation of the Elastic-Viscoelastic Analogy," by G. Dasgupta and J.L. Sackman 1975 (PB 252 173)A03
- EERC 75-41 "Effect of Multi-Directional Shaking on Liquefaction of Sands," by H.B. Seed, R. Pyke and G.R. Martin 1975 (PB 258 781)A03
- EERC 76-1 "Strength and Ductility Evaluation of Existing Low-Rise Reinforced Concrete Buildings Screening Method," by T. Okada and B. Bresler - 1976 (PB 257 906)All
- EERC 76-2 "Experimental and Analytical Studies on the Hysteretic Behavior of Reinforced Concrete Rectangular and T-Beams," by S.-Y.M. Ma, E.P. Popov and V.V. Bertero 1976 (PB 260 843)Al2
- EERC 76-3 "Dynamic Behavior of a Multistory Triangular-Shaped Building," by J. Petrovski, R.M. Stephen, E. Gartenbaum and J.G. Bouwkamp - 1976 (PB 273 279)A07
- EERC 76-4 "Earthquake Induced Deformations of Earth Dams," by N. Serff, H.B. Seed, F.I. Makdisi & C.-Y. Chang 1976 (PB 292 065)A08

- EERC 76-5 "Analysis and Design of Tube-Type Tall Building Structures," by H. de Clercq and G.H. Powell 1976 (PB 252 220) Alo
- EERC 76-6 "Time and Frequency Domain Analysis of Three-Dimensional Ground Motions, San Fernando Earthquake," by T. Kubo and J. Penzien (PB 260 556)All
- EERC 76-7 "Expected Performance of Uniform Building Code Design Masonry Structures," by R.L. Mayes, Y. Omote, S.W. Chen and R.W. Clough - 1976 (PB 270 098)A05
- EERC 76-8 "Cyclic Shear Tests of Masonry Piers, Volume 1 Test Results," by R.L. Mayes, Y. Omote, R.W. Clough - 1976 (PB 264 424)A06
- EERC 76-9 "A Substructure Method for Earthquake Analysis of Structure Soil Interaction," by J.A. Gutierrez and A.K. Chopra 1976 (PB 257 783)A08
- EERC 76-10 "Stabilization of Potentially Liquefiable Sand Deposits using Gravel Drain Systems," by H.B. Seed and J.R. Booker 1976 (PB 258 820)A04
- EERC 76-11 "Influence of Design and Analysis Assumptions on Computed Inelastic Response of Moderately Tall Frames," by G.H. Powell and D.G. Row 1976 (PB 271 409)A06
- EERC 76-12 "Sensitivity Analysis for Hysteretic Dynamic Systems: Theory and Applications," by D. Ray, K.S. Pister and E. Polak - 1976 (PB 262 859)A04
- EERC 76-13 "Coupled Lateral Torsional Response of Buildings to Ground Shaking," by C.L. Kan and A.K. Chopra 1976 (PB 257 907)A09
- EERC 76-14 "Seismic Analyses of the Banco de America," by V.V. Bertero, S.A. Mahin and J.A. Hollings 1976
- EERC 76-15 "Reinforced Concrete Frame 2: Seismic Testing and Analytical Correlation," by R.W. Clough and J. Gidwani - 1976 (PB 261 323)A08
- EERC 76-16 "Cyclic Shear Tests of Masonry Piers, Volume 2 Analysis of Test Results," by R.L. Mayes, Y. Omote and R.W. Clough - 1976
- EERC 76-17 "Structural Steel Bracing Systems: Behavior Under Cyclic Loading," by E.P. Popov, K. Takanashi and C.W. Roeder ~ 1976 (PB 260 715)A05
- EERC 76-18 "Experimental Model Studies on Seismic Response of High Curved Overcrossings," by D. Williams and W.G. Godden 1976 (PB 269 548)A08
- EERC 76-19 "Effects of Non-Uniform Seismic Disturbances on the Dumbarton Bridge Replacement Structure," by F. Baron and R.E. Hamati 1976 (PB 282 981)Al6
- EERC 76-20 "Investigation of the Inelastic Characteristics of a Single Story Steel Structure Using System Identification and Shaking Table Experiments," by V.C. Matzen and H.D. McNiven - 1976 (PB 258 453)A07
- EERC 76-21 "Capacity of Columns with Splice Imperfections," by E.P. Popov, R.M. Stephen and R. Philbrick 1976 (PB 260 378)A04
- EERC 76-22 "Response of the Olive View Hospital Main Building during the San Fernando Earthquake," by S. A. Mahin, V.V. Bertero, A.K. Chopra and R. Collins - 1976 (PB 271 425)Al4
- EERC 76-23 "A Study on the Major Factors Influencing the Strength of Masonry Prisms," by N.M. Mostaghel, R.L. Mayes, R. W. Clough and S.W. Chen - 1976 (Not published)
- EERC 76-24 "GADFLEA A Computer Program for the Analysis of Pore Pressure Generation and Dissipation during Cyclic or Earthquake Loading," by J.R. Booker, M.S. Rahman and H.B. Seed - 1976 (PB 263 947)A04
- EERC 76-25 "Seismic Safety Evaluation of a R/C School Building," by B. Bresler and J. Axley 1976
- EERC 76-26 "Correlative Investigations on Theoretical and Experimental Dynamic Behavior of a Model Bridge Structure," by K. Kawashima and J. Penzien ~ 1976 (PB 263 388)All
- EERC 76-27 "Earthquake Response of Coupled Shear Wall Buildings," by T. Srichatrapimuk 1976 (PB 265 157)A07
- EERC 76-28 "Tensile Capacity of Partial Penetration Welds," by E.P. Fopov and R.M. Stephen 1976 (PB 262 899)A03
- EERC 76-29 "Analysis and Design of Numerical Integration Methods in Structural Dynamics," by H.M. Hilber 1976 (PB 264 410)A06
- EERC 76-30 "Contribution of a Floor System to the Dynamic Characteristics of Reinforced Concrete Buildings," by L.E. Malik and V.V. Bertero 1976 (PB 272 247)A13
- EERC 76-31 "The Effects of Seismic Disturbances on the Golden Gate Bridge," by F. Baron, M. Arikan and R.E. Hamati 1976 (PB 272 279)A09
- EERC 76-32 "Infilled Frames in Earthquake Resistant Construction," by R.E. Klingner and V.V. Bertero 1976 (PB 265 892)A13

- UCB/EERC-77/02 "Soil-Structure Interaction Effects at the Humboldt Bay Power Plant in the Ferndale Earthquake of June 7, 1975," by J.E. Valera, H.B. Seed, C.F. Tsai and J. Lysmer 1977 (PB 265 795)A04
- UCB/EERC-77/03 "Influence of Sample Disturbance on Sand Response to Cyclic Loading," by K. Mori, H.B. Seed and C.K. Chan - 1977 (PB 267 352)A04

UCB/EERC-77/04 "Seismological Studies of Strong Motion Records," by J. Shoja-Taheri - 1977 (PB 269 655)Al0

- UCB/EERC-77/05 "Testing Facility for Coupled-Shear Walls," by L. Li-Hyung, V.V. Bertero and E.P. Popov 1977
- UCB/EERC-77/06 "Developing Methodologies for Evaluating the Earthquake Safety of Existing Buildings," by No. 1 -B. Bresler; No. 2 - B. Bresler, T. Okada and D. Zisling; No. 3 - T. Okada and B. Bresler; No. 4 - V.V. Bertero and B. Bresler - 1977 (PB 267 354)A08
- UCB/EERC-77/07 "A Literature Survey Transverse Strength of Masonry Walls," by Y. Omote, R.L. Mayes, S.W. Chen and R.W. Clough - 1977 (PB 277 933)A07
- UCB/EERC-77/08 "DRAIN-TABS: A Computer Program for Inelastic Earthquake Response of Three Dimensional Buildings," by R. Guendelman-Israel and G.H. Powell 1977 (PB 270 693)A07
- UCB/EERC-77/09 "SUBWALL: A Special Purpose Finite Element Computer Program for Practical Elastic Analysis and Design of Structural Walls with Substructure Option," by D.Q. Le, H. Peterson and E.P. Popov - 1977 (PB 270 567)A05
- UCB/EERC-77/10 "Experimental Evaluation of Seismic Design Methods for Broad Cylindrical Tanks," by D.P. Clough (PB 272 280)Al3
- UCB/EERC-77/11 "Earthquake Engineering Research at Berkeley 1976," 1977 (PB 273 507)A09
- UCB/EERC-77/12 "Automated Design of Earthquake Resistant Multistory Steel Building Frames," by N.D. Walker, Jr. 1977 (PB 276 526)A09
- UCB/EERC-77/13 "Concrete Confined by Rectangular Hoops Subjected to Axial Loads," by J. Vallenas, V.V. Bertero and E.P. Popov - 1977 (PB 275 165)A06
- UCB/EERC-77/14 "Seismic Strain Induced in the Ground During Earthquakes," by Y. Sugimura 1977 (PB 284 201)A04
- UCB/EERC-77/15 "Bond Deterioration under Generalized Loading," by V.V. Bertero, E.F. Popov and S. Viwathanatepa 1977
- UCB/EERC-77/16 "Computer Aided Optimum Design of Ductile Reinforced Concrete Moment Resisting Frames," by S.W. Zagajeski and V.V. Bertero - 1977 (PB 280 137)A07
- UCB/EERC-77/17 "Earthquake Simulation Testing of a Stepping Frame with Energy-Absorbing Devices," by J.M. Kelly and D.F. Tsztoo 1977 (PB 273 506)A04
- UCB/EERC-77/18 "Inelastic Behavior of Eccentrically Braced Steel Frames under Cyclic Loadings," by C.W. Roeder and E.P. Popov - 1977 (PB 275 526)A15
- UCB/EERC-77/19 "A Simplified Procedure for Estimating Earthquake-Induced Deformations in Dams and Embankments," by F.I. Makdisì and H.B. Seed - 1977 (PB 276 820)A04
- UCB/EERC-77/20 "The Performance of Earth Dams during Earthquakes," by H.B. Seed, F.I. Makdisi and P. de Alba 1977 (PB 276 821)A04
- UCB/EERC-77/21 "Dynamic Plastic Analysis Using Stress Resultant Finite Element Formulation," by P. Lukkunapvasit and J.M. Kelly 1977 (PB 275 453)A04
- UCB/EERC-77/22 "Preliminary Experimental Study of Seismic Uplift of a Steel Frame," by R.W. Clough and A.A. Huckelbridge 1977 (PB 278 769)A08
- UCB/EERC-77/23 "Earthquake Simulator Tests of a Nine-Story Steel Frame with Columns Allowed to Uplift," by A.A. Huckelbridge - 1977 (PB 277 944)A09
- UCB/EERC-77/24 "Nonlinear Soil-Structure Interaction of Skew Highway Bridges," by M.-C. Chen and J. Penzien 1977 (PB 276 176)A07
- UCB/EERC-77/25 "Seismic Analysis of an Offshore Structure Supported on Pile Foundations," by D.D.-N. Liou and J. Penzien 1977 (PB 283 180)A06
- UCB/EERC-77/26 "Dynamic Stiffness Matrices for Homogeneous Viscoelastic Half-Planes," by G. Dasgupta and A.K. Chopra 1977 (PB 279 654)A06
- UCB/EERC-77/27 "A Practical Soft Story Earthquake Isolation System," by J.M. Kelly, J.M. Eidinger and C.J. Derham 1977 (PB 276 814)A07
- UCB/EERC-77/28 "Seismic Safety of Existing Buildings and Incentives for Hazard Mitigation in San Francisco: An Exploratory Study," by A.J. Meltsner - 1977 (PB 281 970)A05
- UCB/EERC-77/29 "Dynamic Analysis of Electrohydraulic Shaking Tables," by D. Rea, S. Abedi-Hayati and Y. Takahashi 1977 (PB 282 569)A04
- UCB/EERC-77/30 "An Approach for Improving Seismic Resistant Behavior of Reinforced Concrete Interior Joints," by B. Galunic, V.V. Bertero and E.P. Popov - 1977 (PB 290 870)A06

UCB/EERC-78/01	"The Development of Energy-Absorbing Devices for Aseismic Base Isolation Systems," by J.M. Kelly and D.F. Tsztoo - 1978 (PB 284 978)A04
UCB/EERC-78/02	"Effect of Tensile Prestrain on the Cyclic Response of Structural Steel Connections, by J.G. Bouwkamp and A. Mukhopadhyay - 1978
UCB/EERC-78/03	"Experimental Results of an Earthquake Isolation System using Natural Rubber Bearings," by J.M. Eidinger and J.M. Kelly - 1978 (PB 281 686)A04
UCB/EERC-78/04	"Seismic Behavior of Tall Liquid Storage Tanks," by A. Niwa - 1978 (PB 284 017)Al4
UCB/EERC-78/05	"Hysteretic Behavior of Reinforced Concrete Columns Subjected to High Axial and Cyclic Shear Forces," by S.W. Zagajeski, V.V. Bertero and J.G. Bouwkamp - 1978 (PB 283 858)A13
UCB/EERC-78/06	"Inelastic Beam-Column Elements for the ANSR-I Program," by A. Riahi, D.G. Row and G.H. Powell - 1978
UCB/EERC-78/07	"Studies of Structural Response to Earthquake Ground Motion," by O.A. Lopez and A.K. Chopra - 1978 (PB 282 790)AOS
UCB/EERC-78/08	"A Laboratory Study of the Fluid-Structure Interaction of Submerged Tanks and Caissons in Earthquakes," by R.C. Byrd - 1978 (PB 284 957)A08
UCB/EERC-78/09	"Model for Evaluating Damageability of Structures," by I. Sakamoto and B. Bresler - 1978
UCB/EERC-78/10	"Seismic Performance of Nonstructural and Secondary Structural Elements," by I. Sakamoto - 1978
UCB/EERC-78/11	"Mathematical Modelling of Hysteresis Loops for Reinforced Concrete Columns," by S. Nakata, T. Sproul and J. Penzien - 1978
UCB/EERC-78/12	"Damageability in Existing Buildings," by T. Blejwas and B. Bresler - 1978
UCB/EERC-78/13	"Dynamic Behavior of a Pedestal Base Multistory Building," by R.M. Stephen, E.L. Wilson, J.G. Bouwkamp and M. Button - 1978 (PB 286 650)A08
UCB/EERC-78/14	"Seismic Response of Bridges - Case Studies," by R.A. Imbsen, V. Nutt and J. Penzien - 1978 (PB 286 503)AlO
UCB/EERC-78/15	"A Substructure Technique for Nonlinear Static and Dynamic Analysis," by D.G. Row and G.H. Powell - 1978 (PB 288 077)AlO
UCB/EERC-78/16	"Seismic Risk Studies for San Francisco and for the Greater San Francisco Bay Area," by C.S. Oliveira - 1978
UCB/EERC-78/17	"Strength of Timber Roof Connections Subjected to Cyclic Loads," by P. Gülkan, R.L. Mayes and R.W. Clough - 1978
UCB/EERC-78/18	"Response of K-Braced Steel Frame Models to Lateral Loads," by J.G. Bouwkamp, R.M. Stephen and E.P. Popov - 1978
UCB/EERC-78/19	"Rational Design Methods for Light Equipment in Structures Subjected to Ground Motion," by J.L. Sackman and J.M. Kelly - 1978 (PB 292 357)A04
UCB/EERC-78/20	"Testing of a Wind Restraint for Aseismic Base Isolation," by J.M. Kelly and D.E. Chitty - 1978 (PB 292 833)A03
UCB/EERC-78/21	"APOLLO - A Computer Program for the Analysis of Pore Pressure Generation and Dissipation in Horizontal Sand Layers During Cyclic or Earthquake Loading," by P.P. Martin and H.B. Seed - 1978 (PB 292 835)A04
UCB/EERC-78/22	"Optimal Design of an Earthquake Isolation System," by M.A. Bhatti, K.S. Pister and E. Polak - 1978 (PB 294 735)a06
UCB/EERC-78/23	"MASH - A Computer Program for the Non-Linear Analysis of Vertically Propagating Shear Waves in Horizontally Layered Deposits," by P.P. Martin and H.B. Seed - 1978 (PB 293 101)A05
UCB/EERC-78/24	"Investigation of the Elastic Characteristics of a Three Story Steel Frame Using System Identification," by I. Kaya and H.D. McNiven - 1978
UCB/EERC-78/25	"Investigation of the Nonlinear Characteristics of a Three-Story Steel Frame Using System Identification," by I. Kaya and H.D. McNiven - 1978
UCB/EERC-78/26	"Studies of Strong Ground Motion in Taiwan," by Y.M. Hsiung, B.A. Bolt and J. Penzien - 1978
UCB/EERC-78/27	"Cyclic Loading Tests of Masonry Single Piers: Volume 1 - Height to Width Ratio of 2," by P.A. Hidalgo, R.L. Mayes, H.D. McNiven and R.W. Clough - 1978
UCB/EERC-78/28	"Cyclic Loading Tests of Masonry Single Piers: Volume 2 - Height to Width Ratio of 1," by SW.J. Chen, P.A. Hidalgo, R.L. Mayes, R.W. Clough and H.D. McNiven - 1978
UCB/EERC-78/29	"Analytical Procedures in Soil Dynamics " by 1 Jugmar - 1979

UCB/EERC-79/01	"Hysteretic Behavior of Lightweight Reinforced Concrete Beam-Column Subassemblages," by B. Forzani, E.F. Fopov and V.V. Bertero - April 1979(PB 298 267)AO6
UCB/EERC-79/02	"The Development of a Mathematical Model to Predict the Flexural Response of Reinforced Concrete Beams to Cyclic Loads, Using System Identification," by J. Stanton & H. McNiven - Jan. 1979(PB 295 875)Al0
UCE/EERC-79/03	"Linear and Nonlinear Earthquake Response of Simple Torsionally Coupled Systems," by C.L. Kan and A.K. Chopra - Feb. 1979(PB 298 262)A06
UCB/EERC-79/04	"A Mathematical Model of Masonry for Predicting its Linear Seismic Response Characteristics," by Y. Mengi and H.D. McNiven - Feb. 1979(PB 298 266)A06
UCB/EERC-79/05	"Mechanical Behavior of Lightweight Concrete Confined by Different Types of Lateral Reinforcement," by M.A. Manrique, V.V. Bertero and E.P. Popov - May 1979(PB 301 114)A06
UCB/EERC-79/06	"Static Tilt Tests of a Tall Cylindrical Liquid Storage Tank," by R.W. Clough and A. Niwa - Peb. 1979 (PB 301 167)A06
UCB/EERC-79/07	"The Design of Steel Energy Absorbing Restrainers and Their Incorporation into Nuclear Power Plants for Enhanced Safety: Volume 1 - Summary Report," by P.N. Spencer, V.F. Zackay, and E.R. Parker - Feb. 1979(UCB/EERC-79/07)A09
UCB/EERC-79/08	"The Design of Steel Energy Absorbing Restrainers and Their Incorporation into Nuclear Power Plants for Enhanced Safety: Volume 2 - The Development of Analyses for Reactor System Piping,"" <u>Simple Systems</u> " by M.C. Lee, J. Penzien, A.K. Chopra and K. Suzuki " <u>Complex Systems</u> " by G.H. Powell, E.L. Wilson, R.W. Clough and D.G. Row - Feb. 1979(UCB/EERC-79/08)
UCB/EERC-79/09	"The Design of Steel Energy Absorbing Restrainers and Their Incorporation into Nuclear Power Plants for Enhanced Safety: Volume 3 - Evaluation of Commercial Steels," by W.S. Owen, R.M.N. Felloux, R.O. Ritchie, M. Faral, T. Ohhashi, J. Toplosky, S.J. Hartman, V.F. Zackay and E.R. Parker - Feb. 1979(UCB/EERC-79/09)A04
UCB/EERC-79/10	"The Design of Steel Energy Absorbing Restrainers and Their Incorporation into Nuclear Power Plants for Enhanced Safety: Volume 4 - A Keview of Energy-Absorbing Devices," by J.M. Kelly and M.S. Skinner - Feb. 1979(UCB/EERC-79/10)A04
UCB/EERC-79/11	"Conservatism In Summation Rules for Closely Spaced Modes," by J.M. Kelly and J.L. Sackman - May 1979(PB 301 328)A03
UCB/EERC-79/12	"Cyclic Loading Tests of Masonry Single Piers; Volume 3 - Height to Width Ratio of 0.5," by P.A. Hidalgo, R.L. Mayes, H.D. McNiven and R.W. Clough - May 1979(PB 301 321)A08
UCE/EERC-79/13	"Cyclic Behavior of Dense Course-Grained Materials in Relation to the Seismic Stability of Dams," by N.G. Banerjee, H.B. Seed and C.K. Chan - June 1979(PB 301 373)Al3
UCB/EERC-79/14	"Seismic Behavior of Reinforced Concrete Interior Beam-Column Subassemblages," by S. Viwathanatepa, E.P. Popov and V.V. Bertero - June 1979(PB 301 326)Al0
UCB/EERC-79/15	"Optimal Design of Localized Nonlinear Systems with Dual Performance Criteria Under Earthquake Excitations," by M.A. Bhatti - July 1979(FB 80 167 109)A06
UCB/EERC-79/16	"OPTDYN - A General Purpose Optimization Program for Problems with or without Dynamic Constraints," by M.A. Bhatti, E. Polak and K.S. Pister - July 1979(PB 80 167 091)A05
UCB/EERC-79/17	"ANSR-II, Analysis of Nonlinear Structural Response, Users Manual," by D.P. Mondkar and G.H. Powell- July 1979(PB 80 113 301)A05
UCB/SERC-79/18	"Soil Structure Interaction in Different Seismic Environments," A. Gomez-Masso, J. Lysmer, JC. Chen and H.B. Seed - August 1979(PB 80 101 520)A04
UCB/EERC-79/19	"ARMA Models for Earthquake Ground Motions," by M.K. Chang, J.W. Xwiatkowski, R.F. Nau, R.M. Oliver and K.S. Pister ~ July 1979(PB 301 166)A05
UCB/EERC~79/20	"Hysteretic Behavior of Reinforced Concrete Structural Walls," by J.M. Vallenas, V.V. Bertero and E.P. Popov - August 1979(PB 80 165 905)Al2
UCB/EERC-79/21	"Studies on High-Frequency Vibrations of Buildings - 1: The Column Effect," by J. Lubliner - August1979 (PB 80 158 553)A03
UCB/EERC-79/22	"Effects of Generalized Loadings on Bond Reinforcing Bars Embedded in Confined Concrete Blocks," by S. Viwathanatepa, E.P. Popov and V.V. Bertero - August 1979
UCB/EERC-79/23	"Shaking Table Study of Single-Story Masonry Houses, Volume 1: Test Structures 1 and 2," by P. Gülkan, R.L. Mayes and R.W. Clough - Sept. 1979
UCB/EERC-79/24	"Shaking Table Study of Single-Story Masonry Houses, Volume 2: Test Structures 3 and 4," by P. Gülkan, R.L. Mayes and R.W. Clough - Sept. 1979
UCB/EERC-79/25	"Shaking Table Study of Single-Story Masonry Houses, Volume 3: Summary, Conclusions and Recommendations," by R.W. Clough, R.L. Mayes and P. Gülkan - Sept. 1979
UCB/EERC-79/26	"Recommendations for a U.SJapan Cooperative Research Program Utilizing Large-Scale Testing Facilities," by U.SJapan Planning Group - Sept. 1979(PB 301 407)A06
UCB/EERC-79/27	"Earthquake-Induced Liquefaction Near Lake Amatitlan, Guatemala," by H.B. Seed, I. Arango, C.K. Chan, A. Gomez-Masso and R. Grant de Ascoli - Sept. 1979(NUPEG-CR1341)A03
UCB/EERC-79/28	"Infill Panels: Their Influence on Seismic Response of Buildings," by J.W. Axley and V.V. Bertero - Sept. 1979(PB 80 163 371)AlO
UCB/EERC-79/29	"3D Truss Bar Element (Type 1) for the ANSR-II Program," by D.P. Mondkar and G.H. Powell - Nov. 1979 (PB 80 169 709)A02
UCB/EERC-79/30	"2D Beam-Column Element (Type 5 - Parallel Element Theory) for the ANSR-II Program," by D.G. Row, G.H. Powell and D.F. Mondkar - Dec. 1979(PB 80 167 224)AO3
UCB/EERC-79/31	"3D Beam-Column Element (Type 2 - Parallel Element Theory) for the ANSR-II Program," by A. Riahi, G.H. Powell and D.P. Mondkar - Dec. 1979(PB 80 167 216)AO3
UCB/EERC-79/32	"On Response of Structures to Stationary Excitation," by A. Der Kiureghian - Dec. 1979(PB 80166 929)A03
UCB/EERC-79/33	"Undisturbed Sampling and Cyclic Load Testing of Sands," by S. Singh, H.B. Seed and C.K. Chan - Dec. 1979(
UCB/EERC-79/34	"Interaction Effects of Simultaneous Torsional and Compressional Cyclic Loading of Sand," by P.M. Griffin and W.N. Houston - Dec. 1979

UCB/EERC-80/01	"Earthquake Response of Concrete Gravity Dams Including Hydrodynamic and Foundation Interaction Effects," by A.K. Chopra, P. Chakrabarti and S. Gupta - Jan. 1980(AD-A087297)Al0
UCB/EERC-80/02	"Rocking Response of Rigid Blocks to Earthquakes," by C.S. Yim, A.K. Chopra and J. Penzien - Jan. 1980 (PB60 166 002)A04
UCB/EERC-80/03	"Optimum Inelastic Design of Seismic-Resistant Reinforced Concrete Frame Structures," by S.W. Zagajeski and V.V. Bertero - Jan. 1980(PB80 164 635)A06
UCB/EERC-80/04	"Effects of Amount and Arrangement of Wall-Panel Reinforcement on Hysteretic Behavior of Reinforced Concrete Walls," by R. Iliya and V.V. Bertero - Feb. 1980(PB81 122 525)A09
UCB/EERC-80/05	"Shaking Table Research on Concrete Dam Models," by A. Niwa and R.W. Clough - Sept. 1980(PB81 122 368)A06
UCB/EERC-80/06	"The Design of Steel Energy-Absorbing Restrainers and their Incorporation into Nuclear Power Plants for Enhanced Safety (Vol 1A): Piping with Energy Absorbing Restrainers: Parameter Study on Small Systems," by G.H. Powell, C. Oughourlian and J. Simons - June 1980
UCB/EERC-80/07	"Inelastic Torsional Response of Structures Subjected to Earthquake Ground Motions," by Y. Yamazaki April 1980(PB81 122 327)AO8
UCB/EERC-80/08	"Study of X-Braced Steel Frame Structures Under Earthquake Simulation," by Y. Ghanaat - April 1980 (PB81 122 335)All
UCB/EERC-80/09	"Hybrid Modelling of Soil-Structure Interaction," by S. Gupta, T.W. Lin, J. Penzien and C.S. Yeh May 1980(PB81 122 319)A07
UCB/EERC-80/10	"General Applicability of a Nonlinear Model of a One Story Steel Frame," by B.I. Sveinsson and H.D. McNiven - May 1980(PB81 124 877)A06
UCB/EERC-80/11	"A Green-Function Method for Wave Interaction with a Submerged Body," by W. Kioka - April 1980 (PB81 122 269)A07
UCB/EERC-80/12	"Hydrodynamic Pressure and Added Mass for Axisymmetric Bodies," by F. Nilrat - May 1980(PB81 122 343)AO8
UCB/EERC-80/13	"Treatment of Non-Linear Drag Forces Acting on Offshore Platforms," by B.V. Dao and J. Penzien May 1980(PB81 153 413)A07
UCB/EERC-80/14	"2D Plane/Axisymmetric Solid Element (Type 3 - Elastic or Elastic-Perfectly Plastic) for the ANSR-II Program," by D.P. Mondkar and G.H. Powell - July 1980(PB81 122 350)A03
UCB/EERC-80/15	"A Response Spectrum Method for Random Vibrations," by A. Der Kiureghian - June 1980(PBB1122 301)A03
UCB/EERC-80/16	"Cyclic Inelastic Buckling of Tubular Steel Braces," by V.A. Zayas, E.P. Popov and S.A. Mahin June 1980(PB81 124 885)AlO
UCB/EERC-80/17	"Dynamic Response of Simple Arch Dams Including Hydrodynamic Interaction," by C.S. Porter and A.K. Chopra - July 1980(PB81 124 000)A13
UCB/EERC-80/18	"Experimental Testing of a Friction Damped Aseismic Base Isolation System with Fail-Safe Characteristics," by J.M. Kelly, K.E. Beucke and M.S. Skinner - July 1980(PB81 148 595)A04
UCB/EERC-80/19	"The Design of Steel Energy-Absorbing Restrainers and their Incorporation into Nuclear Power Plants for Enhanced Safety (Vol 1B): Stochastic Seismic Analyses of Nuclear Power Plant Structures and Piping Systems Subjected to Multiple Support Excitations," by M.C. Lee and J. Penzien - June 1980
UCB/EERC-80/20	"The Design of Steel Energy-Absorbing Restrainers and their Incorporation into Nuclear Power Plants for Enhanced Safety (Vol 1C): Numerical Method for Dynamic Substructure Analysis," by J.M. Dickens and E.L. Wilson - June 1980
UCB/EERC-80/21	"The Design of Steel Energy-Absorbing Restrainers and their Incorporation into Nuclear Power Plants for Enhanced Safety (Vol 2): Development and Testing of Restraints for Nuclear Piping Systems," by J.M. Kelly and M.S. Skinner - June 1980
UCB/EERC-80/22	"3D Solid Element (Type 4-Elastic or Elastic-Perfectly-Plastic) for the ANSR-II Program," by D.P. Mondkar and G.H. Powell - July 1980(PB81 123 242)A03
UCB/EERC-80/23	"Gap-Friction Element (Type 5) for the ANSR-II Program," by D.P. Mondkar and G.H. Powell - July 1980 (PB81 122 285)A03
UCB/EERC-80/24	"U-Bar Restraint Element (Type 11) for the ANSR-II Program," by C. Oughourlian and G.H. Powell July 1980(PB61 122 293)A03
UCB/EERC-80/25	"Testing of a Natural Rubber Base Isolation System by an Explosively Simulated Earthquake," by J.M. Kelly - August 1980
UCB/EERC-80/26	"Input Identification from Structural Vibrational Response," by Y. Hu - August 1980(PB81 152 308)A05
UCB/EERC-80/27	"Cyclic Inelastic Behavior of Steel Offshore Structures," by V.A. Zayas, S.A. Mahin and E.P. Popov August 1980
UCB/EERC-80/28	"Shaking Table Testing of a Reinforced Concrete Frame with Biaxial Response," by M.G. Oliva October 1980(PB81 154 304)AlO
UCB/EERC-80/29	"Dynamic Properties of a Twelve-Story Prefabricated Panel Building," by J.G. Bouwkamp, J.P. Kollegger and R.M. Stephen - October 1980
UCB/EERC-80/30	"Dynamic Properties of an Eight-Story Prefabricated Panel Building," by J.G. Bouwkamp, J.P. Kollegger and R.M. Stephen - October 1980
UCB/EERC-80/31	"Predictive Dynamic Response of Panel Type Structures Under Earthquakes," by J.P. Kollegger and J.G. Bouwkamp - October 1980(PB81 152 316)A04
UCB/EERC-80/32	"The Design of Steel Energy-Absorbing Restrainers and their Incorporation into Nuclear Power Plants for Enhanced Safety (Vol 3): Testing of Commercial Steels in Low-Cycle Torsional Fatigue," by P. Spencer, E.R. Parker, E. Jongewaard and M. Drory

UCB/EERC-80/33	"The Design of Steel Energy-Absorbing Restrainers and their Incorporation into Nuclear Power Plants for Enhanced Safety (Vol 4): Shaking Table Tests of Piping Systems with Energy-Absorbing Restrainers," by S.F. Stiemer and W.G. Godden - Sept. 1980
UCB/EERC-80/34	"The Design of Steel Energy-Absorbing Restrainers and their Incorporation into Nuclear Power Plants for Enhanced Safety (Vol 5): Summary Report," by P. Spencer
UCB/EERC-80/35	"Experimental Testing of an Energy-Absorbing Base Isolation System," by J.M. Kelly, M.S. Skinner and K.E. Beucke - October 1980(PB81 154 072)A04
UCB/EERC-80/36	"Simulating and Analyzing Artificial Non-Stationary Earthquake Ground Motions," by R.F. Nau, R.M. Oliver and K.S. Pister - October 1980(PB81 153 397)A04
UCB/EERC-80/37	"Earthquake Engineering at Berkeley - 1980," - Sept. 1980
UCB/EERC-80/38	"Inelastic Seismic Analysis of Large Panel Buildings," by V. Schricker and G.H. Powell - Sept. 1980 (PB81 154 338)A13
UCB/EERC-80/39	"Dynamic Response of Embankment, Concrete-Gravity and Arch Dams Including Hydrodynamic Interaction," by J.F. Hall and A.K. Chopra - October 1980(PB81 152 324)All
UCB/EERC-80/40	"Inelastic Buckling of SteelStrutsUnder Cyclic Load Reversal," by R.G. Black, W.A. Wenger and E.P. Popov - October 1980(PB81 154 312)A08
UCB/EERC-80/41	"Influence of Site Characteristics on Building Damage During the October 3, 1974 Lima Earthquake," by P. Repetto, I. Arango and H.B. Seed - Sept. 1980(PB81 161 739)A05
UCB/EERC-80/42	"Evaluation of a Shaking Table Test Program on Response Behavior of a Two Story Reinforced Concrete Frame," by J.M. Blondet, R.W. Clough and S.A. Mahin
UCB/EERC-80/43	"Modelling of Soil-Structure Interaction by Finite and Infinite Elements," by F. Medina

- UCB/EERC-81/01 "Control of Seismic Response of Piping Systems and Other Structures by Base Isolation," edited by J. M. Kelly - January 1981 (PB81 200 735)A05
- UCB/EERC-81/02 "OPTNSR An Interactive Software System for Optimal Design of Statically and Dynamically Loaded Structures with Nonlinear Response," by M. A. Bhatti, V. Ciampi and K. S. Pister - January 1981 (PB81 218 851)A09
- UCB/EERC-81/03 "Analysis of Local Variations in Free Field Seismic Ground Motion," by J.-C. Chen, J. Lysmer and H. B. Seed -January 1981 (AD-A099508)A13
- UCB/EERC-81/04 "Inelastic Structural Modeling of Braced Offshore Platforms for Seismic Loading," by V. A. Zayas, P.-S. B. Shing, S. A. Mahin and E. P. Popov - January 1981
- UCB/EERC-81/05 "Dynamic Response of Light Equipment in Structures," by A. Der Kiureghian, J. L. Sackman and B. Nour-Omid -April 1981 (PB81 218 497)A04
- UCB/EERC-81/06 "Preliminary Experimental Investigation of a Broad Base Liquid Storage Tank," by J. G. Bouwkamp, J. P. Kollegger and R. M. Stephen - May 1981
- UCB/EERC-81/07 "The Seismic Resistant Design of Reinforced Concrete Coupled Structural Walls," by A. E. Aktan and V. V. Bertero -June 1981
- UCB/EERC-81/08 "The Undrained Shearing Resistant of Cohesive Soils at Large Deformation," by M. R. Piles and H. B. Seed -August 1981
- UCB/EERC-81/09 "Experimental Behavior of a Spatial Piping System with Steel Energy Absorbers Subjected to a Simulated Differential Seismic Input," by S. F. Stiemer, W. G. Godden and J. M. Kelly - July 1981
- UCB/EERC-81/10 "Evaluation of Seismic Design Provisions for Masonry in the United States," by B. I. Sveinsson, R. L. Mayes and H. D. McNiven - August 1981
- UCB/EERC-81/11 "Two-Dimensional Hybrid Modelling of Soil-Structure Interaction," by T.-J. Tzong, S. Gupta and J. Penzien -August 1981