

NATIONAL CENTER FOR EARTHQUAKE ENGINEERING RESEARCH

State University of New York at Buffalo

# IDARC: INELASTIC DAMAGE ANALYSIS OF REINFORCED CONCRETE FRAME – SHEAR-WALL STRUCTURES

by

### Young J. Park, Andrei M. Reinhorn and Sashi K. Kunnath

Department of Civil Engineering State University of New York at Buffalo Buffalo, NY 14260

Technical Report NCEER-87-0008

July 20, 1987

This research was conducted at the State University of New York at Buffalo and was partially supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. ECE 86-07591.

		1		
PAGE	NCEER 87-0008		_ 1985 8 1	<u>ଃ ି ଓ ଅ</u> ୦//
. Title and Subtitle			5. Report Date	
TDARC. Inplactic D	amage Analysis of Re	inforced Concrete	July 20,	1987
Frame - Shear-Wall	Structures		U.	
Author(s)	mod M. Dod-h	Cechi V Vyyersti	8. Performing O	rganization Rept. No.
Young J. Park, And	rei M. Reinnorn and	Sashi K. Kunnath		
Performing Organization Name a	and Address		10. Project/Task	Work Unit No.
National Center fo	or Earthquake Enginee	ering Research	11. Contract(C)	or Grant(G) No
State University c	of New York at Buffal	Lo	ONCEER 8	36-1033
Red Jacket Quandra	ingle		NCEER 8	36-3032
Buffalo, New York	14260	·	ECE 86-	-07591
2. Sponsoring Organization Name	and Address		13. Type of Rep	ort & Period Covered
			14.	<u> </u>
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -	- · ·		
. Supplementary Notes				
This research was	conducted at the Sta	ate University of Ne	w York at Buffal	Lo and was
partially supporte	ed by the National So	cience Foundation.		1
Abstract (Limit: 200 words)	·····	<u></u>	····	·····
Concrete Frame-She ments of constitut and dynamic respor available programs possess various du from the following	tive models and structures and structures of R/C s for inelastic dynamic awbacks. Program II	ctural modeling, per structures under ear nic analysis of rein DARC overcomes the a	forms an equival thquake excitati forced concrete bove drawbacks a	lent static ions. Current structures as is evident
Concrete Frame-She ments of constitut and dynamic respor available programs possess various dr from the following properties includ under monotonic lo structure. The ste 'three-parameter' modeling of shear damage analysis, H individual compone sively with the be state-of-the-art in This report present the hysteretic mode Document Analysis a. Descript	tive models and struc- sive models and struc- se analysis of R/C s for inelastic dynar- rawbacks. Program II g features: the equi- ling the identificat: bads, as well as the ep-by-step inelastic hysteretic model for and flexure differen- both local and global ents; strength levels chavior of the compo- in modeling of reinfor- ins a detailed descri- lels used in the anal cors mathematical for example are pres-	ctural modeling, per structures under ear nic analysis of rein DARC overcomes the a ivalent static analy ion of the inelastic determination of th dynamic response an r reinforced concret ntly. The formulati 1, as well as the su s are computed by th nent. The present d orced concrete behav iption of new struct lysis. Details of p rmulations and a use sented herein.	forms an equival thquake excitation forced concrete bove drawbacks a sis determines to behavior and fa e natural period alysis is performe e elements which on enables the substructure analy e program and chevelopments are ior and structure ural modelling to rogram organizator r guide with a result of the substructure or and structure analyses are ior and structure and structure ural modelling to rogram organizator	lent static ions. Current structures as is evident the component ailure mode d of the rmed using a n permit subsequent ysis of hange progres based on ral analysis. techniques an tion, numerical
Concrete Frame-She ments of constitut and dynamic respor available programs possess various du from the following properties includ under monotonic lo structure. The ste 'three-parameter' modeling of shear damage analysis, h individual compone sively with the be state-of-the-art in This report present the hysteretic mode Document Analysis a. Descript	tive models and structures is analysis of R/C s for inelastic dynar rawbacks. Program II g features: the equi- ling the identificat: bads, as well as the ep-by-step inelastic hysteretic model for and flexure differen- both local and global ents; strength levels ehavior of the compon- in modeling of reinfor- ints a detailed descri- lels used in the anal sors mathematical for example are pres-	ctural modeling, per structures under ear nic analysis of rein DARC overcomes the a ivalent static analy ion of the inelastic determination of th dynamic response an r reinforced concret ntly. The formulati 1, as well as the su s are computed by th nent. The present d orced concrete behav iption of new struct lysis. Details of p rmulations and a use sented herein.	forms an equival thquake excitation forced concrete bove drawbacks a sis determines to behavior and fa e natural period alysis is perfor e elements which on enables the a bstructure analy e program and ch evelopments are ior and structure ural modelling to rogram organizator r guide with a r	lent static ions. Current structures as is evident the component ailure mode d of the rmed using a n permit subsequent ysis of hange progres based on ral analysis. techniques an tion, humerical
<ul> <li>b. identifiers/Open-Ended Terms</li> <li>b. identifiers/Open-Ended Terms</li> <li>constitute</li> <liconstitute< li=""></liconstitute<></ul>	tive models and struc- se analysis of R/C s of or inelastic dynar- cawbacks. Program II g features: the equi- ling the identificat: bads, as well as the ep-by-step inelastic hysteretic model for and flexure differen- both local and globa ents; strength levels that is a detailed descri- les a detailed descri- les a detailed descri- mathematical for example are pres	ctural modeling, per structures under ear nic analysis of rein DARC overcomes the a ivalent static analy ion of the inelastic determination of th dynamic response an r reinforced concret ntly. The formulati 1, as well as the su s are computed by th nent. The present d orced concrete behav iption of new struct lysis. Details of p rmulations and a use sented herein.	forms an equival thquake excitati forced concrete bove drawbacks a sis determines t behavior and fa e natural period alysis is perfor e elements which on enables the s bstructure analy e program and ch evelopments are ior and structur ural modelling t rogram organizat r guide with a r	lent static ions. Current structures as is evident the component ailure mode d of the rmed using a n permit subsequent ysis of hange progres based on ral analysis. techniques an tion, numerical
<ul> <li>b. identifiers/Open-Ended Terms</li> </ul>	tive models and struc- sive models and struc- as analysis of R/C s for inelastic dynar- cawbacks. Program II g features: the equi- ling the identificat: bads, as well as the ep-by-step inelastic hysteretic model for and flexure differen- both local and global ents; strength levels ehavior of the compo- in modeling of reinfo- ints a detailed descr: lels used in the anal sors mathematical for example are present	ctural modeling, per structures under ear nic analysis of rein DARC overcomes the a ivalent static analy ion of the inelastic determination of th dynamic response an r reinforced concret ntly. The formulati 1, as well as the su s are computed by th nent. The present d orced concrete behav iption of new struct lysis. Details of p rmulations and a use sented herein.	forms an equival thquake excitation forced concrete bove drawbacks a sis determines to behavior and fa e natural period alysis is perfor e elements which on enables the a bstructure analy e program and ch evelopments are ior and structure ural modelling to rogram organizator r guide with a r	lent static ions. Current structures as is evident the component ailure mode d of the rmed using a n permit subsequent ysis of hange progres based on ral analysis. techniques an tion, humerical
<ul> <li>b. Identifiers/Open-Ended Terms</li> <li>b. Identifiers/Open-Ended Terms</li> </ul>	tive models and structures is analysis of R/C as a for inelastic dynamic awbacks. Program II g features: the equi- ling the identificat: bads, as well as the ep-by-step inelastic hysteretic model for and flexure differen- both local and global ents; strength levels chavior of the composi- in modeling of reinfor- its a detailed descri- lels used in the anal fors mathematical for example are pres	ctural modeling, per structures under ear mic analysis of rein DARC overcomes the a ivalent static analy ion of the inelastic determination of th dynamic response an r reinforced concret ntly. The formulati 1, as well as the su s are computed by th nent. The present d orced concrete behav iption of new struct lysis. Details of p rmulations and a use sented herein. REINFORCED CONCRETE SHEAR WALLS	forms an equival thquake excitation forced concrete bove drawbacks a sis determines to behavior and fa e natural period alysis is perfor e elements which on enables the s bstructure analy e program and ch evelopments are ior and structure ural modelling to rogram organizator r guide with a r	lent static ions. Current structures as is evident the component ailure mode d of the rmed using a n permit subsequent ysis of hange progres based on ral analysis. techniques an tion, humerical
<ul> <li>Concrete Frame-She ments of constitute and dynamic respon available programs possess various de from the following properties include under monotonic los structure. The stee 'three-parameter' modeling of shear damage analysis, h individual compone sively with the be state-of-the-art is This report presen the hysteretic mode Document Analysis a. Descript</li> <li>b. identifiers/Open-Ended Terms COMPUTER PROGRAMS IDARC SEISMIC EXCITATION EARTHOUAKE EXCITATION</li> </ul>	tive models and struc- se analysis of R/C s of or inelastic dynar- rawbacks. Program II g features: the equi- ling the identificat: bads, as well as the ep-by-step inelastic hysteretic model for and flexure differen- both local and global ents; strength levels chavior of the compo- in modeling of reinfo- nts a detailed descri- lels used in the anal sors mathematical for example are pres-	ctural modeling, per structures under ear nic analysis of rein DARC overcomes the a ivalent static analy ion of the inelastic determination of th dynamic response an r reinforced concret ntly. The formulati 1, as well as the su s are computed by th nent. The present d orced concrete behav iption of new struct lysis. Details of p rmulations and a use sented herein. REINFORCED CONCRETE SHEAR WALLS STATIC STRUCTURAL AN DYNAMIC STRUCTURAL AN	forms an equival thquake excitation forced concrete bove drawbacks a sis determines to behavior and fa e natural period alysis is perfor e elements which on enables the s bstructure analy e program and ch evelopments are ior and structure ural modelling to rogram organization r guide with a r	lent static ions. Current structures as is evident the component ailure mode d of the rmed using a n permit subsequent ysis of hange progress based on ral analysis. techniques and tion, numerical
<ul> <li>Concrete Frame-She ments of constitute and dynamic respon available programs possess various du from the following properties include under monotonic los structure. The ster 'three-parameter' modeling of shear damage analysis, he individual component sively with the be state-of-the-art in This report present the hysteretic mode Document Analysis a. Descript</li> <li>b. identifiers/Open-Ended Terms COMPUTER PROGRAMS IDARC SEISMIC EXCITATION EARTHQUAKE EXCITATION EARTHQUAKE EXCITATION STRUCTURAL MODELING</li> </ul>	tive models and structures is analysis of R/C s for inelastic dynar rawbacks. Program II g features: the equi- ling the identificat: bads, as well as the ep-by-step inelastic hysteretic model for and flexure differen- both local and global ents; strength levels ehavior of the compo- in modeling of reinfo- ints a detailed descr: dels used in the anal sors mathematical for example are present N	ctural modeling, per structures under ear nic analysis of rein DARC overcomes the a ivalent static analy ion of the inelastic determination of th dynamic response an r reinforced concret ntly. The formulati 1, as well as the su s are computed by th nent. The present d orced concrete behav iption of new struct lysis. Details of p rmulations and a use sented herein. REINFORCED CONCRETE SHEAR WALLS STATIC STRUCTURAL AN DYNAMIC STRUCTURAL AN DYNAMIC STRUCTURAL AN	forms an equival thquake excitation forced concrete bove drawbacks a sis determines to behavior and fa e natural period alysis is perfon e elements which on enables the so bstructure analy e program and ch evelopments are ior and structure ural modelling to rogram organizator r guide with a magnitude ALYSIS NALYSIS	lent static ions. Current structures as is evident the component ailure mode d of the rmed using a n permit subsequent ysis of hange progres based on ral analysis. techniques an tion, humerical
<ul> <li>b. Identifiers/Open-Ended Terms This report presert the hysteretic model Document Analysis a. Descript Descript STRUCTURAL MODELIN COSATI Field/Group Availability Statement</li> </ul>	tive models and structures is analysis of R/C s for inelastic dynar rawbacks. Program II g features: the equi- ling the identificat: bads, as well as the ep-by-step inelastic hysteretic model for and flexure differen- both local and global ents; strength levels ehavior of the compo- in modeling of reinfo- ints a detailed descr: dels used in the anal sors mathematical for example are pres	ctural modeling, per structures under ear nic analysis of rein DARC overcomes the a ivalent static analy ion of the inelastic determination of th dynamic response an r reinforced concret ntly. The formulati 1, as well as the su s are computed by th nent. The present d orced concrete behav iption of new struct lysis. Details of p rmulations and a use sented herein. REINFORCED CONCRETE SHEAR WALLS STATIC STRUCTURAL AN DYNAMIC STRUCTURAL AN DYNAMIC STRUCTURAL AN OMPONENT MODELING	forms an equival thquake excitation forced concrete bove drawbacks as sis determines to behavior and far e natural period alysis is performe e elements which on enables the substructure analyse program and chevelopments are ior and structure ural modelling to rogram organization r guide with a re ALYSIS NALYSIS	lent static ions. Current structures as is evident the component ailure mode d of the rmed using a n permit subsequent ysis of hange progres- based on ral analysis. techniques and tion, numerical
<ul> <li>b. Identifiers/Open-Ended Terms COMPUTER PROGRAMS IDARC SEISMIC EXCITATION EARTHQUAKE EXCITATION EARTHQUAKE EXCITATION EARTHQUAKE MODELIN COSATI Field/Group</li> </ul>	tive models and structures is analysis of R/C s for inelastic dynar rawbacks. Program II g features: the equi- ling the identificat: bads, as well as the ep-by-step inelastic hysteretic model for and flexure differen- both local and global ents; strength levels ehavior of the compon- in modeling of reinfor the a detailed descr: dels used in the anal sors mathematical for example are present N	ctural modeling, per structures under ear nic analysis of rein DARC overcomes the a ivalent static analy ion of the inelastic determination of th dynamic response an r reinforced concret ntly. The formulati 1, as well as the su s are computed by th nent. The present d orced concrete behav iption of new struct lysis. Details of p rmulations and a use sented herein. REINFORCED CONCRETE SHEAR WALLS STATIC STRUCTURAL AN DYNAMIC STRUCTURAL AN DYNAMIC STRUCTURAL AN COMPONENT MODELING	forms an equival thquake excitation forced concrete bove drawbacks as sis determines to behavior and far e natural period alysis is perfor e elements which on enables the so bstructure analy e program and che evelopments are ior and structure ural modelling to rogram organizator r guide with a to ALYSIS NALYSIS	lent static ions. Current: structures as is evident the component ailure mode d of the rmed using a n permit subsequent ysis of hange progres- based on ral analysis. techniques and tion, numerical
<ul> <li>Concrete Frame-She ments of constitute and dynamic respon available programs possess various du from the following properties include under monotonic lo structure. The ste 'three-parameter' modeling of shear damage analysis, h individual compone sively with the be state-of-the-art is This report presen the hysteretic mod Document Analysis a. Descript</li> <li>b. Identifiers/Open-Ended Terms COMPUTER PROGRAMS IDARC SEISMIC EXCITATION EARTHQUAKE EXCITAT STRUCTURAL MODELIN c. COSATI Field/Group</li> <li>Availability Statement Release unlimited</li> </ul>	tive models and structures is analysis of R/C s for inelastic dynar rawbacks. Program II g features: the equi- ling the identificat: bads, as well as the ep-by-step inelastic hysteretic model for and flexure differen- both local and global ents; strength levels ehavior of the compor- in modeling of reinfor- its a detailed descri- lels used in the anal fors mathematical for example are pres	ctural modeling, per structures under ear nic analysis of rein DARC overcomes the a ivalent static analy ion of the inelastic determination of th dynamic response an r reinforced concret ntly. The formulati 1, as well as the su s are computed by th nent. The present d orced concrete behav iption of new struct lysis. Details of p rmulations and a use sented herein. REINFORCED CONCRETE SHEAR WALLS STATIC STRUCTURAL AN DYNAMIC STRUCTURAL A COMPONENT MODELING 19. Security C Unclass 20. Security C Unclass	forms an equival thquake excitation forced concrete bove drawbacks as sis determines to behavior and far e natural period alysis is perfor e elements which on enables the substructure analy e program and che evelopments are ior and structure ural modelling to rogram organizator r guide with a non- ALYSIS NALYSIS MALYSIS	lent static ions. Current structures as is evident the component ailure mode d of the rmed using a h permit subsequent ysis of hange progres based on ral analysis. techniques and tion, numerical

.

## IDARC: INELASTIC DAMAGE ANALYSIS OF REINFORCED CONCRETE

### FRAME - SHEAR-WALL STRUCTURES

by

Young J. Park<sup>1</sup>, Andrei M. Reinhorn<sup>2</sup> and Sashi K. Kunnath<sup>3</sup>

July 20, 1987

### Technical Report NCEER-87-0008

### NCEER Contract Number-86-1033 and NCEER-86-3032

### Master Contract Number ECE 86-07591

- 1 Visiting Assistant Professor, Dept. of Civil Engineering, State University of New York at Buffalo
- 2 Associate Professor, Dept. of Civil Engineering, State University of New York at Buffalo
- 3 Graduate Student, Dept. of Civil Engineering, State University of New York at Buffalo

NATIONAL CENTER FOR EARTHQUAKE ENGINEERING RESEARCH State University of New York at Buffalo Red Jacket Quadrangle, Buffalo, NY 14261

#### ABSTRACT

The needs for analytical tools to support experiments and design processes led the authors to develop a computer program for the Inelastic Damage Analysis of Reinforced Concrete Frame-Shear Wall Structures, IDARC. The program, based on original developments of constitutive models and structural modeling, performs an equivalent static and a dynamic response analysis of R/C structures under earthquake excitations.

Currently available programs for inelastic dynamic analysis of reinforced concrete structures possess one or more of the following drawbacks: the analysis is carried out using equivalent properties of cracked or damaged sections using elastic models; the inelastic analysis is done using advanced hysteretic models that are general in nature but which do not always fit R/C component behavior (shear and flexure); strength limits have to be precomputed off-line and remain unchanged during the analysis.

Program IDARC overcomes the above drawbacks as is evident from the following features:

The equivalent static analysis determines the component properties including the identification of the inelastic behavior and failure mode under monotonic loads, as well as the determination of the natural period of the structure.

The step-by-step inelastic dynamic response analysis is performed using a 'three-parameter' hysteretic model for reinforced concrete elements which permit modeling of shear and flexure differently. The formulation enables the subsequent damage analysis, both local and global, as well as the substructure analysis of individual components.

Strength levels are computed by the program and change progressively with the behavior of the component.

i

The present developments are based on state-of-the-art in modeling of reinforced concrete behavior and structural analysis. This report presents a detailed description of new structural modelling techniques and the hysteretic models used in the analysis. Details of program organization, mathematical formulations and a user guide with a numerical example are presented herein.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The publication of this report was made possible in part by funding from the National Center for Earthquake Engineering Research (grant nos. NCEER-86-1033 and NCEER-86-3032). The support is gratefully acknowledged.

Gratitude is also expressed to Laurie McGinn for typing the final version of this report and to Hector Velasco for drafting the illustrations. • • • • •

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION	TITLE	PAGE
1	INTRODUCTION	1-1
2	THEORY AND BACKGROUND	2-1
2.1	Structure Modelling	2-1
2.2	New Distributed Model	2-3
2.3	Strength - Deformation Models	2-6
2.3.1	Beams and Columns	2-6
2.3.2	Shear Walls	2-11
2.4	Inelastic Model	2-12
2.4.1	Three Parameter Model	2-13
2.4.2	Hysteretic Rule	2-20
2.5	Damage Index Model	2-24
3	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	3-1
3.1	Program Organization	3-1
3.2	Structure Idealization	3-5
3.3	Modelling Structural Components	3-5
3.3.1	Beam Elements	3-7
3.3.2	Columns	3-16
3.3.3	Shear Walls	3-20
3.3.4	Edge Columns	3-26
3.3.5	Transverse Beams	3-28
3.4	Computational Procedure	3-28
3.4.1	Static Analysis	
3.4.2	Dynamic Analysis	3-30
3.5	Substructure Analysis	3-32
3.6	Damage Index Computations	3-33

### TABLE OF CONTENTS(Continued)

SECTION		TITLE F	?AGE						
4		EXAMPLE OF BUILDING ANALYSIS4-1							
5		<b>CONCLUSION</b> 5	5 <b>-</b> 1						
6		REFERENCES6	5-1						
APPENDIX	A	USER GUIDEA A.1 Input FormatA A.2 Current Program LimitsA A.3 File Creation and ExecutionA	x-1 x-1 x-36 x-37						
APPENDIX	В	<pre>INPUT/OUTPUT DESCRIPTION</pre>	3-1 3-1 3-1 3-5 3-13						

v

### LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	TITLE PAGE
2-1	Component Modeling2-2
2-2	Inelastic Springs2-4
2-3	Distribution of Flexibility2-4
2-4	Multilinear Envelop Curve
2-5	Components of Inelastic Deformation at Yield2-7
2-6	Hysteretic Models2-15
2-7	Versatility of Three Parameter Model2-16
2-8	Effects of Three Parameters2-18
2-9	Nonsymmetric Trilinear Skeleton Curve2-21
2-10	Unloading Stiffness2-21
2-11	Branch Number and Loading Path
2-12	Modeling of Pinching Behavior
2-13	Deteriorating Rule2-25
3-1	Idealized Structural Model
3-2	Typical Beam with Degrees of Freedom
3-3	Beam Model With Rigid Zone
3-4	Deformation Parameters
3-5	Bond Slippage at Anchorage
3-6	Typical Column Element with Degrees of Freedom3-17
3-7	Typical Shear Wall Element Showing Degrees
	of Freedom
3-8	Fiber Model Analysis of Shear Wall
3-9	Edge Column Elements
3-10	Transverse Beam Element
4-1	Details of 7-Story Structure
4-2	Numbering of Column, Beam and Wall Elements4-4
4-3	Numbering of Edge Column Elements
4-4	Numbering of Transverse Beams
4-5	Tockachi Oki Accelerogram4-6
4-6	Displacement Time History4-7

vi

### LIST OF FIGURES (Continued)

FIGURE	TITLE PA	GE
4-7	Base Shear vs. Top Displacement4-	7
4-8	Subassemblages4-	8
4-9	Force Displacement Relation for Substructure 14-	9
4-10	Force Displacement Relation for Substructure 24-	9
A-1	Coordinate Configuration in PlanA-	3
A-2	Element Types and NumbersA-	6
A-3	Floor Elevation and WeightsA-	6
A-4	Nodal Location InputA-	8
A-5	Stress-Strain Curve for ConcreteA-	10
A-6	Stress-Strain Curve for SteelA-	10
A-7	Column Input DetailsA-	13
A-8	Beam Input DetailsA-	13
A-9	Input Details of Shear WallsA-	16
A-10	Edge Column Input DetailsA-	18
A-11	Input Details of Transverse BeamsA-	20
A-12a	Nodal Connectivity Input: Frame 1A-	22
A-12b	Modeling of Frame with Shear WallA-	23
A-12c	Nodal Connectivity of Transverse BeamsA-	24
A-13	Substructure InputA-	34

### LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	TITLE PAGE
2-I	Comparison of Hysteretic Models2-14
3-I	Program Organization
3-II	Program Flow and Related Applications
3-III	Interpretation of Overall Damage Index

### SECTION 1 INTRODUCTION

During the last three decades, a considerable amount of experimental research has been carried out in order to identify the inelastic behavior of reinforced concrete components and joints under earthquake-like loading reversals. Past experiments of columns and beams have shown that several structural parameters, such as concrete strength, longitudinal steel ratio, shear span ratio, stirrup ratio and axial stress level, affect the deformation and energy absorbing characteristics which may be observed as strength deterioration, stiffness degradation and pinching behavior in the load-deformation relation [1-8].

A comprehensive testing program on columns and shear walls revealed that loading history may also affect the deformation and damage characteristics considerably [9-10].

Based on the information of the hysteretic behavior of components, numerous studies have been carried out to obtain a realistic prediction of the inelastic dynamic response of reinforced concrete frames utilizing matrix analysis techniques [11-12].

Several computer programs are available in open publications including the one by the University of Illinois [13], the University of California, Berkeley [14], and the University of Tokyo [15]. Comprehensive literature surveys in this area are also available [16-17].

However, none of the available programs for inelastic dynamic analysis are capable of reproducing the complex hysteretic behavior of reinforced concrete under earthquake loadings.

Currently available programs for inelastic dynamic analysis of reinforced concrete possess one or more of the following drawbacks:

- 1. The analysis is carried out using equivalent properties of cracked or damaged sections with elastic models.
- The inelastic analysis is done using advanced hysteretic models that are general in nature but which do not always fit R/C component behavior (shear and flexure).
- 3. Strength limits have to be precomputed off-line and remain unchanged during the analysis.

In addition to overcoming all of the above drawbacks, program IDARC was also conceived and developed as an analytical tool to support dynamic testing and aid design processes of reinforced concrete components and structures.

Program IDARC is developed based on current knowledge of structural properties of reinforced concrete components and structural modelling techniques, the details of which are presented in this report.

This report is also meant to serve as the user's manual of the computer program IDARC, which performs both the static and dynamic analysis of reinforced concrete structures under earthquake loadings, including a comprehensive damage analysis of the structure and its components.

The static analysis consists of the evaluation of strength and deformation parameters of each structural component, a failure analysis under monotonic loading and determination of the fundamental natural period of the structure.

The ensuing step-by-step dynamic response analysis yields the maximum response values and dissipated hysteretic energy which serve as input for the final damage analysis. The program has been designed to also determine the individual response of selected sub-structures.

Background information on component modelling, hysteretic modelling and structural modelling are provided in detail in Section 2. The mathematical modelling of the building components are specified in Section 3 including the development of the element stiffness matrices and the coordinate system that define the discretized building system. A numerical example of a realistic building analysis is also included.

A users guide to data input and output interpretation of program IDARC is presented in the Appendices.

### SECTION 2

#### THEORY AND BACKGROUND

### 2.1 Structure Modelling

A reinforced concrete building is modelled using the following five element types:

- 1. Beam elements
- 2. Column elements
- 3. Shear wall elements
- 4. Edge column elements
- 5. Transverse beam elements

The modelling of the above components for a typical reinforced concrete frame - shear wall type building is illustrated in Fig. 2-1. Beams and columns are modelled as continuous flexural springs and shear walls are modelled by a combination of flexural and shear deformation springs. The edge columns of a shear wall can be modelled separately using one-dimensional springs. The main transverse beams which contribute to the stiffness of the building are assumed to have an effect on the rotational deformation of the shear walls or beams to which they are connected and are modelled using elastic linear and rotational springs (Fig. 2-1). Although axial deformation in columns and shear walls are considered in the analysis, the interaction between axial load and bending moment during earthquake motions is not included. The combination of the above five element types allows for a wide variety of structural configurations.

----

<sup>1</sup>Work is currently underway at the University of Buffalo to include this effect.









Modelling of the inelastic deformation behavior and associated description of the constituent components is the fundamental basis for the structural idealization. The so-called 'hinge model' developed for steel frames may not be suitable for reinforced concrete structures since the inelastic deformation is distributed along the member length rather than being concentrated at critical sections.

### 2.2 New Distributed Model

The inelastic beam model used in the analysis of beams, columns and shear walls is illustrated in Figs. 2-2 and Fig. 2-3. In the proposed model, the flexibility factor, 1/EI, is assumed to be linearly distributed along the member between the two critical sections and the point of contraflexure. The flexural factors at the critical sections are monitored throughout the analysis to keep updating the inelastic behavior of the components during earthquake action; an elastic property is given to the section at the contraflexure point as shown in Fig. 2-3. The flexibility matrix is expressed in the following incremental form (based on the notation in Figs. 2-2 and 2-3):

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \Theta_{a}^{\prime} \\ \Delta \Theta_{b}^{\prime} \end{cases} = L^{\prime} \begin{bmatrix} f_{11} & f_{12} \\ f_{21} & f_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{cases} \Delta M_{a}^{\prime} \\ \Delta M_{b}^{\prime} \end{cases}$$
(2.1)

where, for case (a):

$$f_{11} = \frac{1}{12(EI)_a} (6\alpha - 4\alpha^2 + \alpha^3) +$$

$$\frac{1}{12(EI)}_{b} (1 - 3\alpha + 3\alpha^{2} - \alpha^{3}) + \frac{1}{12(EI)}_{o} (3 - 3\alpha + \alpha^{2}) (a)$$





(b) Shear-Flexure Spring

FIGURE 2-2 Inelastic Springs





$$f_{12} = f_{21} = \frac{1}{12(EI)_{a}} (-2\alpha^{2} + \alpha^{3}) + \frac{1}{12(EI)_{b}} (-1 + \alpha + \alpha^{2} - \alpha^{3}) + \frac{1}{12(EI)_{a}} (-1 - \alpha + \alpha^{2})$$
(b)  
$$f_{22} = \frac{1}{12(EI)_{a}} \alpha^{3} + \frac{1}{12(EI)_{b}} (3 - \alpha - \alpha^{2} - \alpha^{3}) + \frac{1}{12(EI)_{a}} (1 + \alpha + \alpha^{2})$$
(c)

and, for case (b):

$$f_{11} = \frac{1}{4(EI)_{a}} + \frac{1}{12(EI)_{b}}$$

$$f_{21} = f_{12} = -\frac{1}{12(EI)_{a}} - \frac{1}{12(EI)_{b}}$$

$$f_{22} = \frac{1}{12(EI)_{a}} + \frac{1}{4(EI)_{b}}$$

where:

$$\alpha = \frac{M'_a}{M'_a + M'_b}$$

In evaluating the flexural stiffness,  $(EI)_a$  and  $(EI)_b$ , the increase in deformation due to shear cracking and bond slippage from the anchorage should be carefully considered. Detailed quantification of the inelastic deformation characteristics is presented in the next section.

For shear wall elements, the shear spring and flexural spring are connected in series such that shear and flexural failure can be considered independently (see Fig. 2-2b). Accordingly, the flexibility matrix is modified as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} flexibility \\ matrix \end{bmatrix} = L' \begin{bmatrix} f_{11} & f_{12} \\ \\ \\ f_{21} & f_{22} \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{GAL'} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ \\ \\ \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(2.2)

where:

G = Shear rigidity
A = Area of cross-section of the shear wall.

#### 2.3 Strength - Deformation Models

#### 2.3.1 Beams and Columns

It is common practice to describe the envelope curve of the restoring force-deformation relation of reinforced concrete components by a multi-linear function with three turning points; viz., the cracking point, the yield point and the ultimate strength point as shown in Fig. 2-4.

For beams and columns, the strength - deformation relation is expressed as moment vs. curvature. The cracking, yield and ultimate moments ( $M_c$ ,  $M_y$  and  $M_u$  respectively) may be expressed empirically as follows [18]:

$$M_{c} = 11.\sqrt{f_{c}} Z_{e} + Nd/6$$
 (2.3)

$$M_{y} = 0.5 f_{c}' bd^{2} \{ (1 + \beta_{c} - \eta) n_{o} + (2 - \eta)p_{t} + (\eta - 2\beta_{c}) \alpha_{c} p_{t}' \}$$

$$M_{u} = (1.24 - 0.15p_{t} - 0.5\eta_{o})M_{v} \qquad (2.5)$$









where:

$$p_{t} = \frac{A_{t}f_{y}}{bd f_{c}'} ; \quad p_{t}' = \frac{A_{c}f_{y}}{bd f_{c}'} ; \quad n_{o} = \frac{N}{bd f_{c}'} ; \quad \alpha_{y} = \frac{\varepsilon_{y}}{\varepsilon_{o}} ;$$
$$\beta_{c} = \frac{d_{c}}{d} ; \quad \alpha_{c} = (1 - \beta_{c}) \frac{\varepsilon_{c}}{\varepsilon_{y}} - \beta_{c} \le 1.0; \quad \eta = \frac{0.75}{1 - \alpha_{y}} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{c}}{\varepsilon_{o}}\right)^{0.7}$$

in which:

b = Width of components' cross-section d = Computational depth of components' cross-section d<sub>c</sub> = Cover depth for compression bars A<sub>t</sub> = Areas of tensile reinforcing bars A<sub>c</sub> = Areas of compressive reinforcing bars f'<sub>c</sub> = Material strength of concrete in ksi f<sub>y</sub> = Material strength of steel in ksi N = Axial load  $Z_e$  = Section modulus  $\varepsilon_0$  = Strains at maximum strength of concrete  $\varepsilon_y$  = Strains at yield stress of steel  $\varepsilon_c$  = Extreme compression fiber strain

The yield curvature of reinforced concrete can be estimated as the sum of the flexural deformation,  $\varphi_{f}$ , the deformation due to bond-slippage,  $\varphi_{b}$ , the inelastic shear deformation ,  $\varphi_{s}$ , and the elastic shear deformation ,  $\varphi_{e}$  (see Fig. 2-5):

 $\varphi_{v} = \varphi_{f} + \varphi_{b} + \varphi_{s} + \varphi_{e}$ (2.6)

' $\phi_e$ ' may be evaluated by the conventional elastic beam theory. However, a more accurate approximation is required for the remaining parameters. Empirical formulations for estimating these deformations are described below. The flexural yield curvature is determined with reasonable accuracy by the 'plane-section' assumption with linear curvature distribution along the member. The yield curvature is accordingly expressed as [19]:

$$\varphi_{f}' = \frac{\varepsilon_{Y}}{(1-k)d}$$
(2.7)

where:

$$k = \left\{ \left( p_{t} + p_{t}' \right)^{2} \frac{1}{4\alpha_{y}^{2}} + \left( p_{t} + \beta_{c} p_{t}' \right) \frac{1}{\alpha_{y}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} - \left( p_{t} + p_{t}' \right) \frac{1}{2\alpha_{y}}$$

Since the relation in Eq.(2.7) underestimates the yield curvature, the following modification is suggested to include the effect of the inelasticity of concrete and the axial stress in columns [18]:

$$\varphi_{f} = \left(1 + \frac{1.5n_{\circ}}{0.84 + 2p'_{t} - p_{t}}\right) \varphi'_{f}$$
(2.8)

The curvature due to bond-slippage has been determined based on available pullout data [18]. At yielding, the slippage of tension bars, 'S', is expressed as follows:

$$S/D = 0.0003 f_{y}^{1.5} \tau_{m}^{-0.75}$$
 (2.9)

where:

D = Bar diameter  $f_{y}$  = Yield strength of the steel  $\tau_{m}$  = Maximum bond strength of concrete

The value of ' $\tau_m$ ' ranges from 0.9 to 1.5 ksi, and is a function of the degree of compactness of concrete. A mean value of  $\tau_m$  =

1.2 ksi may be assumed if the degree of compactness is not specified. The equivalent curvature for bond slippage assuming linear curvature distribution along the member is given by the following relation (Fig. 2-5b):

$$\varphi_{\rm b} = \frac{3\rm S}{\rm zL} \tag{2.10}$$

The determination of the inelastic shear deformation  $'\Phi_b'$  is more difficult due to the unpredictable shear cracking mechanism. The shear cracking model developed in Ref. [18] is used to evaluate the shear deformation in the present analysis. Details of the model may be found in Ref. [18]. The equivalent curvature due to shear cracking is (Fig. 2-5c):

$$\varphi_{s} = 3\left\{\frac{1}{L} + \frac{1 - (L_{s}'/L)^{2}}{2z}\right\} \Theta_{s}$$
(2.11)

where:

L = Shear span z = Arm between the tension and compression reinforcement L<sub>s</sub> = Length of a "no shear crack zone"  $\Theta_{c}$  = Shear rotation.

The length 'L' can be obtained as:

$$L'_{s} = \frac{M_{c}}{\{(M_{y}L - \sqrt{f'_{c} bd}) + z\}}$$
(2.12)

The shear rotation ' $\Theta_s$ ' is a function of the shear span ratio 'L/d' (replaced by 1.5 if L/d < 1.5), stirrup ratio in percent ' $p_w$ '(replaced by 0.2% if  $p_w < 0.2$ %) and the normalized average bond stress,  $u = \tau_b / \sqrt{f_c}$ , as follows:

$$A_{s} = \frac{0.002}{L/d - 0.5} ; \qquad u < 5 \text{ or } \frac{L}{d} > 4$$

$$= \frac{0.002}{L/d - 0.5} \left\{ 1 + 0.27(u-5) \right\} ; \qquad u > 5 \text{ and} \\ 2.5 < \frac{L}{d} < 4$$

$$= \frac{0.002}{L/d - 0.5} \left\{ 1 + 0.185 \frac{(u-5)}{\sqrt{P_{w}}} \right\} ; \qquad u > 5 \text{ and} \\ \frac{L}{d} < 2.5 \qquad (2.13)$$

The strength model described above for beam and column type elements has been used successfully in Ref. [18] and was consequently adopted for the development of program IDARC.

### 2.3.2 Shear Walls

The strength - deformation parameters for shear wall elements arise from the following:

- 1. Flexural behavior
- 2. Shear behavior

The flexural deformation characteristics of shear walls having different cross-sections may be estimated using the traditional fiber-model analysis [19], the details of which are described in Section 3.

The inelastic shear behavior of shear walls is evaluated based on regression analysis of a large number of test data presented in Ref [9]. The cracking and yield shear strengths  $V_c'$  and  $V_y'$  are determined from the following empirical relations:

$$V_{c} = \frac{0.6 \ (f_{c}' + 7.11)}{M/(VL_{t}) + 1.7} \quad b_{e} \ L_{w}$$
(2.14)

$$V_{y} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 0.08 \ \rho & 0.23 \\ \frac{t}{M/(VL_{w}) + 0.12} & + \ 0.32\sqrt{f_{y}\rho_{w}} \\ + \ 0.1 \ f_{a} \right\} b_{e} \ L_{w} \end{array}$$
(2.15)

where:

$M/(VL_w)$	= Shear span ratio									
ρ <sub>t</sub>	Tension steel ratio in percent									
ν	Wall reinforcement ratio									
fa	Axial stress									
be	Equivalent web thickness									
L <sub>w</sub>	= Distance between edge columns.									

The yield shear deformation may be determined from the secant stiffness  $'k_v'$  as follows:

$$k_{y} = \beta_{s}k_{e} ; \quad \beta_{s} = \frac{0.5M}{(VL_{w})}$$
(2.16)

where:

 $k_{\rho}$  = Elastic shear stiffness.

The above relations which resulted from the parametric analysis of test data [9] was found to be the most suitable for defining the shear properties of walls and was, therefore, used in the development of program IDARC.

### 2.4 Inelastic Model

For the inelastic analysis, a proper selection of hysteretic models for the constituent components is one of the critical factors in successfully predicting the dynamic response under strong earthquake motions. Several models have been proposed in the past for reproducing various aspects of reinforced concrete behavior under inelastic loading reversals. In order to closely reproduce the hysteretic behavior of various components, a highly versatile model is required in which several significant aspects of hysteretic loops can be included, i.e., stiffness degradation, strength deterioration, pinching behavior and the variability of hysteresis loop areas at different deformation levels under repeated loading reversals. However, the model should also be as simple as possible since a large number of inelastic springs are necessary in modelling the entire structure, and additional parameters to describe a complicated hysteresis loop shape may sometimes require excessive amount of information.

Some of the existing popular models: Clough [21], Fukada [22], Aoyama [20], Kustu [5], Tani [23], Takeda [24], Park [18], Iwan [25], Takayanagi [12], Muto [26], Atalay [4] and Nakata [27] are shown in Fig. 2-6. A critical evaluation of these models relating to their versatility and complexity is presented in Table 2-I. It means that most of the available models are aimed at a partition of the second second second second second second columns or shear walls only, and therefore, fall short of the versatility required for modelling practical buildings having a large number of different components. A different model which fit most of the typical building components is suggested herein and comprises the major inelastic model used in the development of the program.

#### 2.4.1 Three Parameter Model

The hysteretic model (the three parameter model) that has been developed for use in program IDARC is illustrated in Fig. 2-7. A variety of hysteretic properties are obtained through the combination of the trilinear skeleton curve and the three parameters ' $\alpha$ ', ' $\beta$ ' and ' $\gamma$ '. The values of these parameters determine the properties of stiffness degradation, strength deterioration and pinching behavior, respectively. When the parameters assume program default values, i.e.  $\alpha \Rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\beta = 0$ 

Models
of Hysteretic
Comparison c
Table 2.1

								_			_			Ł
arks	Overall Complexity		_	T	н	M	Σ	Т	W	M	Γ	н	н	
iparative Rem	Overall Versatility			ν	Σ	Н	1	н		W		Ļ	I	
Соп	Additional* Parameters	0	0	4	4	2	-	2	+	З	0	4	9	
	Hysteresis Loop Area	z	Z	٨	z	۲	z	~	7	z	z	z	۲	
Parameters	Strength Deterioration	Z	z	۲	Z	z	Z	Z	Z	Y	Z	z	٨	
Controlled	Pinching	Z	z	٨	٨	z	z	z	۲	۲	Z	<b>~</b>	۲	
	Stiffness Degradation	Z	٨	Z	Z	۲	۲	۲	Z	۲	۲	۲	۲	
	Type	S	S	S	S	S	S	ပ	S	S	S	ပ	C	
	Model	Clough	Fukuda	Aoyama	Kustu	Tani	Takeda	Park	lwan	Takayanagi	Muto	Atalay	Nakata	

\* Bending envelope characteristics

Notation:

L: Low M: Medium H: High

Y: Yes N: No S: Straight Line C: Curved Line (continuous)







(a) Modified Clough's Model



(b) General Three Parameter Model



(c) Modified Takeda's Model



(d) T-Beam Model



FIGURE 2-7 Versatility of Three Parameter Model
and  $\gamma \Rightarrow \infty$ , a hysteretic property similar to the Clough model [21] is obtained as shown in Fig. 2-7a.

The hysteretic model shown in Fig. 2-7c is quite similar to the Takeda model [24], except for strength deterioration, and may be exclusively used for the flexural springs of various components.

T-Beams, however, due to a large difference in the longitudinal steel ratios between the top and bottom bars, generally show a biased pinching behavior in the region of negative moment. Such a model is shown in Fig. 2-7d.

The inelastic shear spring, which is connected to the flexural spring of shear walls in series, is modelled using the originoriented model of Fig. 2-7e (for concrete) and the slip model of Fig. 2-7f (for masonry walls).

Fig. 2-8 illustrates the manner in which the three parameters ' $\alpha$ ', ' $\beta$ ' and ' $\gamma$ ', transform the original hysteretic model of Fig. 2-7a:

- 1. The stiffness degradation is introduced by setting a common point on the extrapolated initial skeleton curve line, and assumes that the unloading lines aim at this point until they reach the x-axis (Fig. 2-8a). The parameter 'a' specifies the degree of stiffness degradation, and, more importantly, the area enclosed by the hysteresis loops; a comparison of Figs. 2-8a,c,e clarifies how the parameter 'a' changes the hysteresis loops.
- 2. The pinching behavior is introduced by lowering the target maximum point (point A in Fig. 2-8b) to a straight level of ' $\gamma P_y$ ' (point B in Fig. 2-8b) along the previous unloading line. Reloading points aim this new target point 'B' until they reach the crack closing deformation ('u<sub>s</sub>' of Fig. 2-8b). The stiffness of reloading paths is changed at this



(c) Strength Deterioration

FIGURE 2-8 Effects of Three Parameters

point to aim the previous target maximum point 'A'. The introduction of such a pinching behavior also leads to a reduction of hysteresis loop areas and indirectly, the amount of dissipated energy.

parameter ' $\beta$ ' specifies the rate of 3. The strength degradation illustrated in Fig. 2-8c. as The same parameter ' $\beta$ ' may be found in the definition of the damage index ,D, which defines the earthquake structural linear combination of the damage as a maximum deformation  $\delta_m'$  and the absorbed hysteretic energy dE as follows [18]:

$$D = \frac{\delta_{m}}{\delta_{u}} + \frac{\beta}{\delta_{u}P_{y}} \int dE \qquad (2.17)$$

where:

D = Damage index scaling the structural damage from zero to one  $\delta_u$  = Ultimate deformation under monotonic loading P<sub>v</sub> = Yield strength

The parameter  $\beta$  gives the ratio of the incremental damages caused by the increase of the maximum response,  $d\delta_m/\delta_u$ , to the normalized incremental hysteretic energy,  $dE/(\delta_u P_y)$ , as follows:

$$\beta = \frac{d\delta_m / \delta_u}{dE / (\delta_u P_v)} = \frac{d\delta_m}{dE / P_v}$$
(2.18)

As illustrated in Fig. 2-8c, the incremental increase of the maximum deformation due to the dissipated hysteretic energy is expressed as follows:

$$d\delta_m = \beta dE/P_y$$

The value of ' $\beta$ ' can be determined as a function of several parameters (described in the next section).

The other two parameters, ' $\alpha$ ' and ' $\gamma$ ' are difficult to quantify. The use of available or preliminary quasi-static testing results of similar components, i.e., having similar structural parameters such as shear span ratio, steel ratio and axial stress, may be a practical way to determine such values.

The details of the computational algorithm for the threeparameter model are described in the next section.

#### 2.4.2 Hysteretic Rule

The properties of the hysteretic rule which govern the inelastic behavior of the building components is presented in this section.

The skeleton curve is shown in Fig. 2-9. Nine constants are necessary to determine a non-symmetric trilinear curve. The unloading and reloading curves are illustrated in Fig. 2-10. As mentioned previously, the unloading lines b-c and f-g aim the common points 'm' and 'n' until they reach the x-axis. Therefore, the first unloading line in both the positive and negative regions,  $E_1^+$  and  $E_1^-$  are:

$$E_{1}^{+} = (P_{e}^{+} + \alpha P_{y}^{+}) / (u_{e}^{+} + \alpha P_{y}^{+}/E_{o})$$

$$E_{1}^{-} = (P_{e}^{-} + \alpha P_{y}^{-}) / (u_{e}^{-} + \alpha P_{y}^{-}/E_{o})$$
(2.20)

(The variables for this relation and those for subsequent equations are shown in Figs. 2-9 - 2-12.)

The second unloading lines, i.e., lines c-d and g-h will air the minimum and maximum points 'f' and 'g', respectively. When the

2-20

(2.19)



FIGURE 2-9 Nonsymmetric Trilinear Skeleton Curve



# FIGURE 2-10 Unloading Stiffness

maximum or minimum point is in the elastic range, the second unloading line will aim the cracking point, i.e., the line c-d will aim point 'e' if the minimum point is still in the elastic range. Therefore, the second unloading stiffness is:

$$E_{2}^{+} = P_{e}^{+} / (u_{e}^{+} - u_{c}^{-}) \quad \text{if } P_{e}^{+} > P_{c}^{+}$$

$$= P_{c}^{+} / (P_{c}^{+} / E_{\circ} - u_{c}^{-}) \quad \text{if } P_{e}^{+} < P_{c}^{+}$$

$$E_{2}^{-} = P_{e}^{-} / (u_{e}^{-} - u_{c}^{+}) \quad \text{if } P_{e}^{-} < P_{c}^{-}$$

$$= P_{e}^{-} / (P_{c}^{-} / E_{\circ} - u_{c}^{+}) \quad \text{if } P_{e}^{-} > P_{c}^{-}$$
(2.21)

Fig. 2-11 illustrates up to nine loading paths. Loading paths from 1 to 5 define the envelop curve, whereas loading paths from 6 to 9 are used to identify the unloading curves in both positive and negative directions.

When pinching is considered, six more branches are necessary as shown in Fig. 2-12. At the crack-closing deformation,  $U_s^+$  and  $U_s^-$ , the second unloading lines change their stiffness. The branches 10 and 11 may be called the third unloading and reloading lines, whereas branches 12 to 15 are assigned to the unloading lines within a hysteresis loop. Due to pinching, the stiffness 'E<sub>2</sub>' of the second unloading and reloading lines may be calculated as follows (instead of Eq. 2.21):

$$E_{2}^{+} = P_{s}^{+} / (P_{s}^{+} / E_{1}^{+} + u_{s}^{+} - u_{s}^{-})$$

$$E_{2}^{-} = P_{s}^{-} / (P_{s}^{-} / E_{1}^{-} + u_{s}^{-} - u_{s}^{+})$$
(2.22)

The third unloading and reloading lines are:

$$E_{3}^{+} = (P_{e}^{+} - E_{2}^{+}(u_{s}^{+} - u_{s}^{-})) / (u_{e}^{+} - u_{s}^{+})$$

$$E_{3}^{-} = (P_{e}^{-} - E_{2}^{-}(u_{s}^{-} - u_{s}^{+})) / (u_{e}^{-} - u_{s}^{-})$$
(2.23)









Fig. 2-13 illustrates the determination of the increased maximum deformation point  $(u_t^+, P_t^+)$  due to energy absorption. This point is determined using Eq. (2.19) at the prediction point, i.e., when the reloading line passes the x-axis. When an unloading occurs between the prediction point and the point  $u_t^+$  or  $P_t^+$  becomes the new maximum point if the unloading point is outside the previous hysteresis loop (case b in Fig. 2-13), i.e.,

 $u_e^+ = u_t^+$  $P_e^+ = P_t^+$ 

The three-parameter model offers a wide range of options in modeling the inelastic behavior of reinforced concrete components. By setting the values of these parameters to either zero or an infinitely large value, it is possible to reproduce a range of existing inelastic models. Also, the user need input only two of the three parameters (unless otherwise required) since the program computes the strength deterioration coefficient during the equivalent static analysis.

(2.24)

## 2.5 Damage Index Model

The damage model developed by Park [18] is suggested as a measure of the accumulated damage sustained by the constituent components, each story level and the entire building.

The structural damage is expressed in terms of the damage index given by Eq. (2.17). The parameters  ${}^{\delta}{}_{u}$  and  ${}^{\beta}{}^{\prime}$  were determined based on regression analysis of about 400 reinforced concrete columns and beams as follows:

$$R_{u} = 0.543(L/d)^{0.93} k_{p}(-0.27) p_{w}(0.48) n_{o}(-0.48) f_{c}(-0.15)$$
(2.25)



FIGURE 2-13 Deteriorating Rule

where:

$$\beta = \left[0.37n_{\circ} + 0.36(k_{p} - 0.2)^{2}\right]0.9^{p}w \qquad (2.26)$$

The story level damage index and the damage index for the total building is determined using the component damage indices  $'D_i'$  as:

$$D = \Sigma \lambda_{i} D_{i} ; \lambda_{i} = \frac{E_{i}}{\Sigma E_{i}}$$
(2.27)

where:

$$\lambda_i$$
 = Energy weighting factor  
E<sub>i</sub> = Total energy absorbed by each component.

The energy term appearing in Eq. (2.27) is the total absorbed energy, while the energy referred to in Eq. (2.17) is the dissipated hysteretic energy which excludes the potential energy stored (resulting from the maximum deformation of the component).

## SECTION 3

#### DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM

#### 3.1 Program Organization

The program package consists of three parts:

- SYSTEM IDENTIFICATION- The main program performs the static analysis to determine component properties (such as yield strength, cracking moment, corresponding curvature, etc.) and the ultimate failure mode of the building.
- 2. DYNAMIC RESPONSE ANALYSIS- The secondary program includes subroutine RCDYNA, which performs a step-by-step inelastic dynamic analysis.
- 3. APPLICATIONS: SUBSTRUCTURE ANALYSIS & DAMAGE ANALYSIS- The final part consists of the analysis of selected substructures and a comprehensive damage analysis which includes a damage index for each structural component, the story level damage index and an overall index for the total building.

The system identification based on the equivalent static analysis is an essential prerequisite in performing the dynamic analysis.

The program sequence for the system identification is as follows:

- 1. Determination of component properties
- 2. Determination of fundamental period of structure
- 3. Determination of failure mode of structure, including the variation of base shear coefficient vs. overall top deformation

Results from the static analysis are carried forward to subroutine RCDYNA. The dynamic response analysis under both horizontal and vertical base excitations is then performed. The hysteretic behavior of the constituent components is included in establishing the overall response of the structure. A major part of the dynamic analysis includes the determination of independent responses of selected substructures.

Finally, the strength parameters from the equivalent static analysis and the response parameters from the dynamic response analysis are recovered by the final segment of the program to carry out the damage analysis.

A brief summary of the way in which program IDARC is organized is shown in Table 3-I.

The program flow with a view to related applications is shown in Table 3-II. Two major applications can be performed with the program as indicated in Table 3-II:

- 1. Laboratory experiment of sub-assemblages
- 2. Post-earthquake damage assessment

Details of modelling of the various building components are described in the following sections.

## **TABLE 3-I PROGRAM ORGANIZATION**



## **TABLE 3-II PROGRAM FLOW AND RELATED APPLICATIONS**



## 3.2 Structure Idealization

Basic Assumption: A common technique in the three-dimensional analysis of tall buildings is to treat floor diaphragms as rigid links thus requiring only one horizontal floor degree-of-freedom. Such an approach greatly reduces the total computational effort, in addition to taking advantage of this special feature in typical building frames. The program JDARC uses the above simplified assumption, thereby neglecting effects of slab flexibility  $^2$ .

The building is considered as a series of plane frames linked by rigid horizontal diaphragms. Each frame must lie in the same vertical plane. Since the floors are assumed to be infinitely rigid in their plane, identical frames are simply lumped together and the stiffness factored by the number of duplicate frames.

Fig. 3-1 shows a typical structure composed of columns, beams and floors. The convention adopted in numbering the nodes is also shown.

#### 3.3 Modelling Structural Components

The analysis can be performed using five types of structural elements. The following element types are currently available:

- 1. Beam elements
- 2. Column elements
- 3. Shear wall elements
- 4. Edge column elements
- 5. Transverse beam elements

<sup>2</sup>Work is currently underway to modify program IDARC to include effects of slab flexibility.



**Beams** are modelled as continuous flexural springs. Shear deformation is coupled with flexural effects by means of an 'equivalent' spring which is assumed to act in series with the flexural spring.

**Columns** are modelled in an identical way as beam elements. Axial deformation in the columns is included but its interaction with bending moment is ignored, thus allowing axial effects to be uncoupled.

Shear walls are modelled as a series combination of flexural and shear-deformation springs.

Edge columns of a shear wall are modelled separately as onedimensional springs.

**Transverse beams** are modelled as elastic springs with one vertical and one rotational (torsion) degree of freedom.

The detailed modelling of each of the above elements and the empirical equations used to determine the component properties are described in the following sections.

#### 3.3.1 Beam Elements

The basic beam element is one that is parallel to the axis of loading. Beam elements are modelled as simple flexural springs in which shear-deformation effects have been coupled. A typical beam element and its degrees-of-freedom are shown in Fig. 3-2.

In deriving the basic flexibility matrix, it is assumed that the flexibility factor (1/EI) has a linear variation as described in Section 2. Consequently, two possibilities arise, depending upon the location of the point of contraflexure, as shown in Fig. 3-3. Hence:

$$\begin{cases} \Theta'_{a} \\ \Theta'_{b} \end{cases} = L' \begin{bmatrix} f_{11} & f_{12} \\ f_{21} & f_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{cases} M'_{a} \\ M'_{b} \end{cases}$$

(repeat Eq.2.1)

(3.1)

in which the coefficients of the flexibility matrix have been previously defined in Eq. (2.1). From geometry, the relationship between these quantities and the bending moments ' $M_a$ ', ' $M_b$ ' and rotational deformations ' $\Theta_a$ ', ' $\Theta_b$ ' at the nodal points (or joint centers) across the rigid zones at both ends is expressed by the following transformation matrices (Fig. 3-2 and Fig. 3-3):

$$\begin{cases} M_{a} \\ M_{b} \end{cases} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{L} \end{bmatrix} \begin{cases} M'_{a} \\ M'_{b} \end{cases}$$
(3.2)  
$$\begin{cases} \Theta'_{a} \\ \Theta'_{b} \end{cases} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{L} \end{bmatrix}^{T} \begin{cases} \Theta_{a} \\ \Theta_{b} \end{cases}$$
(3.3)

where:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{L} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{1-\lambda_{a}-\lambda_{b}} \begin{bmatrix} 1-\lambda_{b} & \lambda_{a} \\ & & \\ \lambda_{b} & & 1-\lambda_{a} \end{bmatrix}$$
(3.4)

Therefore, from Eqs. (3.1-3.4), the basic stiffness equation relating moments and rotations is:

$$\begin{cases} M_{a} \\ M_{b} \end{cases} = \begin{bmatrix} K_{s} \end{bmatrix} \begin{cases} \Theta_{a} \\ \Theta_{b} \end{cases}$$
 (3.5)









where:

$$\begin{bmatrix} K_{s} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{L} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k' \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{L} \end{bmatrix}^{T}$$
(3.6)

and [k'] is the inverted flexibility matrix.

From force-equilibrium, we have:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{a}} \\ \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{a}} \\ \mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{b}} \\ \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{b}} \end{array} \right\} = \left[ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{B}} \\ \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{B}} \end{array} \right] \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{a}} \\ \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{b}} \\ \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{b}} \end{array} \right\}$$

where:

$$\begin{bmatrix} R_{\rm B} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1/L & -1/L \\ 1 & 0 \\ & & \\ 1/L & 1/L \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence, the stiffness equation for beam elements is:

$$\begin{cases} Y_{a} \\ M_{a} \\ Y_{b} \\ M_{b} \end{cases} = \begin{bmatrix} K_{b} \end{bmatrix} \begin{cases} v_{a} \\ \Theta_{a} \\ v_{b} \\ \Theta_{b} \end{cases}$$
(3.9)

where:

$$\begin{bmatrix} K_{\rm b} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} R_{\rm B} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} K_{\rm s} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} R_{\rm B} \end{bmatrix}^{\rm T}$$
(3.10)

is the element stiffness matrix.

Determination of Properties of Beam Elements: A multilinear function is used to describe the envelop curve of the momentcurvature relationship (Fig. 3-4). General expressions for

(3.8)

(3.7)







FIGURE 3-5 Bond Slippage at Anchorage

strength parameters have been outlined in Section 2. The precise form of the same equations for beam elements is discussed below.

**Idealized Cracking Moment:** This parameter is obtained as a linearization of the skeleton curve in preparation of the trilinear model [18]:

$$M_{cr}^{+} = 11.0 \sqrt{f_{c}} (I_{g}/\bar{x})$$
(3.11)  
$$M_{cr}^{-} = 11.0 \sqrt{f_{c}} (I_{g}/(h-\bar{x}))$$
(3.12)

where:

M<sup>+</sup><sub>cr</sub> = Positive cracking moment M<sup>-</sup><sub>cr</sub> = Negative cracking moment I = Gross moment of inertia of section including steel x̄ = Distance from base to centroid of section h = Height of section

Yield Curvature and Moment: Assuming the concrete in compression remains elastic up to yielding of the tension reinforcement, the yield curvature is obtained from Eq. (2.7) with linear curvature distribution imposed along the member. As before, the effect of including the inelasticity of concrete is to amplify  $\phi'_v$  with a constant 'c':

$$\varphi_{\text{yf}}^{+} = c \frac{E_{\text{y}}}{(1-k)d}$$
(3.13)

$$\phi_{yf} = c \frac{E_y}{(1-k')d'}$$
 (3.14)

where all quantities have been previously defined in Section 2.2.1; and k' is the neutral axis parameter (similar to 'k')

3-12-

for the negative moment and  $d^{\,\prime}=d\text{-t}_{\,S}^{\,\prime/2}$  ,  $^{\prime}\text{t}_{\,S}^{\,\prime}$  being the slab thickness.

Consequently, the yield moments are given by [18]:

$$M_{Y}^{+} = 0.5f_{C}' B_{SL} d^{2} \left[ (2-\eta)p_{t} + (\eta - 2\beta_{C})\alpha_{C} p_{t}' \right]$$
(3.15)

$$M_{Y}^{-} = 0.5f_{C}' B (d')^{2} \left[ (2-\eta)p_{t} + (\eta'-2\beta_{c})\alpha_{c} p_{t}' \right]$$
(3.16)

where:

$$\eta = \frac{0.75}{1+\alpha_{y}} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{c}}{\varepsilon_{o}}\right)^{0.7} ; \quad \eta' = \frac{0.75}{1+\alpha_{y}} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{c}'}{\varepsilon_{o}}\right)^{0.7}$$
(3.17)

$$\varepsilon_{c} = \varphi_{y}d - \varepsilon_{y} ; \quad \varepsilon_{c}' = \varphi_{y}'d' - \varepsilon_{y}$$
(3.18)

$$\begin{array}{c} \alpha_{c} = (1-\beta_{c}) \underbrace{\varepsilon_{c}}_{\varepsilon_{y}} - \beta_{c} \leq 1.0 \\ \alpha_{c}' = (1-\beta_{c}') \underbrace{\varepsilon_{c}'}_{\varepsilon_{y}} - \beta_{c}' \leq 1.0 \end{array} \right\}$$
(3.19)

in which:

 $M_y^+$  = Positive yield moment  $M_y^-$  = Negative yield moment  $\varepsilon_c$  = Maximum strain in concrete in compression  $\varepsilon_c'$  = Maximum strain in concrete in tension

NOTE: All additional parameters are defined in Fig. 3-4.

**Ultimate Moment:** The following expression was proposed in Ref [18] based on the analysis of experimental data and is used in the program:

$$M_{\rm u}^+ = (1.24 - 0.15\rho) M_{\rm y}^+$$
 (3.20)

$$M_{\rm u} = (1.24 - 0.15 \rho') M_{\rm v}$$
 (3.21)

where:

 $M_{u}^{+}$  = Positive ultimate moment  $M_{u}^{-}$  = Negative ultimate moment

Coupling of Flexural and Shear Deformation: Shear effects are included by means of an 'equivalent' spring that is assumed to act in series with the inelastic flexural spring. To determine the stiffness of the equivalent spring, consider the variation of ' $\phi$ ' across the length of the section (Fig. 2-5):

$$\delta_{s} = \int_{0}^{L} \varphi \frac{x}{L} \times ds \qquad (3.22)$$
$$\delta_{s} = \varphi \frac{L^{2}}{3} \qquad (3.23)$$

The relationship between shear deformation ' $\delta_s$ ' and shear stiffness 'k<sub>s</sub>' can be expressed as:

$$P = k_{s} \delta_{s}$$
(3.24)

Substituting Eq. (3.23) into Eq. (3.24), premultiplying both sides by 'L' and comparing with the analogous  $M = E I \phi$  gives:

$$(EI)_{s} = k_{s} \frac{L^{3}}{3}$$
(3.25)

(3.26)

and

$$K_s = \frac{GA}{1.2L}$$

where:

G = Shear modulus  $A^* =$  Effective shear area.

The equivalent stiffness due to shear given by Eq. (3.25) is then coupled in series to a flexural spring. The combined equivalent stiffness is, therefore, given by:

$$(EI)_{eq} = \frac{(EI)_{s} (EI)_{f}}{(EI)_{s} + (EI)_{f}}$$
(3.27)

where:

(E I)<sub>f</sub> = Flexural spring stiffness.

Bond Slippage: The idealized bond-slippage relation shown in Fig. 3-5 is used. The empirical relationship given below has been validated by examining data of available pull-out tests [18]. The equivalent curvature due to bond-slippage is (Fig. 3-5b):

 $\varphi_{yb}^{+} = \frac{3S}{L_{s}(1-\beta_{c})d}$ (3.28)

where:

$$s = 0.0003 f_{y}^{1.5} \tau_{m}^{-0.75} D_{b}$$
(3.30)  
$$s' = 0.0003 f_{y}^{1.5} \tau_{m}^{-0.75} D_{b}$$
(3.31)

in which:

s,s'	= ,	Bond-Slippage, in inches
τ <sub>m</sub>	=	Bond stress
D <sub>b</sub> , D' <sub>b</sub>	=	Mean diameter of bottom and top bars, respectively
L	=	Shear span length

**Inelastic Shear Deformation:** The idealized shear crack model used in the analysis has been presented in Section 2. Eqs. (2.11-2.13) define completely the equivalent curvature due to shear and were used in the equivalent static analysis.

## 3.3.2 Columns

Column elements are modelled similar to beam elements, i.e., as flexural springs in which shear deformation effects are coupled by means of an equivalent spring. However, an additional onedimensional spring is included to account for the effect of axial deformation.

A typical column element that forms part of a reinforced concrete building structure is shown in Fig. 3-6.

From force-equilibrium, we have:



where:

 $\begin{bmatrix} R_{C} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/L & 1/L \\ 1 & 0 \\ & & \\ -1/L & -1/L \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ 

(3.32)

(3.33)



FIGURE 3-6 Typical Column Element With Degrees of Freedom

The flexibility distribution shown in Fig. 2-3 and the corresponding flexibility matrix (Eq. 3.1) that were used for the beam element are appropriately utilized in deriving the column element stiffness equation:

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_{a} \\ M_{a} \\ X_{b} \\ M_{b} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} K_{c} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_{a} \\ \Theta_{a} \\ u_{b} \\ \Theta_{b} \end{bmatrix}$$

where:

$$\begin{bmatrix} K_{c} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} R_{c} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} K_{s} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} R_{c} \end{bmatrix}^{T}$$

is the element stiffness matrix, and  $[K_s]$  is given by Eq. (3.6).

The interaction between bending moment and axial load is not considered, hence the axial degree-of-freedom is easily uncoupled and the force-deformation relation for the resulting elastic spring is as follows:

 $\begin{cases} Y_{a} \\ Y_{b} \\ Y_{b} \end{cases} = \underbrace{EA}_{L} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ & \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_{a} \\ \\ v_{b} \\ v_{b} \end{cases}$ (3.35)

**Component Properties for Columns:** The strength parameters for column elements are essentially the same as those derived for beam elements, except for the following modifications:

- 1. The effect of axial load is included
- 2. No distinction is made between positive and negative moments and curvatures, since
- 3. The area of steel reinforcement in compression and tension is assumed to be identical.

Consequently, we have the following expressions:

3-18

(3.34)

Cracking Moment: The effect of axial load is included:

$$M_{cr} = 11.\phi\sqrt{f_c} Z_e^* + Nd/6$$
 (3.36)  
(repeat Eq. 2.3)

Yield Curvature and Moment: Using the plane section assumption and linear curvature distribution as before:

$$\varphi_{Y}^{*} = \frac{\varepsilon_{Y}}{(1-k)d}$$
(3.37)  
(repeat Eq.2.7)

Eq. (3.37) tends to underestimate the actual curvature since the inelasticity of concrete and the effect of axial load is not taken into account. Based on results of an iterative analysis [20] the following modification is introduced:

where:

$$c_2 = 0.45/(0.84 + p_t)$$
 (3.39)

$$n_{o} = N/(f_{C}' Bd)$$
 (3.40)

The yield moment is given by:

$$M_{y} = 0.5 f'_{c} Bd^{2} \left\{ (1 + \beta_{c} - \eta) n_{o} + (2 - \eta)p_{t} \right\}$$
(3.41)

+ 
$$(\eta - 2\beta_c) \alpha_c p'_t$$
 (repeat Eq.2.4)

where:

$$\eta = \frac{0.75}{1+\alpha_{\rm Y}} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{\rm c}}{\varepsilon_{\rm o}}\right)^{0.7}$$
(3.42)

$$\alpha_{c}^{=} (1-\beta_{c})\frac{\varepsilon_{c}}{\varepsilon_{v}} - \beta_{c} < 1.0$$
(3.43)

**Ultimate Moment:** This expression corresponds to Eq. (3.20-3.21) with the effect of axial load being included:

$$M_{u} = (1.24 - 0.15p_{t} - 0.5n_{o}) M_{y}$$
(3.44)  
(repeat Eq. 2.5)

(3.45)

Bond Slippage: Again, the idealized bond-slippage relation of Fig. 3-5 is used. The expression for curvature due to bond-slip is given by:

$$\varphi_{yb} = \frac{3S}{Z} \left(\frac{1}{L}\right)$$

where:

S = Bond slippage, inches, given by Eq. (3.30)
Z = Distance between top and bottom bars
L<sub>s</sub> = Shear span length

#### 3.3.3 Shear Walls

The modelling of shear wall elements is achieved by means of a shear spring and a flexural spring connected in series.

The flexibility matrices used for beams and columns are valid for modelling flexural deformation. The addition of the spring results in the following form of the modified flexibility matrix:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \kappa_{f} \end{bmatrix} = L' \begin{bmatrix} f_{11} & f_{12} \\ & & \\ f_{21} & f_{22} \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{GAL'} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ & \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(3.46)

A typical shear wall element is shown in Fig. 3-7. From force-equilibrium we have:

$$\begin{cases} X_{a} \\ M_{a} \\ \\ X_{b} \\ M_{b} \end{cases} = \begin{bmatrix} R_{w} \end{bmatrix} \begin{cases} M_{a} \\ \\ M_{b} \end{bmatrix}$$

where:

$$\begin{bmatrix} R_{w} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/L & 1/L \\ 1 & 0 \\ & & \\ -1/L & -1/L \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

(3.48)

(3.47)

For axial deformation, we have:

$$\begin{cases} Y_{a} \\ Y_{b} \end{cases} = \underbrace{EA}_{L} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ & \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{cases} v_{a} \\ v_{b} \end{cases}$$
(3.49)

The location of the point of contraflexure determines the flexibility matrix to be used. The resulting stiffness matrix has the usual form:

$$\begin{cases} X_{a} \\ M_{a} \\ X_{b} \\ M_{b} \end{cases} = \begin{bmatrix} K_{w} \end{bmatrix} \begin{cases} u_{a} \\ \Theta_{a} \\ u_{b} \\ \Theta_{b} \end{cases}$$
(3.50)

where:

$$\begin{bmatrix} K_{w} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} R_{w} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} K_{s} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} R_{w} \end{bmatrix}^{T}$$
(3.51)

is the stiffness matrix for shear wall elements.





**Component Properties for Shear Walls:** Flexural deformation characteristics are determined using the fiber model, the details of which are described below.

Shear strength parameters are established from empirical relations that have already been outlined in Section 2.2. Eqs. (2.13-2.15) are used to compute the cracking shear strength, yield shear strength and yield shear deformation, respectively.

Fiber Model: Fig. 3-8 shows the cross-section of a shear wall and the edge columns to which it is connected (if there are no edge columns, the half-length d/2 is replaced by c/2). In establishing the flexural behavior using the fiber model, the entire wall cross-section is divided into a number of smaller sections. The fibers at the ends of the wall are chosen at closer intervals than the rest of the length where inelastic strains are expected to be significant.

In Fig. 3-8,  $'\bar{x}_i'$  corresponds to the distance from the center of the shear wall to the center of section 'i', whose area of cross-section is given by 'A<sub>i</sub>'.

At the start of the analysis, the axial load is applied in full while the applied moment is divided into small increments. At the end of each cycle, the total axial force on the wall is determined from the stresses in the divided sections. The difference in value between this computed axial force and the total applied load is then applied as a "corrective" load in the next cycle in order to avoid accumulation of numerical errors.

The incremental deformations in each cycle are determined as follows:

The expressions for incremental load and moment are:



FIGURE 3-8 Fiber Model Analysis of Shear Wall

$$dN = \int E d\varepsilon dA \qquad (3.52)$$
$$dM = \int E d\varepsilon x dA \qquad (3.53)$$

The strain at any section along the wall is given by:

$$d\varepsilon = d\varepsilon_{o} + x \, d\phi \tag{3.54}$$

where:

 $d\varepsilon_{\circ}$  = Central axial strain  $d\phi$  = Curvature to be determined.

Substituting into Eqs. (3.52-3.53):

$$\begin{cases} dN \\ dM \end{cases} = \begin{bmatrix} \int EdA & \int ExdA \\ \int ExdA & \int Ex^2 dA \end{bmatrix} \begin{cases} d\varepsilon_{\circ} \\ d\phi \end{cases}$$
(3.55)

Integrating numerically and solving for strain and curvature:

$$\begin{cases} d\varepsilon_{\circ} \\ d\phi \end{cases} = \frac{1}{C_{x}} \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma E_{i}x_{i}^{2} & -\Sigma E_{i}x_{i} \\ -\Sigma E_{i}x_{i} & \Sigma E_{i}A_{i} \end{bmatrix} \begin{cases} dN \\ dM \end{cases}$$
(3.56)

where:

$$C_{x} = (\Sigma E_{i}A_{i})(\Sigma E_{i}X_{i}^{2}) - (\Sigma E_{i}X_{i})^{2}$$

The incremental strain in each fiber is then evaluated from Eq. (3.54).

The computed strains in each fiber are analyzed against the stress-strain curves for concrete and steel, respectively. Any change in the value of 'E', the modulus of elasticity, is updated and stresses are evaluated accordingly. The stressstrain curves used in the analysis are shown in Figs. A-5 and A-6. The numerical algorithm used in this routine checks for the nonlinearity of the flexural rigidity 'EI' and introduces a load-step reduction as the instantaneous stiffness drops to 1/3 of its initial stiffness. The incremental curvatures computed at each step and the corresponding moment values are stored to enable a final regression analysis that yields the envelop curve using a trilinear model. The two turning points identify the cracking moment, yield moment and corresponding curvatures, respectively.

## 3.3.4 Edge Columns

Edge column elements constitute the columns that are connected to shear wall elements. Their behavior is primarily dependent on the deformation of the shear wall and is, therefore, modelled separately as a one-dimensional axial spring (Fig. 3-9).

However, the user may use these elements to model other transverse elements such as secondary shear walls that can be lumped with the corresponding column element. Equivalent properties may be used. The numerical example presented in the Appendix demonstrates this technique.

The stiffness matrix for the pair of elements is as follows:

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{Y}_{a} \\ \mathbf{M}_{a} \\ \mathbf{Y}_{b} \\ \mathbf{M}_{b} \end{array} \right\} = \frac{\mathbf{E}\mathbf{A}_{L}}{\mathbf{h}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \quad \lambda \quad -1 \quad -\lambda \\ \lambda \quad \lambda^{2} \quad -\lambda \quad -\lambda^{2} \\ -1 \quad -\lambda \quad 1 \quad \lambda \\ -\lambda \quad -\lambda^{2} \quad \lambda \quad \lambda^{2} \end{bmatrix} + \frac{\mathbf{E}\mathbf{A}_{r}}{\mathbf{h}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \quad -\lambda \quad -1 \quad \lambda \\ -\lambda \quad \lambda^{2} \quad \lambda \quad -\lambda^{2} \\ -1 \quad \lambda \quad 1 \quad -\lambda \\ \lambda \quad -\lambda^{2} \quad -\lambda \quad \lambda^{2} \end{bmatrix}$$
(3.57)

where:

 $A_L$  = cross-sectional areas of the left edge-column elements  $A_r$  = cross-sectional areas of the right edge-column elements.


FIGURE 3-9 Edge Column Elements





## 3.3.5 Transverse Beams

Fig. 3-10 shows the modelling of a transverse beam connected to a shear wall element. Two types of transverse beam elements exist: beams that are connected to shear walls; and beams connected to the main beams in the direction of loading.

Two springs, ' $k_v$ ' and ' $k_{\Theta}$ ', are used to include the additional resistance of the beam against rotational deformation of the shear wall to which it is connected. In the case of beam-to-beam connections, only a torsional spring may be adequate. The following stiffness matrix is obtained:

$Y_{a}$ 1 $-L_{v}$ -1 0 0 0 0	0
$M_{a} = k -L_{v} L_{v}^{2} L_{v} 0 = 0 1 0$	-1 (3.58)
$Y_{b} = V_{v} = 1 L_{v} = 1 0 = 0 0 0$	0
M <sub>b</sub> 0 0 0 0 0 0 -1 0	1

For beam-to-beam connections, only those transverse elements whose torsional stiffness is expected to contribute significantly in restraining the rotation of the main beams need be considered. It must also be stated that these restraining springs are important in restoring numerical stability to the computational algorithm especially when the rotations of the main beams become excessive.

### 3.4 Computational Procedure

The complete analysis is carried out in a series of sequential steps:

- 1. The strength and deformation parameters of all components are first established.
- 2. The above information is then used to determine the failure mode of the structure under monotonic loading.

- A step-by-step dynamic response analysis is then performed. Optionally, the response of selected substructures is also determined.
- 4. The final state of the structure is then analyzed to compute relevant damage indices.

### 3.4.1 Static Analysis (for equivalent loads)

The static analysis routine, as performed by IDARC, involves the solution of the following equilibrium equation:

$$[K] \{\Delta u\} = \{\Delta F\}$$
(3.59)

where:

[K] = Assembled global stiffness matrix (stored in banded form)  $\{\Delta u\}$  = Required solution vector of incremental nodal displacements  $\{\Delta F\}$  = Incremental load vector

The lateral load applied to the structure at each floor level is computed from the estimated base shear coefficient. The total weight of the structure is factored by the estimated base shear coefficient and applied in small increments. The contribution at each floor level is computed from the following equation:

$$t_{j} = \frac{W_{j} h_{j}}{n} W_{t}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\Sigma W_{i} h_{i}} W_{t}$$

(3.60)

where:

Subscript j = Story level under consideration w = Floor weight

- = Height of corresponding floor from the base of the structure
- wt n

h

= Factored total weight of the building

= Total number of stories.

A stress analysis follows each computational step to establish the stress-state of each component. Stresses are computed at critical sections defined as the end sections for beams and columns. In addition, for shear wall elements only, the shear stress at mid-section is examined. Edge columns are modelled using one vertical degree-of-freedom, consequently, only axial stress is computed.

A qualitative plot of stress states at each critical section is printed by the program. Also printed is the variation of the base shear coefficient as a function of the top lateral deflection as a result of the monotonic loading analysis.

### 3.4.2 Dynamic Analysis

The step-by-step dynamic response analysis involves the solution of the following equation of motion:

$$\left[M\right]\left\{\dot{u}_{r}\right\} + \left[C\right]\left\{\dot{u}_{r}\right\} + \left\{R(u_{r})\right\} = \left\{F(t)\right\}$$
(3.61)

where:

- {F(t)} = Vector of effective loads resulting from earthquake
   ground motions
- ur = Relative displacement of the structure with respect to the ground.

In constructing the diagonal mass matrix [ M ], the horizontal inertia effects are lumped at the floor levels while vertical inertia effects are lumped uniformly at each joint. Rotational inertia effects are ignored in the present analysis.

The Newmark-Beta algorithm [30] is used to determine the step-bystep solution of the dynamic equation of motion.

The element stiffness matrix in each time step is updated only if there is a change of stiffness. Hence, only a portion of the overall stiffness matrix is changed depending on the elements that change stiffness during a particular time step.

The following information is also updated during each time step to aid in the damage index computations:

- The total energy absorbed by each component of the structure;
- The dissipated hysteretic energy of each component (excluding the contribution due to potential energy);
- 3. The maximum deformation experienced by each element during the step-by-step response analysis.

Finally, the stress states of each member is analyzed against the strength parameters carried forward from the static analysis.

Output information from the dynamic analysis include:

- Maximum response values of displacement, story drift and interstory shear at each floor level;
- 2. Time-history response of any or all the above parameters for any set of floor levels;
- 3. Qualitative plot of the final state of stress for each frame.

## 3.5 Substructure Analysis

An important feature of program IDARC is its capability to analyze selected sub-assemblages that can be extracted from the total building.

A substructure may consist of either the entire length of a frame or a part of a frame that includes only one vertical line. Examples of such sub-assemblages are shown in Figs. A-13 and B-5. The program requires the following input:

- 1. Frame number
- Column (or j-coordinate location, else the entire frame length is used)
- 3. Upper and lower boundaries of the substructure

In the case that the substructure consists of only a single vertical line, the program uses half-lengths of the connecting beams.

Using the node numbering scheme illustrated earlier in Fig. 3-1, the following information is necessary to identify the substructure:

- 1. The frame number
- The j-coordinate location of the column; a zero input will force the entire frame length to be considered as part of the sub-assemblage (case (c) in Figs. A-13 and B-5.)
- 3. The ratio,  $h_1^*/h_1$
- 4. The ratio,  $h_2^*/h_2$

where  $h_1$ ,  $h_1^*$ ,  $h_2$ , and  $h_2^*$  are defined in Fig. A-13.

Three components of the response, i.e., the horizontal, vertical and/or rotational deformation, can be computed. The basic components of the response are established by linear interpolation between the floor levels from which the substructure is extracted.

The output of the substructure analysis includes:

- 1. The time history of the displacement (or rotation) and shear forces at the upper and lower boundaries of the subassemblage for any or all of the following:
  - a. horizontal component of response
  - b. vertical component of response
  - c. rotational component of response
- 2. The time history of the shear forces at the ends of the boundary beams (see Fig. A-13 for boundary beam notation).

### 3.6 Damage Index Computations

Three physically relevant damage indices are computed:

- A damage index for each of the main building components,
   i.e., beams, columns and shear walls;
- 2. The story level damage index classified further into vertical and horizontal components at each floor level;
- 3. The overall damage index for the building.

The equations set forth in Section 2 form the basis of the damage index computations. The damage index 'D' as defined by Eq. (2.17) is repeated here for convenience:

 $D = \frac{\delta_{m}}{\delta_{u}} + \frac{\beta}{\delta_{u}P_{y}} \int dE \qquad (3.62)$ repeat (Eq. 2.17)

Three parameters, viz., the ultimate deformation ' $\delta_u$ ', the constant ' $\beta$ ' and the yield strength ' $P_y$ ' can be obtained from the static analysis. The maximum deformation due to the earthquake loading ' $\delta_m$ ' and the absorbed hysteretic energy 'dE' are computed during the step-by-step dynamic response analysis.

The story level damage indices are computed from the damage index values of the components comprising that story level. The vertical components (columns, shear walls) are separated from the horizontal components (beams) before applying Eq. (2.26).

The energy values used in establishing the weighting factor ' $\lambda_i$ ' constitute the total absorbed energy while the energy term appearing in Eq. (3.62) is exclusive of the accumulated potential energy. Program IDARC accounts for this distinction by neglecting the energy accumulated as a consequence of increasing the previous maximum deformation point.

The damage index used in this report was calibrated with respect to observed damage of nine reinforced concrete buildings [29]. Table 3-III corresponds to the calibrated index [29] and can be used to interpret the overall damage index of the building.

Degree of Physical Appearance Damage Stat Damage Index Buil	e of ding of
	of
COLLAPSE Partial or total > 1.0 Loss collapse of building buil	aing
SEVERE Extensive crashing of 0.4-1.0 Beyo concrete; disclosure of repa buckled reinforcements	nd ir
MODERATE Extensive large cracks < 0.4 Repa spalling of concrete in weaker elements	irable.
MINOR Minor cracks throughout building; partial crushing of concrete in columns	
SLIGHT Sporadic occurrence of cracking	<b>.</b>

TABLE 3-III INTERPRETATION OF OVERALL DAMAGE INDEX

# SECTION 4 EXAMPLE OF BUILDING ANALYSIS

The numerical example presented here is meant to illustrate the applicability of the proposed program to a building having realistic size and complexity.

A seven-story building tested using the full-scale psuedo-dynamic testing facility at Tsukuba, Japan under the U.S.-Japan Cooperative Research Program [15] is analyzed.

In the actual testing, the fundamental mode shape was imposed throughout the loading so as to eliminate erroneous domination of higher modes caused by experimental errors that are normally associated with the pseudo-dynamic testing. Therefore, the test results do not represent the general MDOF dynamic response of the building.

The 7-story structure consists primarily of three frames, two of which are identical (Fig. 4-1). Owing to the rigid-diaphragm assumption described in Section 3.2, input information is required of the two unique frames only. Program IDARC accounts for the stiffness of the duplicate frames by merely lumping together such identical frames.

Eight different concrete types and five different reinforcing bars were used in the actual construction of the structure. The pertinent details of this and the rest of the input information are more clearly listed in the output.

The building is composed of all 5 element types. In addition, there are several secondary transverse shear walls. To account for the stiffness contribution of these walls, 'effective' edge columns were added to the regular columns. It is hence possible, in an indirect sense, to incorporate such secondary elements as the complexity of the structure dictates.





(b) Elevation of Frame 'B'

FIGURE 4 -1 Details of 7-Story Structure

It is advisable to make detailed sketches of the structural model showing the different elements with their element numbers and 'element type' information. The numbering of all elements for the seven-story structure analyzed here is shown in Figs. 4-2 through 4-4. Such a scheme along with the program output will enable easier interpretation of results.

It is necessary to use the static analysis option for the first run. A base shear coefficient of 1.0 can be used for this preliminary run and then corrected (based on the results of the static analysis) for subsequent dynamic and damage analysis.

For the present analysis, the recorded accelerogram of the 1968 Tockachi-Oki earthquake, as shown in Fig. 4-5, was used as the input base motion with a scaled horizontal maximum acceleration of 0.357g.

The resultant time-history of the top story displacement as well as the variation of the top displacement vs. story shear are shown in Figs. 4-6 and 4-7. The computed response is in good agreement with test results [15]; the small variations can be attributed to the effect of higher modes that were eliminated in the pseudo-dynamic testing.

For the substructure analysis, two sub-assemblages were extracted from the total structure. Fig. 4-8 shows the respective substructures including relevant input information. Fig. 4-9 and 4-10 show the load-deformation relation of the respective sub-assemblages.

For the second subassemblage, the analysis was carried out for a maximum horizontal acceleration of 0.25g and a time duration of 20 secs. The time interval of the analysis was 0.005 secs.

The results from such a substructure analysis is extremely useful in the determination of loading histories for the experimental testing of actual sub-assemblages on shaking tables.



FIGURE 4-2 Numbering of Column, Beam and Wall Elements

25 (7)	26 (7)	27 (7)	28 (7)
21 (6)	22 (6)	23 (6)	24 (6)
17 (5)	18 (5)	19 (5)	20 (5)
13 (4)	14 (4)	15 (4)	16 (4)
9 (3)	10 (3)	11 (3)	12 (3)
5 (2)	6 (2)	7 (2)	8 (2)
1 (1)	2 (1)	3 (1)	4 (1)

Frame No. 1

Frame No. 2



() = Type Number

27(3)						
			25 (3)	13(1)	14(2)	28(3)
27 (3)	13(1)	14(2)	28 (3)	11(1)	12(2)	26(3)
25 (3)	11(1)	12(2)	26 (3) 21 (3)	9(1)	10(2)	24(3)
23(3)	9(1)	10(2)	24(3)	7(1)	8(2)	22(3)
21 (3)	7(1)	8(2)	22 (3) 17 (3)	5(1)	6(2)	20(3)
19(3)	5(1)	6(2)	20 (3)	3(1)	4(2)	18(3)
17 (3)	3(1)	4(2)	18(3)	1(1)	2(2)	16(3)
15(3)	1(1)	2 (2)	16(3)	 F	RAME 2	
				( )=	Type Num	iber
	[]]]]]	////				

FRAME 1

FIGURE 4-4 Numbering of Transverse Beams



FIGURE 4-5 Tockachi Oki Accelerogram



FIGURE 4-6 Displacement Time History



FIGURE 4-7 Base Shear vs. Top Displacement











FIGURE 4-10 Force Displacement Relation For Substructure 2

# SECTION 5 CONCLUSION

This report summarizes the development and details of the computer program IDARC which performs an equivalent static analysis, a step-by-step dynamic response analysis and a comprehensive damage analysis of reinforced concrete frame-shear wall type structures. Also discussed are the hysteretic model used for the inelastic dynamic response analysis, the modeling of the building components and the nature of the substructure analysis.

The program in its present form is highly versatile and can model buildings with reasonably complex configurations. However, this current version of IDARC is meant to comprise the basic structure of a more comprehensive dynamic analysis package for reinforced concrete buildings with emphasis on substructure and damage analysis. Proposed modifications of the program include:

- Inclusion of a new hysteretic model for shear wall elements;
- Inclusion of slab elements to model inplane flexibility of floor diaphragms;
- 3. Static analysis under user-specified loads and boundary conditions;
- 4. A powerful graphics preprocessor and postprocessor for analysis and design.

The overall program package is expected to contribute significantly in the experimental testing of R/C subassemblages, damageability assessment of existing buildings and a host of related applications.

The program is available for use either on DEC/VAX computers or IBM mainframe systems and the IBM-3090-400 Vector Computer at Cornell University's Supercomputing Facility.

## SECTION 6

### REFERENCES

- 1. Bertero, V. and Bresler, "Seismic Behavior of Reinforced Concrete Framed Structures", Proc. of 4th WCEE, Vol.1, Santiago, Chile, January 1969.
- Park, R., Kent, D.C. and Sampson, R.A., "Reinforced Concrete Members with Cyclic Loading", Journal of Structural Division, ASCE, Vol.98, No.ST7, July 1972.
- 3. Otani, S. and Sozen, M.A., "Simulated Earthquake Tests of R/C Frames", Journal of Structural Division, ASCE, Vol.100, No. ST3, March 1974.
- Atalay, M.B. and Penzien, J., "The Seismic Behavior of Critical Regions of Reinforced Concrete Components Influenced by Moment, Shear and Axial Force", UCB/EERC Report 75-19, University of California, Berkeley, December 1975.
- Kustu, O. and Bouwkamp, J.G., "Behavior of Reinforced Concrete Deep Beam-Columns Subassemblages Under Cyclic Loads", UCB/EERC Report 73-8, University of California, Berkeley, May 1975.
- Wight, J.K. and Sozen, M.A., "Shear Strength Decay in Reinforced Concrete Columns Subjected to Large Deformation Reversals", Civil Engineering Studies Report SRS No. 403, University of Illinois, Urbana, August 1973.
- 7. Burns, N.H. and Seiss, C.P., "Load-Deformation Characteristics of Beam-Column Connections in Reinforced Concrete", Civil Engineering Studies Report SRS No. 234, University of Illinois, Urbana, January 1962.
- 8. Newmark, N.M., Siess, C.P. and Sozen, M.A., "Moment-Rotation Characteristics of Reinforced Concrete and Ductility Requirement for Earthquake Resistance", Proc. of 30th Annual Convention of SEAOC, 1963.
- 9. Hirosawa, M., "Past Experimental Results on Reinforced Concrete Shear Walls and Analysis on Them", BRI, Ministry of Construction, Japan, March 1975.
- 10. Short Column Committee, "The Comprehensive Research for the Prevention of Failure in Short R/C Columns", Annual Conference of AIJ, Series 1 to 63, Japan, 1973-77.
- 11. Berg, G.V. and DaDeppo, "Dynamic Analysis of Elasto-Plastic Structures", Journal of the Engineering Mechanics Division, ASCE, Vol. 86, No. EM2, 1960.

- 12. Takayanagi, T. and Schnobrich, W.C., "Computed Behavior of Coupled Shear Walls", Proc. of 6th WCEE, New Delhi, 1977.
- 13. Otani, S., "SAKE, A Computer Program for Inelastic Response of R/C Frames Subject to Earthquakes", Civil Engineering Studies, SRS No. 413, University of Illinois, Urbana, November 1974.
- 14. Kaanan, A.E. and Powell, G.H., "DRAIN-2D, A General Purpose Computer Program for Dynamic Analysis of Planar Structures", UCB/EERC Report 73-6, University of California, Berkeley, 1973.
- 15. "Earthquake Effects on Reinforced Concrete Structures", U.S. - Japan Research Program, ACI Special Publication SP-84, 1985.
- 16. Umemura, H. and Takizawa, H., "Dynamic Response of Reinforced Concrete Buildings", Structural Engineering Documents, IABSE, 1982.
- 17. Derecho, A.T., Fintel, M. and Ghosh, S.K., (edited by Mark Fintel) "Handbook of Concrete Engineering", Chapter 12, Van Nostrand Reinhold Co., New York, 1985.
- Park, Y.J., Ang, A.H-S. and Wen, Y.K., "Seismic Damage Analysis and Damage-Limiting Design of R/C Buildings", Civil Engineering Studies, SRS No. 516, University of Illinois, Urbana, October 1984.
- 19. Park, R. and Paulay, T., "Reinforced Concrete Structures", John-Wiley and Sons, 1974.
- 20. Aoyama, H., "Analysis on a School Building Damaged During the Tockachi-Oki Earthquake", Proc. of Kanto District Symposium of AIJ, Tokyo, Japan, January 1971.
- 21. Clough, R.W., "Effect of Stiffness Degradation on Earthquake Ductility Requirement", Report No. 6614, Structural and Material Research, University of California, Berkeley, 1966.
- 22. Fukada, Y., "A Study on the Restoring Force Characteristics of Reinforced Concrete Buildings", Proc. of the Kanto District Symposium of AIJ, Tokyo, Japan, November 1969.
- 23. Tani, S. and Nomura, S., "Response of Reinforced Concrete Structures Characterized by Skeleton Curve and Normalized Characteristic Loops to Ground Motion", Proc. of 5th WCEE, Rome 1973.

- 24. Takeda, T., Sozen, M.A. and Nielsen, N.N., "Reinforced Concrete Response to Simulated Earthquakes", Journal of Structural Division, ASCE, Vol. 96, No. ST-12, 1970.
- 25. Iwan, W.D., "A Model for the Dynamic Analysis of Deteriorating Structures", Proc. of 5th WCEE, Rome, 1973.
- 26. Muto, K., Hisada, T., Tsugawa, T. and Bessho, S., "Earthquake Resistant Design of a 20-Story Reinforced Concrete Building", Proc. of 5th WCEE, Rome, 1973.
- 27. Nakata, S., Sproul, T. and Penzien, J., "Mathematical Modelling of Hysteresis Loops for Reinforced Concrete Columns", UCB/EERC Report 78-11, University of California, Berkeley, June 1978.
- 28. Rothe, D.H. and Sozen, M.A., "A SDOF Model to Study Nonlinear Dynamic Response of Large- and Small-Scale R/C Test Structures", Civil Engineering Studies, SRS No. 512, University of Illinois, Urbana, November 1983.
- 29. Park, Y.J., Ang, A. H-S. and Wen, Y.K., "Damage-Limiting Aseismic Design of Buildings", Earthquake Spectra, submitted for publication, May 1986.
- 30. Bathe, K.J. and Wilson, E.L., "Numerical Methods in Finite Element Analysis", Prentice Hall, Inc., 1976.

## APPENDIX A USER GUIDE

## A.1. Input Format

A free format is used to read all input data. Hence, conventional delimiters (comma, blank) may be used to separate data items. Standard FORTRAN variable format is used. Input data must, therefore, conform to the specified variable type.

NOTE: NO BLANK CARDS ARE TO BE INPUT

## VARIABLES

### DESCRIPTION

SET A: CARD #1: Title TITLE

Alpha-numeric title, up to 80 characters.

CARD #2: Control Information

NSO, NFR, MCON, MSTL

NSO = No. of stories

- NFR = No. of different frames (not including identical frames) see Fig. 3-1.
- MCON = No. of different concrete material properties.
- MSTL = No. of types of steel
   reinforcement properties.

#### NOTES:

- The number of stories refers to the total number of floor levels excluding the base level.
- A typical structure may be composed of a number of frames, of which some may be identical to each other. Input information is required only of the

unique frames. Two examples are shown in Fig. A-1 to demonstrate this distinction. In Fig. A-1a, there is only one unique frame since the second and third are identical to the first. In Fig. A-1b, two of the four frames are identical to each other, thereby giving an NFR value of 3.

- 3. The different concrete properties refer to the different types of concrete used in the construction of the various elements. A concrete belongs to the same 'type' if it has the same stressstrain curve (to be input in SET C)
- 4. The number of types of steel reinforcement refers to strength parameters and not the size of bars used. All steel bars with the same stress-strain curve (input in SET D) belong to the same steel type.

## CARD #3: ELEMENT TYPES

MCOL, MBEM, MWAL, MEDG, MTRN

NOTES:

The number of types of a particular element is meant to group together a set of similar elements with identical properties. As an example, consider the frame shown in Fig. A-2. The frame consists of 12 column elements and 9 beam elements. However, in this example, we assume that the columns and beams at each floor level are composed of elements with similar properties



a) Plan with Identical Frames



FIGURE A-1 Coordinate Configuration in Plan

(dimensions, reinforcement, material properties). Hence, we have 3 beam types and 3 column types.

## CARD #4: ELEMENT DATA

NCOL, NBEM, NWAL, NEDG, NTRN

NCOL = No. of columns
NBEM = No. of beams
NWAL = No. of shear walls
NEDG = No. of edge columns
NTRN = No. of transverse beams

NOTES: This input refers to the total number of elements in the building. Using the frame of Fig. A-2, NCOL=12, NBEM=9, and NWAL = NEDG = NTRN = 0.

CARD #5: BASE SHEAR ESTIMATE

PMAX

Estimate of base shear strength coefficient (as ratio of shear strength to total weight)

NOTES: The program uses this information only to determine the load steps for the static analysis under monotonic loading. An initial value of 1.0 may be input for the first run using the static analysis option (to be input later). The true base shear coefficient is computed by program IDARC based on this initial estimate. Use this value for subsequent dynamic and damage analysis.

CARD #6: Units

IU

System of units
=1, inch, kips
=2, cms, metric tonnes

NOTES: Use '1' if all data is input in inches, kips. Use '2' if all data is input in cms and tonnes. In both cases, output information will also be in the same units.

CARD #7: FLOOR ELEVATIONS

HIGT(I), I=1, NSO

Elevation of each story from the base, beginning with the first floor level. (see Fig. A-3)

CARD #8: FLOOR WEIGHTS

WIGT(I), I=1, NSO

Weight of each floor beginning with the first floor (Fig. A-3).

**CARD #9:** IDENTICAL FRAMES

NDUP(I), I=1, NFR

No. of duplicate (or identical) frames for each of 'NFR' frames (listed in data card #2). See Fig. A-1 for details.

**CARD #10:** CONFIGURATION OF PLAN

NVLN(I),I=1,NFR	Number	of	j-cooi	dina	te	points	in
	each fr	ame	. (See	Fig.	A-4	L)	

NOTES: A set of NVLN points for each frame should define completely the j-coordinates necessary to specify every element in that frame.



FIGURE A-2 Element Types and Numbers





## SET B: J-COORDINATE LOCATIONS

SPANX(1,1) SPANX(1,NVLN(1))	For each frame:		
	input the 'distance' of		
SPANX(NFR,1)SPANX(NFR,NVLN(NFR))	each of the NVLN points		
	from any reference line		
	(left to right)		

NOTES: Choose a reference line, preferably to the left of the leftmost j-coordinate point (as shown in Fig. A-4). Another convenient location for the reference line would be along the leftmost j-coordinate location itself. However, there is no restriction as to where this line is located as long as it is perpendicular to the loading axis.

> The x-coordinate refers to the distance of each jcoordinate location from this reference line. A typical example is shown in Fig. A-4.

### SET C: CONCRETE PROPERTIES

I,FC(I),EC(I),EPSO(I),TAUM(I)	Characteristics of concrete stress
•	-strain curve (see Fig. A-5):
•	I = Concrete type number
MCON, FC(MCON) TAUM(MCON)	FC = $f'_{c}$ , concrete strength
	EC = Young's modulus (default:
	57 √ f')
	EPSO= Comp. strain of concrete
	at max stress (%)
	TAUM= Bond strength, $\tau_m$
	(default: 1.2 ksi)

NOTES: For each of the 'MCON' types of concrete input in card #2, relevant parameters describing the stress-strain curve (as listed above) are necessary. Fig. A-5 shows







(b) X-Coordinate Locations



A-8

the stress-strain curve along with the parameters needed to fully define the curve. The equation of the non-linear function is used primarily in the fiber model analysis of shear walls.

The bond strength of concrete is obtained typically from experimental testing, however, the program uses a default value of 1.2 ksi if such data is unavailable. It is assumed that the concrete can resist tension up to 1/10 of its strength in compression.

### SET D: PROPERTIES OF REINFORCEMENT

I,FS(I),FSU(I),ES(I),ESH(I), EPSH(I)	Characteristics of steel
•	stress-strain curve for
•	each steel type. (See
•	Fig. A-6):
MSTL,FS(MSTL) EPSH(MSTL)	
	I = Steel type number
	FS = Yield strength
	FSU = Fracture strength
	ES = Youngs Modulus
	(default: 29000 ksi)
	ESH = Modulus of strain
	hardening (default:
	500 ksi)
	EPSH= Strain at initiation

NOTES: A trilinear curve (as shown in Fig. A-6) is used to define the stress-strain characteristics of the steel reinforcement. The properties are assumed to be identical in both tension and compression.

> A set of MSTL cards is required in this input section as specified in card #2 of set A.

of

(default: 3%)

hardening in %









A-10
## SET E: COLUMN PROPERTIES

NOTE: SKIP THIS INPUT IF THE STRUCTURE HAS NO COLUMNS

M,IMC,IMS,AN,D,B,BC,AT,PE,		
<pre>PW,RW,AMLC(M),RAMC1(M),</pre>		
RAMC2(M)		
•		
MCOL,IMC,IMS		

PW,RW..... RAMC2(MCOL)

Prope	rti	ies of each column type
(see	Fig	g. A-7):
М	=	Column type number
IMC	=	Concrete material type
		number
IMS	=	Steel material type number
AN	=	Axial load
D	=	Depth of column
В	=	Width of column
BC	=	Distance from centroid of
		reinforcement to face of
		column
AT	=	Area of tension rein-
		forcement.
PE	=	Total perimeter of all
		tension reinforcement
PW	=	Web reinforcement ratio (%)
RW	=	Confinement ratio
AMLC	=	Center-to-center column
		height
RAMC1	. =	Rigid zone length at bottom
RAMC2	=	Rigid zone length at top

NOTES: The basic properties of each of the MCOL columns (input in card #3) is required in this input section.

IMC and IMS refer to the concrete and steel stress-strain curves respectively, that are to be used in establishing the strength parameters of the column.

"The axial load is determined from the effective vertical load acting on the column (and any other axial load that may act on the column under consideration).

Fig. A-7 shows the details of two typical columns. Column 'i' is fixed at the base and hence has no rigid arm at the bottom. The length AMLC of a column is basically the center-to-center length (except in the absence of a rigid arm, as in the case of column 'i').

The parameter 'AT' is the total area of the tension reinforcement. The analysis, however, assumes that the area of the tension and compression reinforcement are equal. If the actual areas are in fact different, then it is advisable to use the average of the two areas. The web reinforcement ratio and confinement ratio are computed in the usual manner. (the confinement ratio 'PW' is the volumetric ratio of the hoops to the core concrete.)

SET F: BEAM PROPERTIES

NOTE: SKIP THIS INPUT IF THE STRUCTURE HAS NO BEAMS

M,IMC,IMS,SIGBL(M),SIGBR(M),	Proper	ties of each beam type:
D,B,BSL,TSL,BC1,AT1,AT2,	(Fig.	A-8)
<pre>PE1,PE2,PW,RW,AMLB(M),</pre>	M	= Beam type number
RAMB1(M),RAMB2(M)	IMC	= Concrete type number
•	IMS	= Steel type number
•	SIGBL	= Initial bending moment
•		at left section
MBEM,IMC,IMS	SIGBR	= Initial bending moment
		at right section
D,B,BSL	D	= Overall depth
RAMB1(MBEM),RAMB2(MBEM)	В	= Lower width
	BSL	= Effective slab width
	TSL	= Slab thickness
	BC1	= Distance from bottom
		bars to lower face



FIGURE A-7 Column Input Details





ЪС

FIGURE A-8 Beam Input Details

AT1 = Area of bottom bars
AT2 = Area of top bars
PE1 = Perimeter of bottom bars
PE2 = Perimeter of top bars
<pre>PW = Web reinforcement ratio(%)</pre>
RW = Confinement ratio
AMLB = Member length
RAMB1 = Rigid zone length (left)
RAMB2 = Rigid zone length (right)

NOTES: The above input is required for each of the 'MBEM' beams input in card #3.

> IMC and IMS define the concrete and steel stress-strain previously input in set C properties and set D. respectively.

> SIGBL and SIGBR are the dead load bending moments at the left and right section, respectively. The sign convention for the bending moments is shown in Fig. A-8 where a positive value indicates compression in the top fibers and tension in the bottom fibers.

> For beam-slab elements, BSL refers to the effective width of the slab. For simple frame structures without slab units:

- 1. BSL and B assume the same value;
- 2. TSL is input as the cover distance from the top bars to the upper face of the beam element

The effect of overhanging cantilevers is not expected to contribute significantly to the overall response and hence their presence may be ignored.

#### SET G: SHEAR WALL PROPERTIES

NOTE: SKIP THIS INPUT IF THE STRUCTURE HAS NO SHEAR WALLS

M,IMC,IMS,AN,D,B,PT,PW,	Shea	r v	wall properties: (Fig. A-9)
DC,BC,AG,AMLW(M)	М	=	Shear wall type number
•	IMC	=	Concrete type number
•	IMS	=	Steel type number
•	AN	=	Axial load
MWAL,IMC,IMS	D	=	Length of shear wall
DC,BC,AG,AMLW(M)	В	=	Wall thickness
	PT	=	Vertical reinforcement ratio(%)
	₽W	=	Horizontal reinforcement ratio(%)
	DC	=	Depth of edge column
	BC	=	Width of edge column
	AG	=	Gross steel area of edge columns
	AMLW	=	Height of shear wall

NOTES: The above input is required for each of the MWAL shear walls (input in card #3 of set A).

Two types of shear walls are possible:

1. shear walls with one or two edge columns

2. shear walls without edge columns

Details of typical shear wall elements are shown in Fig. A-9. In the absence of any edge columns, set the following input parameters to zero: BC, DC and AG.



(a) Shear Wall with Edge Columns

(b) Shear Wall without Edge Columns





#### SET H: EDGE COLUMN PROPERTIES

NOTE: SKIP THIS INPUT IF THE STRUCTURE HAS NO EDGE COLUMNS

M, IMC, IMS, AN, D, B, AG, AMLE, ARME(M)	Edge	column properties:
	М	= Edge column type number
•	IMC	= Concrete type number
•	IMS	= Steel type number
MEDG,IMC,IMSARME(MEDG)	AN	= Axial load
	D	= Depth of edge column
	B	= Width of edge column
	AG	= Gross area of main bars
	AMLE	= Member length
	ARME	= Arm length

NOTES: Input is required of each of the MEDG edge columns (as specified in card #3 of set A).

Details related to the input of typical edge columns are shown in Fig. A-10.

AMLE refers to the center-to-center height of the edge column, while AG is the total area of all the reinforcing bars in the edge column.

In writing the arm length of an edge column, it is important to consider the sign convention used. The arm length is the distance from the face of the edge column to the center of the shear wall to which it is anchored. For edge columns to the left of the shear wall, a negative arm length should be input (Fig. A-10)



b) Sign Convention

FIGURE A-10 Edge Column Input Details

#### SET I: TRANSVERSE BEAM PROPERTIES

NOTE: THIS INPUT NOT REQUIRED IF STRUCTURE HAS NO TRANSVERSE BEAMS

M, AKV(M), ARV(M), ALV(M)	Transverse beam properties:
	M = Transverse beam type number
	AKV = Vertical Stiffness
•	ARV = Torsional Stiffness
	ALV = Arm length

MTRN, AKV(MTRN)....ALV(MTRN)

NOTES: Input is required for each of the MTRN transverse beams specified in card #3 of set A.

Two types of transverse beams exist:

- 1. beam-to-wall connections
- 2. beam-to-beam connections

Details of both types of transverse elements are shown in Fig. A-11. The arm length, for beam-to-wall connections, refers to the distance from the beam to the center of the shear wall to which it is connected. This parameter is set to zero for beam-to-beam connections.

The details of the stiffness computations is also shown graphically in Fig. A-11. However, any suitable procedure may be used to arrive at these stiffness values depending upon the nature of the structural joint.

Note also the sign convention for arm length as shown in Fig. A-11.





I KANSVERSE DEAW

a) Beam-Wall Connection

TRANSVERSE BEAMS b) Beam-Beam Connection





c) Sign Convention for Rigid Arm d) Vertical Stiffness Computation



(c) Torsional Stiffness Computation



G= 0.4E

FIGURE A-11 Input Details of Transverse Beams

ELEMENT CONNECTIVITY INPUT

NOTES: Fig. A-12 (a-c) shows several examples of element connectivity input. The presence of shear walls, in particular, may require special modelling techniques to enable realistic analysis. An example is presented in Fig. A-12b.

#### SET J: COLUMN CONNECTIONS

NOTE: SKIP THIS INPUT IF STRUCTURE HAS NO COLUMNS

M, ITC(M), IC(M), JC(M),	Column connectivity data:
LBC(M),LTC(M)	M = Column number
•	ITC = Column type number
•	IC = I-Coordinate
NCOL, ITC(NCOL)	JC = J-Coordinate
LBC(NCOL),LTC(NCOL)	LBC = Bottom L-coordinate
	LTC = Top L-coordinate

NOTES: Nodal connectivity information is required for all columns in the structure. (with the exception of the duplicate frames). Hence, the above input set consists of NCOL cards.

> IC refers to the frame number, or the i'th coordinate position of the column. JC is the j'th coordinate position of the column (where 'j' varies from 1 to NVLN(i)). LBC and LTC are the bottom and top L-coordinate position of the column respectively.







ELEVATION

## COLUMNS

## BEAMS

MEMBER	JC	LBC	LTC	ν.
				_
CI	1	0	I	
C2	2	0	1	
C3	3	0	1	
C4	4	0	1	
C5	1	1	2	
C6	2	1	2	
C7	3	1	2	
C8	4	1	2	
C9	1	2	3	
C10	2	2	3	
C11	3	2	3	
C12	4	2	3	

MEMBER	LB	JLB	JRB
D1	1	+	· · ·
	1	1	2
B2	1	3	4
B3	2	1	2
B4	2	2	3
B5	2	3	4
B6	3	1	2
B7	3	2	3
B8	3	3	4

FIGURE A-12a Nodal Connectivity Input: Frame 1



ELEVATION



MODELING

FIGURE A-12b Modeling of Frame with Shear Wall



PLAN







## SET K: BEAM CONNECTIVITY

NOTE: SKIP THIS INPUT IF STRUCTURE HAS NO BEAMS

M, ITB(M), LB(M), IB(M),	Beam connectivity data:
JLB(M),JRB(M)	M = Beam number
•	ITB = Beam type number
•	LB = L-Coordinate
•	IB = I-Coordinate
NBEM,ITB(NBEM)	JLB = Left J-Coordinate
JLB(NBEM), JRB(NBEM)	JRB = Right J-Coordinate

NOTE: Input is required for each NBEM beams as specified in card #4 of set A.

SET L: SHEAR WALL CONNECTIVITY

NOTE: SKIP THIS INPUT IF STRUCTURE HAS NO SHEAR WALLS

M, ITW(M), IW(M), JW(M),	Shear wall connectivity data:
LBW(M),LTW(M)	M = Shear wall number
•	ITW = Shear wall type number
	IW = I-Coordinate
•	JW = J-Coordinate
NWAL,ITW(NWAL)	LBW = Bottom L-Coordinate
LBW(NWAL),LTW(NWAL)	LTW = Top L-Coordinate

NOTE: Input is required for each of the NWAL shear walls.

#### SET M: EDGE COLUMN CONNECTIVITY

NOTE: SKIP THIS INPUT IF STRUCTURE HAS NO EDGE COLUMNS

M, ITE(M), IE(M), JE(M),	Edge	column connectivity data:
LBE(M),LTE(M)	М	= Edge column number
•	ITE	= Edge column type number
•	IE	= I-Coordinate
•	JE	= J-Coordinate
NEDG,ITE(NEDG)	LBE	= Bottom L-Coordinate
LBE(NEDG),LTE(NEDG)	LTE	= Top L-Coordinate
NOTE: Input is required for	each	of the NEDG edge columns as
specified in card #4. s	set A.	•

SET N: TRANSVERSE BEAM CONNECTIVITY

NOTE: SKIP THIS INPUT IF STRUCTURE HAS NO TRANSVERSE BEAMS

M, ITT(M), LT(I), IWT(M),	Transverse beam connectivity data:
JWT(M),IFT(M),JFT(M)	M = Transverse beam number
•	ITT = Transverse beam type number
•	LT = L-Coordinate
•	IWT = I-Coordinate of origin of
	transverse beam*
•	JWT = J-Coordinate of origin of
•	transverse beam*
NTRN, ITT(NTRN)	IFT = I-Coordinate of connecting
	wall or column
JWT(NTRN)JFT(NTRN)	JFT = J-Coordinate of connecting
	wall or column

NOTES: NTRN cards are required in this input section.

\* FOR BEAM-WALL CONNECTIONS, IWT AND JWT REFER TO THE I,J COORDINATE LOCATIONS OF THE SHEAR WALL.

#### NEXT CARD: DYNAMIC ANALYSIS OPTION

IDYN

Dynamic analysis option

THE REMAINING CARDS NEED BE INPUT ONLY IF IDYN .EQ. 1

SET O: DYNAMIC ANALYSIS CONTROL PARAMETERS

CARD #1

GMAXH, GMAXV, DTCAL, TDUR, DAMP

Control parameters for dynamic analysis: GMAXH = Peak horizontal acceleration (g's) GMAXV = Peak vertical acceleration (g's) DTCAL = Time step for response analysis (secs) TDUR = Total time duration of analysis (secs) DAMP = Damping coefficient (% of critical)

NOTES:

The input accelerogram is scaled uniformly to achieve the specified peak acceleration. Set GMAXV to zero if the vertical component of the acceleration is not input. DTCAL is the user controlled time step for the response analysis. DTCAL should not exceed the time interval of the input wave. It may be necessary to use smaller time steps depending upon the complexity of the structure and the magnitude of the input wave. For example, the analysis of the 7-story building presented in this report uses a time step of 0.005 secs (which was determined to be the optimum step to produce realistic results).

TDUR must be less than or equal to the total time duration of the input wave. It is preferable to use a value less than the total time of the input wave.

CARD #2: INPUT WAVE

IWV, NDATA, DTINP

- = 1, Vertical component of acceleration included
- NDATA = No. of points describing earthquake wave
- DTINP = Time interval of input wave

CARD #3: WAVE TITLE

NAMEW

Alpha-numeric title for input wave upto 80 characters

NEXT CARD: OUTPUT CONTROL

NSOUT, DTOUT,

CONTROL PARAMETERS FOR OUTPUT:

- (ISOUT(I),I=1,NSOUT), (ISTYP(I),I=1,NSOUT)

output is required

ISTYP = Type of time history for

each story:

- =1, displacement
- =2, story drift
- =3, story shear
- =4, all of above

NOTES:

Consider the following example:

A five-story building is analyzed. Output information is required for the top story displacement, the base shear and the displacement of the third floor level at an output interval of 0.05 secs. The OUTPUT CONTROL CARD will consist of the following input:

3,0.05,5,1,3,1,3,1

where:	NSOUT = $3;$	DTOUT = 0.05	
	ISOUT(1) = 5;	ISOUT(2) = 1;	ISOUT(3) = 3;
	ISTYP(1) = 1;	ISTYP(2) = 3;	ISTYP(3) = 1;

SET P: HYSTERETIC RULE

CARD #1:

NHYS

Number of types of hysteretic properties

CARD SET #2: HYSTERETIC MODEL PARAMETERS

HC(M), HS(M), HB(M), HP(M)	HC = Degrading coefficient	
	HS = Slippage coefficient	
•	HB = Deteriorating coefficient	
	HP = Post-yielding stiffness ratio	
HC(NHYS) HP(NHYS)		

NOTES: HC refers to the parameter 'a' which defines the stiffness degradation (Fig. 2-8a); i.e., the common point on the extrapolated unloading line. As indicated in Fig. 2-8a, all unloading lines are assumed to target this common point until they reach the x-axis.

HS refers to the slippage or pinching coefficient 'v' shown in Fig. 2-8b. The effect of introducing that parameter is to reduce the target maximum point after crossing the x-axis. If pinching effects are to be ignored, input a large value for HS (>1) which then forces the yield strength value to be the new maximum point.

HB or ' $\beta$ ' is the rate of strength degradation (Fig. 2-8c). More details on this parameter is outlined in Sections 2.3 and 2.4.

Also note that program IDARC computes the value of the parameter ' $\beta$ ' for each inelastic component using the formulation described in Section 2.3. Any input for this value will override the defaults computed by the program. If the user should choose to use the defaults, then a zero input is necessary for 'HB'.

Finally, HP defines the post-yielding stiffness ratio. Typical values of this parameter for reinforced concrete vary from 0.01 to 0.02.

NEXT CARD: COLUMN PARAMETERS

NOTE: SKIP THIS INPUT IF STRUCTURE HAS NO COLUMNS

KHYSC(I), I=1, NCOL

Type of hysteretic property for each column

NOTES: For each of NCOL columns, input the number corresponding to the hysteresis rule that is to be used from the hysteretic model parameters input in set #2 above.

NEXT CARD: BEAM PARAMETERS

NOTE: SKIP THIS INPUT IF STRUCTURE HAS NO BEAMS

KHYSB(I),I=1,NBEM

Type of hysteretic property for each beam

NOTES: Specify the hysteresis rule to be used for each of the NBEM beams.

NEXT CARD

NOTE: SKIP THIS INPUT IF STRUCTURE HAS NO SHEAR WALLS

KHYSW(NWAL, 1), KHYSW(NWAL, 2)

NOTES: For each of the NWAL shear walls in the structure, two hysteretic rules are to be defined. The first defines the flexural behavior while the second defines the shear behavior.

### SET Q: SUBSTRUCTURE INFORMATION

**CARD #1:** SUBSTRUCTURE CONTROL

ISUB

Substructure analysis control: ISUB = Frame number for substructure analysis = 0, no substructure analysis

NOTES: If no substructure analysis is required, input ISUB=0 and STOP HERE.

Else, input the i-coordinate position of the frame where the substructure is located.

NOTE: ONLY ONE SUBSTRUCTURE CAN BE ANALYSED IN ONE RUN.

THE NEXT CARD NEED NOT BE INPUT IF ISUB .EQ. 0

**CARD #2:** SUBSTRUCTURE DETAILS

JSUB,LBSUB,CBSUB,LTSUB,	Substructure information:
CTSUB,KSUBX,KSUBY,KSUBR	JSUB = J-coordinate position of
	substructure
	= 0, whole length of frame is
	regarded as substructure
	LBSUB = Bottom story no. to be cut
	CBSUB = Position of lower boundary
	as a ratio of story height
	LTSUB = Top story no. to be cut
	CTSUB = Position of upper boundary
	as a ratio of story height
	KSUBX = 1, include horizontal
	component of response
	= 0, do not include horizontal
	component

- - = 0, do not include vertical component
- KSUBR = 1, include rotational component of response
  - = 0, do not include rotational component

NOTES:

The two possible types of substructures that can be analyzed by IDARC was outlined in Section 3.5. The first involves a subassemblage with a single vertical axis while the second involves the entire length of the frame.

In the former case, JSUB equals the j-coordinate location of the vertical axis, while in the latter JSUB is set to zero.

Fig. A-13 shows clearly the remaining input parameters needed to define the substructure.

KSUBX, KSUBY and KSUBR refer to the three components of response of the subassemblage. For more details on the meaning of the response see Section 3.5.

The boundary beams, computed by the program and listed in the output refer to the beams that form part of the substructure. (see Fig. A-13b) The program uses halflengths of these connecting beams in establishing the boundary forces.

END OF INPUT FOR FILE IDARC.DAT



## WAVE DATA - HORIZONTAL COMPONENT

FILE: WAVEH.DAT
WINPH(I),I=1,NDATA

Horizontal component of earthquake wave (NDATA points to be read sequentially.)

WAVE DATA - VERTICAL COMPONENT

FILE: WAVEV.DAT

NOTE: SKIP THIS INPUT IF IWV .EQ. 0

WINPV(I),I=1,NDATA Vertical component of earthquake wave (NDATA points to be read sequentially.)

NOTES: Accelerogram data may be input in any system of units. The accelerogram is scaled uniformly to achieve the specified peak value in card #1 of set '0'.

> Since data is read in free format, as many cards as necessary to read the entire wave must be input. The data points of the input wave may, therefore, be entered sequentially until the last (or NDATA) point.

#### A.2. Current Program Limits

The present version of program IDARC is available for use on DEC/ VAX operating systems.  $^{3}$ 

The use of fixed dimensions for the arrays of the main variables impose the following limitations on the current version of IDARC:

- Up to 50 beam elements, 50 column elements, 50 transverse beams, 50 edge columns and 20 shear walls;
- 2. Up to 200 global degrees of freedom;
- 3. A maximum of 10 stories;
- 4. A maximum of 10 j-coordinate locations per frame;
- 5. A maximum of 10 unique frames (i.e. NFR=10);
- 6. Up to 5 sets of duplicate frames;
- 7. Up to 10 different concrete types and 5 different steel types.

For buildings with more elements than specified above, it is necessary to change the dimensions of the appropriate arrays.

Two additional parameters that must be checked are the half-band width of the global stiffness matrix and the total number of degrees of freedom of the structure. Current limits are 200 degrees of freedom and a half-band width of 30.

A simple technique to approximate<sup>4</sup> these parameters is described below:

Half-Band Width = CMAX \* [NVLN(I)\*2 + 1]

- \_\_\_\_\_
- <sup>3</sup> A version for use on IBM mainframe systems will also be available.

Exact values can be easily determined by numbering the degrees of freedom.

where:

 $CMAX = | LTC (I) - LBC (I) |_{max} + 1$ 

LTC(I) and LBC(I) refer to the L-coordinate positions of the column that yields the absolute maximum difference. Typically, this difference is '1', however, for structures with columns extending beyond one story height without intercepting beams, this difference will be greater than unity. Hence, CMAX  $\geq 2$ .

where:

\_\_\_\_

NST = Number of stories.

The overall stiffness matrix is stored in the array OST(M,N) where:

M = 200, degrees of freedom N = 50, half-band width

This array dimension must be changed to the values computed (as described above) if M > 200 or N > 50.

A.3. File Creation and Execution

Data is read from a sequential input file where the data elements are separated by conventional delimiters. The following convention is adopted:

These fixed input filenames<sup>5</sup> are used:

5 User-specified files may be used with relatively simple modification of the OPEN and CLOSE file statements in the program.

IDARC.DAT is used for the sequential input of the structure and material data as per format details listed in Appendix A.1.

WAVEH.DAT is used to read the accelerogram data for the horizontal component of the earthquake wave.

WAVEV.DAT is used to read the accelerogram data for the vertical component of the wave. This file need be created only if the vertical component is included in the analysis.

Two output files<sup>5</sup> are generated:

- IDARC.OUT contains the descriptive input listing; and the results of the static, dynamic and damage analysis.
- 2. SUB.OUT contains the response of the substructure analysis (if active).

The execution of the program on the DEC/VAX computer at the State University of New York at Buffalo involved the following steps:

#### STEP A: INSTALLATION

- 1. Compilation of the program using FORTRAN-77 code.
- 2. Linking the OBJECT code to the usual FORTRAN libraries.

The above steps create the executable code necessary for running IDARC.

\*\*\*\*\* THIS PROCEDURE IS ESSENTIAL FOR INSTALLATION ONLY \*\*\*\*\*

### STEP B: RUNNING IDARC

IDARC may be run on-line on a remote or virtual terminal using the RUN command; or executed as a BATCH job using the SUBMIT command procedure.

It is preferable to run the dynamic and damage analysis option in the BATCH mode.

The program creates a new version of the output files for each run.

## APPENDIX B INPUT/OUTPUT DESCRIPTION

#### B.1 Input Details

The input details for the sample analysis of the seven story building are listed in the Appendix Listing B.3.

The input corresponds to the format outlined in the INPUT FORMAT guide (Appendix A.1). Each input set is identified at the right of the data input by comments which make reference to the INPUT FORMAT guide.

#### B.2. Output Details

Although the output is rather self-explanatory, a brief description of each output section is given below. Each section is identified by a number labeled on the output itself.

- OUTPUT 1: Lists input data relating to title, building configuration, base shear estimate and system of units.
- OUTPUT 2: Lists input data on the height and weight of floor levels, the location of the j-coordinate positions and the material properties of steel and concrete.
- OUTPUT 3: Lists input information on properties of all column element types.
- OUTPUT 4: Lists input information on properties of all beam element types.
- OUTPUT 5: Lists input information on properties of all shear wall element types.
- OUTPUT 6: Lists input information on properties of all edgecolumn element types.

B-1

- OUTPUT 7: Lists input information on properties of all transverse beam element types.
- OUTPUTS 8-12: Lists input data on the nodal connectivity of all columns, beams, shear walls, edge columns and transverse beams respectively.
- OUTPUT 13: Shows the view (in plan) of the j-coordinate locations of all unique (NFR) frames
- OUTPUT 14: Shows a qualitative view of the elevation of each of the NFR frames along with element type numbers.
- OUTPUT 15: Begins the printing of results. Listed here are the fundamental period of the structure, the maximum base shear coefficient and the corresponding top deformation of the building (expressed as a percent of the total building height)
- OUTPUT 16: Shows the variation of the base shear coefficient as a function of the top deformation (again, this deformation is expressed as percent of the total building height).
- OUTPUT 17: Displays the information listed in OUTPUT 16 in graphical form.
- OUTPUT 18: Shows the failure mode of the structure. The final state of stress at each critical section is printed. The stress states are marked as (E)lastic, (C)racked (Y)ielded.
- OUTPUTS 19 23: Lists the strength parameters of all columns, beams, shear walls, edge columns and transverse beams respectively.

OUTPUT 24: Begins the dynamic analysis option by printing all related data on the input base motion.

OUTPUT 25: Lists the output control information.

- OUTPUT 26: Lists the properties of the hysteretic rule followed by the associated rule numbers for each column, beam and shear wall element respectively.
- OUTPUT 27: Lists the maximum response values for each floor level of the structure.
- OUTPUT 28: Will vary depending upon the type of output selected by the user. The control parameters have been defined in OUTPUT 25. In the present example this output section lists the displacement, story drift and shear for the 7th story at the specified output time interval.
- OUTPUT 29: Shows the final stress state of each frame at the completion of the dynamic response analysis.
- OUTPUTS 30-32: Lists the parameters computed for the damage index analysis for each column, beam and shear wall element respectively.
- OUTPUTS 33-35: Lists the damage index and energy ratio values for each column, beam and shear wall element respectively. The energy ratio corresponds to the weighting factor described in Section II.
- OUTPUT 36: Shows the damage index values and their corresponding energy ratios for each element in each frame. This graphical view essentially repeats the information listed in OUTPUTS 33-35.

OUTPUT 37: Lists the damage index values and corresponding energy

в-3

ratios at each story level. The vertical elements (viz., columns and shear walls) have been separated from the horizontal elements (beams).

Finally, the damage index for the total structure is printed.

OUTPUT 38: Provides listing from file SUB.OUT which provides the input information and final response of the selected substructure.

# B.3 INPUT DATA

ANALYSIS OF SEVEN STORY BUILDING	
23,30,7,7,3	
42,35,7,28,28	Set A
0.4	
350.0,650.0,950.0,1250.0,1550.0,1850.0,2150.0	
2 1	
4.3	
0.0,600.0,1100.0,1700.0	
0.0,850.0,1700.0	Set B
1,.289,238.,.218,0.0	
2,.292,236.,.240,0.0	
3, .274, 221., .228, 0.0	Set C
4,.230,211.,.223,0.0	
6. 144 139185.0.0	
7189.174192.0.0	
8,.302,239.,.28,0.0	
1,3.87,5.67,1840.,0.0,1.8	
2,3.65,5.73,1710.,0.0,1.68	Set D
3,3.53,5.75,1850.,0.0,1.23	
4,3.94,U.U,193U.,U.,U.	
1 1 3 47 5 50 0 50 0 6 0 11 61 21 0 0 310 0 77 350 0 0	0.25.0
2.1.3.101.8.5050.0.6.0.11.61.21.0.0.310.0.77.350.0.0.4	0.25.0
3, 1, 3, 44.7, 50.0, 50.0, 6.0, 11.61, 21.0, 0.310, 0.77, 350.0, 0.1	0,25.0
4, 2, 3, 40.1, 50.0, 50.0, 6.0, 11.61, 21.0, 0.310, 0.77, 300.0, 25	.0,25.0
5,2,3,86.0,50.0,50.0,6.0,11.61,21.0,0.310,0.77,300.0,25	.0,25.0
6,2,3,37.8,50.0,50.0,6.0,11.61,21.0,0.310,0.77,300.0,25	.0,25.0
/, 3, 3, 3 3, 3 0, 0, 50, 0, 50, 0, 11, 61, 21, 0, 0, 310, 0, 77, 300, 0, 25	0.25.0
9 3 3 31 4 50 0 50 0 6 0 11 61 21 0 0 310 0 77 300 0 25	.0.25.0
10,4,3,26.5,50.0,50.0,6.0,11.61,21.0,0.310,0.77,300.0,2	5.0,25.0
11,4,3,56.9,50.0,50.0,6.0,11.61,21.0,0.310,0.77,300.0,2	5.0,25.0
12,4,3,25.0,50.0,50.0,6.0,11.61,21.0,0.310,0.77,300.0,2	5.0,25.0
13,5,3,19.7,50.0,50.0,6.0,11.61,21.0,0.310,0.77,300.0,2	5.0,25.0
14,5,3,42.3,50.0,50.0,6.0,11.61,21.0,0.310,0.77,300.0,2	5.0,25.0
15,5,3,18,0,50,0,50,0,0,0,11,01,21,0,0,310,0,77,300,0,2 16,6,3,12,0,50,0,50,0,6,0,11,61,21,0,0,310,0,77,300,0,2	5.0,25.0
17.6.3.27.7.50.0.50.0.6.0.11.61.21.0.0.310.0.77.300.0.2	5.0.25.0
18,6,3,12.2,50.0,50.0,6.0,11.61,21.0,0.310,0.77,300.0,2	5.0,25.0
19,7,3,06.1,50.0,50.0,6.0,11.61,21.0,0.310,0.77,300.0,2	5.0,25.0
20,7,3,13.1,50.0,50.0,6.0,11.61,21.0,0.310,0.77,300.0,2	5.0,25.0
21,7,3,05.8,50.0,50.0,6.0,11.61,21.0,0.310,0.77,300.0,2	5.0,25.0
22,8,5,20.5,50.,50.,6.2,22.64,29.4,0.31,0.77,300.,25.,2	5.

Set E

в-6
1,1,2,-500.,-500.,50.,30.,200.,12.,6.,5.74,24.3,6.,84.,0.477,1.06,600.,25.,25. 2,1,2,-390.,-390.,50.,30.,200.,12.,6.,5.74,24.3,6.,84.,0.477,1.06,500.,25.,25. 3,1,2,-955.,-955.,50.,30.,300.,12.,6.,5.74,31.43,6.,114.,0.477,1.06,850.,25.,275. 4,1,2,-955.,-955.,50.,30.,300.,12.,6.,5.74,31.43,6.,114.,0.477,1.06,850.,275.,25. 5,2,2,-500.,-500.,50.,30.,200.,12.,6.,5.74,24.3,6.,84.,0.477,1.06,600.,25.,25. 6,2,2,-390.,-390.,50.,30.,200.,12.,6.,5.74,24.3,6.,84.,0.477,1.06,500.,25.,25. 7,2,2,-955.,-955.,50.,30.,300.,12.,6.,5.74,31.43,6.,114.,0.477,1.06,850.,25.,275. 8,2,2,-955.,-955.,50.,30.,300.,12.,6.,5.74,31.43,6.,114.,0.477,1.06,850.,275.,25. 9,3,2,-500.,-500.,50.,30.,200.,12.,6.,5.74,24.3,6.,84.,0.477,1.06,600.,25.,25. 10,3,2,-390.,-390.,50.,30.,200.,12.,6.,5.74,24.3,6.,84.,0.477,1.06,500.,25.,25. 11,3,2,-955.,-955.,50.,30.,300.,12.,6.,5.74,31.43,6.,114.,0.477,1.06,850.,25.,275. 12,3,2,-955.,-955.,50.,30.,300.,12.,6.,5.74,31.43,6.,114.,0.477,1.06,850.,275.,25. 13,4,2,-500.,-500.,50.,30.,200.,12.,6.,5.74,24.3,6.,84.,0.477,1.06,600.,25.,25. 14,4,2,-390.,-390.,50.,30.,200.,12.,6.,5.74,24.3,6.,84.,0.477,1.06,500.,25.,25. Set F 15,4,2,-955.,-955.,50.,30.,300.,12.,6.,5.74,31.43,6.,114.,0.477,1.06,850.,25.,275. 16,4,2,-955.,-955.,50.,30.,300.,12.,6.,5.74,31.43,6.,114.,0.477,1.06,850.,275.,25. 17,5,2,-500.,-500.,50.,30.,200.,12.,6.,5.74,24.3,6.,84.,0.477,1.06,600.,25.,25. 18,5,2,-390.,-390.,50.,30.,200.,12.,6.,5.74,24.3,6.,84.,0.477,1.06,500.,25.,25. 19,5,2,-955.,-955.,50.,30.,300.,12.,6.,5.74,31.43,6.,114.,0.477,1.06,850.,25.,275. 20,5,2,-955.,-955.,50.,30.,300.,12.,6.,5.74,31.43,6.,114.,0.477,1.06,850.,275.,25. 21,6,2,-500.,-500.,50.,30.,200.,12.,6.,5.74,24.3,6.,84.,0.477,1.06,600.,25.,25. 22,6,2,-390.,-390.,50.,30.,200.,12.,6.,5.74,24.3,6.,84.,0.477,1.06,500.,25.,25. 23,6,2,-955.,-955.,50.,30.,300.,12.,6.,5.74,31.43,6.,114.,0.477,1.06,850.,25.,275. 24,6,2,-955.,-955.,50.,30.,300.,12.,6.,5.74,31.43,6.,114.,0.477,1.06,850.,275.,25. 25,7,2,-500.,-500.,50.,30.,200.,12.,6.,5.74,24.3,6.,84.,0.477,1.06,600.,25.,25. 26,7,2,-390.,-390.,50.,30.,200.,12.,6.,5.74,24.3,6.,84.,0.477,1.06,500.,25.,25. 27,7,2,-955.,-955.,50.,30.,300.,12.,6.,5.74,31.43,6.,114.,0.477,1.06,850.,25.,275. 28,7,2,-955.,-955.,50.,30.,300.,12.,6.,5.74,31.43,6.,114.,0.477,1.06,850.,275.,25. 29,8,4,-500.,-500.,50.,30.,187.5,12.5,6.2,8.59,26.28,6.,56.7,0.477,1.06,600.,25.,25. 30,8,4,-390.,-390.,50.,30.,187.5,12.5,6.2,8.59,26.28,6.,56.7,0.477,1.06,600.,25.,25. 1, 1, 1, 269.9, 500., 20., 0.358, 0.358, 50., 50., 30.96, 350. 2,2,1,228.1,500.,20.,0.358,0.358,50.,50.,30.96,300. 3,3,1,189.4,500.,20.,0.358,0.358,50.,50.,30.96,300. 4,4,1,150.8,500.,20.,0.358,0.358,50.,50.,30.96,300. 5,5,1,112.2,500.,20.,0.358,0.358,50.,50.,30.96,300. 6, 6, 1, 73.5, 500., 20., 0.358, 0.358, 50., 50., 30.96, 300. 7,7,1,34.9,500.,20.,0.358,0.358,50.,50.,30.96,300. 1,1,3,37.9,266.7,15.,8.,350.,0. 2,2,3,32.0,266.7,15.,8.,350.,0. 3,3,3,26.6,266.7,15.,8.,350.,0. Set H 4,4,3,21.2,266.7,15.,8.,350.,0. 5,5,3,15.7,266.7,15.,8.,350.,0. 6,6,3,10.3,266.7,15.,8.,350.,0. 7,7,3,4.9,266.7,15.8.,350.0. 1,3.45,28000.,-250. Set 1 2,3.45,28000.,250. 3,0.0,28000.,0.0

Set G

1,1,1,1,0,1	
2,2,1,2,0,1	
3,2,1,3,0,1	
4,1,1,4,0,1	
5,3,2,1,0,1	
6,3,2,3,0,1	
7,4,1,1,1,2	
8,5,1,2,1,2	
9,5,1,3,1,2	
10,4,1,4,1,2	
11,6,2,1,1,2	
12,6,2,3,1,2	
13,7,1,1,2,3	
14,8,1,2,2,3	
15,8,1,3,2,3	
15,7,1,4,2,3	
17,9,2,1,2,3	
18,9,2,3,2,3	
19,10,1,1,3,4	
20,22,1,2,3,4	
21,11,1,3,3,4	
22,10,1,4,3,4	
23, 12, 2, 1, 3, 4	
24,12,2,3,3,4	
25,13,1,1,4,5	
20,23,1,2,4,5	
21,14,1,3,4,5	
28,13,1,4,4,5	
29,13,2,1,4,3	
30,13,2,3,4,3	
22 17 1 2 5 6	
33 17 1 3 5 6	
34 16 1 4 5 6	
35 18 2 1 5 6	
36 18 2 3 5 6	
37 19 1 1 6 7	
38.20.1.2.6.7	
39.20.1.3.6.7	
40.19.1.4.6.7	
41.21.2.1.6.7	
42.21.2.3.6.7	

Set J

2,2,1,1,2,3 3,1,1,1,3,4 4,3,1,2,1,2
3,1,1,1,3,4
4.3.1.2.1.2
5,4,1,2,2,3
6,5,2,1,1,2
7,6,2,1,2,3
8,5,2,1,3,4
9,7,2,2,1,2
10,8,2,2,2,3
11,9.3.1.1.2
12,10,3,1,2,3
13,9,3,1,3,4
14,11,3,2,1,2
15,12,3,2,2,3
16.29.4.1.1.2
17,30,4,1,2,3
18.13.4.1.3.4
19.15.4.2.1.2
20.16.4.2.2.3
21.17.5.1.1.2
22.18.5.1.2.3
23.17.5.1.3.4
24.19.5.2.1.2
25.20.5.2.2.3
26.21.6.1.1.2
27.22.6.1.2.3
28.21.6.1.3.4
29.23.6.2.1.2
30,24,6,2,2,3
31,25,7,1,1,2
32,26,7,1,2,3
33,25,7,1.3.4
34,27,7,2,1,2
35,28,7,2,2,3

Set K

1,1,2,2,0,1	
2,2,2,2,1,2	
3,3,2,2,2,3	
4,4,2,2,3,4	
5,5,2,2,4,5	
6,6,2,2,5,6	
7,7,2,2,6,7	
1,1,1,1.0,1	
2,1,1,4,0,1	
3,1,2,1,0,1	1
4.1.2.3.0.1	
5,2,1,1,1,2	
6, 2, 1, 4, 1, 2	
7.2.2.1.1.2	
8,2,2,3,1,2	
9,3,1,1,2,3	
10,3,1,4,2,3	
11,3,2,1,2,3	
12,3,2,3,2,3	
13,4,1,1,3,4	
14,4,1,4,3,4	
15,4,2,1,3,4	
16,4,2,3,3,4	
17,5,1,1,4,5	
18,5,1,4,4,5	
19,5,2,1,4,5	
20,5,2,3,4,5	
21,6,1,1,5,6	
22,6,1,4,5,6	
23,6,2,1,5,6	
24,6,2,3,5,6	
25,7,1,1,6,7	
26,7,1,4,6,7	
27,7,2,1,6,7	
28,7,2,3,6,7	

Set L

Set M



Set N

Set O

 $\gamma \sim 1$ 

3 2.,1000.,.0,.015 2.,1000.,.0,.015 .01,.01,0.0,.015 1,3 1,3 Set P 1,3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1,3 1 3,4,0.5,5,0.5,1,0,0 Set Q \$

#### FILE: WAVEH.DAT

-0.60 -0.50 6.60 21.00 32.70 34.90 0.10 1.30 1.90 1.60 33.80 34.60 22.70 5.10 -7.60 -10.10 -2.60 -3.80 -0.80 -6.60 -2.40 12.90 24.30 29.00 31.60 34.60 37.10 37.90 37.80 36.70 29.10 13.70 1.50 -6.60 -12.10 -16.30 -14.80 -13.70 -27.10 -42.70 -50.40 -40.30 -19.20 -13.20 -30.30 -53.30 -66.70 -74.50 -79.80 -66.30 -44.40 -28.40 -34.90 -32.20 -4.10 33.90 74.20 104.00 119.00 123.90 109.00 90.30 75.50 66.30 57.10 50.20 44.00 32.80 1.70 -34 -62.20 -72.60 -77.20 -82.60 -95.60 -111.00 -119.00 -115.00 -96.80 -82.50 -73.80 -62.50 -56.40 -76.30 -97.50 -121.00 -130.00 -134.00 -140.00 -145.00 -138.00 -111.00 -79.00 -43.70 -13.60 14.90 40.70 67.40 94.00 126.00 . 4.50 3.50 4.80 9.40 8.00 1.60 -4.00 -4.10 5.30 1.50 -0.20 -8.60 -12.30 -12.50 1.30 1.50 2.30 2.60 -2.70 0.00 -13.90 -17.70 -25.00 -31.90 -37.80 -44.30 -48.00 -45.70 -40.30 -36.50 -33.90 -39.70 -45.80 -46.30 -43.60 -35.30 -34.50 -39.50 -33.90 -24.40 -13.10 12.70 22.40 23.80 17.70 6.90 6.20 11.30 13.30 0.90 13.20 7.00 -4.50 -15.10 -19.20 -18.00 -14.50 -6.80 -6.40 -9.70 -6.40 -0.70 8.90 15.30 20.30 18.00 12.00 7.80 2.30 -1.70-2.00 -0.50 -3.10 -9.70 -5.10 7.40 21.60 29.50 29.90 18.80

# APPENDIX B.4 OUTPUT OF RESULTS

	DDDDDDD	DODDDD	AAAAAA	AAAAAA	RRRRRR	RRRRRRR	2222222222222
шинни	DDDDDDD	0000000	AAAAAA	AAAAAAA	RRRRR	RRRRRRRR	000000000000000000000000000000000000000
II	DD	DD	AA 1	AA	RR	RR	CC
II	DD	00	AA	AA	RR	RR	CC
II	DD	DD	AA	AA	RR	RR	CC
II	DD	00	AA	AA	RR	RR	CC
II	DD	DD	AA	AA	RR	RR	CC
II	DD	DD	AA	AA	RR	RR	CC
II	00	DD	AA	AA	RR	RR	CC
II	DD	DD	AAAAAAA	AAAAAAA	RRRRR	RRRRRRR	CC
II	DD	DD	AAAAAAA	AAAAAA	RRRRR	RRRRRR	CC .
II	00	DD	AA	AA	RR	RR	CC
II	DD	DD	AA	AA	RR	RR	CC
II	00	DD	AA	AA	RR	RR	CC
II	DD	DD	AA	AA	RR	RR	CC
	DDDDDDD	0000000	AA	AA	RR	RR	000000000000000000000000000000000000000
нинини	0000000	000000	AA	AA	RR	RR	000000000000000000000000000000000000000

# INELASTIC DAMAGE ANALYSIS OF REINFORCED CONCRETE STRUCTURES

#### STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT BUFFALO DEPAREMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING

# OCTOBER 1986

INPUT DATA:

#### JOB TITLE: ANALYSIS OF SEVEN STORY BUILDING

# 

NUMBER OF STORIES	7 2
NO. OF TYPES OF CONCRETE	8
NO. OF TYPES OF STEEL	5

#### \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* ELEMENT INFORMATION \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

NO.	0F	TYPES	0F	COLUMNS	23
NO.	0F	TYPES	OF	BEAMS	30
NO.	0F	TYPES	0F	SHEAR WALLS	7
NO.	0F	TYPES	0F	EDGE COLUMNS	7
NO.	0F	TYPES	٥F	TRANSVERSE BEAMS	3

NUMBER	0F	COLUMNS	42
NUMBER	OF	BEAMS	35
NUMBER	0F	SHEAR WALLS	7
NUMBER	0F	EDGE COLUMNS	28
NUMBER	0F	TRANSVERSE BEAMS	28

#### ESTIMATED BASE SHEAR COEFFICIENT : 0.4 (% OF TOTAL WEIGHT)

#### SYSTEM OF UNITS: CMS, METRIC TONNES

# \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* STORY HEIGHT AND FLOOR WEIGHTS \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

STORY	HEIGHT	FLOOR
	FROM BASE	WEIGHT
7	2150.000	152.900
6	1850.000	169.500
5	1550.000	169.500
4	1250.000	169.500
3	950.000	169.500
2	650.000	169.500
1	350.000	183.300

# \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* X CO-ORDINATE DISTANCE OF COLUMN FROM REFERENCE POINT \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

FRAME	COLUMN COORDINATE (IN ORDER)					
1	0.00	600.00	1100.00	1700.00		
2	0.00	850.00	1700.00			

#### 

TYPE	STRENGTH	MODULUS	STRAIN AT MAX STRENGTH (%)	BOND Strength
1	0.289	238.000	0.218	0.000
2	0.292	236.000	0.240	0.000
3	0.274	221.000	0.228	0.000
4	0.290	211.000	0.225	0.000
5	0.295	234.000	0.210	0.000
6	0.144	139.000	0.185	0.000
7	0.189	174.000	0.192	0.000
8	0.302	239.000	0.280	0.000

# \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* REINFORCEMENT PROPERTIES \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

TYPE	YIELD	ULTIMATE	YOUNGS	MODULUS AT	STRAIN AT
	STRENGTH	STRENGTH	MODULUS	HARDENING	HARDENING
1	3.870	5.670	1840.000	0.000	1.800
2	3.650	5.730	1710.000	0.000	1.680
3	3.530	5.750	1850.000	0.000	1.230
4	3.940	0.000	1930.000	0.000	0.000
5	4.310	0.000	1530.000	0.000	0.000
		OUTE	PUT 2		

COLUMN Type	CONCRETE TYPE	STEEL TYPE	DEPTH	WIDTH	COVER	LENGTH	RIGID ZONE	RIGID Zone
							(BOT)	(TOP)
1	1	3	50.000	50.000	6.000	350.000	0.000	25.000
2	1	3	50.000	50.000	6.000	350.000	0.000	25.000
3	1	3	50.000	50.000	6.000	350.000	0.000	25.000
4	2	3	50.000	50.000	6.000	300.000	25.000	25.000
5	2	3	<b>50.0</b> 00	50.000	6.000	300.000	25.000	25.000
6	2	3	50.000	50.000	6.000	300.000	25.000	25.000
7	3	3	50.000	50.000	6.000	300.000	25.000	25.000
8	3	3	50.000	50.000	6.000	300.000	25.000	25.000
9	3	3	50.000	50.000	6.000	300.000	25.000	25.000
10	4	3	50.000	50.000	6.000	300.000	25.000	25.000
11	4	3	50.000	50.000	6.000	300.000	25.000	25.000
12	4	3	50.000	50.000	6.000	300.000	25.000	25.000
13	5	3	50.000	50.000	6.000	300.000	25.000	25.000
14	5	3	50.000	50.000	6.000	300.000	25.000	25.000
15	5	3	50.000	50.000	6.000	300.000	25.000	25.000
16	6	3	50.000	50.000	6.000	300.000	25.000	25.000
17	6	3	50.000	50.000	6.000	300.000	25.000	25.000
18	6	3	50.000	50.000	6.000	300.000	25.000	25.000
19	7	3	50.000	50.000	6.000	300.000	25.000	25.000
20	7	3	50.000	50.000	6.000	300.000	25.000	25.000
21	7	3	50.000	50.000	6.000	300.000	25.000	25.000
22	8	5	50.000	50.000	6.200	300.000	25.000	25.000
23	8	5	50.000	50.000	6.200	300.000	25.000	25.000

OUTPUT 3

# \*\*\*\*\* AXIAL LOAD AND REINFORCEMENT OF COLUMNS \*\*\*\*\*

TYPE	AXIAL	STEEL	PERIMETER	WEB REINF	CONFINEMENT
	LOAD	AREA	OF BARS	RATIO	RATIO
1	47.500	11.610	21.0000	0.3100	0.7700
2	101.800	11.610	21.0000	0.3100	0.7700
3	44.700	11.610	21.0000	0.3100	0.7700
4	40.100	11.610	21.0000	0.3100	0.7700
5	86.000	11.610	21.0000	0.3100	0.7700
6	37.800	11.610	21.0000	0.3100	0.7700
7	33.300	11.610	21.0000	0.3100	0.7700
8	71.500	11.610	21.0000	0.3100	0.7700
9	31.400	11.610	21.0000	0.3100	0.7700
10	26.500	11.610	21.0000	0.3100	0.7700
11	56.900	11.610	21.0000	0.3100	0.7700
12	25.000	11.610	21.0000	0.3100	0.7700
13	19.700	11.610	21.0000	0.3100	0.7700
14	42.300	11.610	21.0000	0.3100	0.7700
15	18.600	11.610	21.0000	0.3100	0.7700
16	12.900	11.610	21.0000	0.3100	0.7700
17	27.700	11.610	21.0000	0.3100	0.7700
18	12.200	11.610	21.0000	0.3100	0.7700
19	6.100	11.610	21.0000	0.3100	0.7700
20	13.100	11.610	21.0000	0.3100	0.7700
21	5.800	11.610	21.0000	0.3100	0.7700
22	20.500	22.640	29.4000	0.3100	0.7700
23	17.300	22.640	29.4000	0.3100	0.7700

OUTPUT 3 (CONT'D)

BEAM TYPE	CONCRETE TYPE	STEEL TYPE	DEPTH	WIDTH	SLAB WIDTH	SLAB THICKNESS	COVER	MEMBER Length	RIGID ZONE (LEFT)	RIGID ZONE (RIGHT)
1	1	2	50.000	30.000	200.000	12.000	6.000	600.000	25,000	25,000
2	1	2	50.000	30.000	200.000	12.000	6.000	500.000	25.000	25.000
3	1	2	50.000	30.000	300.000	12.000	6.000	850.000	25.000	275.000
- 4	1	2	50.000	30.000	300.000	12.000	6.000	850.000	275.000	25.000
5	2	2	50.000	30.000	200.000	12.000	6.000	600.000	25.000	25.000
6	2	2	50.000	30.000	200.000	12.000	6.000	500.000	25.000	25.000
7	2	2	50.000	30.000	300.000	12.000	6.000	850.000	25.000	275.000
8	2	2	50.000	30.000	300.000	12.000	6.000	850.000	275.000	25.000
9	3	2	50.000	30.000	200.000	12.000	6.000	<b>600.00</b> 0	25.000	25.000
10	3	2	50.000	30.000	200.000	12.000	6.000	500.000	25.000	25.000
11	3	2	50.000	30.000	300.000	12.000	6.000	850.000	25.000	275.000
12	. 3	2	50.000	30.000	300.000	12.000	6.000	850.000	275.000	25.000
13	4	2	50.000	30.000	200.000	12.000	6.000	600.000	25.000	25.000
14	4	2	50.000	30.000	200.000	12.000	6.000	500.000	25.000	25.000
15	4	2	50.000	30.000	300.000	12.000	6.000	850.000	25.000	275.000
16	4	2	50.000	30.000	300.000	12.000	6.000	850.000	275.000	25.000
17	5	2	50.000	30.000	200.000	12.000	6.000	600.000	25.000	25.000
18	5	2	50.000	30,000	200.000	12.000	6.000	500.000	25.000	25.000
19	5	2	50.000	30.000	300.000	12.000	6.000	850.000	25.000	275.000
20	5	2	50.000	30.000	300,000	12.000	6.000	850.000	275.000	25.000
21	6	2	50.000	30.000	200.000	12.000	6.000	600.000	25.000	25.000
22	6	2	50.000	30.000	200.000	12.000	6.000	500.000	25.000	25.000
23	6	2	50.000	30.000	300.000	12.000	6.000	850.000	25.000	275.000
24	6	2	50.000	30.000	300.000	12.000	6.000	850.000	275.000	25.000
25	7	2	50.000	30.000	200.000	12.000	6.000	600.000	25.000	25.000
26	· . 7	2	50.000	30.000	200.000	12.000	6.000	500.000	25.000	25.000
27	7	2	50.000	30.000	300.000	12.000	6.000	850.000	25.000	275.000
28	7	2	50.000	30.000	300.000	12.000	6.000	850.000	275.000	25.000
29	8	4	50.000	30.000	187.500	12.500	6.200	600.000	25.000	25.000
30	8	4	50.000	30.000	187.500	12.500	6.200	600.000	25.000	25.000

\*\*\*\*\* INITIAL MOMENTS AND REINFORCEMENT OF BEAMS \*\*\*\*\*

BEAM Type	MOMENT (LEFT)	MOMENT (RIGHT)	STEEL AREA (BOTTOM)	STEEL AREA (TOP)	PERIMETER OF BARS (BOT)	PERIMETER OF BARS (TOP)	WEB REINF RATIO	CONFINEMENT RATIO
1	-500.000	-500.000	5.740	24.300	6.0000	84.0000	0.477	1.0600
2	-390.000	-390.000	5.740	24.300	6.0000	84.0000	0.477	1.0600
3	-955.000	-955.000	5.740	31.430	6.0000	114.0000	0.477	1.0600
4	-955.000	-955.000	5.740	31.430	6.0000	114.0000	0.477	1.0600
5	-500.000	-500.000	5.740	24.300	6.0000	84.0000	0.477	1.0600
6	-390.000	-390.000	5.740	24.300	6.0000	84.0000	0.477	1.0600
7	-955.000	-955.000	5.740	31.430	6.0000	114.0000	0.477	1.0600
8	-955.000	-955.000	5.740	31.430	6.0000	114.0000	0.477	1.0600
9	-500.000	-500.000	5.740	24.300	6.0000	84.0000	0.477	1.0600
10	-390.000	-390.000	5.740	24.300	6.0000	84.0000	0.477	1.0600
11	-955.000	-955.000	5.740	31.430	6.0000	114.0000	0.477	1.0600
12	-955.000	-955.000	5.740	31.430	6.0000	114.0000	0.477	1.0600
13	-500.000	-500.000	5.740	24.300	6.0000	84.0000	0.477	1.0600
14	-390.000	-390.000	5.740	24.300	6.0000	84.0000	0.477	1.0600
15	-955.000	-955.000	5.740	31.430	6.0000	114.0000	0.477	1.0600
16	-955.000	-955.000	5.740	31.430	6.0000	114.0000	0.477	1.0600
17	-500.000	-500.000	5.740	24.300	6.0000	84.0000	0.477	1.0500
18	-390.000	-390.000	5.740	24.300	6.0000	84.0000	0.477	1.0600
19	-955.000	-955.000	5.740	31.430	6.0000	114.0000	0.477	1.0600
20	-955.000	-955.000	5.740	31.430	6.0000	114.0000	0.477	°.0600
21	-500.000	-500.000	5.740	24.300	6.0000	84.0000	0.477	1.0600
22	-390.000	-390.000	5.740	24.300	6.0000	84.0000	0.477	1.0600
23	-955.000	-955.000	5.740	31.430	6.0000	114.0000	0.477	1.0600
24	-955.000	-955.000	5.740	31.430	6.0000	114.0000	0.477	1.0600
25	-500.000	-500.000	5.740	24.300	6.0000	84.0000	0.477	1.0600
26	-390.000	-390.000	5.740	24.300	6.0000	84.0000	0.477	1.0600
27	-955.000	-955.000	5.740	31.430	6.0000	114.0000	0.477	1.0600
28	-955.000	-955.000	5.740	31.430	6.0000	114.0000	0.477	1.0600
29	-500.000	-500.000	8.590	26.280	6.0000	56.7000	0.477	1.0600
30	-390.000	-390.000	8.590	25.280	6.0000	56.7000	0.477	1.0600

OUTPUT 4 (CONT'D)

# \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* SHEAR WALL TYPES \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

WALL TYPE	CONCRETE TYPE	STEEL TYPE	DIST BET. EDGE COLS	WALL THICKNESS	DEPTH OF EDGE COL	WIDTH OF EDGE COL	DEPTH OF WALL
1	1	1	500.000	20.000	50.000	50.000	350.000
2	2	. 1	500.000	20.000	50.000	50.000	300.000
3	3	1	500.000	20.000	50.000	50.000	300.000
4	4	1	500.000	20.000	50.000	50.000	300.000
5	5	1	500.000	20.000	50.000	50.000	300.000
6	6	1	500.000	20.000	50.000	50.000	300.000
7	7	1	500.000	20.000	50.000	50.000	300.000

# \*\*\*\*\* AXIAL LOAD AND REINFORCEMENT OF SHEAR WALLS \*\*\*\*\*

WALL TYPE	AXIAL LOAD	VERTICAL REINF RATIO	HORIZONTAL REINF RATIO	GROSS Steel Area In Edge Col
1	269.900	0.3580	0.3580	30.9600
2	228.100	0.3580	0.3580	30.9600
3	189.400	0.3580	0.3580	30.9600
4	150.800	0.3580	0.3580	30.9600
5	112.200	0.3580	0.3580	30.9600
6	73.500	0.3580	0.3580	30.9600
1	34.900	0.3580	0.3580	30.9600

TYPE	CONCRETE TYPE	STEEL TYPE	AXIAL LOAD	DEPTH	WIDTH	GROSS STEEL AREA	MEMBER Length	ARM Length
1	1	3	37.900	266.700	15.000	8,000	350.000	0.000
2	2	3	32.000	266.700	15.000	8.000	350.090	0.000
3	3	3	26.600	266.700	15.000	8.000	350.000	0.000
4	4	3	21.200	266.700	15.000	8.000	350.000	0.000
5	5	3	15.700	266.700	15.000	8.000	350.000	0.000
6	6	3	10.300	266.700	15.000	8.000	350.000	0.000
7	7	3	4.900	266.700	15.000	8.000	350.000	0.000

OUTPUT 6

# 

TYPE	STIFFNESS	STIFFNESS (TORSIONAL)	ARM LENGTH
1	3.450	28000.000	-250.000
2	3.450	28000.000	250.000
3	0.000	28000.000	0.000

# \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* COLUMN ELEMENTS \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

COL. NO.	TYPE	I-COORD	J-COORD	L-COORD (BOT)	L-COORD (TOP)
1	1	1	1	4	· 1
2	2	1	2	0	1
3	2	1	3	0	. 1
4	1	1	4	0	1
5	3	2	1	0	1
6	3	2	3	0	1
7	4	1	1	1	2
8	5	1	2	. 1	2
9	5	1	3	1	2
10	4	1	- 4	. 1	2
11	6	2	1	1	2
12	6	2	3	1	2
13	7	1	1	2	. 3
14	8	1	2	2	3
15	8	1	3	2	3
16	7	1	4	2	3
17	9	2	1	2	3
18	9	2	3	2	3
19	10	1	1	3	
20	22	1	2	3	Á
21	11	1	. 3	3	4
22	10	1	4	3	A
23	12	2	1	3	Á
24	12	2	3	3	· · · · ·
25	13	1	1	Å	5
26	23	1	2	4	5
27	14	1	3	· .	Š
28	13	· 1	Å		Š.
29	15	,	1		Š
30	15	2	3	4	5
31	16	1		- 5	6
32	17	1	2	5	5
33	17	1		5	ŝ
34	16	, 1	ů ř	ٽ ج	a
35	18	2	1	5	6
36	18	2	3		2
27	10	1	5 1	J	. 7
20	13	1	י ס	0 0	
20	20	1	۲ ۲	0 	7
33	10	1	5 1		1
4U 44	13	1	÷ 1	. 0	- 1
. 41	21	2	· •	0	
42	21	2	3	6	1

BEAM NO.	TYPE	L-COORD	I-COORD	J-COORD (LEFT)	J-COORD (RIGHT)	
1	1	. 1	1	. 1	2	
2	2	1	1	2	3	
3	1	1	1	3	4	
4	3	1	2	1	2	
5	4	. 1	2	2	3	
6	5	2	1	1	2	
7	6	2	1	2	3	
8	5	2	1	3	4	
9	1	2	2	1	2	
10	8	2	2	2	3	
11	9	3	1	1	2	
12	10	3	1	2	3	
13	9	3	1	3	4	
14	11	3	2	1	2	
15	12	3	2	2	3	
16	29	4	1	1	2	
17	30	4	1	2	3	
18	13	. 4	1	3	4	
19	15	4	2	1	2	
20	16	4	2	2	3	
21	17	5	1	1	2	
22	18	5	1	2	3	
23	17	5	1	3	4	
24	19	5	2	1	2	
25	20	5	2	2	3	
26	21	δ	1	1	2	
27	22	6	1	2	3	
28	21	6	1	3	4	
29	23	6	2	1	2	
30	24	6	2	2	3	
31	25	7	1	1	2	
32	26	7 -	1	2	3	
33	25	7	1	3	4	
34	27	7	2	1	2	
35	28	7	2	2	3	

# \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* SHEAR WALL ELEMENTS \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

WALL NO.	TYPE	I-COORD	J-COORD	L-COORD (BOTTOM)	L-COORD (TOP)
1	1	2	2	0	1
2	2	2	2	1	2
3	3	2	2	2	3
4	4	2	2	3	4
5	5	2	2	4	5
6	6	2	2	5	6
1	7	2	2	6	7
		OUT	PUT 10		

# \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* EDGE COLUMN ELEMENTS \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

NO.	TYPE	I-COORD	J-COORD	L-COORD (BOTTOM)	L-COORD (TOP)
1	1	1	1	0	1
2	1	1	4	0	1
3	1	2	. 1	0	1
			3	0	1
5	2	1	1	1	2
8	2	1	4	1	2
7	2	2	1	1	2
8	2	2	3	1	2
9	3	1	1	2	3
10	3	1	4	2	3
11	3	2	1	2	3
12	3	2	- 3	2	. 3
13	4	1	1	3	4
14	4	1	4	3	4
15	4	2	1	3	- 4
16	- 4	2	3	3	4
17	5	1	1	4	5
18	5	1	4	4	5
19	5	2	1	4	5
20	5	2	3	4	5
21	6	1	1	5	6
22	6	1	. 4	5	6
23	6	2	1	5	6
24	6	2	3	5	6
25	7	1	1	6	7
26	7	1	4	6	7
27	7	2	1	6	7
28	7	2	3	6	7

OUTPUT 11

NO.	TYPE	L-COORD	I-COORD (SHEA	J-COORD R WALL)	I-COORD (CO	J-COORD LUMN)
		•	- -			
1	1	1	2	2	1	2
2	2	1	2	2	1	3
- 3	1	2	2	2	1	2
4	2	2	2	2	1	3
5	1	3	2	2	1	2
6	2	3	2	2	1	3
7	1	4	2	1. m.	1	2
8	2	4	2	с. Ц	1	3
9	1	5	2	2	1	2
10	2	5	2	2	1	3
11	1	6	2	2	1	2
12	2	6	2	2	1	3
13	1	7	2	2	1	2
14	2	. 7	2	2	1	3
15	3	1	1	1	2	1
16	3	1	1	4	2	3
17	3	2	1	1	2	1
18	3	2	1	4	2	3
19	3	3	1	1	2	1
20	3	3	1	4	2	3
21	3	4	1	1	2	1
22	3	Á	1	4	2	3
22	· 3	5	1	1	2	1
24	3	5	1	Å	2	3
25	3	6	1	1	2	1
20	3	6	1	4	2	2
20	Э	7	1	-	· · · · ·	1
21	3	1		4	2	2
20	3	i	1	4	2	3
			OUTPUT	12		

# \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* TRANSVERSE BEAM ELEMENTS \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

NO. OF THESE FRAMES ... 1

PLAN OF FRAME 1:

NO. OF THESE FRAMES ... 2

OUTPUT 13

# ELEVATION OF FRAME NO. 1

<b>.</b>					L
!I !I !I19 !I07 !I	25	26 20	! ! 20 !	25	! I ! I ! I 19 ! I 07 ! I
11 11 116 116 1106	21	22	! ! 17 !	21	I I I I I I I I I
!I !I !I13 !I05 !I	17	18 23	! ! 14 !	17	I I I I I I I I I
!I !I !I10 !I04 !I	29	30 22	! ! 11 !	13	I I I I I I I I I
!I !I !I07 !I03 !I	09	10 08	! ! ! 08 !	09	I I I I I I I I
!I !I !I04 !I02 !I	05	06 05	! ! 05 !	05	II II II II II II
11 11 1101 1101 11	01	02	! ! ! 02 !	01	I I I I I I I I I I I

NOTATION:

-	=	BEAM
!	=	COLUMN
W	=	SHEAR WALL
I	=	EDGE COLUMN

NUMBERS INDICATE ELEMENT TYPES	
COLUMN TYPE NUMBERS ON RIGHT	
SHEAR WALL NUMBERS ON LEFT, AND	
EDGE COLUMN NUMBERS BELOW COLUMN	TYPES

+		~+-		•+
! I	27	₩	28	!I
!I		Ħ.		!1
!I21		07₩		!I21
!107		W		107
! I		W		!I
+		+		+
!1	23	W	24	!I
11		W		!!
1118		06W		!118
1106		W		105
!1		₩		11
+	40	+ Li		-+ . 
11	13	. <b>П</b> Ш	20	11
1145		77 10214		11
1105		WCU เม		110
:100		77 10		100
:1		<b>n</b>		11 
+	15		16	ι. Γ
11	15	т Ш	10	11
1112		04W		1112
1104		04m 14		1104
1104 11				1104
+		+		• • • <b>•</b>
!1	11	¥	12	П
!1		W		11
109		03W		109
103		W		103
!!		Ŵ		!I
+		+		+
!1	07	W	08	!I
!!		W		!1
106!		02W		!106
! 102		W		102
!I		W		!I
+		+		·+
!1	03	W	04	!1
!!		W		11
103		01W		!I03 -
!101		W		! <b>10</b> 1
!1		W		!1

0101100

-	=	BEAM
!	=	COLUMN
¥	Ξ	SHEAR WALL
1	=	EDGE COLUMN

NUMBERS INDICATE ELEMENT TYPES COLUMN TYPE NUMBERS ON RIGHT SHEAR WALL NUMBERS ON LEFT, AND EDGE COLUMN NUMBERS BELOW COLUMN TYPES

NOTATION

\$

B-29

OUTPUT 14 (CONT'D)

# ACTIVE SYSTEM OF UNITS: CMS, METRIC TONNES

FUNDAMENTAL PERIOD OF STRUCTURE (SEC):	0.457
MAXIMUM BASE SHEAR COEFFICIENT:	0.370
MAXIMUM DEFORMATION AT TOP: (AS % OF BUILDING HEIGHT)	1.879

# \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* VARIATION OF BASE SHEAR VS. OVERALL DEFORMATION (PERCENT) \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

NO.	BASE SHEAR	OVERALL
	COEFFICIENT	DEFORMATION (%)
1	0.0100	0.0044
1	0.0100	0.0044
2	0.0200	0.0000
3	0.0300	0.0132
- <b>-</b>	0.0400	0.0170
s a	0.0500	0.0220
7	0.0000	0.0204
8	0.0800	0.0352
g	0.0900	0.0396
10	0.1000	0.0440
11	0.1100	0.0484
12	0.1200	0.0528
13	0.1300	0.0572
14	0.1400	0.0616
15	0.1500	0.0660
16	0.1600	0.0704
17	0.1700	0.0749
18	0.1800	0.0793
19	0.1900	0.0838
20	0.2000	0.0884
21	0.2100	0.0981
22	0.2200	0.1093
23	0.2300	0.1230
24	0.2400	0.1426
25	0.2500	0.1721
26	0.2600	0.2111
27	0.2700	0.2638
28	0.2800	0.3348
29	0.2900	0.4244
30	0.3000	0.5315
31	0.3100	U.65U4
32	U.3200	U. 7850
53	0.3300	U. 9536
54 20	0.3400	1.1849
33 26	0.3500	· [.4]0] 5 6475
30 27	U.3DUU	1.04/3
31	0.3700	1.0/95



\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* PLOT OF BASE SHEAR VS. TOP DEFORMATION \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

#### FAILURE MODE OF FRAME NO. 1

+Y	E+E	E+Y	E+
EI	Y	Y	ΥI
!!	!	- 1	!1
!C	Ì	1	!C
H	i	!	!1
EI	ε	Ŷ	EI
+Y	Y+Y	Y+Y	Y+
EI	Ε	Ε	EI
!!	!		11
1C	1	1	10
11	1	1	11
EI	Ε	ε	I3
+Y	Y+Y	Y+Y	Y+
EI	ε	Y	EI
11	!	1	!1
10	1	1	10
11	!	!	11
EI	E	E	EI
+Y	Y+Y	Y+Y	Y+
EI	Έ	E	EI
!I	!	- 1	11
10	1	ł	10
<u>11</u>	!	1	11
EI	Ε	E	EI
+Y	Y+Y	Y+Y	Y+
EI	Ε	E	EI
!I	!	!	!1
!C	!	!	!C
!1	!	!	!1
13	E	Ε	EI
+Y	Y+Y	Y+Y	Y+
EI	ε	ε	EI
!1	!	1	!1
!C	1	!	!C
!I	!	!	11
EI	£	E	EI
+Y	Y+Y	Y+Y	Y+
EI	Ε	E	EI
!I	1	ł	11-
!C	!	!	10
!1	!	1	!1
YI	Y	Y	YI

NOTATION:

- = BEAM ! = COLUMN

E	=	ELASTIC
C	=	CRACK
Y	Ξ	YIELD

W = SHEAR WALL Y I = EDGE COLUMN

# FAILURE MODE OF FRAME NO. 2

+Y	Y+Y	-Y+
ΥI	E	ΥI
! I	W	- <b>! I</b>
!C	£	!C
!I	W	!I
EI	8	EI
+Y	Y+Y	-Y+
EI	ε	EI
11	W	!1
1C	Ε	!C
!I	W	11
EI	Ε	EI
+Y	Y+Y	-Y+
EI	E	EI
! I	W	H
10	Ε	!C
!I	W. Contraction	II
13	ε	EI
+Y	Y+Y	-Y+
EI	E	EI
!I	W	!1
!C	ε	!C
! I	W	!I
٤I	Ε	EI
+Y	Y+Y	·Y+
EI	ε	EI
! I	W	Η
1C	£	!C
! I	W	!1
٤I	E	EI
+Y	Y+Y	-Y+
EI	E	13
! I	W	! I
!C	Ε	!C
Ι	W.	!I
EI	ε	EI
+Y	·Y+Y	-Y+
EI	5	EI
!I	₩	! I
!T	ε	!C
!I	W	!I
ΥI	Y	ΥI

NOTATION:

OUTPUT 18 (CONT'D)

-	Ξ	BEAM	Ε	Ξ	ELASTIC
1	z	COLUMN	C	=	CRACK
₩	Ξ	SHEAR WALL	Y	Ξ	YIELD
I	Ŧ	EDGE COLUMN			

#### OUTPUT NOTATION:

AXIAL STIFFNESS = (E A)/L ; TONNES/CM OR KIP/IN FLEXURAL STIFFNESS = (EI) ; TONNES/SQCM OR KSI

# \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* COLUMN PROPERTIES \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

NO.	MEMBER	AXIAL	CRACKING	YIELD	INITIAL	POST	YIELD
	LENGTH	STIFFNESS	MOMENT	MOMENT	FLEXURAL	YIELDING	CURVATURE
					STIFFNESS	STIFFNESS	
1	<sup>3</sup> 0.3252E+03	0.1703E+04	0.1562E+04	0.2549E+04	0.3065E+07	0.1659E+05	0.1267E-03
2	0.3252E+03	0.1703E+04	0.2016E+04	0.3499E+04	0.3065E+07	0.2677E+05	0.1416E-03
3	0.3252E+03	0.1703E+04	0.2016E+04	0.3499E+04	0.3065E+07	0.2675E+05	0.1419E-03
4	0.3252E+03	0.1703E+04	0.1562E+04	0.2549E+04	0.3065E+07	0.1647E+05	0.1222E-03
5	0.3252E+03	0.1703E+04	0.1539E+04	0.2497E+04	0.3065E+07	0.1576E+05	0.1224E-03
6	0.3252E+03	0.1703E+04	0.1539E+04	0.2497E+04	0.3065E+07	0.1570E+05	0.1248E-03
7	0.2502E+03	0.1970E+04	0.1508E+04	0.2413E+04	0.2820E+07	0.1419E+05	0.1515E-03
8	0.2502E+03	0.1970E+04	0.1891E+04	0.3238E+04	0.2820E+07	0.2381E+05	0.1656E-03
9	0.2502E+03	0.1970E+04	0.1891E+04	0.3238E+04	0.2820E+07	0.2381E+05	0.1656E-03
10	0.2502E+03	0.1970E+04	0.1508E+04	0.2413E+04	0.2820E+07	0.1421E+05	0.1495E-03
11	0.2502E+03	0.1970E+04	0.1489E+04	0.2370E+04	0.2820E+07	0.1410E+05	0.1512E-03
12	0.2502E+03	0.1970E+04	0.1489E+04	0.2370E+04	0.2820E+07	0.1410E+05	0.1531E-03
13	0.2502E+03	0.1845E+04	0.1423E+04	0.2277E+04	0.2657E+07	0.1329E+05	0.1583E-03
14	0.2502E+03	0.1845E+04	0.1742E+04	0.2968E+04	0.2657E+07	0.2135E+05	0.1728E-03
15	0.2502E+03	0.1845E+04	0.1742E+04	0.2968E+04	0.2657E+07	0.2137E+05	0.1709E-03
16	0.2502E+03	0.1845E+04	0.1423E+04	0.2277E+04	0.2657E+07	0.1329E+05	0.1595E-03
17	0.2502E+03	0.1845E+04	0.1407E+04	0.2241E+04	0.2657E+07	0.1329E+05	0.1608E-03
18	0.2502E+03	0.1845E+04	0.1407E+04	0.2241E+04	0.2657E+07	0.1329E+05	0.1610E-03
19	0.2502E+03	0.1761E+04	0.1406E+04	0.2155E+04	0.2549E+07	0.1275E+05	0.1540E-03
20	0.2502E+03	0.1995E+04	0.1439E+04	0.4146E+04	0.3003E+07	0.3048E+05	0.2776E-03
21	0.2502E+03	0.1761E+04	0.1660E+04	0.2721E+04	0.2549E+07	0.1813E+05	0.1724E-03
22	0.2502E+03	0.1761E+04	0.1406E+04	0.2155E+04	0.2549E+07	0.1275E+05	0.1614E-03
23	0.2502E+03	0.1761E+04	0.1393E+04	0.2126E+04	0.2549E+07	0.1275E+05	0.1608E-03
24	0.2502E+03	0.1761E+04	0.1393E+04	0.2126E+04	0.2549E+07	0.1275E+05	0.1604E-03
- 25	0.2502E+03	0.1953E+04	0.1345E+04	0.2027E+04	0.2798E+07	0.1399E+05	0.1519E-03
26	0.2502E+03	0.1995E+04	0.1412E+04	0.4089E+04	0.3003E+07	0.3043E+05	0.2802E-03
27	0.2502E+03	0.1953E+04	0.1533E+04	0.2456E+04	0.2798E+07	0.1460E+05	0.1596E-03
28	0.2502E+03	0.1953E+04	0.1345E+04	0.2027E+04	0.2798E+07	0.1399E+05	0.1460E-03
29	0.2502E+03	0.1953E+04	0.1336E+04	0.2005E+04	0.2798E+07	0.1399E+05	0.1446E-03
30	0.2502E+03	0.1953E+04	0.1336E+04	0.2005E+04	0.2798E+07	0.1399E+05	0.1450E-03
31	0.2502E+03	0.1160E+04	0.9959E+03	0.1839E+04	0.1769E+07	0.1061E+05	0.1774E-03
32	0.2502E+03	0.1160E+04	0.1119E+04	0.2097E+04	0.1769E+07	0.1450E+05	0.1819E-03
33	0.2502E+03	0.1160E+04	0.1119E+04	0.2097E+04	0.1769E+07	0.1449E+05	0.1831E-03
34	0.2502E+03	0.1160E+04	0.9959E+03	0.1839E+04	0.1769E+07	0.1062E+05	0.1746E-03
35	0.2502E+03	0.1160E+04	0.9901E+03	0.1826E+04	0.1769E+07	0.1059E+05	0.1770E-03
36	0.2502E+03	0.1160E+04	0.9901E+03	0.1826E+04	0.1769E+07	0.1069E+05	0.1638E-03
37	0.2502E+03	0.1453E+04	0.1032E+04	0.1733E+04	0.2148E+07	0.1074E+05	0.1441E-03
38	0.2502E+03	0.1453E+04	0.1091E+04	0.1864E+04	0.2148E+07	0.1081E+05	0.1628E-03
39	0.2502E+03	0.1453E+04	0.1091E+04	0.1864E+04	0.2148E+07	0.1079E+05	0.1652E-03
. 40	0.2502E+03	0.1453E+04	0.1032E+04	0.1733E+04	0.2148E+07	0.1074E+05	0.1518E-03
41	0.2502E+03	0.1453E+04	0.1030E+04	0.1727E+04	0.2148E+07	0.1074E+05	0.1498E-03
42	0.2502E+03	0.1453E+04	0.1030E+04	0.1727E+04	0.2148E+07	0.1074E+05	0.1522E-03

OUTPUT 19

\*\*\*\*\* POSITIVE MOMENTS, CURVATURES \*\*\*\*\*

BEAM NO.	MEMBER Length	INITIAL MOMENT (LEFT)	INITIAL MOMENT (RIGHT)	CRACKING Moment (+)	YIELD MOMENT (+)	CRACK CLOSING Moment	INITIAL FLEXURAL STIFFNESS	POST YIELDING STIFFNESS (+)	YIELD CURVATURE (+)
,	8 55846103	-0 50095+03	-0 50095+03	0 95095102	0 04555103	-0 04555+03	0 25205+07	0 17605+05	0 10945-03
,	0.3304C+03	-0.30082+03	-0.3008E+03	0.85032703	0.34555+03	-0.34555403	0.35200+01	0.17000+03	0.10042-03
2	0.55042+03	-0.59002+03	-0.59000+03	0.85092+03	0.34555+03	-0.94555403	0.342/2407	0.17132405	0.12322-03
J.	9 5504E+03	-0.05665+03	-0.95665+03	0.8303C+03	0.34332703	-0.34532405	0.33202407	0.17002403	0.10042-03
5	0.55046+03	-0.3300L+03	-0.3500L+03 -0.9566F+03	0.91215403	0.10136+04	-0.10132+04	0.3003E+07	0.19452705	0.10776-03
2	0 55046+03	-0.50000703	_0.5500C+05	- A 94575+03	8 02075+03	-0.10136104	0.30032107	0.13436703	0.1077C-03
7	0.33042+03	-0.30082+03	-0.30062+03	0.84575+03	0.33376+03	-0.33372+03	0.34312701	0.17402700	0.10002-00
	0.55046+03	-0.5300C703	-0.53002+03	0.84575+03	0.33372+03 0.9397F+03	-0.33376+03	0.33302+07	0.10352403	0.12552-05
ŏ	A 5504E+03	-0 0566F+03	-0 95666+03	0.0007E+03	0.30372+03	-0 10045+04	0.34512+01	0.17452405	0.10052-05
10	0.5504E+03	-0.955665+03	-0 95665+03	0.3035E+03	0 10045+04	-8 10045+04	0.30576+07	0.19202403	0.10702-03
11	A 5504E+03	-0 50085+03	-0.5000C703	0 84405+03	0.10042104	-0.10042+04	0.30376+07	0.15202+05	0.10782-03
12	0 45035103	-0 30066+03	-0.30066+03	0.04405+03	0.03795+02	-8 03705+03	8 21075107	0.10342703	0.11000-03
13	0 55045403	-0 50086+03	-0 58085+03	0 84405+03	0.03795103	-8 93785103	0.37505107	0.15312+05	0.12402-03
14	A 5504F+03	-0.00002:00	-0 95665+03	0.0040E+03	0 10915+04	-0 10015+04	A 36125+07	0.10042700	0.10016-03
15	0.55042+03	-0 9555F+03	-0 95665+03	0.90105+03	8 10015+04	-0 10012+04	0.36125+07	0.18065+05	0.10912-03
16	8.5584E+03	-0 50086+03	-0 5008E+03	0 9094F+03	0 1436F+04	-0 1436F+04	8 3474F+07	0 1737E+05	0 15605-03
17	8.5504E+03	-4.3906F+03	-0.3906E+03	0 9094E+03	0.1436F+04	-0 1436E+04	A 3474E+07	0 1737E+05	0 1560E-03
18	8 5504E+03	-A 5808F+03	-0 5008F+03	0 8492F+03	0 94365+03	-0 94365+03	A 3121F+A7	0 15606+05	0.1000E 00
19	9.5504E+03	-0.9566E+03	-0.9566E+03	0.9092E+03	0.1010E+04	-0.1810E+04	0.3448E+07	0.1724F+05	0.1103E-03
28	0.5504F+03	-0 95665+03	-0 95665+03	0 9092E+03	0 1010F+04	-0.1010E+04	0 3448F+07	A 1724E+85	A 1103E-03
21	0.5504E+03	-0.5008E+03	-0.5008E+03	0.8550E+03	8.9499E+03	-0.94995+03	0.3451F+07	0.1731E+05	0.1088E-03
22	0.4503E+03	-0.3906E+03	-0.3906E+03	0.8550E+03	8.9499E+03	-0.9499E+03	0.3369F+07	8.1685E+05	0.1236E-03
23	0.5504E+03	-0.5008E+03	-9.5008E+03	0.8550E+03	0.9499E+03	-0.94996+03	0.3461E+07	9.1731E+05	0.10885-03
24	8.5504E+03	-0.9566E+03	-0.9566E+03	0.9186E+03	0.1021E+04	-0.1021E+04	0.3824E+07	0.1912E+05	0.1082E-03
25	0.5504E+03	-0.9566E+03	-0.9566E+03	0.9186E+03	0.1021E+04	-0.1021E+04	0.3824E+07	0.1912E+05	0.1082E-03
26	0.5504E+03	-9.5008E+03	-0.5008E+03	0.6339E+03	0.9006E+03	-0.9006E+03	8.2056E+07	8.1028F+05	0.1223E-03
27	0.4503E+03	-0.3906E+03	-0.3906E+03	0.6339E+03	9.9006E+03	-0.9006E+03	0.2001E+07	0.1001E+05	0.1374E-03
28	0.5504E+03	-0.5008E+03	-0.5008E+03	0.6339E+03	0.9006E+03	-0.9006E+03	8.2056E+07	B. 1028E+05	0.1223E-03
29	0.5504E+03	-0.9566E+03	-0.9566E+03	8.6669E+03	0.9435E+03	-0.9435E+03	Q.2272E+07	0.1136E+05	0.1204E-03
30	0.5504E+03	-0.9566E+03	-0.9566E+03	0.6669E+03	0.9435E+03	-0.9435E+03	8.2272E+07	0.1136E+05	8.1204E-03
31	0.5504E+03	-8.5008E+03	-0.5008E+03	0.7263E+03	0.9191E+03	-0.9191E+03	0.2574E+07	0.1287E+05	0.1157E-03
32	0.4503E+03	-0.3906E+03	-0.3906E+03	0.7263E+03	0.9191E+03	-0.9191E+03	0.2505E+07	0.1253E+05	0.1306E-03
33	0.5504E+03	-0.5008E+03	-0.5008E+03	0.7263E+03	0.9191E+03	-0.9191E+03	0.2574E+07	0.1287E+05	0.1157E-03
34	0.5504E+03	-0.9566E+03	-0.9566E+03	0.7641E+03	0.9721E+03	-0.9721E+03	0.2843E+07	0.1422E+05	0.1144E-03
35	0.5504E+03	-0.9566E+03	-0.9566E+03	0.7641E+03	0.9721E+03	-0.9721E+03	0.2843E+07	0.1422E+05	0.1144E-03

OUTPUT 20

# \*\*\*\*\* NEGATIVE MOMENTS, CURVATURES \*\*\*\*\*

BEAM	CRACKING	YIELD	POST	YIELD
NO.	MOMENT	MOMENT	YIELDING	CURVATURE
	(-)	(-)	STIFFNESS	·(-)
			(-)	
1	-0.2298E+04	-0.3216E+04	0.1760E+05	-0.1124E-03
2	-0.2298E+04	-0.3216E+04	0.1713E+05	-0.1166E-03
3	-0.2298E+04	-0.3216E+04	0.1760E+05	-0.1124E-03
4	-0.2988E+04	-0.3905E+04	0.1945E+05	-0.1356E-03
5	-0.2988E+04	-0.3905E+04	0.1945E+05	-0.1356E-03
6	-0.2310E+04	-0.3213E+04	0.1745E+05	-0.1143E-03
7	-0.2310E+04	-0,3213E+04	0.1699E+05	-0.1182E-03
8	-0.2310E+04	-0.3213E+04	0.1745E+05	-0.1143E-03
9	-0.3003E+04	-0.3903E+04	0.1928E+05	-0.1378E-03
10	-0.3003E+04	-0.3903E+04	0.1928E+05	-0.1378E-03
11	-0.2237E+04	-0.3184E+04	0.1634E+05	-0.1167E-03
12	-0.2237E+04	-0.3184E+04	0.1591E+05	-0.1217E-03
13	-0.2237E+04	-0.3184E+04	0.1634E+05	-0.1167E-03
14	-0.2909E+04	-0.3843E+04	0.1806E+05	-0.1430E-03
15	-0.2909E+04	-0.3843E+04	0.1806E+05	-0.1430E-03
16	-0.2258E+04	-0.3666E+04	0.1737E+05	-0.1253E-03
17	-0.2258E+04	-0.3666E+04	0.1737E+05	-0.1253E-03
18	-0.2302E+04	-0.3215E+04	0.1560E+05	-0.1130E-03
19	-0.2993E+04	-0.3904E+04	0.1724E+05	-0.1363E-03
20	-0.2993E+04	-0.3904E+04	0.1724E+05	-0.1363E-03
21	-0.2322 <b>E+04</b>	-0.3229E+04	0.1731E+05	-0.1104E-03
22	-0.2322E+04	-0.3229E+04	0.1685E+05	-0.1143E-03
23	-0.2322E+04	-0.3229E+04	0.1731E+05	-0.1104E-03
24	-0.3019E+04	-0.3930E+04	0.1912E+05	-0.1323E-03
25	-0.3019E+04	-0.3930E+04	0.1912E+05	-0.1323E-03
26	-D.1622E+04	-0.2714E+04	0.1028E+05	-0.1767E-03
27	-0.1622E+04	-0.2714E+04	0.1001E+05	-0.1818E-03
28	-0.1622E+04	-0.2714E+04	0.1028E+05	-0.1767E-03
29	-0.2109E+04	-0.2819E+04	0.1136E+05	-0.2033E-03
30	-0.2109E+04	-0.2819E+04	0.1136E+05	<b>-0.2033E</b> -03
31	-0.1858E+04	-0.2961E+04	0.1287E+05	-0.1442E-03
32	-0.1858E+04	-0.2961E+04	0.1253E+05	-0.1495E-03
33	-0.1858E+04	-0.2961E+04	0.1287E+05	-0.1442E-03
34	-0.2416E+04	-0.3363E+04	0.1422E+05	-0.1739E-03
35	-0.2416E+04	-0.3363E+04	0.1422E+05	-0.1739E-03

OUTPUT 20 (CONT'D)

# \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* SHEAR WALL PROPERTIES \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*\* FLEXURAL PROPERTIES \*\*\*\*\*

WALL	MEMBER	AXIAL	CRACKING	YIELD	INITIAL	POST	YIELD
NO.	LENGTH	STIFFNESS	MOMENT	MOMENT	FLEXURAL	YIELDING	CURVATURE
					STIFFNESS	STIFFNESS	
1	0.3503E+03	0.9537E+04	0.7073E+05	0.1770E+05	0.2666E+10	0.1035E+07	0.5310E-05
2	0.3002E+03	0.1103E+05	0.7215E+05	0.1804E+06	0.2644E+10	0.6293E+05	0.5745E-05
3	0.3002E+03	0.1033E+05	0.6575E+05	0.1644E+06	0.2476E+10	0.2871E+06	0.5790E-05
4	0.3002E+03	0.9864E+04	0.5945E+05	0.1487E+06	0.2364E+10	0.2319E+06	0.5900E-05
5	0.3002E+03	0.1094E+05	0.5555E+05	0.1389E+06	0.2621E+10	0.1602E+06	0.5470E-05
6	0.3002E+03	0.6498E+04	0.4733E+05	0.1185E+06	0.1557E+10	0.7069E+06	0.6621E-05
7	0.3002E+03	0.8134E+04	0.4468E+05	0.1117E+06	0.1949E+10	0.1128E+06	0.6053E-05

# \*\*\*\*\* SHEAR PROPERTIES \*\*\*\*\*

NOTATION:

SHEAR STIFFNESS = (GA) ; TONNES OR KIPS SHEAR DEFORMATION = NONDIMENSIONAL AV. STRAIN

WALL	CRACKING	YIELD	INITIAL	POST	YIELD
NO.	SHEAR	SHEAR	SHEAR	YIELD	SHEAR
			STIFFNESS	SHEAR	DEFORMATION
				STIFFNESS	
	0.05705.00	0.25105402	0.05445405	0.47705.04	0 10405 00
1	0.25/02+03	0.3510E+03	0.93442400	0.4//20+04	0.10496-02
2	0.3052E+03	0. <b>450</b> 7E+03	0.9464E+06	0.4732E+04	0.2067E-02
3	0.3512E+03	0.6249E+03	0.8862E+06	0.4431E+04	0.5352E-02
4	0.3733E+03	0.7215E+03	0.8461E+06	0.4231E+04	0.7782E-02
5	0.3103E+03	0.4530E+03	0.9384E+06	0.4692E+04	0.2172E-02
6	0.2228E+03	0.2860E+03	0.5574E+06	0.2787E+04	0.1683E-02
7	0.1831E+03	0.2284E+03	0.6978E+06	0.3489E+04	0.6228E-03
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	0.2270E+03 0.3052E+03 0.3512E+03 0.3733E+03 0.3103E+03 0.2228E+03 0.1831E+03	0.3310E+03 0.4507E+03 0.6249E+03 0.7215E+03 0.4530E+03 0.2860E+03 0.2284E+03	0.53442+06 0.94642+06 0.88622+06 0.84612+06 0.93842+06 0.55742+06 0.69782+06	0.47722+04 0.4732E+04 0.4231E+04 0.4231E+04 0.4692E+04 0.2787E+04 0.3489E+04	

# \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* EDGE COLUMN PROPERTIES \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

NOTATION: STRENGTH = AXIAL FORCE (KIPS OR TONNES) STIFFNESS UNITS (KIPS/IN OR TONNES/CM)

NO.	STRENGTH (Tension)	STRENGTH (COMP)	STIFFNESS (TENSION)	STIFFNESS (COMP)	POST YIELDING STIFFNESS (TENSION)
1	U.2831E+U2	U.1159E+U4	0.4236E+02	U.2725E+U4	U.4236E+U1
2	0.2831E+02	U.1159E+U4	U.4235E+U2	U.2725E+U4	U.4236E+U1
3	0.2831E+02	0.1159E+04	0.4236E+02	0.2725E+04	0.4236E+01
4	0.2831E+02	0.1159E+04	0.4236E+02	0.2725E+04	0.4236E+01
5	0.2831E+02	0:1171E+04	0.4236E+02	0.2702E+04	0.4236E+01
6	0.2831E+02	0.1171E+04	0.4236E+02	0.2702E+04	0.4236E+01
7	0.2831E+02	0.1171E+04	0.4236E+02	0.2702E+04	0.4236E+01
8	0.2831E+02	0.1171E+04	0.4236E+02	0.2702E+04	0.4236E+01
9	0.2831E+02	0.1099E+04	0.4236E+02	0.2531E+04	0.4236E+01
10	0.2831E+02	0.1099E+04	0.4236E+02	0.2531E+04	0.4236E+01
11	0.2831E+02	0.1099E+04	0.4236E+02	0.2531E+04	0.4236E+01
12	0.2831E+02	0.1099E+04	0.4236E+02	0.2531E+04	0.4236E+01
13	0.2831E+02	0.1163E+04	0.4236E+02	0.2416E+04	0.4236E+01
14	0.2831E+02	0.1163E+04	0.4236E+02	0.2416E+04	0.4236E+01
15	8.2831E+02	0.1163E+04	0.4236E+02	0.2416E+04	0.4236E+01
16	0.2831F+02	0.1163F+04	0.4236F+02	0.2416F+04	0.4236F+01
17	0.2831E+02	0.1183E+04	0.4236F+02	0.2679E+04	0.4236F+01
18	0 2831E+02	0 1183F+04	0 4236F+02	0.2679E+04	0 4236E+01
19	0.2831E+02	0 11835+04	0.4236F+02	0 26795+04	0.4236F+01
20	0.2831E+02	0.11836+04	0.42365+02	0 26795+04	0.4236E+01
21	0.20012+02	0 57755+03	0.42365+02	0.15925+04	0.42365+01
22	0.20315+02	0 57755103	0.42365+02	0.15026+04	0.42302101
22	0.20316+02	0.57755403	0.42365+02	0.15926+04	0.42302+01
23	0.20312+02	0.37755103	0.42301702	0.15326704	0.42305701
44 15	U.2831E+U2	U.D//JE+U3	U.4230ETUZ	U.1392E+U4	U.42302+U1
20	0.28312+02	U.758UE+U3	0.42302+02	U.1992E+U4	U.4230E+U1
20	U.2831E+U2	U.758UE+U3	U.4236E+U2	U.1992E+04	0.4236E+01
27	U.2831E+U2	U.7580E+03	U.4236E+02	U.1992E+04	0.4236E+01
28	Ø.2831E+02	U.7580E+03	U.4236E+02	0.1992E+04	0.4236E+01

OUTPUT 22

NO.	STIFFNESS	STIFFNESS	ARM LENGTH
	(VERTICAL)	(TORSIONAL)	
1	0.34504E+01	0.43472E+04	-0.25019E+03
2	0.34504E+01	0.43472E+04	0.25019E+03
3	0.34504E+01	0.43472E+04	-0.25019E+03
4	0.34504E+01	0.43472E+04	0.25019E+03
5	0.34504E+01	0.43472E+04	-0.25019E+03
6	0.34504E+01	0.43472E+04	0.25019E+03
7	0.34504E+01	0.43472E+04	-0.25019E+03
8	0.34504E+01	0.43472E+04	0.25019E+03
9	0.34504E+01	0.43472E+04	-0.25019E+03
10	0.34504E+01	0.43472E+04	0.25019E+03
11	0.34504E+01	0.43472E+04	-0.25019E+03
12	0.34504E+01	0.43472E+04	0.25019E+03
13	0.34504E+01	0.43472E+04	-0.25019E+03
14	0.34504E+01	0.43472E+04	0.25019E+03
15	0.00000E+00	0.43472E+04	0.00000E+00
16	0.0000E+00	0.43472E+04	0.000 <b>00E+00</b>
17	0.00000E+00	0.43472E+04	0.00000E+00
18	0.00000E+00	0.43472E+04	0.00000E+00
19	0.00000E+00	0.43472E+04	0.00000E+00
20	0.00000E+00	0.43472E+04	0.00000E+00
21	0.00000E+00	0.43472E+04	0.00000E+00
22	0.00000E+00	0.43472E+04	0.00000E+00
23	0.00000E+00	0.43472E+04	0.00000E+00
24	0.00000E+00	0.43472E+04	0.00000E+00
25	0.00000E+00	0.43472E+04	0.00000E+00
26	0.00000E+00	0.43472E+04	0.00000E+00
27	0.00000E+00	0.43472E+04	0.00000E+00
28	0.00000E+00	0.43472E+04	0.00000E+00

#### INPUT DATA:

#### \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* DETAILS OF INPUT BASE MOTION \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

MAX SCALED VALUE OF HORIZONTAL COMPONENT (g):	0.357
MAX SCALED VALUE OF VERTICAL COMPONENT (g):	0.000
TIME INTERVAL OF ANALYSIS (SEC):	0.0050
TOTAL DURATION OF RESPONSE ANALYSIS (SEC):	25.000
DAMPING COEFFICIENT (% OF CRITICAL):	2.000

VERTICAL COMPONENT OF BASE MOTION: (=0, NOT INCLUDED; =1, INCLUDED)

WAVE NAME: TOCKACHI OKI 0.357g

NO. OF POINTS IN INPUT BASE MOTION: TIME INTERVAL OF INPUT WAVE (SEC):

1700 0.020

0

#### OUTPUT 24

в-41

# \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* OUTPUT CONTROL DATA \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

NO. OF STORIES FOR WHICH OUTPUT IS REQUIRED: 2 OUTPUT TIME INTERVAL (SEC): 0.020

NO.	STORY NUMBER	OUTPUT TYPE
1 2	1 7	3

#### NOTATION FOR OUTPUT TYPE:

- 1 = DISPLACEMENT TIME HISTORY
- 2 = STORY DRIFT
- 3 = STORY SHEAR
- 4 = ALL OF ABOVE
# \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* PROPERTIES FOR HYSTERETIC RULE \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

NO. OF TYPES OF HYSTERETIC RULES: 3

RULE NO.	DEGRADING COEFFICIENT	SLIPPAGE COEFFICI <b>EN</b> T	DETERIORATING COEFFICIENT	POST-YIELD STIFFNESS RATIO
1	2.000	1000.000	0.000	0.015
2	2.000	1000.000	0.000	0.015
3	0.010	0.010	0.000	0.015

### \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* HYSTERETIC RULE FOR COLUMNS \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

COLUMN NO.	HYSTERESIS RULE NO.	
1	1	
2	1	
3	1	
4	1	
5	1	
5	1	
7	1	
8	1	
- 10 - 10	1	
11	1	
12	1	
13	1	
14	1	
15	1	
15	1	
17	1	
18	1 .	
19	1	
20	1	
21	1	
23	1	
24	1	
25	1	
25	1	
27	1	
28	1	
29	1	
30	1	
31	1	
32	1	
33	1	
34 25	1	
35	1	
30	1	
38	1	
39	1	
40	1	
41	1	
42	1.	

OUTPUT 26 (CONT'D)

### \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* HYSTERETIC RULE FOR BEAMS \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

BEAM NO.	HYSTERESIS RULE NO.	
1	2	
2	2	
3	2	
4	2	
5	2	
0	2	
0	. 2	
0 0	2	
10	2	
11	2	
12	2	
13	2	
14	2	
15	2	
16	2	
17	2	
18	2	
19	2	
20	2	
21	2	
22	2	
24	2	
25	2	
26	2	
27	2	
28	2	
29	2	
30	2	
31	2	
32	2	•
33 34	2	
34 35	2	
	OUTPUT	26 (CONT'D)

#### \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* HYSTERETIC RULE FOR SHEAR WALLS \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

WALL NO.	HYSTERESIS RULE (FLEXURE)	HYSTERESIS RULE (SHEAR)
1	1	3
2	1	3
3	1	3
4	1	3
5	1	3
6	1	3
7	1	3

### OUTPUT 26 (CONT'D)

RESULTS OF SUBSTRUCTURE ANALYSIS ARE WRITTEN SEPARATELY TO FILE: SUB.OUT

#### 

STORY NO.	STORY DRIFT	DISPLACEMENT	VELOCITY	ACCELERATION	STORY SHEAR
1	0.3016E+01	0.3016E+01	0.1906E+02	0.6056E+03	0.4744E+03
2	0.3583E+01	0.6568E+01	0.3388E+02	0.7173E+03	0.4287E+03
3	0.3704E+01	0.1021E+02	0.5148E+02	0.6780E+03	0.3813E+03
- 4	0.3812E+01	0.1385E+02	0.6929E+02	0.6143E+03	0.3600E+03
5	0.3894E+01	0.1745E+02	0.8600E+02	0.5818E+03	0.3206E+03
6	0.3922E+01	0.2108E+02	0.1042E+03	0.8063E+03	0.2648E+03
7	0.3811E+01	0.2460E+02	0.1246E+03	0.1042E+04	0.1679E+03

OUTPUT 27

TIME HISTORY FOR STORY NO. 1

NO. OF POINTS: 1250 OUTPUT TIME INTERVAL: 0.0200

TIME	STORY SHEAR
0 020	-0.32333E+00
0.040	-0.12442E+01
0.060	-0.21012E+01
0.080	-0.21164E+01
0.100	-0.12504E+01
0.120	-0.21148E+01
0.140	-0.91973E+01
0.160	-0.24314E+02
0.180	-0.41820E+02
0.200	-0.55215E+02
0.220	-0.62454E+02
0.240	-0.64051E+02
0.260	-0.60799E+02
0.280	-0.60465E+02
0.300	-0.63492E+02
0.320	-0.64319E+02
0.340	-0.60872E+02
0.350	-U.50894E+U2
0.380	-0.29/228+02
0.400	-U.IUU//E+UI
0.420	0.19000CTU2 0.25672E±02
0.440	0.230732402
0.480	0.17064F+02
0.500	0.12450E+02
0.520	0.16519E+02
0.540	0.10817E+02
0.560	-0.14868E+02
0.580	-0.54963E+02
0.600	-0.92029E+02
0.620	-0.11187E+03
0.640	-0.10945E+03

24.640	0.77347E+02
24.660	0.97727E+02
24.680	0.13768E+03
24.700	0.16939E+03
24.720	0.15854E+03
24.740	0.12554E+03
24.760	0.71974E+02
24.780	0.44952E+02
24.800	0.20574E+02
24.820	-0.51379E+01
24.840	-0.34787E+01
24.860	0.24834E+02
24.880	0.39596E+02
24.900	0.33774E+02
24.920	0.18668E+02
24.940	0.15788E+01
24.960	-0.23034E+02
24.980	-0.81683E+02
25.000	-0.13117E+03

# OUTPUT 28 (CONT'D)

# TIME HISTORY FOR STORY NO. 7

NO.	OF POINTS:	1250	OUTPUT	IIME
	TIME	DISPLACEMENT		
	0.020	-0.18625E-03		
	0.040	-0.12826E-02		
	0.060	-0.37957E-02		
	0.080	-0.77964E-02		
	0.100	-0.12223E-01		
	0.120	-0.16495E-01		
	0.140	-0.24295E-01		
	0.150	-U.4530/E-UI		
	0.180	-0.922145-01		
	0.200	-0.1/3220+00		
•••	0.240	-0.20008ETUU		
	0.240	-0.410300+00		
	0.200	-0.00002+00		
	0.280	-0.012312+00		
	0.320	-0 59419F+00		
	0.340	-0.50327E+00		
	0.360	-0.37605E+00		
	0.380	-0.22592E+00		
	0.400	-0.60089E-01		
	0.420	0.11128E+00		
	0.440	0.27104E+00		
	0.460	0.39606E+00		
	0.480	0.45564E+00		
	0.500	0.43253E+00		
	0.520	0.33137E+00		
	0.540	0.17045E+00		
	24.740	0.36825E+01		
	24.760	0.31909E+01		
	24.780	0.26995E+01		
	24.800	0.21607E+01		
	24.820	0.15819E+01		
	24.840	0.99798E+00		
	24.850	0.43108E+00		
	24.880	-0.10436E+00		
	24.900	-0.59215E+00		
	24.920	-0.99580E+00		
	24.940	-0.13137E+01		
	24.960	-0.15944E+01		
	24.980	-0.18803E+01		
	25.000	-0.22073E+01		

# 50 OUTPUT TIME INTERVAL: 0.0200

#### FINAL STATE OF FRAME NO. 1

+Y-----Y+Y-----C+Y-----Y+ CI Y Y ΥI !1 ! ! !1 !E ! ! 15 !1 1 ! 11 CI С Y CI ----Y+ +Y-----Y+Y----Y+Y-EI C С CI !1 ! ! !1 !E 18 ! ! 11 1 1 !I CI C C CI +Y------Y+Y-----Y+ С С CI CI !1 ! ! 11 !E 1 1 15 1 11 !I ! CI C C ΕÍ +Y--Y+Y--Y+Y--Y+ CI C C EI !1 ! 1 !I !E ! ł !E !I !1 ! 1 CI C C EI -----Y+Y--Y+Y-+Y--Y+ C C ΕI ΕI !1 1 1 !1 !E ! 1 !E !1 !1 1 1 C CI CI С +Y------Y+Y--Y+Y---Y+ ΕI С C ET !I 1 11 ! 1E ! ! !E !I 1 1 11 CI C C CI -Y+Y --Y+Y -Y+ +Y-EI Ε C ΕI !1 ! ! !! !E !E 1 ! !I 11 ! ! ΥI Y Y ΥI

NOTATION:

-	Ξ	BEAM	E =	ELASTIC
1	=	COLUMN	C =	CRACK
₩	Ξ	SHEAR WALL	Y =	YIELD
Ι	z	EDGE COLUMN		

+Y	Y+Y	Y+
YI	E	YI
!I	W C	!1
10	Ε	10
! I	W	!1
CI	E	CI
+Y	Y+Y	Y+
CI	C	CI
! I	W	!1
!C	E	!C
!1	W	!!
CI	t	UI .
+1	!+! C	i+
	. с ш	
11	п с	10
10	с ы	10
11	п. С	11
±¥		Y+
FT	0	CI
11	W 1	
15	F	10
11	W	11
CI	Ċ	CI
+Y	Y+Y	Y+
CI	С	CI
!I	¥	! I
!E	Ε	!C
!I	W	!I
CI	С	CI
+Y	Y+Y	Y+
EI	C	CI
11	W	!1
!E	C	!C
!I	Ħ	!1
CI	Y	YI
+Y	Y+Y	Y+
UI	ບ ພ	UI I T
:1	17 V	:1
:C  T	۲ است	:U 11
:1 VI	π V	11 V1
11	1	11

### NOTATION:

-	=	BEAM
1	=	COLUMN
¥	=	SHEAR WALL
I	Ξ	EDGE COLUMN

۰E	=	ELASTIC
C	=	CRACK
Y	=	YIFID

YIELD

OUTPUT 29 (CONT'D)

# \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* PARAMETERS FOR DAMAGE INDEX COMPUTATIONS \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

		111170
NOTATION	DESCRIPTION	UNLIS

DELTA-M	MAX DEFORMATION	CMS OR INS
DELTA-U	ULT DEFORMATION	CMS OR INS
BETA	STRENGTH PARAMETER	NONDIMENSIONAL
ENERGY	FORCE X DISPL	CM-TON OR IN-KIP
QY	YIELD STRENGTH	TONNES OR KIPS

### COLUMN PARAMETERS:

	DELTA-N	DELTA-U	BETA	ENERGY	QY
1	1.19529	27.35472	0.03154	34.28740	15.67509
2	1.19803	19.15194	0.06063	41.17363	21.51629
3	1.28612	19.16577	0.06063	39.15351	21.51629
4	1.00321	27.46299	0.03154	36.02055	15.67509
5	1.54467	28.36082	0.03004	36,94744	15.35754
6	1.34997	28.51890	0.03004	38.67820	15.35754
1	0.33038	18.57047	0.02742	2.75301	19.28998
8	0.53354	12.87989	0.05176	12.94349	25.88427
9	0.45614	12.87931	0.05176	11.23633	25.88427
10	0.13028	18.52365	0.02742	1.28616	19.28998
11	0.52505	19.11557	0.02520	4.94977	18.94492
12	0.59977	19.15974	0.02520	7.01947	18.94492
13	0.15849	19.68812	0.02460	-0.12820	18.20384
14	0.47339	13.68936	0.04619	9.88154	23.72629
15	0.62708	13.65730	0.04619	5.23699	23.72629
16	0.12952	19.71501	0.02450	-0.32929	18.20384
17	0.46186	20.32103	0.02353	-0.41453	17.91832
18	0.36054	20.32478	0.02353	1.49144	17.91832
19	0.17546	20.64953	0.02318	0.07461	17.22764
20	0.70171	16.69471	0.01797	23.68733	33.14376
21	1.03199	15.78365	0.03650	20.21758	21.75356
22	0.10405	20.81884	0.02318	0.00632	17.22764
23	0.40971	20.81997	0.02318	-0.15437	16.99760
24	0.35086	20.81007	0.02318	0.83065	16.99760
25	0.22602	20.83377	0.02328	0.87748	16.20071
26	0.89832	16.71947	0.01797	38.20566	32.68791
27	0.80932	18.36406	0.02842	26.93697	19.63689
28	0.18494	20.69946	0.02328	-0.08058	16.20071
29	0.86002	20.67515	0.02328	3.82290	16.02971
30	0.63023	20.68614	0.02328	4.06270	16.02971
31	0.10066	19.25336	0.01871	0.10933	14.69885
32	0.63994	14.72825	0.03144	12.85918	16.76215
33	0.59294	14.74805	0.03144	15.04346	16.76215
34	0.10498	19.19680	0.01871	0.16786	14.69885
35	0.66791	19.25161	0.01871	0.89560	14.59868
36	0.32008	18.94989	0.01871	1.01739	14.59868
37	0.71265	19.42922	0.02047	22.87927	13.85078
38	1.65590	19.80890	0.02047	52.60929	14.90125
39	2.02279	19.85933	0.02047	78.54578	14.90125
40	1.85424	19.62377	0.02047	9.26907	13.85078
41	2.02933	19.57908	0.02047	26.91298	13.80530
42	2.54915	19.63742	0.02047	30.56018	13.80530

	DELTA-M	DELTA-U	BETA	ENERGY	QY
1	6.44533	53.97139	0.01184	67.16213	7.55999
2	4.97098	36.71430	0.01184	73.54615	9.23999
3	6.45360	53.97139	0.01184	88.30550	7.55999
4	8.22772	50.47910	0.01218	155.56708	8.93505
5	8.77660	50.47910	0.01218	113.53308	8.93505
6	7.11300	54.03272	0.01185	88.26371	7.54478
7	5.40010	36.75602	0.01185	88.51675	9.22140
8	6.98656	54.03272	0.01185	109.12424	7.54478
9	10.49029	50.53648	0.01219	171.80231	8.91499
10	9.74324	50.53648	0.01219	158.96074	8.91499
11	7.46817	53.65588	0.01178	92.11738	7.48902
12	6.02119	36.49967	0.01178	106.06502	9.15325
13	7.91501	53.65588	0.01178	111.66444	7.48902
14	10.98164	50.18401	0.01214	150.06256	8.80071
15	10.10492	50.18401	0.01214	197.93779	8.80071
16	7.23801	52.23535	0.01118	148.99013	9.26870
17	6.31686	52.23535	0.01118	135.39153	9.26870
18	7.41681	53.99189	0.01184	128.56755	7.55479
19	10.81910	50.49829	0.01218	150.95670	8.92817
20	10.73886	50.49829	0.01218	175.87724	8.92817
21	6.92475	54.09351	0.01186	98.43887	7.59264
22	6.26421	36.79737	0.01186	78.94589	9.27989
23	8.86080	54.09351	0.01186	92.55157	7.59264
24	10.13574	50.59333	0.01219	208.15793	8.99426
25	11.11648	50.59333	0.01219	167.79050	8.99426
26	8.11993	49.99017	0.02289	81.63750	6.56725
27	5.67344	34.00606	0.02289	99.72311	8.02664
28	7.85676	49.99017	0.02289	95.25063	6.56725
29	11.42827	46.75550	0.05243	184.25491	6.83555
30	10.79199	46.75550	0.05243	148.88634	6.83555
31	3.92116	51.50811	0.01131	41.18100	7.04874
32	1.74587	35.03864	0.01131	8.72902	8.61512
33	7.64266	51.50811	0.01131	12.24905	7.04874
34	8.87562	48.17521	0.02174	103.71481	7.87518
35	11 62608	48 17521	0 02174	74 78041	7 97519

### SHEAR WALL PARAMETERS:

	DELTA-M	DELTA-U	BETA	ENERGY	QY
1	3.01607	7.67575	0.03364	2843.80713	351.02170
2	0.52064	5.97600	0.02982	333.24146	450.71936
3	0.29493	5.17571	0.02757	114.77982	624.86829
4	0.22873	4.63399	0.02777	28.19049	721.45300
5	0.09170	6.25540	0.02783	16.20378	452.95624
6	0.11149	6.03664	0.02456	21.10688	285.97101
7	0.04952	6.46281	0.02600	18.13270	228.35669
		01775			

# \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* DAMAGE ANALYSIS \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

# DAMAGE INDEX FOR COLUMNS:

NO.	DAMAGE	INDEX	ENERGY	RATIO
1	0.	.046	0	.020
2	0.	.069	0	.026
J.	0.	.073	<b>.</b>	.025
4 -	0.	. 039	0	. 020
5	0.	.057	0	.011
6	0.	. 050	0	.012
7	0.	. 018	0	.020
8	0.	. 04 3	0	.070
9	0.	.037	0	.062
10	0.	.007	0	.007
11	0.	. 028	0	.018
12	0.	.032	0	.025
13	0.	. 008	0	. 008
14	0.	. 036	0	. 128
15	0.	. 047	0	. 113
16	0.	.007	0	.004
17	0.	. 023	0	.018
18	0.	.018	0	.020
19	0.	. 009	0	.012
20	0.	. 043	0	. 309
21	0.	. 068	0	.317
22	0.	. 005	0	.005
23	0.	.020	· 0	.013
24	0.	.017	0	.020
25	0.	.011	0	.023
26	0.	.055	0	.432
27	. 0.	. 046	0	.310
28	0.	. 009	Û	.011
29	Û.	.042	0	.056
30	0.	.031	0	.046
31	0.	.005	0	.007
32	0.	.045	0	.299
33	0.	.042	0	.325
34	0.	.005	0	.009
35	0.	.035	0	.042
36	0.	.017	0	.025
37	0.	. 038	0	.096
38	0.	.087	0	.239
39	. 0.	. 107	0	.346
40	0.	.096	0	.100
41	0.	. 106	0	.085
42	0.	. 132	0	. 100

OUTPUT 33

# DAMAGE INDEX FOR BEAMS:

NO.	DAMAGE INDEX	ENERGY RATIO
1	0.121	0.192
2	0.138	0,202
3	0.122	0.234
4	-0.167	0.205
5	0.177	0.167
6	0.134	0.199
1	0.150	0.195
8	0.132	0.234
9	0.212	0.189
10	0.197	0.182
11	0.142	0.194
12	0.169	0.210
13	0.151	0.225
14	0.223	0.159
15	0.207	0.202
16	0.142	0.248
17	0.124	0.222
18	0.141	0.219
19	0.218	0.147
20	0.217	0.163
21	0.131	0.212
22	0.173	0.172
23	0.166	0.207
24	0.206	0.219
25	0.224	0.191
26	0.168	0.191
27	0.175	0.212
28	0.154	0.217
29	0.275	0.205
30	0.255	0.174
31	0.077	0.215
32	0.050	0.061
33	0.149	0.119
34	0.190	0.304
35	0.246	0.301

### DAMAGE INDEX FOR SHEAR WALLS:

NO.	DAMAGE INDEX	ENERGY RATIO
1	0.428	0.886
2	0.091	0.797
3	0.058	0.709
.4	0.050	0.326
5	0.015	0.121
6	0.019	0. 295
7	0.008	0.035

# DAMAGE INDEX STATISTICS OF FRAME NO. 1

	+	•	<b>.</b>	•
	. 0.07	. 0.05	9.14	!
	! (0.21)	! (0.06)	! (0.11)	!
	10.03	10.08	10.10	10.09
	!(.09)	!(.23)	!(.34)	!(.09)
	1	!	!	!
	•	•	•	+
	! 0.16	. 0.17	! 0.16	!
	! (0.19)	! (0.21)	! (0.21)	!
	10.00	10.04	10.04	10.00
	!(.00)	!(.29)	!(.32)	!(.00)
	1	!	ł	!
	+	•	+	+
	9.13	! 0.17	0.15	!
	! (0.21)	! (0.17)	! (0.20)	!
	10.01	10.05	10.04	10.00
	!(.02)	!(.43)	!(.31)	!(.01)
	<b>!</b>	!	!	!
	•	•	<b>*</b> ~~~~~~~~~~	+
	! 0.14	! 0.12	! 0.14	!
	! (0.24)	! (0.22)	! (0.21)	!
	10.00	10.04	10.06	10.00
	!(.01)	!(.30)	!(.31)	!(.00)
	!	1.	!	!
,	+	+	• <del></del>	+
	1 0.14	! 0.16	1 0.15	!
	! (0.19)	! (0.21)	! (0.22)	!
	10.00	10.03	10.04	10.00
	!(.00)	!(.12)	!(.11)	!(.00)
	!	!	<u>!</u>	!
	<b>+</b>	•	•	+
	0.13	! 0.15	! 0.13	!
	! (0.19)	! (0.19)	! (0.23)	!
	10.01	10.04	10.03	10.00
	!(.01)	!(.07)	!(.06)	!(.00)
	!	!	!	!
	+	+	•	ŧ 1
	: U.12	· 0.13	! U.12	:
	! (U.13)	! (0.20)	! (0.23)	!
	:0.04	10.00	10.07	10.03
	:(.02)	:(.02)	!(.02)	!(.02)
	:		!	!

VALUES IN PARANTHESIS INDICATE ENERGY RATIOS

# DAMAGE INDEX STATISTICS OF FRAME NO. 2

<b>.</b>		-1
! 0.19 ! (0.30) !0.10 !(.08)	W 0.24 W (0.30) W0.00 W(.03)	! !0.13 !(.10)
! 0.27 ! (0.20) !0.03 !(.04) !	W 0.25 W (0.17) W0.01 W(.29) W	-+ ! !0.01 !(.02)
! 0.20 ! (0.21) !0.04 !(.05) !	W 0.22 W (0.19) W0.01 W(.12) W	-+ ! !0.03 !(.04) !
+ ! 0.21 ! (0.14) !0.01 !(.01)	W 0.21 W (0.16) W0.04 W(.32)	-+ ! !0.01 !(.01)
! 0.22 ! (0.16) !0.02 !(.01) !	W 0.20 W (0.20) W0.05 W(.70) W	-+ ! !0.01 !(.02)
+ ! 0.21 ! (0.18) !0.02 !(.01) !	W 0.19 W (0.18) W0.09 W(.79) W	-+ ! !0.03 !(.02)
! 0.16 ! (0.20) !0.05 !(.01)	W 0.17 W (0.16) W0.42 W(.88)	+ ! !0.04 !(.01)

### VALUES IN PARANTHESIS INDICATE ENERGY RATIOS

OUTPUT 36 (CONT'D)

# \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* STORY LEVEL DAMAGE INDICES \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

	VERTICAL	COMPONENTS	HORIZONTAL COMPONENTS	
STORY NO.	DAMAGE	ENERGY RATIO	DAMAGE Index	ENERGY RATIO
		,		
1	0.386	0.287	0.144	0.073
2	0.079	0.038	0.163	0.089
3	0.052	0.017	0.176	0.095
4	0.051	0.014	0.161	0.109
5	0.044	0.016	0.180	0.091
6	0.035	0.008	0.206	0.085
7	0.094	0.041	0.169	0.037

+++-	+++++++	+++++++	*******	++++++++++	+++++++++	+++
+						+
+	DAMAGE	INDEX I	OR TOTAL	STRUCTURE	: 0.220	+
+						+
+++•	******	++++++	+++++++	*++++++	+++++++++	+++

OUTPUT 37

\$

CONTROL DATA:

FRAME NUMBER OF SUBSTRUCTURE	1
COLUMN LOCATION (J-COORDINATE)	3
(=0, ENTIRE FRAME IS CONSIDERED AS SUBSTRUCTURE)	
BOTTOM STORY NUMBER TO BE CUT	4
POSITION OF LOWER BOUNDARY	0.50
(AS RATIO OF STORY HEIGHT)	
TOP STORY TO BE CUT	5
POSITION OF UPPER BOUNDARY	0.50
(AS RATIO OF STORY HEIGHT)	
HORIZONTAL COMPONENT	1 0 0
(NOTATION: = 0, NOT INCLUDED; = 1, INC	LUDED)
NUMBER OF BOUNDARY BEAMS:	2

NO.	BOUNDARY BEAM NO.	
1 2	17 18	

# OUTPUT 38 SUBSTRUCTURE 1

#### 

NO. OF OUTPUT POINTS ..... 1250 OUTPUT TIME INTERVAL ..... 0.0200

P

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* TIME HISTORY OF HORIZONTAL COMPONENT \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

TIME	DISPLACEMENT	LOWER FORCE	UPPER FORCE
0 020	∩ 58957F-06	-0 53144F-04	0.201505-04
0 040	-0.37581E-04	0.12242E-02	0.17885E-03
0.060	-0.40458E-03	0.15287E-01	-0.73972E-02
0.080	-0.11521E-02	0.38459E-01	-0.29546E-01
0.100	-0.20270E-02	0.59438E-01	-0.62679E-01
0.120	-0.25985E-02	0.66174E-01	-0.90750E-01
0.140	-0.29229E-02	0.75863E-01	-0.94590E-01
0.160	-0.47369E-02	0.15337E+00	-0.11360E+00
0.180	-0.11064E-01	0.38068E+00	-0.25426E+00
0.200	-0.23935E-01	0.76901E+00	-0.63701E+00
0.220	-0.42530E-01	0.12628E+01	-0.12638E+01
0.240	-0.64504E-01	0.18327E+01	-0.20240E+01
0.260	-0.85752E-01	0.24144E+01	-0.27367E+01
0.280	-0.99707E-01	0.27918E+01	-0.32075E+01
0.300	-0.10264E+00	0.28610E+01	-0.33087E+01
0.320	-0.95852E-01	0.26894E+01	-0.30708E+01
0.340	-0.80562E-01	0.22917E+01	-0.25426E+01
0.360	-0.59649E-01	0.17138E+01	-0.18613E+01
0.380	-0.35794E-01	0.10241E+01	-0.11188E+01
0.400	-0.10557E-01	0.29219E+00	-0.35014E+00
0.420	0.17209E-01	-0.53106E+00	0.49339E+00
0.440	0.45013E-01	-0.12996E+01	0.14117E+01
	0.000545.00	0.007455.04	0 400745.00
24.040	U.32034ETUU	0.357102+01	-0.400/12+00
24.000	U.22432ETUU 0.10006E.00	0.400/00100	-0.333892+00
24.000	0.130205+00	0.333276401	-U. 1490UETU1
24.900	0.380202701	U.38334C+U! A 62076C104	-0.19003E+01
24.920	-U.13113E*UZ	U.030/0ETU1 0.67760E101	-0.22321ETU1
24.340	-0.71050E_01	0.0//00CTUI	-U.24032CTU!
24.300	-0./1930E-01 _0.01207E_01	U. (U40)ETU1 0. 70004E104	-0.23/3UE+U!
24.300	-U.91202E-UI	U.12304E+U1 0.74441E+04	TU.20035TU
23.000	~U.11312E+UU	U. /444 (C+U	-0.2032/2+01

OUTPUT 38 SUBSTRUCTURE 1 (CONT'D)

# \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* TIME HISTORY OF BOUNDARY FORCES \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

NO.	TIME	BOUNDARY FORCE
1	0.0200	0.28068E-04
2	0.0400	-0.76685E-03
3	0.0500	-0.11419E-01
4	0.0800	-0.33503E-01
5	0.1000	-0.59713E-01
6	0.1200	-0.76745E-01
7	0.1400	-0.84631E-01
8	0.1600	-0.13429E+00
9	0.1800	-0.31660E+00
10	0.2000	-0.69446E+00
11	0.2200	-0.12428E+01
12	0.2400	-0.18926E+01
13	0.2600	-0.25230E+01
14	0.2800	-0.29364E+01
15	0.3000	-0.30212E+01
16	0.3200	-0.28209E+01
17	0.3400	-0.23686E+01
18	0.3600	-0.17524E+01
19	0.3800	-0.10509E+01
20	0.4000	-0.31294E+00
21	0.4200	0.50332E+00
1229	24.5800	-0.70864E+00
1230	24.6000	-0.65254E+00
1231	24.6200	-0.67329E+00
1232	24.6400	-0.78766E+00
1233	24.0000	-0.9/694E+00
1234	24.0800	-0.122702+01
1235	24.7000	-U. 15009E+U1
1230	24.7200	-U. 193512+U1
1237	24.7400	-U.23U4/E+U1
1230	24.1000	-0.2/0835+0
1239	24.1000	-0.3133/2+01
1240	24.0000	-0.33348E+U  -0.40251E+01
1241	24.0200	-0.40201ETU1
1242	24.0400	-0.4031/CTU1
1243	24.0000	-0.52400LT01
1245	24.0000	-0.53571LT01
1245	24.3000 21 Q200	-0.601515±01
1240	24.3200 24 QANN	-A 79420F+A1
1249	24.9400	-0 74522541
1249	24.9800	-0.75910F+01
1250	25.0000	-0.77400E+01

\$

### OUTPUT 38 SUBSTRUCTURE 2

#### 

### CONTROL DATA:

FRAME NUMBER OF SUBSTRUCTURE	1
COLUMN LOCATION (J-COORDINATE)	0
(=0, ENTIRE FRAME IS CONSIDERED AS SUBSTRUCTURE)	
BOTTOM STORY NUMBER TO BE CUT	1
POSITION OF LOWER BOUNDARY	0.60
(AS RATIO OF STORY HEIGHT)	
TOP STORY TO BE CUT	3
POSITION OF UPPER BOUNDARY	0.40
(AS RATIO OF STORY HEIGHT)	
HODITONTAL COMPONENT	4

NORIZONIAL COMPONENT	1
VERTICAL COMPONENT	0
ROTATIONAL COMPONENT	0

(NOTATION: = 0, NOT INCLUDED; = 1, INCLUDED)

#### 

NO. OF OUTPUT POINTS ..... 40

OUTPUT TIME INTERVAL ..... 0.500

# \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* TIME HISTORY OF HORIZONTAL COMPONENT \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

TIME	DISPLACEMENT	LOWER FORCE	UPPER FORCE
0.500	0.48637E-01	-0.68708E+00	0.37291E+01
1.000	0.26946E+00	-0.97984E+01	0.16007E+02
1.500	0.45736E+00	-0.16668E+02	0.15870E+02
2.000	-0.86860E-01	0.49109E+01	-0.97837E+01
2.500	-0.42955E+00	-0.21071E+01	-0.28077E+00
3.000	0.99190E-01	0.92342E+01	-0.41950E+01
3.500	-0.11363E+01	0.37827E+01	-0.52143E+01
4.000	0.11758E+01	-0.20317E+02	0.12468E+02
4.500	-0.70750E+00	0.13442E+02	-0.65961E+01
5.000	0.83840E+00	-0.12853E+02	0.57340E+01
5.500	-0.10500E+01	0.21026E+02	-0.15207E+02
6.000	-0.23650E+00	0.59969E+01	-0.40755E+01
6.500	0.20291E+01	-0.16729E+02	0.16157E+02
7.000	-0.74801E+00	0.19304E+02	-0.10667E+02
7.500	0.16878E+01	-0.83148E+01	0.14744E+02
8.000	-0.19656E+01	0.25940E+02	-0.23033E+02
8.500	0.14528E+01	-0.11800E+02	0.12131E+02
9.000	0.41215E+00	0.17861E+01	0.31997E+00
9.500	0.63615E+00	0.91418E+00	0.22750E+01
10.000	0.15820E+00	0.68690E+01	-0.16027E+01
10.500	0.42156E-01	0.54302E+01	-0.22716E+01
11.000	0.81612E+00	-0.20156E+01	0.41016E+01
11.500	-0.38400E+00	0.94029E+01	-0.52443E+01
12.000	0.46912E+00	-0.38530E+00	0.20423E+01
12.500	0.43003E+00	0.20267E+01	0.18284E+01
13.000	0.11695E+00	0.70708E+01	-0.90643E+00
13.500	0.70626E+00	-0.63686E+00	0.43867E+01
14.000	-0.47742E+00	0.10464E+02	-0.59802E+01
14.500	0.42508E+00	0.30012E+01	0.21261E+01
15.000	0.31454E+00	0.27811E+01	0.88535E+00
15.500	U.41217E+00	0.73804E+00	0.24354E+01
16.000	-0.14407E+00	0.27234E+01	-0.17173E+01
16.500	0.28001E+00	-0.10115E+01	0.12098E+01
17.000	0.833652-01	-0.876432+00	U.43199E+00
17.500	U.24646E+UU	-0.506832+01	U. 13597E+01
18.000	U.35130E+00	-U.52404E+01	U.25191E+01
18.500	U.42195E+00	-U.52192E+01	U.32124E+01
19.000	0.294532+00	-0.128672+01	0.208626401
19.500	-U.20139E+00	U.12155E+01	-0.20864E+01
20.000	U.73415E+00	-0.10353E+02	0.65087E+01

\$