",.."'. -

REPORT NO. UCB/EERC-85/03 APRIL 1985

EARTHQUAKE ENGINEERING RESEARCH CENTER

DYNAMIC PROPERTIES OF ATHIRTY-STORY CONDOMINIUM TOWER BUILDING

by

R.M. STEPHEN E.L. WILSON N. STANDER

Report to the National Science Foundation

COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA • Berkeley, California

REPRODUCED BY NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE us DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE SPRINGFIELD. VA. 22161 For sale by the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, Virginia 2216l.

See back report for up to date listings of EERC reports.

DISCLAIMER

Any opinions, findings and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation or the Earthquake Engineering Research Center, University of California, Berkeley.

EARTHQUAKE ENGINEERING RESEARCH CENTER

DYNAMIC PROPERTIES OF A THIRTY STORY CONDOMINIUM TOWER BUILDING

by

R. M. Stephen E. L. Wilson N. Stander

A Report to the National Science Foundation

Report No. UCB/EERC·85/03 College of Engineering Department of Civil Engineering University of California Berkeley, California

ha

 $\label{eq:2.1} \begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}) = \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}})$, $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{$

TABLE OF CONTENTS

 \mathcal{A}

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac$

 $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$

Page

 ~ 10

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

LIST OF FIGURES

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2}d\mu\,d\mu\,.$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{$

Page

 $\sim 5\%$

 \mathcal{A}

 $\label{eq:1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{$

 $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}$

4.8 N-S Vertical Mode Shape.................. 72 4.9 N-S Vertical Mode Shape................. 73 4.10 N-S Vertical Mode Shape \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . 74 4.11 Torsional Vertical Mode Shape 75 4.12 Torsional Vertical Mode Shape 76 4.13 Torsional Vertical Mode Shape 77 4.14 Torsional Vertical Mode Shape 78 4.15 30th Floor Mode Shape Ambient Vibration 79 4.16 30th Floor Mode Shape Ambient Vibration \ldots \ldots \ldots . 80 4.17 30th Floor Mode Shape Ambient Vibration 81 4.18 30th Floor Mode Shape Ambient Vibration 82 5.1 Plan Layout of the Frames \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . 89 5.2 Mode Shapes, Translation $E-W$ 90 5.3 Mode Shapes, Torsional 91

Page

v

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

LIST OF TABLES

 \sim \sim

 $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$

 \bar{z}

 $\sim 10^{-1}$

 \sim ϵ

 \mathbf{I} \mathbf{I} \mathbb{R}^n \sim $\mathbf{1}$ $\|$ \mathbf{I} \parallel \mathbf{I} \mathbf{I} $\left| \right|$

ABSTRACT

The results of forced and ambient vibrations studies of a thirty story condominium building, constructed using a concrete ductile moment resistant space frame are presented. Dynamic characteristics, such as resonant frequencies, damping, and vertical and horizontal mode shapes of the structure were determined and correlated with analytical results using the computer program SAP-80.

Rigid floor diaphragm action was noted at higher frequencies and very little structure foundation interaction was observed.

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2}d\mu\,d\mu\,.$

1.1 General

The design of multistory structures subjected to dynamic forces resulting from foundation motions require a consideration of both the characteristics of the ground motion and the dynamic properties of the structure. Ground motions as caused by an earthquake are random and, although not prescriptible for aseismic design, have been fairly well studied for certain well-known past earthquakes. The engineer is therefore mainly interested in the dynamic properties of the structure when designing for earthquake forces and is only indirectly concerned with the ground motion characteristics.

High speed digital computers and more sophisticated idealizations and computer model formulations of structures can predict the elastic and, provided that proper non-linear algorithms can be defined, also the inelastic response of such structures when subjected to earthquakes. However, the accuracy of the results in large measure depend upon the computer model formulation of the structure and its foundation. In order to determine the accuracy of the calculated results and to accumulate a body of information on the dynamic properties of structures, especially when these structures have novel design features, dynamic tests have been conducted on full-scale structures (1).

In order to evaluate the dynamic characteristics of a multi-story reinforced concrete type structure, dynamic tests using both forced and ambient methods were performed on the Pacific Park Plaza Building in Emeryville, California. Because of the potential advantages of the ambient vibration method in dynamic testing of full-scale structures,

it was desirable to compare the results of both methods and to assess the accuracy of each method in eval uating the dynamic properties of this structure.

The building is described in Chapter 2, and the results of the dynamic tests, from forced, as well as ambient vibration studies, are given in Chapters 3 and 4, respectively. For purposes of correlation, a mathematical model of the structural system was formulated and the cal culated and experimental dynamic properties were compared. The formulation of the mathematical model including the foundation and the analytical dynamic resul ts obtained, are described in Chapter 5. A general comparison and discussion of the experimental and analytical results is presented in Chapter 6.

1.2 Acknowledgement

The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support provided by the National Science Foundation under Grant NSP CEE 81-100050-ZNF. They also wish to thank the owner, Tower House Associates of San Francisco; the structural engineers, T. Y. Lin, International; and the contractors, Lathrop/Kiewit of Emeryville, and especially Mr. Gary Kalian for his help and cooperation in coordinating and carrying out the test program.

2.1 General

The Pacific Park Plaza Building (Fig. 2.1) a thirty story reinforced concrete building, was tested *in* August 1983. This building system uses ductile moment-resistant concrete frames. The contractor was able to adhere to a rather rigid construction schedule, which required the 30-story superstructure to be completed *in* ¹³ months, by employing prefabricated reusable forms. In addition a large amount of the reinforcing steel was prefabricated and preassembled.

2.2 Architectural Layout

The overall project includes a five-level parking structure along with the thirty story tower housing 583 condominium units. The tower has three radial wings in plan, a form which maximizes the view of San Francisco bay. The central core area containes two elevator shafts and each wing contains a stairwell as shown in Fig. 2.2. As the building was to be used for condominiums, the architect could plan interior space so that partition walls were located at beam lines.

2.3 Structural Systems

The building is a 30 story reinforced concrete structure employing ductile moment-resistant concrete frames. All of the columns follow a continuous line from the foundation to the roof. In the west wing the space below the second floor is divided by ^a mezzanine floor. This mezzanine accommodates part of the parking structure that intersects the west wing up to the second floor level as indicated in the sections shown in Fig. 2.3. Reinforced concrete

shear walls are located in each of the wings and the central core at the foundation to second floor levels only. All of the floors from the second upward are reinforced concrete frame systems with a story height of 9 ft. 6 in. The floor slabs are placed monolithically with the beams and are, in general, ⁵ inches thick. In all of the condominium units this gives a floor to ceiling height of 9 ft. 1 inch.

As all the frames were ductile moment-resistant, special consideration was required in order to handle the congestion of reinforcing steel in the beam-col umn connections. A typical beamcolumn floor framing plan is shown in Fig. 2.4.

The building was constructed adjacent to the San Francisco Bay and the site is underlain by ^a layer of soft silty clay known locally as Bay Mud. The building is therefore supported on piles driven in rows along both longitudinal and transverse column lines. A 5 ft. thick concrete mat was placed over these piles under the entire structure.

FIG. 2.1 PACIFIC PARK PLAZA BUILDING

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(\frac{d\mu}{\mu} \right)^2 \frac{d\mu}{\mu} \left(\frac{d\mu}{\$

FIG. 2.2 TYPICAL FLOOR PLAN

FIG. 2.3 TYPICAL SECTION OF BUILDING - WEST WING

 α

FIG. 2.4 TYPICAL FLOOR FRAMING PLAN

3.1 General

The forced vibration study was carried out and completed during August and September 1983. The building was structurally completed prior to the experimental work. The general experimental procedures, equipment used, and procedures for data reduction applied, for forced vibration study conducted are also described. Finally, the experimental results are presented and discussed.

3.2 Experimental Equipment

The experimental apparatus employed in the tests were two vibration generators, ten accelerometers and equipment for the measurement and recording of the frequency responses. The apparatus is described in the following sections.

3.2.1 Vibration Generators

Forced vibrations were produced by two rotating-mass vibration generators or shaking machines, one of which is shown in Fig. 3.1. These machines were originally developed at the California Institute of Technology under the supervision of the Earthquake Engineering Research Institute for the Office of Architecture and Construction, State of California. Each machine consists of an electric motor driVing two pie-shaped baskets or rotors, each of which produces a centrifugal force as a result of the rotation. The two rotors are mounted on a common vertical shaft and rotate in opposite directions so that the resultant of their centrifugal forces is a sinusoidal rectilinear force. When the baskets are lined up, a peak value of the sinusoidal force will be exerted. The structural design of the

machines limits the peak value of force to 5,000 lbs. This maximum force may be attained at a number of combinations of eccentric mass and rotational speed, since the output force is proportional to the square of the rotational speed as well as the mass of the baskets and the lead plates inserted in the baskets. At higher speeds the eccentric mass must be reduced in order not to surpass the maximum force of 5,000 Ibs. The maximum operating speed is ¹⁰ hz, and the minimum practical speed is approximately 0.5 hz. At 0.5 hz with all lead plates in the baskets, a force of 200 lbs. can be generated. The relationship between output force and frequency of rotation of the baskets for different basket loads is shown in Fig. 3.2.

The speed of rotation of each motor driving the baskets is controlled by an electronic control unit. The control unit allows the machines to be synchronized or operated 180° out-of-phase. This makes it convenient to excite, in structures with ^a line of symmetry, either torsional or pure translational vibrations without changing the position of either machine. A complete description of the vibration generators is given in (2).

In the subject study the vibration generators were mounted on the 30th floor in the north and south wings of the building, as indicated in Fig. 3.3. They were located on a north-south line 40 feet on each side of the center of stiffness. The center-of-stiffness was located at the center of the central core. Associated vibration control and recording equipment was also placed on the 30th floor.

3.2.2 Accelerometers

The transducers used to detect horizontal floor accelerations of the building were Statham Model A4 linear accelerometers, with a

maximum rating of \pm 0.25 g.

3.2.3 Equipment for Measurement of Frequency

For the vibration generators, the vibration excitation frequencies were input through the terminal of the electronic control unit to an internal micro-processor which set and regulated the speed of the motor driving the baskets. An encoder attached to a rotating shaft driven by a transmission belt from the motor was used to monitor the vibration frequency of the generator.

3.2.4 Recording Equipment

The electrical signals for all accelerometers were fed to amplifiers and then to a Honeywell Model 1858 Graphic Data Acquisition System with 8-in. wide chart. In frequency-response tests, the input frequency was observed and checked with a digital counter and recorded manually on the chart alongside the associated traces.

3.3 Experimental Procedure and Data Reduction

The quantities normally determined by a dynamic test of a structure are: resonant frequencies, mode shapes, and damping capacities. The experimental procedures and reduction of data invol ved in determining these quantities are described in the next section.

3.3.1 Resonant Frequencies

With the equipment described on the preVious page, resonant frequencies are determined by sweeping the frequency range of the vibration generators from 0.2 to 10 cps.

In the case of the vibration generators, the exciting frequency

is increased slowly until acceleration traces on the recording chart are large enough for measurement. Above this level, the frequency is increased in steps until the upper speed limit of the machine is reached. Near resonance, where the slope of the frequency-response curve is changing rapidly, the frequency-interval steps are as small as the speed control permits generally to one one-hundreth of a hertz. These steps are relatively large in regions away from resonance. Each time the frequency is set to ^a particular value, the vibration response is given sufficient time to become steady-state, before the acceleration traces are recorded. At the same time, the frequency of vibration is written on the chart with its corresponding traces. Plotting the vibration response at each frequency step results in a frequency-response curve.

Frequency-response curves in the form of acceleration amplitude versus exciting frequency may be plotted directly from the data on the recording chart. However, the curves are for a force which increases with the square of the exciting frequency, and each acceleration amplitude should be divided by the corresponding square of its exciting frequency to obtain so-called normalized curves equivalent to those for a constant force (assuming linear stiffness and damping for the structural system). If the original acceleration amplitudes are divided by the frequency to the fourth power, displacement frequencyresponse curves for constant exciting forces are obtained. In cases of fairly low damping (under 5%), there is little difference between results obtained for resonant frequencies and damping capacities measured from the different curves.

3.3.2 Mode Shapes

Once the resonant frequencies of a structure have been found, the mode shapes at each of these frequencies may be determined. In this study, with ten accelerometers available, it was decided to develop the mode shapes by taking simul taneous measurements at ten floors, starting at the ground floor and going up in approximately three story increments.

In the operation of the vibration generators during the mode shape investigation, some difficul ties were encountered with one of the control units. Therefore only one generator was used in carrying out this portion of the investigation. The resonant frequencies had been determined previously using the two generators and therefore even though it was somewhat inconvenient in using only one generator the data determined was adequate to define the mode shapes.

The structure was vibrated at each of the resonant frequencies, and the vibration amplitude was determined for all accelerometers at each frequency.

It is generally necessary to make corrections to the recorded amplitudes to compensate for differences between calibration factors for each accelerometer. Absolute calibration is not required for mode shapes, and cross-calibration is sufficient. The accelerometers and all equipment associated with them in their respective recording channels are cross-calibrated simply by placing them all together and measuring the vibration amplitude of all the accelerometers when the structure is vibrated at each of the resonant frequencies. Crosscalibration is generally carried out at the beginning or end of each day.

In general, the number of points required to define a mode shape accurately depends on the mode and the number of degrees of freedom in the system. For example, in a dynamic test on a 15-story building (3) four points were sufficient to define the first mode, whereas it required measurements of the vibration of all ¹⁴ floors and the roof to define the fifth mode shape accurately.

The horizontal mode shapes of the 30th floor for the N-S motion were investigated by locating the ten accelerometers in five pairs, two in the west wing, one pair each in the north and south wings and one pair in the central core.

3.3.3 Damping Capacities

Damping capacities may be found from resonance curves in the normalized frequency-response curves by the formula:

$$
\xi = \frac{\Delta f}{2f}
$$

where

```
\xi = damping factor,
```
 $f =$ resonant frequency,

 Δf = differences in frequency of the two points on the resonance curve with amplitudes of $1/\sqrt{2}$ times the resonant amplitude.

Strictly, the expression for ξ is only applicable to the displacement resonance curve of a linear, single degree-of-freedom system with a small amount of viscous damping. However, it has been used widely for systems differing appreciably from that for which the formula was derived, and it has become accepted as ^a reasonable measure of damping. In this respect, it should be remembered that *in* the case of full-size civil engineering structures, it is not necessary to measure

damping accurately in ^a percentage sense. It is sufficient if the range in which an equivalent viscous damping coefficient lies is known. Meaningful ranges might be defined as: under 1% , $1-2\%$, $2-5\%$, 5-10%, over 10% (1,4).

The bandwidth method described above is extermely useful when the damping factor lies in the range of 1-10% of critical. However, if the damping lies below 1%, difficulties may be encountered in observing sufficient points on the resonance curve. Also, the small frequency difference between two relatively large frequencies becomes difficult to measure accurately. Above 10% of critical damping, resonance curves often become poorly defined due to interference between modes, and the results from the bandwidth method have little meaning.

3.4 Experimental Results

3.4.1 General

The vibration equipment was bolted to the 30th floor throughout the test program as shown in Figure 3.3. Al so shown is the center of stiffness (C.S.). The selection of the location of the two vibration generators is typically guided by the structural layout of the building to be studied, and thus, the anticipated dynamic response. In that respect, ideally, the shakers should be placed along one of the center lines and as far apart as possible (the latter requirement to achieve a maximum torsional input under a 180⁰-out-of-phase excitation). In this case after reviewing the building floor plan for the 30th floor, it was decided to place shakers along the N-S line that passed through the center of stiffness of the building. The distance from the center of stiffness was taken as the maximum

practical distance to the edge of the floor system in the south wing. Admittedly, the center line itself is an arbitrary line as it is based in the anticipated center of stiffness.

In general the vibration equipment allows excitation of a structure in both the NS, EW and torsional modes. Even with a certain off-line position (or eccentricity) of the equipment separate excitation of the translational and torsional frequencies normally cause little trouble, provided the translational and torsional resonance frequencies are sufficiently separated and the structural damping is small (less than 2 to 3% of critical).

3.4.2 Frequency Response

The frequency response curves for E-W, N-S and torsional forcing conditions are presented in Figures 3.4 through 3.19 . The first six translational modes, respectively, in the E-W and the N-S direction were excited, as well as the first four torsional modes. The curves are plotted in the form of normalized displacement amplitude versus exciting frequency.

The ordinates were obtained by dividing the recorded acceleration amplitude by the square of the exciting frequency to obtain acceleration amplitudes for a constant equivalent force amplitude. The values thus obtained are divided by the square of the circular frequency (rad/sec) to obtain normalized displacement amplitudes. For convenience the actual exciting force (F_n) and displacement amplitude (u_n) for each of the excited resonancies are given in Figs. 3.4 through 3.19, as well as the calculated damping factors.

The resonant frequencies and damping factors evaluated from the response curves are summarized in Tables 3.1 and 3.2 , respectively.

The generated exciting force by either one or both shaking machines and corresponding resonant displacement amplitude for each resonant frequency are given in Tables 3.3 and 3.4, respectively. The E-W and N-S displacement amplitudes are for the center of the building whereas the torsional displacements were measured near the end of the south wing.

Excitation	Mode							
		2	3	4	5	o		
$E - W$	0.595	1.675	3.12	4.39	6:19	7.45		
$N-S$	0.590	1.66	3.09	4.36	6.24	7.45		
Torsional	0.565	1.70	3.16	4.53	--			

TABLE 3.1 RESONANT FREQUENCIES (hz)

TABLE 3.2 DAMPING FACTORS (%) FROM RESONANCE CURVES

Excitation	Mode								
		2		4	5	6			
$E-W$	1.8	1.9	3.2	2.6	1.8	1.3			
$N-S$	$1 - 7$	1.3	2.9	2.6	1.8	2.1			
Torsional	1.5	1.32	1.7	2.6	--				

TABLE 3.3 RESONANT FORCE AMPLITUDES

TABLE 3.4 RESONANT DISPLACEMENT AMPLITUDES (x 10⁻² in.) 30th FLOOR

The vertical mode shapes for the translational and torsional modes that were excited are shown in Figs. 3.20 through 3.33. Particular attention has been given to observe in-plane deformations on the 30th floor for five of the N-S excited resonances. These inplane floor vibrational modes are shown in Figs. 3.34 through 3.38.

3.5 Discussion Qf Experimental Results

The forced-vibration study of the building was conducive in obtaining accurate resonant frequencies for the first six translational modes of vibration in E-W and N-S direction, as well as the first four torsional modes of vibration. The resonant frequencies
were well separated, and it was of interest to note the ratios of the observed higher mode frequencies with respect to the fundamental one. These ratios are given in Table 3.5 for all three directions of excited vibrations, and they indicate a type of over-all structural response.

Mode	Translational $E-W$		Translational $N-S$		Torsional	
	fi (cps)	f_1 _{f_1}	f1 ϕ (\cos)	$\frac{f_1}{f_1}$	fi cps	$\mathbf{f}_{f_{1}}$
1	0.595	1.0	0.59	1.0	0.565	1.0
\overline{c}	1.675	2.82	1.66	2.81	1.70	3.00
3	3.12	5.24	3.09	5.24	3.16	5.59
4	4.39	7.38	4.36	7.39	4.53	8.02
5	6.19	10.40	6.24	10.58		
$\boldsymbol{6}$	7.45	12.52	7.45	12.63		

TABLE 3.5 RATIO OF RESONANT FREQUENCIES

From these results it may be concluded that the building vibration in both translational directions as well as torsional vibration are predominantly of the shear type, because the determined frequency ratios follow closely the ratios 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11 \ldots , which apply for the uniform shear beam.

For the radial wing floor plan of the building it should be expected that the translational modes will exist in the direction parallel to the axis of the building wings. One of the translational directions of vibration excited was selected along the E-W line of the

19

west wing of the buil ding. The second direction was orthogonal to this one in the $N-S$ direction. The E-W and $N-S$ translational frequencies and mode shapes are essentially identical. And, in addition, the torsional frequencies and modes shapes, at least for the first four that were identified, are practically the same as the translational values. From these results it could be concluded that the mass and stiffness center coincide with the geometric center. In the lower modes the floor slabs are rigid, however, as noted in Fig. 3.38 for the fifth mode N-S translation the floor slab exhibited some in-plane bending.

Damping coefficients varied from about 1 to 3% of critical damping in all modes. Similar damping values have been reported from other full-scale forced vibration studies on buildings, (5, 12, 13, 14).

Reproduced from
best available copy.

FIG. 3.1 FORCED VIBRATION GENERATOR AND **CONTROL UNIT**

FIG. 3.3 LOCATION OF VIBRATION GENERATORS

FIG. 3.4 FREQUENCY RESPONSE, FIRST MODE E-W

FIG. 3.5 FREQUENCY RESPONSE, SECOND MODE E-W

FIG. 3.6 FREQUENCY RESPONSE, THIRD MODE E-W

FIG. 3.7 FREQUENCY RESPONSE, FOURTH MODE E-W.

FIG. 3.8 FREQUENCY RESPONSE, FIFTH MODE E-W

FIG. 3.9 FREQUENCY RESPONSE, SIXTH MODE E-W

FIG. 3.10 FREQUENCY RESPONSE, FIRST MODE N-S

FIG. 3.11 FREQUENCY RESPONSE, SECOND MODE N-S

FIG. 3.12 FREQUENCY RESPONSE, THIRD MODE N-S

FIG. 3.13 FREQUENCY RESPONSE, FOURTH MODE N-S

FIG. 3.14 FREQUENCY RESPONSE, FIFTH MODE N-S

34

FIG. 3.15 FREQUENCY RESPONSE, SIXTH MODE N-S

FIG. 3.16 FREQUENCY RESPONSE, FIRST TORSIONAL MODE

FIG. 3.17 FREQUENCY RESPONSE, SECOND TORSIONAL MODE

FIG. 3.18 FREQUENCY RESPONSE, THIRD TORSIONAL MODE.

FIG. 3.20 MODE SHAPES, FIRST TRANSLATIONAL MODE E-W

FIG. 3.21 MODE SHAPES, SECOND TRANSLATIONAL MODE E-W

FIG. 3.22 MODE SHAPES, THIRD TRANSLATIONAL MODE E-W

FIG. 3.23 MODE SHAPES, FOURTH TRANSLATIONAL MODE E-W

 $\ddot{}$

FIG. 3.25 MODE SHAPES, FIRST TRANSLATIONAL MODE N-S

FIG. 3.26 MODE SHAPES, SECOND TRANSLATIONAL MODE N-S

FIG. 3.27 MODE SHAPES, THIRD TRANSLATIONAL MODE N-S

FIG. 3.28 MODE SHAPES, FOURTH TRANSLATIONAL MODE N-S

MODE SHAPES, FIFTH TRANSLATIONAL MODE N-S FIG. 3.29

FIG. 3.30 MODE SHAPES, FIRST TORSIONAL MODE N-S

 \overline{a}

FIG. 3.31 MODE SHAPES, SECOND TORSIONAL MODE

FIG. 3.32 MODE SHAPES, THIRD TORSIONAL MODE

FIG. 3.33 MODE SHAPES, FOURTH TORSIONAL MODE

FIG. 3.34 30TH FLOOR MODE SHAPE N-S FORCING

FIG. 3.35 30TH FLOOR MODE SHAPE N-S FORCING

FIG. 3.36 30TH FLOOR MODE SHAPE N-S FORCING

FIG. 3.37 30TH FLOOR MODE SHAPE N-S FORCING

FIG. 3.38 30TH FLOOR MODE SHAPE N-S FORCING

4. AMBIENT VIBRATION STUDY

4.1 General

Ambient vibration studies use field measurements of wind and microtremor induced vibrations. The method has been in use for 45 years by the United States Coast and Geodetic Survey (6) to measure fundamental periods of buildings. At present it is commonly used to identify higher resonance frequencies and mode shapes (7, 8, 9, 10, 11 .

The ambient vibration study of the dynamic properties of the structures is ^a fast and relatively simply method of field measurements. It does not interfere with normal building functions, and the measuring instruments and equipment can be installed and operated by a small crew.

The objective of performing the ambient vibration study was to obtain dynamic properties of the building and then compare these results with those obtained from the forced vibration study to assess efficiency of both techniques.

The ambient vibration, experimental and analytical procedures were first suggested by Crawford and Ward (7, 11). An assumption in the analysis technique is that the exciting forces are ^a stationary random process possessing reasonably flat frequency spectrum. For mul tistory buildings and other large above ground structures, the largest ambient vibrations are produced by wind. If the frequency spectrum of the vibrational exciting forces is reasonably flat, ^a structure subjected to this input will respond in all its normal modes.

The vibration measuring equipment employed in the ambient

vibration-dynamic test is described belbw. The general experimental procedures and procedures for data analyses applied are also described. Finally, the experimental results are presented and discussed.

4.2 Field Measurements

4.2.1 Measuring Eguipment

The wind induced vibrations were measured using Kinemetric Ranger Seismomenters, Model SS-1. The seismometer has a strong permanent magnet as the seismic inertial mass moving within a stationary coil attached to the seismometer case. Small rod magnets at the periphery of the coil produce a reversed field which provides a destabilizing force to extend the natural period of the mass and its suspension.

The resulting seismometer frequency was 1 Hz. Damping was set at 0.7 critical. The output for a given velocity is a constant voltage at all frequencies greater than ¹ Hz and falls off at ¹² dB/octave for frequencies less than 1 Hz.

Two Kinemetrics Signal Conditioners, Model SC-1 (Fig. 4.1) were used to amplify and control simultaneously eight seismometer signals. The four input channels on each signal conditioner have isolated circuitry to integrate and differentiate the amplified input signal. All outputs are simultaneously or independently available for recording. A modifioation to the signal conditioners allows for outputting each channel separately or for taking the sum or difference on two channels and outputting the average of those channels. Each channel provides a nominal maximum gain of 100,000. An 18 dB/octave low pass filter is available with a cut-off frequency continuously selectable between 1 Hz and 100 Hz for each channel.

The amplified analog signals were recorded and directly converted to digi tal format using the Kinemetrics Digital Data System, Model DDS-1103. The data was digitalized at 100 samples per second. The DDS-1103's rate of scan across multiple input channels is 40,000 Hz. This rapid scan rate is sufficient to retain the phase relationship between channels.

A Rockland FFT 512/S Real-Time Spectrum Analyzer was used in *order* to facilitate the rapid determination of the modal frequencies (Fig. 4.1). This unit is a single channel analyzer with 512 spectral lines cal culated but only 400 lines displayed to reduce analyzing *errors.* Twelve analysis ranges are provided from 0-2 to 0-10 KHz.

4.2.2 Measurement Procedures

When measuring ambient and forced vibrations of the buildings, it is usually assumed that the structure can be approximated by ^a onedimensional, damped discrete *or* continuous system. In most of the cases (10, 12), measurements indicate that *for* the level of excitation applied, *floor* structures are sufficiently stiff so that the above assumption is acceptable.

In the experimental study of building vibration which is based on the linear model, it is assumed that the resulting motions can be expressed as the superposition of modes associated with the discrete frequencies (13, 14). This approach then requires a simultaneous measurement of motion in a given direction at at least two different floors to obtain their relative amplitude and phase, the two quanti*ties* needed to determine mode shapes. During the measurements of wind induced vibrations, it is not necessary to find the actual amplitudes that *are* recorded because all that *is* ever used in

determining mode shapes is the relative amplitude of the same two instruments.

The modal frequencies were obtained by placing seismometers near the 30th floor stairwells in the north and south wings to measure the E-W and torsional motion and for the N-S motion the seismometers were placed near the west stairwell in the west wing and near the center core on the east side of the building. They were oriented so that the signals from the seismometers on the north and south sides could be used to detect the east-west frequencies. Similarly, the signals from those on the east and west sides were used to determine the northsouth frequencies. The signal conditioner was set so that seismometers 1 and 2 would be output as channel 1, giving the average of the sum of these two readings, and channel 2, the average of the difference of seismometers 1 and 2. In this way, the translational frequencies could be obtained from the average of the sum of the seismometer readings and the torsional frequencies from the average of the differences of the seismometers readings. Typically, the data was recorded for a total of 300 seconds.

For determining the translational and torsional modes, one pair of seismometers was used on the 30th floor as a reference placed near the stairwells and center core (see Fig. 4.2). The other three pair of seismometers were oriented in the same way and relocated successively at about 3 story increments to allow the evaluation of the model response over the height of the building. As in the case for determining the modal frequencies, the sum of the two seismometer signals at each floor was averaged to give translational modal data. The ratio of the various pairs of averaged readings provided a modal

data point normalized to the 30th floor motion. Torsional modal information was obtained in a similar manner, except that the difference, rather than the sum of the seismometer signals at the floor level was used. On each channel the low pass filter was set at ²⁰ Hz to attenuate all higher frequencies, thus completely removing electrical noise and other possible high frequency vibrations. The voltage output to the recorder was adjusted to not exceed about + 1.5 volts. The unattenuated calibration constant for the seismometers used was approximately ⁴ volts/in/sec. Corresponding first mode acceleration and displacement were about $+ 0.5 \times 10^{-5}$ g and $+ 1.25 \times$ 10^{-4} inches, respectively.

4.3 Data Analysis

4.3.1 Fourier Analysis

It *is* convenient to use Fourier transforms to analyze low level structural vibrations (15) and exhibit the frequency content of the recorded vibration, thus identifying modal frequencies when the input force frequency spectrum is reasonably flat. Comparing measured amplitude and phase between various points on the structure provides an estimate of the mode shape.

4.3.2 Data Processing

Eight simultaneous outputs were recorded on magnetic tape during each run. All runs were digitized at a sample rate of 100 discrete points per second. Because of the high frequency filtering present in the field instrumentation, no significant frequencies above 20 Hz were found in the recordings. For the resonant frequency runs, 4096 data points were selected for the translational and torsional modes. A

total of 10 transforms separated by 2048 points were calculated and averaged.

For each mode shape run, 1024 data points were selected and a total of 5 transforms were taken. The Fourier amplitude spectrum was an average of the 5 transforms computed.

The spectral estimates were smoothed by 1/4, 1/2, 1/4 weights. The 2048 spectral estimates are uniformly distributed and with 100 sample/sec. gives a frequency resolution of 100/2048, or about 0.0488 Hz.

4.3.3 Frequencies and Modes of Vibrations

The natural frequencies of the excited modes are given in Table 4.1. Mode shapes were calculated under both N-S and E-W conditions as well as under torsion. The results, together with modal data from forced vibrations are presented in Figs. 4.3 through 4.14. The 30th floor mode of vibration under ambient conditions was also determined and is shown in Figs. 4.15 through 4.18.

Excitation	Mode				
		2	3		
$E - W$	0.586	1.685	3.125	4.443	
$N-S$	0.586	1.685	3.149	4.517	
Torsional	0.586	1.709	3.125	4.443	

TABLE 4.1 RESONANT FREQUENCIES (Hz)

4.3.4 Damping

In the case of forced vibration study, damping in the structure can be determined by the bandwidth method or by measuring a free vibration decay response. In ambient vibration studies only the first method can be used, provided that wind excitations are random and stationary in time (11). Using the bandwidth method provided the dampings ratios as presented in Table 4.2.

Excitation	Mode				
		2	3		
$E-W$	2.6	1.2	0.4	0.6	
$N-S$	2.6	1.8	0.8	0.7	
Torsional	3.8	1.4	1.0	0.4	

TABLE 4.2 DAMPING RATIOS

FIG. 4.1 AMBIENT VIBRATION EQUIPMENT

FIG. 4.2 LOCATION OF RANGER SEISMOMETERS ON THE 30TH FLOOR FOR RESONANT FREQUENCY RESPONSE

 $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}$

FIG. 4.3 E-W VERTICAL MODE SHAPE

E-W VERTICAL MODE SHAPE FIG. 4.4

FIG. 4.5 E-W VERTICAL MODE SHAPE

E-W VERTICAL MODE SHAPE FIG. 4.6

 $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$

FIG. 4.7 N-S VERTICAL MODE SHAPE

FIG. 4.8 N-S VERTICAL MODE SHAPE

 $\sqrt{72}$

FIG. 4.9 N-S VERTICAL MODE SHAPE

FIG. 4.10 N-S VERTICAL MODE SHAPE

FIG. 4.11 TORSIONAL VERTICAL MODE SHAPE

FIG. 4.12 TORSIONAL VERTICAL MODE SHAPE

FIG. 4.13 TORSIONAL VERTICAL MODE SHAPE

FIG. 4.14 TORSIONAL VERTICAL MODE SHAPE

FIG. 4.15 30TH FLOOR MODE SHAPE AMBIENT VIBRATION

FIG. **4.16** 30TH FLOOR MODE SHAPE AMBIENT VIBRATION

FIG. 4.17 30TH FLOOR MODE SHAPE AMBIENT VIBRATION

FIG. 4.18 30TH FLOOR MODE SHAPE AMBIENT VIBRATION

5. FORMULATION OF MATHEMATICAL MODEL

5.1 General

A mathematical computer model of the Pacific Park Plaza Building was formulated to assess its dynamic characteristics. The model was formulated using both a rigid and a flexible base. The computer program employed in the dynamic analysis of the model and the models themselves are described below.

5.2 Computer Program

A general computer program, TABS, was used to calculate the frequencies and mode shapes of the building. The program operates as ^a segment within the SAP-BO Series of Programs. The program is described in reference (16).

The analysis in this investigation was performed on a microcomputer using the TABS program. The program considers the floors to be rigid in their own planes and to have zero transverse stiffness. All elements are assembled initially into planar frames. The internal stiffness at such frame is then transformed to the three degrees of freedom (2 translational, 1 rotational) at the center of mass at story level. The mass was then I umped at each second level and the mode shapes and frequencies were then determined.

5.3 Modeling

The basic model of the building was formulated as a system of frames interconnected by floor diaphragms which are rigid in their own planes and fixed at the first floor level. ^A plan layout of the frames is shown in Fig. 5.1. Because of the irregular configuration of the building, it could not be modeled exactly using plane frames.

An approximation of the layout geometry was necessary and Fig. 5.1 shows how the frames were chosen. The indicated frames were modeled in-plane.

In general the frames are perpendicular to each other and the three dimensional properties of each column are captured by both the intersecting frames. The total number of column lines in all the frames is therefore exactly twice the actual number. (Plane frames only model in-plane properties).

The structural joints where columns and beams intersect were assumed to be rigid and were represented in the computer input as rigid member ends. The rigid ends were measured from the intersecting member center line to the face of that member.

Generally the beam and column center lines in the actual structure do not intersect at ^a common node. This *is* caused by the varying member sizes in different floors and bays. The structure was modeled so that the beam center lines of ^a floor were all in the same plane and the column lines all unstaggered throughout the building.

The columns in the core of the building were restrained axially to avoid discontinuity of vertical deformation where the frames meet. The use of seperate frames without such a measure makes the structure too flexible because the structure does not remain vertically compatible under horizontal loads.

In frames 1 and 3 the end columns (in the core of the building) were omitted because of inclusion in other frames. In these positions continuity was modeled by constraining the in-plane rotations of the two end columns. The vertical displacements at the end column positions were also restrained for the reason given *in* the previous

paragraph.

The west wing base level is lower than the level of the core and other wings. Because the frame assembly was repeated exactly in each wing, a uniform level over the entire base area was adopted. The higher level was used because it covers most of the area. Shear walls are only contained between the first and second floors and were included in the analysis as stiff columns.

The total mass of each floor was uniformly distributed over its area to calculate the radius of gyration (82.0 feet). The structural mass was lumped at each second level and consisted of the slab and beam column masses for each two stories. A concrete weight density of 150 lb/cubic foot was used for the mass computation.

Beams constructed monolithically with slabs were modeled as Tbeams. A flange width of 25% x distance between center lines of supporting columns, was used.

The value of the elastic modulus E is taken as $57,000 \sqrt{f'c}$ (17). This is 580,000 ksf for 5000 psi concrete and 670,000 ksf for 6500 psi concrete. Twelve percent was added to the strength to compensate for the tested average being higher than the specified strength. This increased the respective E values to 615,000 and 710,000 ksf.

The contribution of reinforcing steel to the cross-sectional properties is substantial (+-35% increase in cross-sectional steel). This was not added since the extent of cracking and resulting loss of concrete area is uncertain. Therefore a uniform adjustment of $+11\%$ was applied to the elastic moduli calculated in the previous paragraph. This number was arrived at by adjusting the elastic moduli uniformly until the computed fundamental frequency equaled its

experimental value.

The foundation was modeled by supporting the foundation mass laterally and torsionally by springs. Actual site properties were not used but the spring stiffnesses were obtained by setting the theoretical mode shape ordinate at the base equal to the experimental ordinate. A rigid base analysis was also done.

5.4 Analytical Results

The analytical results are presented in Table 5.1. Results for a rigid and flexible base are given opposite the experimental results.

(1) $Kx = 2.5E06$ $k/ft.$ Ky = 2.5E06 *k/ft.* $Dz = 1.6E10$ k-ft.

- (2) Matches better with torsional mode although forcing was translational.
- (3) Experimental frequencies (hz).
- (4) Theoretical frequency for rigid foundation. (fr) T : Torsional.
- (5) Theoretical frequency for flexible foundation. (ff) T : Torsional. Normalization of the elastic modulus with respect to the fundamental mode yields good consistency of modal frequencies. This may be interpreted as a sign that there are no significant modeling deficiencies. In the rigid base case there *is,* however, a small inconsistency in the torsional frequencies. The error increases from (the selected) zero in the first mode to -3.7 and $-2.6%$ in the 3rd and 4th torsional modes, respectively.

In the flexible foundation case, with springs provided as expl ained previously, the consistency *is* improved upon so that an error of -1.7% *is* found for the 3rd torsional mode.

The 15th and 18th modes have experimental values which are very close to the theoretical torsional frequencies although forcing was applied translationally. In the table the values are compared as such and the errors shown in brackets.

The modal frequency values in the table are arranged in order of increasing magnitude.

The mode shapes representing the flexible foundation case are shown in Figs. 5.2 and 5.3. The experimental mode shapes were compared to both the free vibration theoretical mode shapes and to the forced vibration shapes. ^A forced shape in this context is the computed structural shape of the building when forced on the 30th floor at a resonant frequency. Because this loading has components in each mode, the forced shapes differ slightly from the free mode

shapes. Experimental forced shape discrepancies in higher modes probably result from significant in-plane floor deformations at high frequencies. (See 30th floor motion at 6.19 Hz.)

The overall consistency of modal frequencies, when foundation motion and fundamental frequency are used as norms to adjust the elastic properties uniformly, proves to be excellent through the 12th mode.

FIG. 5.1 PLAN LAYOUT OF THE FRAMES

FIG. 5.2 MODE SHAPES, TRANSLATION E-W

MODE SHAPES, TORSIONAL FIG. 5.3 MODE SHAPES, TORSIONAL5.3 FIG.

91

6. CONCLUSIONS

The results presented herewith clearly show that forced and ambient vibration studies can be carried out effectively and show very good agreement. Considering a frequency range of up to about 7.5 Hz, sixteen forced vibration modal frequencies were identified. The resonant frequencies for the E-W, N-S translational and torsional direction for each particular mode were very similar. This clearly indicates the uniform stiffness of the structure. The ratios of the observed higher mode frequencies with respect to the fundamental one from the forced vibration study indicate that the overall structural response is predominantly of the shear type. In the higher modes significant in-plane floor deformations were noted.

The analytical study was carried out using a fixed as well as a flexible foundation. There was very little difference noted between these two studies. The flexible foundation model did show better agreement with the forced vibration study, however, and this model was used for mode shape comparisons. A comparison of the analytical results with the experimental results show very good agreement even into the higher modes of vibration.

92

REFERENCES

- 1. Bouwkamp, J. G. and Rea, Dixon, "Dynamic Testing and Formulation of Mathematical Models, Chapter VIII in Earthquake Engineering, R. L. Wiegel, Editor, Prentice-Hall, 1970.
- 2. Hudson, D. E., "Synchronized Vibration Generators for Dynamic Tests of Full Scale Structures," Earthquake Engineering Research Laboratory Report, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, 1962.
- 3. Rea, D., Bouwkamp, J. G. and Clough, R. W., "The Dynamic Behavior of Steel Frame and Truss Buildings," AISI, Bull. No. 9, April 1968.
- 4. Petrovski, J. and Jurukovski, D., "Dynamic Properties of Structures From Full-Scale Forced Vibration Studies and Formulation of Mathematical Models," UNESCO Interregional Seminar on Low Cost Construction Resistant to Earthquake and Hurricanes, Skopje, November 1971.
- 5. Bouwkamp, J. G., Kollegger, J. P., and Stephen, R. M., "Dynamic Properties of an Eight-Story Prefabricated Panel Building," Report No. EERC/UCB-80-30, Earthquake Engineering Research Center, University of California, Berkeley, 1980.
- 6. U.S. Coast and Geodetic Survey, "Earthquake Investigations in California, 1934-1935," Special Publication No. 201, U.S. Dept. of Commerce, Washington, D.C., 1936.
- 7. Crawford, R. and Ward, H. S., "Determination of the National Periods of Buildings," Bull. Seis. Soc. Am., $\frac{54}{100}$, 1964, pp. 1743-1756.
- 8. Kawasumi, K. and Kanai, K., "Small Amplitude Vibration of Actual BUildings," Proc. First World Conf. Earthquake Eng., Berkeley, 1956.
- 9. McLamore, V. R., "Ambient Vibration Survey of Chesapeake Bay Bridge," Teledyne Geatronics, Rep. No. 0370-2150, 1970.
- 10. Trifunac, M. D., "Wind and Microtremor Induced Vibrations of a Twenty-Two Story Steel Frame Building," Earthquake Engineering Research Laboratory, EERL 70-10, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, 1970.
- 11. Ward, H. S. and Crawford, R., "Wind Induced Vibrations and Building Modes," Bull. Seis. Soc. Am., 56, 1966, pp. 793-813.
- 12. Petrovski, J., Jurukovski, D. and Perconkov, S., "Dynamic Properties of Multistory Trade Building and Formulation of the Mathematical Model," Publ. No. 24, Institute of Earthquake

Engineering and Engineering Seismology, University of Skopje, 1971.

- 13. Caughey, T. K., "Classical Normal Modes in Damped Linear Systems," J. Appl. Mech., 27, 1960, pp. 269-271.
- 14. Clough, R. W. and Penzien, J., Dynamics of Structures, McGraw-Hill, 1975.
- 15. Stephen, R. M., Wilson, E. L., Bouwkamp, J. G., and Button, M., "Dynamic Behavior of a Pedestal-Base Multistory Building," Report No. EERC/UCB-78-13. Earthquake Engineering Research Center, University of California, Berkeley, 1978.
- 16. Wilson, E. L., The SAP-80 Series of Structural Analysis Programs for CP/M Microcomputer System. Version 1.25, February 1983.
- 17. Lin, T. Y. and Burns, N. H. Design of Prestressed Concrete Structures, 3rd edition 1981. Wiley.

EARTHQUAKE ENGINEERING RESEARCH CENTER REPORTS

NOTE: Numbers in parentheses are Accession Numbers assigned by the National Technical Information Service; these are followed by a price code. Copies of the reports may be ordered from the National Technical Information Service, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, Virginia, 22161. Accession Numbers should be quoted on orders for reports (PB --- ---) and remittance must accompany each order. Reports without this information were not available at time of printing. The complete list of EERC reports (from EERC 67-1) is available upon request from the Earthquake Engineering Research Center, University of california, Berkeley, 47th Street and Hoffman Boulevard, Richmond, California 94804.

- uca/EERC-79/0l "Hysteretic Behavior of Lightweight Reinforced concrete Beam-Column Subassemblages," by B. Forzani, E.P. Popov and V.V. Bertero - April 1979(PB 298 267)A06
- UCB/EERC-79/02 "The Development of a Mathematical Model to Predict the Flexural Response of Reinforced Concrete Beams to Cyclic Loads, Using System Identification," by J. Stanton & H. McNiven - Jan. 1979(PB 295 875)AIO
- UCB/EERC-79/03 "Linear and Nonlinear Earthquake Response of Simple Torsionally Coupled Systems," by C.L. Kan and A.K. Chopra - Feb. 1979(PB 298 262)A06
- UCB/EERC-79/04 "A Mathematical Model of Masonry for Predicting its Linear Seismic Response Characteristics," by Y. Mengi and H.D. McNiven - Feb. 1979(PB 298 266)A06
- UCB/EERC-79/05 "Mechanical Behavior of Lightweight Concrete Confined by Different Types of Lateral Reinforcement," by M.A. Manrique, V.V. Bertero and E.P. Popov - May 1979(PB 301 114)A06
- UCB/EERC-79/06 "Static Tilt Tests of ^a Tall Cylindrical Liquid Storage Tank," by R.W. Clough and A. Niwa Feb. ¹⁹⁷⁹ (PB 301 167)A06
- UCB/EERC-79/07 "The Design of Steel Energy Absorbing Restrainers and Their Incorporation into Nuclear Power Plants for Enhanced Safety: Volume 1 - Summary Report," by P.N. Spencer, V.F. Zackay, and E.R. Parker - Feb. 1979(UCB/EERC-79/07)A09
- UCB/EERC-79/08 "The Design of Steel Energy Absorbing Restrainers and Their Incorporation into Nuclear Power Plants for Enhanced Safety: Volume 2 - The Development of Analyses for Reactor System Piping, ''''Simple Systems" by M.C. Lee, J. Penzien, A.K. Chopra and K. Suzuki "Complex Systems" by G.H. Powell, E.L. Wilson, R.W. Clough and D.G. Row - Feb. 1979(UCB/EERC-79/08)AlO
- UCB/EERC-79/09 "The Design of Steel Energy Absorbing Restrainers and Their Incorporation into Nuclear Power Plants for Enhanced safety: Volume 3 - Evaluation of Commercial Steels," by W.S. Owen, R.M.N. Pelloux, R.O. Ritchie, M. Faral, T. Ohhashi, J. Toplosky, S.J. Hartman, V.F. Zackay and E.R. Parker - Feb. 1979(UCB/EERC-79/09)A04
- UCB/EERC-79/10 "The Design of Steel Energy Absorbing Restrainers and Their Incorporation into Nuclear Power Plants for Enhanced Safety: Volume 4 - A Review of Energy-Absorbing Devices," by J.M. Kelly and M.S. Skinner - Feb. 1979(UCB/EERC-79/10)A04
- UCB/EERC-79/11 "Conservatism In Summation Rules for Closely Spaced Modes," by J. M. Kelly and J. L. Sackman May 1979(PB 301 328)A03
- UCB/EERC-79/12 "Cyclic Loading Tests of Masonry Single Piers; Volume 3 Height to Width Ratio of 0.5," by P.A. Hidalgo, R.L. Mayes, H.D. McNiven and R.W. Clough - May 1979(PB 301 321)A08
- UCB/EERC-79/13 "Cyclic Behavior of Dense Course-Grained Materials in Relation to the Seismic Stability of Dams," by N.G. Banerjee, H.B. Seed and C.K. Chan - June 1979(PB 301 373)A13
- UCB/EERC-79/14 "Seismic Behavior of Reinforced Concrete Interior Beam-Column Subassemblages," by S. Viwathanatepa, E.P. Popov and V.V. Bertero - June 1979(PB 301 326)AlO
- UCB/EERC-79/15 "Optimal Design of Localized Nonlinear Systems with Dual Performance Criteria under Earthquake Excitations," by M.A. Bhatti - July 1979(PB 80 167 109)A06
- UCB!EERC-79/16 "OPTDYN ^A General Purpose Optimization Program for Problems with or without Dynamic Constraints," by M.A. Bhatti, E. Polak and K.S. Pister - July 1979(PB 80 167 09l)A05
- UCB/EERC-79/17 "ANSR-II, Analysis of Nonlinear Structural Response, Users Manual," by D.P. Mondkar and G.H. Powell July 1979(PB 80 113 301)AOS
- UCB/EERC-79/l8 "Soil Structure Interaction in Different seismic Environments," A. Gomez-Masso, J. Lysmer, ^J .-C. Chen and H.B. Seed - August 1979(PB 80 101 520)A04
- UCB/EERC-79/19 "ARMA Models for Earthquake Ground Motions," by M.K. Chang, J.W. Kwiatkowski, R.F. Nau, R.M. Oliver and K.S. Pister - July 1979 (PB 301 166) A05
- UCB/EERC-79/20 "Hysteretic Behavior of Reinforced Concrete Structural Walls," by J.M. Vallenas, V.V. Bertero and E.P. Popov - August 1979(PB 80 165 905)Al2
- UCB/EERC-79/21 "Studies on High-Frequency Vibrations of Buildings 1: The Column Effect," by J. Lubliner August1979 (PB 80 158 553)A03
- UCB/EERC-79/22 "Effects of Generalized Loadings on Bond Reinforcing Bars Embedded in Confined Concrete Blocks," by S. Viwathanatepa, E.P. Popov and V.V. Bertero - August 1979(P8 81 124 018)A14
- UCB/EERC-79/23 "Shaking Table Study of Single-Story Masonry Houses, Volume 1: Test Structures 1 and 2," by P. Gulkan, R.L. Mayes and R.W. Clough - Sept. 1979 (HUD-OOO 1763)A12
- UCB/EERC-79/24 "Shaking Table Study of Single-Story Masonry Houses, Volume 2: Test Structures 3 and 4," by P. Gülkan, F..L. Mayes and R.W. Clough - Sept. 1979 (HUD-OOO l836)A12
- UCB/EERC-79/25 "Shaking Table Study of Single-Story Masonry Houses, Volume 3: Summary, Conclusions and Recommendations," by R.W. Clough, R.L. Mayes and P. Gulkan - Sept. 1979 (HUD-000 1837)A06

UCB/EERC-79/26 "Recommendations tor a u.S.-Japan Cooperative Research Program Utilizing Large-Scale Testing Facilities ," by u.S.-Japan Planning Group - Sept. 1979(PB 301 407}A06 UCB/EERC-79/27 "Earthquake-Induced Liquefaction Near Lake Amatitlan, Guatemala," by H.B. Seed, 1. Arango, C.K. Chan, A. Gomez-Masso and R. Grant de Ascoli - Sept. 1979(NUREG-CR1341)A03 UCB/EERC-79/28 "Infill Panels: Their Influence on Seismic Response of Buildings," by J.W. Axley and V.V. Bertero Sept. 1979(PB BO 163 371}AlO UCB/EERC-79/29 "3D Truss Bar Element (Type 1) for the ANSR-II Program," by D.P. Mondkar and G.H. Powell - Nov. 1979 (PB BO 169 709)A02 UCB/EERC-79/30 UCB/EERC-79/31 UCB/EERC-79/32 UCB/EERC-79/33 UCB/EERC-79/34 UCB/EERC-BO/Ol "Earthquake Response of Concrete GraVity Dams InclUding Hydrodynamic and Foundation Interaction UCB/EERC-BO/02 VCE/EERC-BO/03 "Optimum Inelastic Design of Seismic-Resistant Reinforced Concrete Frame Structures," by S.W. Zagajeski UCB/EERC-BO/04 "Effects of Amount and Arrangement of Wall-Panel Reinforcement on Hysteretic Behavior of Reinforced VCB/EERC-BO/OS "Shaking Table Research on Concrete Dam Models," by A. Niwa and R.W. Clough - Sept. 19BO(PE81122 36B)A06 VCE/EERC-BO/06 VCE/EERC-BO/07 UCE/EERC-BO/OB UCB/EERC-80/09 UCB/EERC-80/10 VCE/EERC-80/11 UCB/EERC-BO/12 "Hydrodynamic Pressure and Added Hass for Axisymmetric Bodies," by F. Nilrat - May 1980{PB81 122 343)A08 UCB/EERC-80/13 UCB/EERC-80/14 "2D Plane/Axisymmetric Solid Element (Type 3 - Elastic or Elastic-Perfectly Plastic) for the ANSR-II UCB/EERC-BO/15 "A Response Spectrum Method for Random Vibrations," by A. Der Kiureghian - June 1980 (PBBl122 301) AD3 VCE/EERC-BO/16 "Cyclic Inelastic Buckling of Tubular Steel Braces," by V.A. Zayas, E.P. Popov and S.A. Mahin UCB/EERC-BO/17 UCB/EERC-80/1B "Experimental Testing of a Friction Damped Aseismic Ease Isolation System with Fail-Safe UCB/EERC-BO/19 UCB/EERC-BO/20 UCE/EERC-BO/21 UCB/EERC-BO/22 "3D Solid Element (Type 4-Elastic or E1astic-Perfectly-plastic) for the ANSR-II Program," by UCB/EERC-BO/23 "20 Beam-Column Element (Type 5 - Parallel Element 'lli.eory) for the ANSR-II **Program ^r ^U** by D.G. Row, G.H. Powell and D.P. Mondkar - Dec. 1979(PB BO 167 224)A03 "3D Beam-Column Element (Type 2 - Parallel Element Theory) for the ANSR-II Program," by A. Riahi, G.H. Powell and D.P. Mondkar - Dec. 1979(PB BO ¹⁶⁷ 216) A03 "On Response of Structures to Stationary Excitation," by A. Der Kiureghian - Dec. 1979(PB 60166 929)A03 "Undisturbed Sampling and Cyclic Load Testing of Sands," by S. Singh, H.E. Seed and C.K. Chan Dec. 1979(ADA 087 29B)A07 "Interaction Effects of Simultaneous Torsional and Compressional Cyclic Loading of Sand," by P.M. Griffin and W.N. Houston - Dec. 1979(ADA 092 352)Al5 Effects," by A.K. Chopra, P. Chakrabarti and S. Gupta - Jan. 19BO(AD-AOB7297)AIO "Rocking Response of Rigid Blocks to Earthquakes," by C.S. Yim, A.K. Chopra and J. Penzien - Jan. 1980 (PBBO 166 002)A04 and V.V. Bertero - Jan. 1980 (PB80 164 635) A06 Concrete Walls," by R. Iliya and V.V. Bertero - Feb. 1980 (PB81 122 525) A09 "The Design of Steel Energy-Absorbing Restrainers and their Incorporation into Nuclear Power Plants for Enhanced Safety (VoIlA): Piping with Energy Absorbing Restrainers: Parameter StUdy on Small Systems," by G.H. Powell, C. Oughourlian and J. Simons - June 1980 "Inelastic Torsional Response of Structures Subjected to Earthquake Ground Motions," by Y. Yamazaki April 1960(PB81 122 327)A08 "Study of X-Braced Steel Frame Structures Vnder Earthquake Simulation," by Y. Ghanaat - April 1980 (PBBl 122 335}All "Hybrid Modelling of Soil-Structure Interaction," by S. Gupta, T.W. Lin, J. Penzien and C.S. Yeh May 19BO(PBBl 122 319)A07 "General Applicability of a Nonlinear Model of a One Story Steel Frame," by B.I. Sveinsson and H.D. McNiven - May 1980(PB81 124 877)A06 "A Green-Function Method for Wave Interaction with a Submerged Body," by W. Kioka - April 19BO (PB81 122 269)A07 "Treatment of Non-Linear Drag Forces Acting on Offshore Platforms," by B.V. Dao and J. Penzien May 1980(PB81 153 413)A07 Program," by D.P. Mondkar and G.H. Powell - July 19BO(PB81 122 35D)A03 June 19BO(PB81 124 BBS)AIO "Dynamic Response of Simple Arch Dams Including Hydrodynamic Interaction," by C.S. Porter and A.K. Chopra - July 19BD{PB81 124 OOO)A13 Characteristics," by J.M. Kelly, K.E. Beucke and M.S. Skinner - July 19BO{PBBl 14B 595)A04 "The Design of Steel Energy-Absorbing Restrainers and their Incorporation into Nuclear Power Plants for Enhanced Safety (Vol IB): Stochastic Seismic Analyses of Nuclear Power Plant Structures and Piping Systems Subjected to MUltiple Support Excitations," by M.C. Lee and J. Penzien - June 1980 "The Design of Steel Energy-Absorbing Restrainers and their Incorporation into Nuclear Power Plants for Enhanced safety (Vol lC): Numerical Method for Dynamic Substructure Analysis," by J.M. Dickens and E.L. Wilson - June 19BO "The Design of Steel Energy-Absorbing Restrainers and their Incorporation into Nuclear Power Plants for Enhanced Safety (Vol 2): Development and Testing of Restraints for Nuclear Piping Systems," by J.M. Kelly and M.S. Skinner - June 1980 D.P. Mondkar and G.H. Powell - July 1980(PB81 123 242)A03 "Gap-Friction Element (Type 5) for the ANSR-II Program," by D.P. Mondkar and G.H. Powell - July 1980 (PEB1 122 285)A03

- UCB(EERC-80(24 "U-Bar Restraint Element (Type 11) for the ANSR-II Program," by C. Oughourlian and G.H. Powell July 1980(PB81 122 293)A03
- UCB(EERC-80(25 "Testing of a Natural Rubber Base Isolation System by an Explosively Simulated Earthquake," by J.M. Kelly - August 19BO(PB81 201 360)A04

UCB(EERC-80/26 "Input Identification from Structural Vibrational Response," by Y. Hu - August 1980(PB81 152 308)A05

UCB(EERC-80/27 "Cyclic Inelastic Behavior of Steel Offshore Structures," by V.A. Zayas, S.A. Mahin and E.P. Popov August 1980(PB8l 196 IBO)A15

UCB(EERC-80(28 "Shaking Table Testing of a Reinforced concrete Frame with Biaxial Response," by M.G. Oliva OCtober 1980(PB81 154 304)AIO

- UCB(EERC-80/29 "Dynamic Properties of a Twelve-Story Prefabricated Panel Building," by J.G. Bouwkamp, J.P. Kollegger and R.M. Stephen - October 19BO(PBB2 117 12B)A06
- UCB(EERC-80/30 "Dynamic Properties of an Eight-Story Prefabricated Panel Building," by J.G. Bouwkamp, J.P. Kollegger and R.M. Stephen - October 19BO(PB81 200 313)A05
- UCB/EERC-80(31 "Predictive Dynamic Response of Panel Type Structures Under Earthquakes," by J.P. Kollegger and J.G. Bouwkamp - OCtober 1980(PB81 152 316)A04
- UCB/EERC-80/32 "The Design of Steel Energy-Absorbing Restrainers and their Incorporation into Nuclear Power Plants for Enhanced Safety (Vol 3): Testing of Commercial Steels in Low-Cycle Torsional Fatigue," by P. Spencer, E.R. Parker, E. Jongewaard and M. Drory
- UCB/EERC-80/33 "The Design of Steel Energy-Absorbing Restrainers and their Incorporation into Nuclear Power Plants for Enhanced Safety (Vol 4): Shaking Table Tests of Piping Systems with Energy-Absorbing Restrainers," by S.F. Stiemer and W.G. Godden - Sept. 1980
- UCB(EERC-80/34 "The Design of Steel Energy-Absorbing Restrainers and their Incorporation into Nuclear Power Plants for Enhanced Safety (Vol 5): Summary Report," by P. Spencer
- UCS(EERC-80/35 "Experimental Testing of an Energy-Absorbing Base Isolation System," by J.M. Kelly, M.S. Skinner and K.E. Beucke - October 1980(PB81 154 072)A04
- UCB(EERC-80(36 "Simulating and Analyzing Artificial Non-Stationary Earthquake Ground Motions," by R.F. Nau, R.M. Oliver and K.S. Pister - October 1980(PB81 153 397)A04
- UCB(EERC-80/37 "Earthquake Engineering at Berkeley 1980." Sept. 1980(PB81 205 874)A09
- UCB(EERC-80/38 "Inelastic Seismic Analysis of Large Panel Buildings," by V. Schricker and G.H. Powell Sept. 1980 (PBSI 154 33S)A13
- UCB(EERC-80(39 "Dynamic Response of Embankment, Concrete-Gravity and Arch Dams Including Hydrodynamic Interaction," by J.F. Hall and A.K. Chopra - October 1980(PB81 152 324)All
- UCB/EERC-80/40 "Inelastic Buckling of Steel Struts Under Cyclic Load Reversal," by R.G. Black, W.A. Wenger and E.P. Popov - OCtober 1980(PB81 154 312)A08
- UCB(EERC-80(41 "Influence of Site Characteristics on Building Damage During the October 3, 1974 Lima Earthquake," by P. Repetto, I. Arango and H.B. Seed - Sept. 1980(PB81 161 739)A05
- UCB(EERC-80/42 "Evaluation of a Shaking Table Test Program on Response Behavior of a Two Story Reinforced Concrete Frame," by J.M. Blondet, R.W. Clough and S.A. Mahin

UCB(EERC-80(43 "Modelling of Soil-Structure Interaction by Finite and Infinite Elements," by F. Medina - December 1980(PB81 229 270)A04

- UCB(EERC-81(01 "Control of Seismic Response of Piping Systems and Other Structures by Base Isolation," edited by J.M. Kelly - January 1981 (PB81 200 735) A05
- UCB(EERC-81/02 "OPTNSR An Interactive Software System for Optimal Design of Statically and Dynamically Loaded Structures with Nonlinear Response," by M.A. Bhatti, v. Ciampi and K.S. Pister - January 1981 (PBSl 218 851)A09
- UCB/EERC-81(03 "Analysis of Local Variations in Free Field Seismic Ground Motions," by J.-C. Chen, J. Lysmer and H.B. Seed - January 1981 (AD-A099508)A13
- UCB(EERC-Bl/04 "Inelastic Structural Modeling of Braced Offshore Platforms for Seismic Loading," by V.A. Zayas, P.-S.B. Shing, S.A. Mahin and E.P. Popov - January 1981(PB82 138 777)A07
- UCB(EERC-81/05 "Dynamic Response of Light Equipment in Structures," by A. Der Kiureghian, J.L. Sackman and B. Nour-Omid - April 1981 (PB81 218 497)A04
- UCB(EERC-8l(06 "Preliminary Experimental Investigation of a Broad Base Liquid Storage Tank," by J.G. Bouwkamp, J.P. Kollegger and R.M. Stephen - May 1981(PBB2 140 385)A03
- UCBjEERC-8l/07 "The Seismic Resistant Design of Reinforced Concrete COupled Structural Walls," by A.E. Aktan and V.V. Bertero - June 1981(PB82 113 358)A11
- VCB/EERC-81(08 "The Undrained Shearing Resistance of Cohesive Soils at Large Deformations," by M.R. Pyles and H.B. Seed - August 1981
- UCB/EERC-81(09 "Experimental Behavior of a Spatial Piping System with Steel Energy Absorbers Subjected to a Simulated Differential Seismic Input," by S.F. Stiemer, W.G. Godden and J.M. Kelly - July 1981
- UCB/EERC-Bl/IO "Evaluation of Seismic Desiqn Provisions for Masonry in the United States," by B.I. Sveinsson, R.L. Mayes and H.D. McNiven \sim August 1981 (PB82 166 075) A08
- UCB/EERC-81/11 "Two-Dimensional Hybrid Modelling of Soil-Structure Interaction," by T.-J. Tzong, S. Gupta and J. Penzien - August 19B1(PB82 142 1l81A04
- UCB/EERC-81/12 "Studies on Effects of Infills in Seismic Resistant R/C Construction," by S. Brokken and V.V. Bertero -September 1981 {PB82 166 190)A09
- UCB/EERC-81/13 "Linear Models to Predict the Nonlinear Seismic Behavior of a One-Story Steel Frame," by H. Valdimarsson, A.H. Shah and H.D. McNiven - September 1981 (PB82 138 793) A07
- UCB/EERC-81/14 "TLUSH: A Computer Program for the Three-Dimensional Dynamic Analysis of Earth Dams," by T. Kagawa, L.H. Mejia, H.B. Seed and J. Lysmer - September 1981 (PB82 139 940)A06
- UCB/EERC-8l/ls "Three Dimensional Dynamic Response Analysis of Earth Dams," by L.H. Mejia and H.B. Seed September 19B1 (PB82 137 274)A12
- UCB/EERC-81/16 "Experimental Study of Lead and Elastomeric Dampers for Base Isolation Systems," by J.H. Kelly and S.B. Hodder - October 1981 (PB82 166 1821AOs
- UCB/EERC-81/17 "The Influence of Base Isolation on the Seismic Response of Light Secondary Equipment," by J.M. Kelly -April 1981 (PB82 255 2661A04
- UCB/EERC-81/l8 "Studies on Evaluation of Shaking Table Response Analysis Procedures," by J. Marcial Blondet November 1981 (PBB2 197 278)AlO
- UCB/EERC-81/l9 "DELIGHT.STRUCT: A Computer-Aided Desiqn Environment for Structural Engineering," by R.J. Balling, K.S. Pister and E. Polak - December 1981 (PB82 218 4961A07
- UCB/EERC-81/20 "Optimal Design of Seismic-Resistant Planar Steel Frames," by R.J. Balling, V. Ciampi, K.S. Pister and E. Polak - December 1981 {PB82 220 l791A07
- UCB/EERC-82/01 "Dynamic Behavior of Ground for Seismic Analysis of Lifeline Systems," by T. Sato and A. Der Kiureghian -January 1982 (PB82 218 926) A05
- UCB/EERC-82/02 "Shaking Table Tests of a Tubular Steel Frame Model," by Y. Ghanaat and R. W. Clough January 1982 (PB82 220 16l)A07
- UCB/EERC-82/03 "Behavior of a Piping System under Seismic Excitation: Experimental Investigations of a Spatial Piping System supported by Mechanical Shock Arrestors and Steel Energy Absorbing Devices under seismic Excitation," by S. Schneider, H.-H. Lee and W. G. Godden - May 1982 (PB83 172 544)A09
- UCB/EERC-82/04 "New Approaches for the Dynamic Analysis of Large Structural Sy<mark>stems," by E. L. Wilson June 1982</mark>
(PB83 148 080)A05
- UCB/EERC-82/0s "Model Study of Effects of Damage on the Vibration Properties of Steel Offshore Platforms," by F. Shahrivar and J. G. Bouwkamp - June 1982 {PB83 148 742)AlO
- UCB/EERC-82/06 "States of the Art and Practice in the Optimum Seismic Design and Analytical Response Prediction of R/C Frame-Wall Structures," by A. E. Aktan and V. V. Bertero - July 1982 (PB83 147 736)A05
- UCB/EERC-82/07 "Further Study of the Earthquake Response of a Broad Cylindrical Liquid-Storage Tank Model," by G. C. Manos and R. W. Clough - July 1982 (PB83 147 744) All
- UCB/EERC-82/08 "An Evaluation of the Design and Analytical Seismic Response of a Seven story Reinforced Concrete Frame - Wall Structure," byF. A. Charney and V. V. Bertero - July 1982{PB83 157 628)A09
- UCB/EERC-82/09 "Fluid-Structure Interactions: Added Mass Computations for Incompressible Fluid," by J. S.-H. Kuo -August 1982 {PBS3 lSG 2Bl)A07
- UCB/EERC-82/10 "Joint-Opening Nonlinear Mechanism: Interface Smeared Crack Model," by J. S.-H. Kuo -August 1982 {PB83 149 19S1A05
- UCB/EERC-82/ll "Dynamic Response Analysis of Techi Dam," by R. W. Clough. R. M. Stephen and J. S.-H. xuo August 1982 (PB83 147 496)A06
- UCB/EERC-82/12 "Prediction of the Seismic Responses of R/C Frame-Coupled Wall Structures," by A. E. Aktan, V. V. Bertero and M. Piazza - August 1982 (PB83 149 203)A09
- UCB/EERC-82/l3 "Preliminary Report on the SMART 1 Strong Motion Array in Taiwan," by B. A. Bolt, C. H. Loh, J. Penzien, Y. B. Tsai and Y. T. Yeh - August 1982 (PB83 159 400) A10
- UCB/EERC-82/14 "Shaking-Table Studies of an Eccentrically X-Braced Steel Structure," by M. S. Yang September 1982 (PBB3 260 7781Al2
- UCB/EERC-82/l5 "The Performance of Stairways in Earthquakes," by C. Roha, J. W. Axley and V. V. Bertero September 1982 (PBS3 157 693)A07
- UCB/EERC-82/16 "The Behavior of Submerged Multiple Bodies in Earthquakes," by W.-G. Liao Sept. 1982 (PB83 158 709)A07
- UCB/EERC-82/17 "Effects of Concrete Types and Loading Conditions on Local Bond-Slip Relationships," by A. D. Cowell. E. P. Popov and V. V. Bertero - September 1982 (PB83 153 S771A04
- UCB/EERC-82/18 "Mechanical Behavior of Shear Wall Vertical Boundary Members, An Experimental Investigation," by M. T. Wagner and *V.* V. Bertero - October 1982 (PB83 159 764lA05
- UCB/EERC-82/l9 "Experimental Studies of Multi-support Seismic Loading On Piping Systems," *by* J. M. Kelly and A. D. Cowell - November 1982
- UCB/EERC-82/20 "Generalized Plastic Hinge Concepts for 3D Beam-Column Elements," by P. F.-S. Chen and G. H. Powell -November 1982 (PB03 247 981)A13
- UCB/EERC-82/2l "ANSR-III: General Purpose Computer Program for Nonlinear Structural Analysis," by C. v. OUghourlian and G. H. Powell - November 1982 (PB83 251 330lA12
- UCB/EERC-82/22 "solution Strategies for statically Loaded Nonlinear Structures," *by* J. W. simons and G. H. Powell November 1982 (PB83 197 970)A06
- UCB/EERC-82/23 "Analytical Hodel of Deformed Bar Anchorages under Generalized Excitations," by V. Ciampi, R. Eligehausen, V. V. Bertero and E. P. Popov - November 1982 (PB83 169 S321A06
- UCB/EERC-82/24 "A Mathematical Model for the Response of Masonry Walls to Dynamic Excitations," by H. Sucuoqlu, Y. Mengi and H. D. McNiven - November 1982 (PB83 169 011) A07
- UCB/EERC-82/25 "Earthquake Response Considerations of Broad Liquid Storage Tanks," by F. J. Cambra - November 1982
(PB83 251 215)A09
- UCB/EERC-82/26 "Computational Models for Cyclic Plasticity, Rate Dependence and Creep," *by* B. Mosaddad and G. H. Powell - November 1982 (PB83 245 829lA08
- UCB/EERC-82/27 "Inelastic Analysis of Piping and Tubular Structures," by M. Mahasuverachai and G. H. Powell November 1982 .(PB83 249 987lA07
- UCB/EERC-83/0l "The Economic Feasibility of Seismic Rehabilitation of Buildings by Base Isolation," by J. M. Kelly -January 1983 (PB83 197 988) A05
- UCB/EERC-83/02 "Seismic Moment Connections for Moment-Resisting Steel Frames," by E. P. Popov - January 1983
(PB83 195 412) AO4
- UCB/EERC-83/03 "Design of Links and Beam-to-Column Connections for Eccentrically Braced Steel Frames," by E. P. Popov and J. O. Malley - January 1983 (PB83 194 811) A04
- UCB/EERC-83/04 "Numerical Techniques for the Evaluation of Soil-structure Interaction Effects in the Time Domain," by E. Bayo and E. L. Wilson - February 1983 (PB83 245 605) A09
- UCB/EERC-83/05 "A Transducer for Measuring the Internal Forces in the Columns of a Frame-Wall Reinforced Concrete Structure," by R. Sause and V. V. Bertero - May 1983 (PB84 119 494) A06
- UCB/EERC-83/06 "Dynamic Interactions between Floating Ice and Offshore Structures," by P. Croteau May 1983
(PB84 119 4861A16)
- UCB/EERC-83/07 "Dynamic Analysis of Multiply Tuned and Arbitrarily Supported Secondary Systems," by T. lqusa and A. Der Kiureghian - June 1983 (PB84 118 2721All
- UCB/EERC-83/08 "A Laboratory Study of Submerged Multi-body Systems in Earthquakes," by G. R. Ansari June 1983 (PB83 261 842lAl7
- UCB/EERC-83/09 "Effects of Transient Foundation Uplift on Earthquake Response of Structures," by C.-S. Yim and A. K. Chopra - June 1983 (PB83 261 396) A07
- UCB/EERC-83/10 "Optimal Design of Friction-Braced Frames under Seismic Loading," by M. A. Austin and K. S. Pister -June 1983 {PB84 119 288jA06
- UCB/EERC-83/11 "Shaking Table Study of Single-Story Masonry Houses, Dynamic Performance under Three Component Seismic Input and Recommendations," by G. C. Manos, R. w. Clough and R. L. Mayes - June 1983
- UCB/EERC-83/12 "Experimental Error Propagation in Pseudodynamic T<mark>esting," by P. B. Shing and S. A. Mahin June</mark> 1983 {PB84 119 270)A09
- UCB/EERC-83/13 "Experimental and Analytical Predictions of the Mechanical Characteristics of a 1/5-scale Model of a 7-story R/C Frame-Wall Building Structure," by A. E. Aktan, V. V. Bertero, A. A. Chowdhury and T. Nagashima - August 1983 (PB84 119 2l3lA07
- UCB/EERC-83/14 "Shaking Table Tests of Large-Panel Precast Concrete Building System Assemblages," by M. G. Oliva and R. W. Clough - August 1983
- UCB/EERC-83/15 "Seismic Behavior of Active Beam Links in Eccentrically Braced Frames," by K. D. Hjelmstad and E. P. Popov - July 1983 (PB84 U9 676lA09
- UCB/EERC-83/16 "System Identification of Structures with Joint Rotation," by J. S. Dimsdale and H. D. McNiven July 1983
- UCB/EERC-83/17 "Construction of Inelastic Response Spectra for Single-Degree-of-Freedom Systems," by S. Mahin and J. Lin - July 1983
- UCB/EERC-83/18 "InteractIve Computer Analysis Methods for Predicting the Inelastic Cyclic Behaviour of Structural Sections," by S. Kaba and S. Mahin - July 1983 (PB84 192 012) λ 06
- UCB/EERC-83/19 "Effects of Bond Deterioration on Hysteretic Behavior of Reinforced Concrete Joints," by F.C. Filippou, E.P. Popov and V.V. Bertero - August 1983 (PB84 192 020) AlO
- UCB/EERC-83/20 "Analytical and Experimental Correlation of Large-Panel Precast Building System Performance," by M.G. Oliva, R.W. Clough, M. Velkov, p. Gavrilovic and J. Petrovski - November 1983
- UCB/EERC-83/21 "Mechanical Characteristics of Materials Used in a 1/5 Scale Model of a 7-Story Reinforced Concrete Test Structure," by V.V. Bertero, A.E. Aktan, H.G. Harris and A.A. Chowdhury - September 1983
(PB84 193 697) AO5
- UCB/EERC-83/22 "Hybrid Modelling of Soil-Structure Interaction in Layered Media," by T.-J. Tzong and J. Penzien -October 1983 (PB84 192 178) A08
- UCB/EERC-83/23 "Local Bond Stress-Slip Relationships of Deformed Bars under Generalized Excitations," by R. Eligehausen, E.P. Popov and V.V. Bertero - October 1983 (PB84 192 848) A09
- UCB/EERC-83/24 "Design Considerations for Shear Links in Eccentrically Braced Frames," by J.O. Malley and E.P. Popov -November 1983 (PB84 192 186) A07
- UCB/EERC-84/0l "Pseudodynamic Test Method for Seismic Performance Evaluation: Theory and Implementation," by P.-S. B. Shing and S. A. Mahin - January 1984 (pB84 190 644) A08
- UCB/EERC-84/02 "Dynamic Response Behavior of Xiang Hong Dian Dam," by R.W. Clough, K.-T. Chang, H.-Q. Chen, R.M. Stephen, G.-L. Wang, and Y. Ghanaat - April 1984
- UCB/EERC-84/03 "Refined Modelling of Reinforced Concrete Columns for Seismic Analysis," by S.A. Kaba and S.A. Mahin April, 1984
- UC8/EERC-84/04 "1\ New Floor Response Spectrum Method for Seismic Analysis of Multiply Supported Secondary Systems," by A. Asfura and A. Der Kiureqhian - June 1984
- UCB/EERC-84/05 "Earthquake Simulation Tests and Associated Studies of a 1/5th-scale Model of a 7-Story R/C Frame-Wall Test Structure," by V.V. Bertero, A.E. Aktan, F.A. Charney and R. Sause - June 1984
- OCB/EERC-84/06 "R/C Structural Walls: Seismic Design for Shear," by A.E. Aktan and V.V. Bertero
- UCB/EERC-84/07 "Behavior of Interior and Exterior Flat-Plate Connections subjected to Inelastic Load Reversals," by H.L. Zee and J.P. Moehle
- UCB/EERC-84/08 "Experimental study of the Seismic Behavior of a two-story Flat-plate Structure," by J.W. Diebold and J.P. Moehle
- UCB/EERC-84/09 "Phcnomenological Modeling of Steel Braces under Cyclic Loading," by K. Ikeda, S.l.. Mahin and S.N. Dermitzakis - May 1984
- UCB/EERC-84/l0 "EarthqUake Analysis and Response of Concrete Gravity Dams," by G. Fenves and A.K. Chopra August 1984
- UCB/EERC-84/11 "EAGD-84: A Computer Program for EarthqUake Analysis of Concrete Gravity Dams," by G. Fenves and A. K. Chopra - August 1984
- UCB/EERC-84/l2 "A Refined Physical Theory Model for Predicting the Seismic Behavior of Braced Steel Frames," by K. Ikeda and S.A. Mahin - July 1984
- UCB/EERC-84/13 "Earthquake Engineering Research at Berkeley 1984" August 1984
- UCB/EERC-84/14 "Moduli and Damping Factors for Dynamic Analyses of Cohesionless Soils," by H.B. Seed, R.T. Wong, I.M. Idriss and K. Tokimatsu - September 1984
- UCB/EERC-84/15 "The Influence of SpT Procedures in Soil Liquefaction Resistance Evaluations," by H. B. Seed, K. Tokimatsu, L. F. Harder and R. M. Chung - October 1984
- UCB/EERC-84/l6 "Simplified Procedures for the Evaluation of Settlements in Sands Due to Earthquake Shaking," by K. Tokimatsu and H. B. Seed - October 1984
- UCB/EERC-84/17 "Evaluation and Improvement of Energy Absorption Characteristics of Bridges under Seismic Conditions," by R. A. Imbsen and J. Penzien - November 1984
- UCB/EERC-84/18 "Structure-Foundation Interactions under Dynamic Loads," by W. D. Liu and J. Penzien November 1984
- UCB/EERC-84/19 "Seismic Modelling of Deep Foundations," by C.-H. Chen and J. Penzien November 1984
- UCB/EERC-84/20 "Dynamic Response Behavior of Quan Shui Dam," by R. W. Clough, K.-T. Chang, H.-Q. Chen, R. M. Stephen, Y. Ghanaat and J.-H. Qi - November 1984
- UCB/EERC-8S/0l "Simplified Methods of 'Analysis for Earthquake Resistant Design of Buildings," by E.F. Cruz and A.K. Chopra - February 1985
- UCB/EERC-8S/02 "Estimation of Seismic Wave Coherency and Rupture Velocity using the SMART 1 Strong-Motion Array Recordings," by N.A. Abrahamson - March 1985
- UCB/EERC-8S/03 "Dynamic Properties of a Thirty Story Condominium Tower Building," by R.M. Stephen, E.L. Wilson and N. Stander - April 1985

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$

 $\mathcal{A}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$