REPORT NO. UCB/EERC-87/11 SEPTEMBER 1987

EARTHQUAKE ENGINEERING RESEARCH CENTER

RESIDUAL STRENGTH OF SAND FROM DAM FAILURES IN THE CHILEAN EARTHQUAKE OF MARCH 3, 1985

by

PEDRO DE ALBA H. BOLTON SEED EUGENIO RETAMAL RAYMOND B. SEED

A report on research sponsored by the National Science Foundation and the State of California Department of Water Resources

COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

REPRODUCED BY U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE SPRINGFIELD, VA. 22161

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA · Berkeley, California

REPORT DOCUMENTATION 1. REPORT NO.	2. 3. Recipient's Accession No.
PAGE NSF/ENG - 87037	
Posidual Strength of Sand from Dam Failu	res in the September 1987
Chilean Earthquake of March 3, 1985	6.
7. 4.45	C Dedemics Once letter D A N
P. De Alba, H.B. Seed, E. Retamal and R.B.	Seed UCB/EERC-87/11
9. Performing Organization Name and Address	10. Project/Task/Work Unit No.
Earthquake Engineering Research Center	
University of California	II. Contract(C) or Grant(G) No.
Richmond. California 94804	
	(G) ECE-8411912
12. Sponsoring Organization Name and Address	13. Type of Report & Period Covered
National Science Foundation	
1800 G. Street, N.W.	14.
15. Supplementary Notes	
16. Abstract (Limit: 200 words)	
The slope failures at La Marquesa and L	a Falma Dams in the Chilean earthquake of March (
1985 were apparently due to liquefaction	of loose sand layers near the base of th
embankments. The dams were low structures (4 to 10 m high) and the horizontal movements wer
substantial. However the configuration of t	he embankments after the failures suggests tha
the liquefied soil retained a small but sig	nificant strength after liquefaction occurred.
Field explorations provided a basis for	assessing the characteristics of the liquefie
sands before the earthquake occurred and an	alyses of the configuration of the slide materia
provided a basis for evaluating the probabi	e ranges of residual strengths for the liquefie
SUITS. The two cases of dae failure described	and of energial interact because they provid
avamples of the performance of very low dam	ale of special inceresc because oney provid a in which static strasses are quite low unde
strong earthquake conditions and they also	novide two additional studies to add to th
relatively sparse record from which residua	l strengths of liquefied soil can be determined (
the basis of field performance.	
· ·	
17. Document Analysis a. Descriptors	
dam failure residual st	rength
liquefaction	
liquefied soil	
b. Identifiers/Open-Ended Terms	
la Marquesa Dam	
La Palma Dam	
c. COSATI Field/Group	
18. Availability Statemen:	19. Security Class (This Report) 21. No. of Pages
	Unclassified 50
kelease uniimited	20. Security Class (This Page) 22. Price AF

OPTIONAL FORM 272 (4-77 (Formerly NTIS-35) Department of Commerce

EARTHQUAKE ENGINEERING RESEARCH CENTER

RESIDUAL STRENGTH OF SAND FROM DAM FAILURES IN THE CHILEAN EARTHQUAKE OF MARCH 3, 1985

by

Pedro De Alba H. Bolton Seed Eugenio Retamal Raymond B. Seed

Report No. UCB/EERC-87/11

September 1987

A report on research sponsored by the National Science Foundation and the State of California Department of Water Resources

> College of Engineering University of California Berkeley, CA 94720

RESIDUAL STRENGTH OF SAND FROM DAM FAILURES IN THE CHILEAN EARTHQUAKE OF MARCH 3, 1985

by

Pedro De Alba¹, H. Bolton Seed², Eugenio Retamal³ and Raymond B. Seed⁴

1. Introduction

Within 50 miles of the epicenter of the Central Chile earthquake of March 3, 1985, are 30 small storage reservoirs, retained by earth embankments ranging in height from 4 to 26 m (13 to 85 ft). The reservoir embankments were typically built of silty clayey sands weathered from the granodioritic Coast Batholith. The majority were constructed using light equipment and have a relatively low degree of compaction. While 14 of these embankments suffered cracking, it is remarkable that only two of them (La Marquesa and La Palma Dams) suffered extensive damage during this major earthquake (Retamal et al., 1986a); in both cases, the magnitude of the deformations suggested that liquefaction of the embankment or its foundation was the probable cause and that, for some time during the earthquake, the soil strength had been reduced to its residual value. In view of the scarcity of field data for this type of failure, a study of these two dams, La Marquesa and La Palma de Quilpué, was undertaken to determine their internal geometry and define the characteristics of the materials that failed.

2. The Earthquake of March 3, 1985

The earthquake with Magnitude $M_s = 7.8$ occurred at 22:47 GMT on March 3, 1985 in the subduction zone formed where the Nazca Plate moves under the South American plate at a shallow angle. Fig. 1 shows the location of the epicenter

 ¹Assoc. Prof. of Civil Engrg., University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH 03824.
²Prof. of Civil Engrg., University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720.
³Prof. of Civil Engrg., University of Chile, Santiago, Chile.
⁴Asst. Prof. of Civil Engrg., University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720.





and values of peak ground accelerations recorded at those stations closest to La Marquesa and La Palma dams.

As shown in Fig. 1, the recording stations closest to La Marquesa Dam are Llolleo and Melipilla; the dam is roughly midway between these stations on an East-West line, and at a distance of 45 km (28 mi.) from the epicenter. Peak accelerations recorded at these stations were as follows (Saragoni et al., 1986):

Llolleo (19 kms from the dam-site):	N10E: S80 : Vertical:	0.67g 0.43g 0.85g
Melipilla (20 kms from the dam-site):	NS: EW: Vertical:	0.67g 0.60g 0.59g

On the basis of these recordings, it seems reasonable to consider that the peak horizontal ground acceleration in the vicinity of La Marquesa was about 0.6g.

The level of peak acceleration at La Palma Dam is not as well defined as it was at La Marquesa. Fig. 1 shows the location of the dam, and the peak accelerations recorded at the two closest recording stations:

Viña del Mar (31 kms from the dam-site):	N70W: S20W: Vertical:	0.23g 0.36g 0.17g
San Pedro (25 kms from the dam-site):	NS: EW: Vertical:	0.60g 0.57g 0.38g

The damage observed at the nearest town, Casablanca, indicated a MM intensity of VIII. Retamal et al. (1986b), based on the recorded accelerations and

Chilean attenuation laws, proposed that the acceleration at the site was about 0.46g.

3. Performance and Evaluation of La Marquesa Dam

Performance of Dam During Earthquake

La Marquesa Dam had a pre-earthquake maximum height of 10 m (33 ft), a crest length of 220 m (722 ft) and a storage capacity of 204,000 cu. m. (267,000 cu.yd.). The existing dam was built in 1943 over the remains of an older dam that was overtopped during a flood in 1928. Construction materials were the local silty and clayey sands borrowed from the reservoir area and the abutments; the more plastic material was placed in the center of the section to form a core. The last recorded modifications were made in 1965, when the embankment was raised by about 1.5 m (5 ft.).

During the 1985 earthquake, the dam experienced major sliding of both the upstream and downstream slopes, with the largest displacements occur ring in the upstream slope. The resulting loss of freeboard was about 2 m (6.6 ft.) in the middle one-third of the embankment, thus dropping the crest of the dam to the same elevation as the spillway crest. An emergency breach was opened near the right abutment and the reservoir was emptied within a few days of the earthquake. Subsequent surface inspection showed an extensive pattern of longitudinal cracks, especially in the upstream slope, with crack widths of up to 0.8 m (2.6 ft.) and depths of up to 2 m (6.6 ft.). Fig. 2 shows cracking in the upstream slope. Horizontal displacements in the zone of greatest damage reached about 11 m (36 ft.) at the toe of the upstream slope and 6.5 m (21 ft.) at the toe of the downstream slope.



Fig. 2 CRACKING AND SLUMPING IN UPSTREAM SLOPE OF LA MARQUESA DAM

Field Explorations

Explorations at La Marquesa concentrated on a cross-section through the most damaged part of the embankment, section A-A in Fig. 3. Results from two studies were used to define the internal geometry at this section. Retamal et al. (1985) had reported results from a test pit and two SPT-borings carried out approximately at this location during studies for a possible reconstruction of the dam. To confirm and extend these results, an additional four SPT-borings and a test pit were made in the Summer of 1986 under the direction of the writers. Three of these borings, B-1 to B-3, were made along section A-A; an additional boring, B-4, was located 28 m (92 ft) away from this section, outside the major damage zone.

All the 1986 borings were made using a Joy 12B skid-mounted drill rig with a separate tripod. Drilling was carried out with a 3.875-in. tricone bit using water as the drilling fluid. The hole was provided with 4-in ID casing. A conventional donut hammer was used for the SPT-tests; rods were AW size, and the spoon was provided with a liner. Borings B-II and B-III of the 1985 study was carried out with similar equipment, except that the spoon was unlined.

Recent studies by Seed et al. (1985) have emphasized the need for measuring the energy delivered by the SPT hammer to the drill rods. A Binary Instruments model 102 SPT calibrator was used during the 1986 explorations to measure the imparted energy. A total of 8 energy tests were carried out in two different borings with an average of 15 energy measurements in each test. The results, expressed as an energy ratio, ER (fraction of maximum theoretical free-fall energy), ranged from ER = 52% to ER = 59%, with a mean value of 56%.

Figure 4 summarizes the results of the two exploration programs. SPT N-values have been normalized, as suggested by Seed et al., for the effects



Fig. 3 PLAN OF LA MARQUESA DAM SHOWING BORING LOCATIONS





of vertical effective stress and hammer energy. Blowcounts shown are those that would be observed for a vertical effective stress of 1 tsf, calculated as for level ground, and a hammer energy of 60%, i.e. $(N_1)_{60}$. In addition to the $(N_1)_{60}$ -values, the fines content and plasticity index of each split-spoon sample were determined in the investigation program.

The most easily defined layer in the foundation is the clayey sand/sandy clay which appears at about local elev. 90.5 meters (referred to subsequently as the base sandy clay). Blowcounts, fines content and plasticity all increase simultaneously in this layer. This clay is a residual material, and it is exposed in the abutments and in the main channel upstream of the dam. Immediately above the base sandy clay, a layer of silty sand was identified in all the borings of section A-A; this will be referred to subsequently as the contact silty sand.

Figure 5 compares downstream boring B-1 of section A-A with boring B-4, also located on the downstream slope, but away from the major damage zone, at about 28 meters (92 ft.) from B-1. A boring on the upstream slope would have been more desirable, but it was not possible to locate an undamaged portion of the upstream slope that was not practically at the abutment. The downstream slope at B-4 showed only minor cracking, with no significant movement. It is interesting to observe that what is evidently the same contact silty sand appears above the base sandy clay in Boring B-4, although the thickness of this layer at this location is about 1.4 m (4.6 ft), compared to 0.75 m (2.46 ft) in boring B-1.

Erratically-distributed silty and clayey sands were encountered above the contact silty sand at section A-A, constituting the remains of the embankment itself. Despite the fact that these materials are moved significantly



Fig. 5 COMPARISON OF BORINGS B-1 AND B-4 AT LA MARQUESA DAM

from their original locations, their distribution permits some conclusions to be drawn about the internal geometry of the embankment. In test pit TP-III at the crest of the dam, a sandy clay analogous to the foundation sandy clay was found under about 1.7 m (5.6 ft) of silty sand. This upper sandy material probably represents the most recent (1965) raising of the embankment, while the lower clayey material is part of the older (1943) dam. This lower plastic material is probably the same sandy clay encountered at about 2.8 m (9.2 ft) in boring B-2, above the contact silty sand.

Figure 6 shows a reconstruction of section A-A based on the exploration results. The existence of a relatively narrow core zone of more plastic material at the center of the dam was confirmed by borings B-2 and TP-III, as well as by the damage pattern observed at the crest of the dam. This core location is also consistent with the zoning specified in the 1943 reconstruction plans.

The core is surrounded by silty and clayey sand shells; these shell zones were built directly on the contact silty sand, whereas this sand was removed under the core. This removal was called for in the specifications for the 1943 reconstruction, and is confirmed by the fact that the dam operated for many years without significant seepage at the downstream toe.

The reconstructed section in Fig. 6 shows a somewhat larger thickness of the contact silty sand than that reported in the borings, where a maximum value of 0.8 m (2.6 ft) was encountered under the embankment. Study of the damage pattern suggests that the large horizontal and vertical upstream deformations were probably due to liquefaction of the contact silty sand as will be discussed subsequently. The assumption of a larger pre-earthquake thickness for the contact silty sand under the major damage section is supported by the 1.4 m (4.6 ft) thickness of this material in boring B-4 outside the major





damage zone, by the 1.7 m (5.6 ft) thickness measured in B-II beyond the downstream toe, and by the 1.4 m (4.6 ft) of sand found in test pit TP-1 upstream of the embankment. The reconstructed upstream slope is shown extending down below the top of the silty sand layer, since it appears that the sand layer immediately upstream of the dam was removed and placed in the upstream slope, a practice observed at other small dams in the area.

This belief is consistent with the large deformations of the upstream slope, and it accounts for the large volume which is now missing from the upper part of the pre-earthquake section, (see Fig. 6), while remaining consistent with the observed surface disruption beyond the toe of the slope.

Under the downstream slope, the contact silty sand was somewhat denser and the volume missing from the upper part of the pre-earthquake section approximately matches the volume of present surface disruption (above the preearthquake ground surface elevation) beyond the downstream toe.

It is of interest to compare the values of $(N_1)_{60}$ measured in the silty sand layer in Borings B-1, in the major damage zone, and B-4 where damage was minor, (see Fig. 5). The $(N_1)_{60}$ value measured in Boring B-4 was 11 bpf and that in Boring B-1 was 9 bpf, a difference of only 2 bpf. The fines content was similar at both locations. From this comparison, it would appear that pre-earthquake blowcounts under the embankment may not have been substantially different from the values measured after failure, and that as a first approximation these latter values can be considered indicative of the pre-earthquake values. It is also important to note that the data from boring B-II of the 1985 study is not considered representative of conditions under the embankment. The surface disruption pattern beyond the downstream toe suggests that liquefaction did not extend as far as this boring. While blowcounts at this

location are comparable to those under the embankment it should be noted that the fines content (37%) and, more importantly, the plasticity of the fines (PI = 11%) are significantly greater in this area than in the contact silty sand at other locations under the embankment, and the clayey nature of this soil may well have prevented liquefaction from occurring at this location.

Based on the results of the field investigations it was estimated that the average $(N_1)_{60}$ value of the contact silty sand under the upstream shell was about 4 and the fines content was about 30%. Allowing for the effects of the fines, as proposed by Seed (1987), the equivalent clean sand values of $(N_1)_{60}$ for this sand is thus about 6.

For the silty sand under the downstream shell, the measured value of $(N_1)_{60}$ was about 9 and the fines content about 20%, leading to an equivalent clean sand value of about 11.

Analyses of Failure

Based on the field investigation described above, it was a simple matter to calculate that the inertia forces induced by earthquake motions with a peak acceleration of 0.6g in the contact layer of silty sand with a $(N_1)_{60}$ -value of 6 to 11 would induce liquefaction early in the period of earthquake shaking. Thus the postulated failure mechanism for both the upstream and downstream slopes was essentially as follows: The contact silty sand layer on which the main body of the embankment was constructed liquefied soon after the outset of the strong motions. Under the upstream slope, where the silty sand was looser, a major flow of this liquefied material took place, driven mainly by the weight of the embankment. The embankment material, which generally contained more plastic fines, probably had not liquefied at this point and instead broke up into blocks, which slid downwards and outwards on the liquefied layer. A similar mechanism is assumed to have operated in the downstream slope; in this zone, however, the contact silty sand was denser and thus movements were somewhat smaller (about 6.5 m (21 ft) of horizontal movement at the downstream toe as opposed to 11 m (36 ft) upstream).

The relative simplicity of the sliding mechanism involved in such a failure made it possible to attempt to evaluate the residual strength of the contact silty sand after liquefaction occurred, or at least to establish reasonable upper and lower bounds for the residual strength of the liquefied soil. In an analysis of this type, allowance had to be made for the fact that both the upstream and downstream slopes suffered major changes in geometry during the failure and that liquefaction of the base sand layer caused the embankment shell zones to become at least partially uncoupled from the base motion. Two extreme cases were thus postulated for analysis:

(a) Examination of potential failure surfaces involving the original pre-earthquake section of the embankment. This case represents an upper bound to the gravity driving forces acting on the sliding mass, which subsequently spread out horizontally; the embankment was also considered to be coupled loosely to the foundation, so that some inertia forces due to earthquake accelerations below the base of the embankment were also included in the analyses.

(b) Examination of the final configuration of the slopes after the earthquake. In this case, different potential failure surfaces were studied to determine a lower-bound residual strength, i.e. that required to obtain a safety factor of unity immediately after the earthquake.

Figure 7 summarizes the different potential failure surfaces considered in the case (a) analyses for the upstream and downstream slopes. As





previously noted, both the effects of the gravity forces and the earthquakebase motions were considered using a Newmark-type dynamic deformation analysis. Deformations were considered to be indicated by the simplified charts of Makdisi and Seed (1977). Thus, given the measured horizontal deformations and the free-field acceleration, it was possible to backcalculate an approximate value for the yield acceleration, k_y , defined as the average acceleration (as a fraction of g) producing a horizontal inertia force on the potential sliding mass which induced a condition of incipient failure defined by a factor of safety of unity. Accelerations above the yield acceleration will cause the mass to experience permanent displacements. It was felt that an upper bound for the residual strength of the liquefied soil might be obtained by this approach.

In the case of the upstream slope at La Marquesa, the horizontal displacement was estimated to be about 11 m (36 ft). Based on the recorded accelerations at the nearest stations, a peak horizontal ground surface acceleration of 0.6g was adopted for the site. For these values, a yield acceleration $k_y = 0.012g$ was obtained. A first series of calculations was then carried out simply assuming that the full effective at-rest earth pressure of the core acted against the shell along the core/shell boundary, EF. This force together with the force corresponding to the yield acceleration and resisted by the residual strength of the contact silty sand acting along the surface FD, were considered to bring the slide mass to a condition of failure. The calculated yield acceleration and the core earth pressure force (assuming an at-rest earth pressure coefficient of 0.5 and a soil unit weight of 1.93 ton/m²) were applied to the sliding wedge EFD, leading to a computed residual strength along FD of 320 psf. A similar value, of about 330 psf, was obtained for wedge E F'D', assuming sliding along the top of the base sandy clay.

A second series of type (a) analyses was carried out assuming failure along surfaces ABCD and ABC'D' in Fig. 7, suggested by the damage pattern of the upstream slope, as shown. These were simple sliding wedge analyses, carried out considering a uniform residual strength along BCD or BC'D' and the same yield acceleration previously calculated. Residual strength values on the order of 290 to 340 psf were obtained by these analyses. These values represent an upper bound to the residual strengths that might be calculated for these surfaces, since if a higher undrained strength is assumed for the part of the failure surface that passes through the more plastic embankment materials along BC, then the residual strength required for equilibrium along CD or C'D' will be lower.

The same type of analysis was carried out for the downstream slope, considering the surfaces indicated in Fig. 7. In this case, for a horizontal deformation at the toe of about 6.5 m (21 ft), a yield acceleration of 0.03g was determined and used to quantify the residual strength of the liquefied silty sand. The results of these analyses for the downstream slope led to a computed upper limit for residual strength of 580 psf.

Type (b) analyses, to determine the residual strength required for a factor of safety of unity once earthquake shaking had stopped, were carried out for the surfaces shown in Fig. 8 and the results are summarized in Table I. Again, a uniform strength was assumed along the sliding surfaces considered. In this case, it is clear that very low strengths could be obtained, depending on the sliding surface considered; however, the values of interest are those consistent with the failure mechanisms proposed. For





Table I

Residual Strength Calculations for La Marquesa Dam

-- Post-Earthquake Section

76

(a) Upstream Slope

Residual Strength (psf) Surface ABCD

(b) Downstream Slope

Surface	<u>Residual Strength (psf)</u>
А С'Н'Н	266
E F'H'H	164
AGH	190
EFH	152

this section, these values were 266 psf for the downstream slope and 76 psf for the upstream slope.

Based on these analyses it was concluded that the residual strength of the liquefied silty sand could be expected to be within the following ranges:

> Base of upstream shell: 76 to 340 psf Base of downstream shell: 266 to 580 psf

4. Performance and Evaluation of La Palma Dam

Performance of Dam During Earthquake

La Palma Dam had a pre-earthquake maximum height of 10 m (33 ft), a crest length of 140 m (459 ft) and a storage capacity of 56,000 cu m (73,300 ft). This dam was over 50 years old at the time of the 1985 earthquake. During the earthquake, major sliding took place in the upstream slope, while the downstream slope remained relatively undamaged. Fig. 9 shows the dam shortly after the earthquake.

The damage pattern observed upstream is somewhat similar to that at La Marquesa Dam; the middle third of the embankment suffered major displacements, with the upstream toe moving out about 5 m (16 ft) and the failed embankment zone breaking up into blocks between longitudinal cracks. A major crack 80 m (260 ft) long with a maximum width of 1.2 m (4 ft) developed along the crest; in the area of greatest damage the upstream side of the crack settled more than 2.6 ft (80 cm) relative to the downstream side as shown in Fig. 10. A second major 60-m (197 ft)-long crack developed about 2 m (6.6 ft) below the crest in the upstream slope, with a surface width of about 80 cm (2.6 ft) and a drop of 1.5 m (4.9 ft) between the downstream and the upstream sides.



Fig. 9 OVERALL VIEW OF LA PALMA DAM SHORTLY AFTER EARTHQUAKE





(a) Cracking in Slope of Dam



CRACKING AND SLUMPING IN UPSTREAM SLOPE OF LA PALMA DAM Fig. 10 earthquake, the embankment was breached shortly thereafter to prevent any sudden release.

Field Exploration

Exploration at La Palma Dam consisted of five SPT borings made in 1986; Borings B-1 to B-4 were carried out along cross-section BB in the major damage zone of the dam, as shown in Fig. 11. Judging from the topography, this section is in the old streambed, where major damage was concentrated. The last boring, B-5, was made at a distance of about 35 m (115 ft) towards the right abutment, in a zone where damage was minor. Borings were carried out by the same crew, and using the same procedures, as at La Marquesa Dam. The water level in the reservoir at the time the borings were made was only 50 cms (1.6 ft) below that at the time of the earthquake.

The soil profile and the results of SPT tests for Borings B-1, B-2, B-3 and B-4 along section BB are summarized in Fig. 12. Measured N-values were normalized as for La Marquesa Dam and the results are presented in terms of $(N_1)_{60}$. Plasticity index and fines content were determined for each splitspoon sample.

From these results, it was concluded that the contact between the embankment and the original ground surface was defined by the black and grey silts in Borings B-3 and B-4, and by the brown sandy clay in Boring B-1. No clearly defined contact could be found in Boring B2; this was taken to indicate stripping to an impervious base for the core in this area. This belief is supported by the water levels observed in the borings during exploration, which are indicated in Fig. 12. The water levels in Borings B-2 to B-4 are approximately the same as the pool level, while in boring B-1 the water is



Fig. 11 PLAN OF LA PALMA DAM SHOWING BORING LOCATIONS



Fig. 12 CROSS-SECTION THROUGH FAILURE ZONE OF LA PALMA EMBANKMENT

considerably lower, indicating the effectiveness of the core and the foundation contact in preventing seepage.

A comparison of the results of Borings B-3 and B-4 in the slide area with Boring B-5 outside the slide area, shown in Fig. 13, indicates what is apparently the same loose silty sand layer forming the base of the embankment. This layer is somewhat denser at B-5 where $(N_1)_{60} = 5$ bpf, as compared with 2 bpf at B-3 and 3 bpf at B-4, and it was not submerged at B-5 during the earthquake, the base of the embankment being at a considerably higher elevation at this location. Since it was not saturated, the silty sand at B-5 could not have liquefied during the earthquake. It should also be noted that the silty sands at B-5 and B-4 have practically the same fines content, and thus the N_{1 60}-values are directly comparable; it may be argued on the basis of this comparison of the liquefied and non-liquefied zones that the penetration resistance of the silty sand did not change significantly as a result of liquefaction.

Based on the field studies it was concluded that the saturated loose silty sand at the base of the upstream shell had a very low penetration resistance $(N_1)_{60}$ of about 3 and a fines content of about 15%, giving an equivalent clean sand value of $(N_1)_{60} \approx 4$.

Analyses of Failure

Figure 14 shows a reconstructed section of the embankment based on the results of the exploration program. Basically, the dam is rather similar in section to La Marquesa, with a sandy clay core supported by clayey and silty sand shells. The upstream shell and part of the downstream shell are underlain by a layer of loose silty sand. This layer is significantly looser than the underlying silts and silty sands, and it is highly probable that this is



Fig. 13 LOGS OF BORINGS AT LA PALMA DAM





the layer that liquefied during the earthquake, resulting in the failure of the upstream slope. Liquefaction probably did not occur under the downstream shell because the loose silty sand was above the water table in this area.

Stability analyses analogous to those at La Marquesa were carried out for the upstream shell of La Palma Dam: (a) considering the original section of the dam and including earthquake-induced inertia forces, and (b) considering the final configuration of the damaged section and no inertia forces.

Fig. 15 shows the potential failure surfaces chosen for the case (a) analyses; as at La Marquesa Dam, wedge ABC was considered to have full effective at-rest earth pressure acting along AB with resistance provided by the residual strength of the liquefied sand acting along BC. For a horizontal toe displacement of 5 m and a free-field acceleration of 0.46 g a yield acceleration $k_y = 0.027$ g, was determined from the Makdisi-Seed deformation charts and a residual strength value of 295 psf was calculated for this case. An alternate surface suggested by the surface damage pattern, ADEC, was also studied using a sliding-wedge analysis procedure and assuming a uniform strength along the surface. A similar residual strength value, of 300 psf, was obtained in this case. Again, this would be an upper bound for the section ADEC since, if a higher value of undrained strength is assumed along DE in the embankment material, the residual strength along EC will be significantly lower than the computed value.

Case (b) analyses for the upstream slope at La Palma are summarized in Fig. 16 and Table II; lower bound values of residual strengths on the order of 120 psf were obtained, as shown.









Table II

Residual Strength Calculations for La Palma Dam, Upstream Slope --Post Earthquake Section

Surface	S _{res} (psf)
ABDE	115
ACDE	127

Based on both sets of analyses it was concluded that the residual strength of the liquefied sand at the base of the upstream shell could be expected to lie within the range of 120 to 300 psf.

5. <u>Conclusions</u>

The slope failures at La Marquesa and La Palma Dams in the Chilean earthquake of March 3, 1985 were apparently due to liquefaction of loose sand layers near the base of the embankments. The dams were low structures (4 to 10 m high) and the horizontal movements were substantial. However the configuration of the embankments after the failures suggests that the liquefied soil retained a small but significant strength after liquefaction ($r_u \approx 100\%$) occurred.

Field explorations provided a basis for assessing the characteristics of the liquefied sands before the earthquake occurred and analyses of the configuration of the slide material provided a basis for evaluating the probable ranges of residual strengths for the liquefied soils. The highest values for residual strength were obtained by assuming the driving forces to be the initial at-rest earth pressure of the core and the development of small inertia forces produced by the earthquake; similar, but generally slightly lower values, were obtained considering other failure surfaces suggested by the surface cracking and deformation patterns. For the latter analyses, it was necessary to assume a uniform residual strength along the failure surface, resulting in upper-bound strengths for these surfaces. Lower bounds to the residual strength were obtained considering the stability of the failed section; again, a variety of reasonable surfaces were considered, all of which gave values of the same order of magnitude.

The results of these analyses led to the following results:

Sand Layer	(N ₁) ₆₀	Fines <u>Content</u>	Equivalent Clean Sand (N ₁) ₆₀	Residual Strength
Base of upstream shell of La Marquesa Dam	≈4	≈30	≈б	76 to 340 psf
Base of downstream shell of La Marquesa Dam	≈9	≈20	≈11	266 to 580 psf
Base of upstream shell of La Palma Dam	≈3	≈15	≈4	120 to 300 psf

The results of these analyses led to the following results:

It may be noted that other alternatives (not shown) for the inclination of the core and the position of the base sliding surface were considered, as well as different reasonable undrained strength values for the somewhat more plastic embankment soils; in all cases, the residual strengths obtained fell within the range of values reported above.

The two cases of dam failure described are of special interest because they provide examples of the performance of very low dams, in which static stresses are quite low, under strong earthquake conditions and they also provide two additional case studies to add to the relatively sparse record of case studies from which residual strengths of liquefied soil can be determined based on field performance (Seed, 1987). Thus the results of these studies can be added to the data previously compiled, as shown in Fig. 17. It may be seen that they are generally consistent with values obtained from previous studies.

Acknowledgements

The investigations described in this report were supported by grants from the National Science Foundation (Grant No. ECE-8411912) and the State of



Fig. 17 RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN RESIDUAL STRENGTH AND EQUIVALENT CLEAN SAND VALUE $0F(N_1)_{60}$

California Department of Water Resources. The support of these agencies is gratefully acknowledged. The authors also express their appreciation to Charles Riggs of Central Mine Equipment Company who provided the Standard Penetration Test Calibrator used in the field studies.

References

Makdisi, F. I. and Seed, H. B. (1978), "Simplified Procedure for Estimating Dam and Embankment Earthquake-Induced Deformations." Journal of the Geotechnical Engineering Division, ASCE, Vol. 104, No. GT7, August, pp. 849-867.

Retamal, E. (1985), "Estudio de Reparacion de Emergencia, Presa La Marquesa, Informe Final," Report prepared for Direccion General de Aguas, V Region, Ministerio de Obras Publicas, Chile.

Retamal, E., Alvarez, L., and Vidal, L. (1986a), "Comportamiento Sismico de las Presas de Tierra en la Zona Afectada por el Terremoto del 3 de Marzo, 1985, Chile," in: "El Sismo de Marzo 1985, Chile," published by Universidad de Chile, Facultad de Ciencias Físicas y Matematicas, Santiago, Chile.

Retamal, E., Musante, H., Ortigosa, P. and Hidalgo, E. (1986b), "Comportamiento Sísmico, Analisis de Daños y Estudio de Reparación de las Presas El Carpintero, La Palma de Quilpué y Los Quillayes," Chilean Congress on Earthquake Engineering, 1986.

Saragoni, R., González, P. and Fresard, M. (1986), "Analisis de los Acelerogramas del Terremoto del 3 de Marzo, 1985," in: "El Sismo de Marzo 1985, Chile," published by Universidad de Chile, Facultad de Ciencias Físicas y Matematicas.

Seed, H. Bolton (1987) "Design Problems in Soil Liquefaction," Journal of the Geotechnical Engineering Division, ASCE, Vol. 113, No. 8, August, pp. 827-845.

Seed, H. Bolton, Tokimatsu, K., Harder, L. F. and Chung, Riley M. (1985), "Influence of SPT Procedures in Soil Liquefaction Resistance Evaluations," Journal of the Geotechnical Engineering Division, ASCE, Vol. 111, No. 12, December, pp. 1425-1445.

EARTHQUAKE ENGINEERING RESEARCH CENTER REPORT SERIES

EERC reports are available from the National Information Service for Earthquake Engineering(NISEE) and from the National Technical Information Service(NTIS). Numbers in parentheses are Accession Numbers assigned by the National Technical Information Service; these are followed by a price code. Contact NTIS, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield Virginia, 22161 for more information. Reports without Accession Numbers were not available from NTIS at the time of printing. For a current complete list of EERC reports (from EERC 67-1) and availability information, please contact University of California, EERC, NISEE, 1301 South 46th Street, Richmond, California 94804.

UCB/EERC-80/01	"Earthquake Response of Concrete Gravity Dams Including Hydrodynamic and Foundation Interaction Effects," by Chopra, A.K., Chakrabarti, P. and Gupta, S., January 1980, (AD-A087297)A10.
UCB/EERC-80/02	"Rocking Response of Rigid Blocks to Earthquakes," by Yim, C.S., Chopra, A.K. and Penzien, J., January 1980, (PB80 166 002)A04.
UCB/EERC-80/03	"Optimum Inelastic Design of Seismic-Resistant Reinforced Concrete Frame Structures," by Zagajeski, S.W. and Bertero, V.V., January 1980, (PB80 164 635)A06.
UCB/EERC-80/04	"Effects of Amount and Arrangement of Wall-Panel Reinforcement on Hysteretic Behavior of Reinforced Concrete Walls," by Iliya, R. and Bertero, V.V., February 1980, (PB81 122 525)A09.
UCB/EERC-80/05	"Shaking Table Research on Concrete Dam Models," by Niwa, A. and Clough, R.W., September 1980, (PB81 122 368)A06.
UCB/EERC-80/06	"The Design of Steel Energy-Absorbing Restrainers and their Incorporation into Nuclear Power Plants for Enhanced Safety (Vol 1a): Piping with Energy Absorbing Restrainers: Parameter Study on Small Systems," by Powell, G.H., Oughourlian, C. and Simons, J., June 1980.
UCB/EERC-80/07	"Inelastic Torsional Response of Structures Subjected to Earthquake Ground Motions," by Yamazaki, Y., April 1980, (PB81 122 327)A08.
UCB/EERC-80/08	"Study of X-Braced Steel Frame Structures under Earthquake Simulation," by Ghanaat, Y., April 1980, (PB81 122 335)A11.
UCB/EERC-80/09	"Hybrid Modelling of Soil-Structure Interaction," by Gupta, S., Lin, T.W. and Penzien, J., May 1980, (PB81 122 319)A07.
UCB/EERC-80/10	"General Applicability of a Nonlinear Model of a One Story Steel Frame," by Sveinsson, B.I. and McNiven, H.D., May 1980, (PB81 124 877)A06.
UCB/EERC-80/11	"A Green-Function Method for Wave Interaction with a Submerged Body," by Kioka, W., April 1980, (PB81 122 269)A07.
UCB/EERC-80/12	"Hydrodynamic Pressure and Added Mass for Axisymmetric Bodies.," by Nilrat, F., May 1980, (PB81 122 343)A08.
UCB/EERC-80/13	"Treatment of Non-Linear Drag Forces Acting on Offshore Platforms," by Dao, B.V. and Penzien, J., May 1980, (PB81 153 413)A07.
UCB/EERC-80/14	"2D Plane/Axisymmetric Solid Element (Type 3-Elastic or Elastic-Perfectly Plastic) for the ANSR-II Pro- gram," by Mondkar, D.P. and Powell, G.H., July 1980, (PB81 122 350)A03.
UCB/EERC-80/15	"A Response Spectrum Method for Random Vibrations," by Der Kiureghian, A., June 1981, (PB81 122 301)A03.
UCB/EERC-80/16	"Cyclic Inelastic Buckling of Tubular Steel Braces," by Zayas, V.A., Popov, E.P. and Martin, S.A., June 1981, (PB81 124 885)A10.
UCB/EERC-80/17	"Dynamic Response of Simple Arch Dams Including Hydrodynamic Interaction," by Porter, C.S. and Chopra, A.K., July 1981, (PB81 124 000)A13.
UCB/EERC-80/18	"Experimental Testing of a Friction Damped Aseismic Base Isolation System with Fail-Safe Characteristics," by Kelly, J.M., Beucke, K.E. and Skinner, M.S., July 1980, (PB81 148 595)A04.
UCB/EERC-80/19	"The Design of Steel Emergy-Absorbing Restrainers and their Incorporation into Nuclear Power Plants for Enhanced Safety (Vol.1B): Stochastic Seismic Analyses of Nuclear Power Plant Structures and Piping Sys- tems Subjected to Multiple Supported Excitations," by Lee, M.C. and Penzien, J., June 1980, (PB82 201 872)A08.
UCB/EERC-80/20	"The Design of Steel Energy-Absorbing Restrainers and their Incorporation into Nuclear Power Plants for Enhanced Safety (Vol 1C): Numerical Method for Dynamic Substructure Analysis," by Dickens, J.M. and Wilson, E.L., June 1980.

UCB/EERC-80/21	"The Design of Steel Energy-Absorbing Restrainers and their Incorporation into Nuclear Power Plants for Enhanced Safety (Vol 2): Development and Testing of Restraints for Nuclear Piping Systems," by Kelly, J.M. and Skinner, M.S., June 1980.
UCB/EERC-80/22	"3D Solid Element (Type 4-Elastic or Elastic-Perfectly-Plastic) for the ANSR-II Program," by Mondkar, D.P. and Powell, G.H., July 1980, (PB81 123 242)A03.
UCB/EERC-80/23	"Gap-Friction Element (Type 5) for the Ansr-II Program," by Mondkar, D.P. and Powell, G.H., July 1980, (PB81 122 285)A03.
UCB/EERC-80/24	"U-Bar Restraint Element (Type 11) for the ANSR-II Program," by Oughourlian, C. and Powell, G.H., July 1980, (PB81 122 293)A03.
UCB/EERC-80/25	"Testing of a Natural Rubber Base Isolation System by an Explosively Simulated Earthquake," by Kelly, J.M., August 1980, (PB81 201 360)A04.
UCB/EERC-80/26	"Input Identification from Structural Vibrational Response," by Hu, Y., August 1980, (PB81 152 308)A05.
UCB/EERC-80/27	"Cyclic Inelastic Behavior of Steel Offshore Structures," by Zayas, V.A., Mahin, S.A. and Popov, E.P., August 1980, (PB81 196 180)A15.
UCB/EERC-80/28	"Shaking Table Testing of a Reinforced Concrete Frame with Biaxial Response," by Oliva, M.G., October 1980, (PB81 154 304)A10.
UCB/EERC-80/29	"Dynamic Properties of a Twelve-Story Prefabricated Panel Building," by Bouwkamp, J.G., Kollegger, J.P. and Stephen, R.M., October 1980, (PB82 138 777)A07.
UCB/EERC-80/30	"Dynamic Properties of an Eight-Story Prefabricated Panel Building," by Bouwkamp, J.G., Kollegger, J.P. and Stephen, R.M., October 1980, (PB81 200 313)A05.
UCB/EERC-80/31	"Predictive Dynamic Response of Panel Type Structures under Earthquakes," by Kollegger, J.P. and Bouwkamp, J.G., October 1980, (PB81 152 316)A04.
UCB/EERC-80/32	"The Design of Steel Energy-Absorbing Restrainers and their Incorporation into Nuclear Power Plants for Enhanced Safety (Vol 3): Testing of Commercial Steels in Low-Cycle Torsional Fatique," by Spanner, P., Parker, E.R., Jongewaard, E. and Dory, M., 1980.
UCB/EERC-80/33	"The Design of Steel Energy-Absorbing Restrainers and their Incorporation into Nuclear Power Plants for Enhanced Safety (Vol 4): Shaking Table Tests of Piping Systems with Energy-Absorbing Restrainers," by Stiemer, S.F. and Godden, W.G., September 1980, (PB82 201 880)A05.
UCB/EERC-80/34	"The Design of Steel Energy-Absorbing Restrainers and their Incorporation into Nuclear Power Plants for Enhanced Safety (Vol 5): Summary Report," by Spencer, P., 1980.
UCB/EERC-80/35	"Experimental Testing of an Energy-Absorbing Base Isolation System," by Kelly, J.M., Skinner, M.S. and Beucke, K.E., October 1980, (PB81 154 072)A04.
UCB/EERC-80/36	"Simulating and Analyzing Artificial Non-Stationary Earth Ground Motions," by Nau, R.F., Oliver, R.M. and Pister, K.S., October 1980, (PB81 153 397)A04.
UCB/EERC-80/37	"Earthquake Engineering at Berkeley - 1980," by, September 1980, (PB81 205 674)A09.
UCB/EERC-80/38	"Inelastic Seismic Analysis of Large Panel Buildings," by Schricker, V. and Powell, G.H., September 1980, (PB81 154 338)A13.
UCB/EERC-80/39	"Dynamic Response of Embankment, Concrete-Gavity and Arch Dams Including Hydrodynamic Interation," by Hall, J.F. and Chopra, A.K., October 1980, (PB81 152 324)A11.
UCB/EERC-80/40	"Inelastic Buckling of Steel Struts under Cyclic Load Reversal.," by Black, R.G., Wenger, W.A. and Popov, E.P., October 1980, (PB81 154 312)A08.
UCB/EERC-80/41	"Influence of Site Characteristics on Buildings Damage during the October 3,1974 Lima Earthquake," by Repetto, P., Arango, I. and Seed, H.B., September 1980, (PB81 161 739)A05.
UCB/EERC-80/42	"Evaluation of a Shaking Table Test Program on Response Behavior of a Two Story Reinforced Concrete Frame," by Blondet, J.M., Clough, R.W. and Mahin, S.A., December 1980, (PB82 196 544)A11.
UCB/EERC-80/43	"Modelling of Soil-Structure Interaction by Finite and Infinite Elements," by Medina, F., December 1980, (PB81 229 270)A04.
UCB/EERC-81/01	"Control of Seismic Response of Piping Systems and Other Structures by Base Isolation," by Kelly, J.M., January 1981, (PB81 200 735)A05.
UCB/EERC-81/02	"OPTNSR- An Interactive Software System for Optimal Design of Statically and Dynamically Loaded Struc- tures with Nonlinear Response," by Bhatti, M.A., Ciampi, V. and Pister, K.S., January 1981, (PB81 218 851)A09.

•

.

UCB/EERC-81/03 "Analysis of Local Variations in Free Field Seismic Ground Motions," by Chen, J.-C., Lysmer, J. and Seed, H.B., January 1981, (AD-A099508)A13. UCB/EERC-81/04 "Inelastic Structural Modeling of Braced Offshore Platforms for Seismic Loading.," by Zayas, V.A., Shing, P.-S.B., Mahin, S.A. and Popov, E.P., January 1981, (PB82 138 777)A07. UCB/EERC-81/05 "Dynamic Response of Light Equipment in Structures," by Der Kiureghian, A., Sackman, J.L. and Nour-Omid, B., April 1981, (PB81 218 497)A04. UCB/EERC-81/06 "Preliminary Experimental Investigation of a Broad Base Liquid Storage Tank," by Bouwkamp, J.G., Kollegger, J.P. and Stephen, R.M., May 1981, (PB82 140 385)A03. "The Seismic Resistant Design of Reinforced Concrete Coupled Structural Walls," by Aktan, A.E. and Ber-UCB/EERC-81/07 tero, V.V., June 1981, (PB82 113 358)A11. UCB/EERC-81/08 "Unassigned," by Unassigned, 1981. "Experimental Behavior of a Spatial Piping System with Steel Energy Absorbers Subjected to a Simulated UCB/EERC-81/09 Differential Seismic Input," by Stiemer, S.F., Godden, W.G. and Kelly, J.M., July 1981, (PB82 201 898)A04. "Evaluation of Seismic Design Provisions for Masonry in the United States," by Sveinsson, B.I., Mayes, UCB/EERC-81/10 R.L. and McNiven, H.D., August 1981, (PB82 166 075)A08. UCB/EERC-81/11 "Two-Dimensional Hybrid Modelling of Soil-Structure Interaction," by Tzong, T.-J., Gupta, S. and Penzien, J., August 1981, (PB82 142 118)A04. "Studies on Effects of Infills in Seismic Resistant R/C Construction," by Brokken, S. and Bertero, V.V., UCB/EERC-81/12 October 1981, (PB82 166 190)A09. UCB/EERC-81/13 "Linear Models to Predict the Nonlinear Seismic Behavior of a One-Story Steel Frame," by Valdimarsson, H., Shah, A.H. and McNiven, H.D., September 1981, (PB82 138 793)A07. UCB/EERC-81/14 "TLUSH: A Computer Program for the Three-Dimensional Dynamic Analysis of Earth Dams," by Kagawa, T., Mejia, L.H., Seed, H.B. and Lysmer, J., September 1981, (PB82 139 940)A06. "Three Dimensional Dynamic Response Analysis of Earth Dams," by Meija, L.H. and Seed, H.B., September UCB/EERC-81/15 1981, (PB82 137 274)A12. UCB/EERC-81/16 "Experimental Study of Lead and Elastomeric Dampers for Base Isolation Systems," by Kelly, J.M. and Hodder, S.B., October 1981, (PB82 166 182)A05. UCB/EERC-81/17 "The Influence of Base Isolation on the Seismic Response of Light Secondary Equipment," by Kelly, J.M., April 1981, (PB82 255 266)A04. UCB/EERC-81/18 "Studies on Evaluation of Shaking Table Response Analysis Procedures," by Blondet, J. Marcial, November 1981, (PB82 197 278)A10. UCB/EERC-81/19 "DELIGHT.STRUCT: A Computer-Aided Design Environment for Structural Engineering. ," by Balling, R.J., Pister, K.S. and Polak, E., December 1981, (PB82 218 496)A07. "Optimal Design of Seismic-Resistant Planar Steel Frames," by Balling, R.J., Ciampi, V. and Pister, K.S., **UCB/EERC-81/20** December 1981, (PB82 220 179)A07. UCB/EERC-82/01 "Dynamic Behavior of Ground for Seismic Analysis of Lifeline Systems," by Sato, T. and Der Kiureghian, A., January 1982, (PB82 218 926)A05. UCB/EERC-82/02 "Shaking Table Tests of a Tubular Steel Frame Model," by Ghanaat, Y. and Clough, R.W., January 1982. (PB82 220 161)A07. UCB/EERC-82/03 "Behavior of a Piping System under Seismic Excitation: Experimental Investigations of a Spatial Piping System supported by Mechanical Shock Arrestors," by Schneider, S., Lee, H.-M. and Godden, W. G., May 1982, (PB83 172 544)A09. UCB/EERC-82/04 "New Approaches for the Dynamic Analysis of Large Structural Systems," by Wilson, E.L., June 1982, (PB83 148 080)A05. UCB/EERC-82/05 "Model Study of Effects of Damage on the Vibration Properties of Steel Offshore Platforms," by Shahrivar, F. and Bouwkamp, J.G., June 1982, (PB83 148 742)A10. **UCB/EERC-82/06** "States of the Art and Pratice in the Optimum Seismic Design and Analytical Response Prediction of R/C Frame Wall Structures," by Aktan, A.E. and Bertero, V.V., July 1982, (PB83 147 736)A05. UCB/EERC-82/07 "Further Study of the Earthquake Response of a Broad Cylindrical Liquid-Storage Tank Model," by Manos, G.C. and Clough, R.W., July 1982, (PB83 147 744)A11. UCB/EERC-82/08 "An Evaluation of the Design and Analytical Seismic Response of a Seven Story Reinforced Concrete Frame," by Charney, F.A. and Bertero, V.V., July 1982, (PB83 157 628)A09.

UCB/EERC-82/09	"Fluid-Structure Interactions: Added Mass Computations for Incompressible Fluid. ," by Kuo, J.SH., August 1982, (PB83 156 281)A07.
UCB/EERC-82/10	"Joint-Opening Nonlinear Mechanism: Interface Smeared Crack Model," by Kuo, J.SH., August 1982, (PB83 149 195)A05.
UCB/EERC-82/11	"Dynamic Response Analysis of Techi Dam," by Clough, R.W., Stephen, R.M. and Kuo, J.SH., August 1982, (PB83 147 496)A06.
UCB/EERC-82/12	"Prediction of the Seismic Response of R/C Frame-Coupled Wall Structures," by Aktan, A.E., Bertero, V.V. and Piazzo, M., August 1982, (PB83 149 203)A09.
UCB/EERC-82/13	"Preliminary Report on the Smart 1 Strong Motion Array in Taiwan," by Bolt, B.A., Loh, C.H., Penzien, J. and Tsai, Y.B., August 1982, (PB83 159 400)A10.
UCB/EERC-82/14	"Shaking-Table Studies of an Eccentrically X-Braced Steel Structure," by Yang, M.S., September 1982, (PB83 260 778)A12.
UCB/EERC-82/15	"The Performance of Stairways in Earthquakes," by Roha, C., Axley, J.W. and Bertero, V.V., September 1982, (PB83 157 693)A07.
UCB/EERC-82/16	"The Behavior of Submerged Multiple Bodies in Earthquakes," by Liao, WG., September 1982, (PB83 158 709)A07.
UCB/EERC-82/17	"Effects of Concrete Types and Loading Conditions on Local Bond-Slip Relationships," by Cowell, A.D., Popov, E.P. and Bertero, V.V., September 1982, (PB83 153 577)A04.
UCB/EERC-82/18	"Mechanical Behavior of Shear Wall Vertical Boundary Members: An Experimental Investigation," by Wagner, M.T. and Bertero, V.V., October 1982, (PB83 159 764)A05.
UCB/EERC-82/19	"Experimental Studies of Multi-support Seismic Loading on Piping Systems," by Kelly, J.M. and Cowell, A.D., November 1982.
UCB/EERC-82/20	"Generalized Plastic Hinge Concepts for 3D Beam-Column Elements," by Chen, P. FS. and Powell, G.H., November 1982, (PB83 247 981)A13.
UCB/EERC-82/21	"ANSR-II: General Computer Program for Nonlinear Structural Analysis," by Oughourlian, C.V. and Powell, G.H., November 1982, (PB83 251 330)A12.
UCB/EERC-82/22	"Solution Strategies for Statically Loaded Nonlinear Structures," by Simons, J.W. and Powell, G.H., November 1982, (PB83 197 970)A06.
UCB/EERC-82/23	"Analytical Model of Deformed Bar Anchorages under Generalized Excitations," by Ciampi, V., Eli- gehausen, R., Bertero, V.V. and Popov, E.P., November 1982, (PB83 169 532)A06.
UCB/EERC-82/24	"A Mathematical Model for the Response of Masonry Walls to Dynamic Excitations," by Sucuoglu, H., Mengi, Y. and McNiven, H.D., November 1982, (PB83 169 011)A07.
UCB/EERC-82/25	"Earthquake Response Considerations of Broad Liquid Storage Tanks," by Cambra, F.J., November 1982, (PB83 251 215)A09.
UCB/EERC-82/26	"Computational Models for Cyclic Plasticity, Rate Dependence and Creep," by Mosaddad, B. and Powell, G.H., November 1982, (PB83 245 829)A08.
UCB/EERC-82/27	"Inelastic Analysis of Piping and Tubular Structures," by Mahasuverachai, M. and Powell, G.H., November 1982, (PB83 249 987)A07.
UCB/EERC-83/01	"The Economic Feasibility of Seismic Rehabilitation of Buildings by Base Isolation," by Kelly, J.M., January 1983, (PB83 197 988)A05.
UCB/EERC-83/02	"Seismic Moment Connections for Moment-Resisting Steel Frames.," by Popov, E.P., January 1983, (PB83 195 412)A04.
UCB/EERC-83/03	"Design of Links and Beam-to-Column Connections for Eccentrically Braced Steel Frames," by Popov, E.P. and Malley, J.O., January 1983, (PB83 194 811)A04.
UCB/EERC-83/04	"Numerical Techniques for the Evaluation of Soil-Structure Interaction Effects in the Time Domain," by Bayo, E. and Wilson, E.L., February 1983, (PB83 245 605)A09.
UCB/EERC-83/05	"A Transducer for Measuring the Internal Forces in the Columns of a Frame-Wall Reinforced Concrete Structure," by Sause, R. and Bertero, V.V., May 1983, (PB84 119 494)A06.
UCB/EERC-83/06	"Dynamic Interactions Between Floating Ice and Offshore Structures," by Croteau, P., May 1983, (PB84 119 486)A16.
UCB/EERC-83/07	"Dynamic Analysis of Multiply Tuned and Arbitrarily Supported Secondary Systems. ," by Igusa, T. and Der Kiureghian, A., July 1983, (PB84 118 272)A11.

UCB/EERC-83/08 "A Laboratory Study of Submerged Multi-body Systems in Earthquakes," by Ansari, G.R., June 1983, (PB83 261 842)A17. UCB/EERC-83/09 "Effects of Transient Foundation Uplift on Earthquake Response of Structures," by Yim, C.-S. and Chopra, A.K., June 1983, (PB83 261 396)A07. UCB/EERC-83/10 "Optimal Design of Friction-Braced Frames under Seismic Loading," by Austin, M.A. and Pister, K.S., June 1983, (PB84 119 288)A06. "Shaking Table Study of Single-Story Masonry Houses: Dynamic Performance under Three Component UCB/EERC-83/11 Seismic Input and Recommendations," by Manos, G.C., Clough, R.W. and Mayes, R.L., July 1983, (UCB/EERC-83/11)A08. "Experimental Error Propagation in Pseudodynamic Testing," by Shiing, P.B. and Mahin, S.A., June 1983, UCB/EERC-83/12 (PB84 119 270)A09. "Experimental and Analytical Predictions of the Mechanical Characteristics of a 1/5-scale Model of a 7-story UCB/EERC-83/13 R/C Frame-Wall Building Structure," by Aktan, A.E., Bertero, V.V., Chowdhury, A.A. and Nagashima, T., June 1983, (PB84 119 213)A07. "Shaking Table Tests of Large-Panel Precast Concrete Building System Assemblages," by Oliva, M.G. and UCB/EERC-83/14 Clough, R.W., June 1983, (PB86 110 210/AS)A11. UCB/EERC-83/15 "Seismic Behavior of Active Beam Links in Eccentrically Braced Frames," by Hjelmstad, K.D. and Popov, E.P., July 1983, (PB84 119 676)A09.

UCB/EERC-83/16 "System Identification of Structures with Joint Rotation," by Dimsdale, J.S., July 1983, (PB84 192 210)A06.

- UCB/EERC-83/17 "Construction of Inelastic Response Spectra for Single-Degree-of-Freedom Systems," by Mahin, S. and Lin, J., June 1983, (PB84 208 834)A05.
- UCB/EERC-83/18 "Interactive Computer Analysis Methods for Predicting the Inelastic Cyclic Behaviour of Structural Sections," by Kaba, S. and Mahin, S., July 1983, (PB84 192 012)A06.
- UCB/EERC-83/19 "Effects of Bond Deterioration on Hysteretic Behavior of Reinforced Concrete Joints," by Filippou, F.C., Popov, E.P. and Bertero, V.V., August 1983, (PB84 192 020)A10.
- UCB/EERC-83/20 "Analytical and Experimental Correlation of Large-Panel Precast Building System Performance," by Oliva, M.G., Clough, R.W., Velkov, M. and Gavrilovic, P., November 1983.
- UCB/EERC-83/21 "Mechanical Characteristics of Materials Used in a 1/5 Scale Model of a 7-Story Reinforced Concrete Test Structure," by Bertero, V.V., Aktan, A.E., Harris, H.G. and Chowdhury, A.A., October 1983, (PB84 193 697)A05.
- UCB/EERC-83/22 "Hybrid Modelling of Soil-Structure Interaction in Layered Media," by Tzong, T.-J. and Penzien, J., October 1983, (PB84 192 178)A08.
- UCB/EERC-83/23 "Local Bond Stress-Slip Relationships of Deformed Bars under Generalized Excitations," by Eligehausen, R., Popov, E.P. and Bertero, V.V., October 1983, (PB84 192 848)A09.
- UCB/EERC-83/24 "Design Considerations for Shear Links in Eccentrically Braced Frames," by Malley, J.O. and Popov, E.P., November 1983, (PB84 192 186)A07.
- UCB/EERC-84/01 "Pseudodynamic Test Method for Seismic Performance Evaluation: Theory and Implementation," by Shing, P.-S. B. and Mahin, S.A., January 1984, (PB84 190 644)A08.
- UCB/EERC-84/02 "Dynamic Response Behavior of Kiang Hong Dian Dam," by Clough, R.W., Chang, K.-T., Chen, H.-Q. and Stephen, R.M., April 1984, (PB84 209 402)A08.
- UCB/EERC-84/03 "Refined Modelling of Reinforced Concrete Columns for Seismic Analysis," by Kaba, S.A. and Mahin, S.A., April 1984, (PB84 234 384)A06.
- UCB/EERC-84/04 "A New Floor Response Spectrum Method for Seismic Analysis of Multiply Supported Secondary Systems," by Asfura, A. and Der Kiureghian, A., June 1984, (PB84 239 417)A06.
- UCB/EERC-84/05 "Earthquake Simulation Tests and Associated Studies of a 1/5th-scale Model of a 7-Story R/C Frame-Wall Test Structure," by Bertero, V.V., Aktan, A.E., Charney, F.A. and Sause, R., June 1984, (PB84 239 409)A09.
- UCB/EERC-84/06 "R/C Structural Walls: Seismic Design for Shear," by Aktan, A.E. and Bertero, V.V., 1984.
- UCB/EERC-84/07 "Behavior of Interior and Exterior Flat-Plate Connections subjected to Inelastic Load Reversals," by Zee, H.L. and Mochle, J.P., August 1984, (PB86 117 629/AS)A07.

UCB/EERC-84/08 "Experimental Study of the Seismic Behavior of a Two-Story Flat-Plate Structure.," by Moehle, J.P. and Diebold, J.W., August 1984, (PB86 122 553/AS)A12.

Chopra, A.K., August 1984, (PB85 193 613/AS)A05. UCB/EERC-84/12 "A Refined Physical Theory Model for Predicting the Seismic Behavior of Braced Steel Frames," by Ikeda,

K. and Mahin, S.A., July 1984, (PB85 191 450/AS)A09.

zakis, S.N., May 1984, (PB86 132 198/AS)A08.

1984, (PB85 193 902/AS)A11.

UCB/EERC-84/09

UCB/EERC-84/10

UCB/EERC-84/11

UCB/EERC-84/13 "Earthquake Engineering Research at Berkeley - 1984," by, August 1984, (PB85 197 341/AS)A10.

UCB/EERC-84/14 "Moduli and Damping Factors for Dynamic Analyses of Cohesionless Soils," by Seed, H.B., Wong, R.T., Idriss, I.M. and Tokimatsu, K., September 1984, (PB85 191 468/AS)A04.

"The Influence of SPT Procedures in Soil Liquefaction Resistance Evaluations," by Seed, H.B., Tokimatsu, UCB/EERC-84/15 K., Harder, L.F. and Chung, R.M., October 1984, (PB85 191 732/AS)A04.

UCB/EERC-84/16 "Simplified Procedures for the Evaluation of Settlements in Sands Due to Earthquake Shaking," by Tokimatsu, K. and Seed, H.B., October 1984, (PB85 197 887/AS)A03.

UCB/EERC-84/17 "Evaluation of Energy Absorption Characteristics of Bridges under Seismic Conditions," by Imbsen, R.A. and Penzien, J., November 1984.

UCB/EERC-84/18 "Structure-Foundation Interactions under Dynamic Loads," by Liu, W.D. and Penzien, J., November 1984, (PB87 124 889/AS)A11.

UCB/EERC-84/19 "Seismic Modelling of Deep Foundations," by Chen, C.-H. and Penzien, J., November 1984, (PB87 124 798/AS)A07.

UCB/EERC-84/20 "Dynamic Response Behavior of Quan Shui Dam," by Clough, R.W., Chang, K.-T., Chen, H.-O., Stephen, R.M., Ghanaat, Y. and Qi, J.-H., November 1984, (PB86 115177/AS)A07.

UCB/EERC-85/01 "Simplified Methods of Analysis for Earthquake Resistant Design of Buildings," by Cruz, E.F. and Chopra, A.K., February 1985, (PB86 112299/AS)A12.

UCB/EERC-85/02 "Estimation of Seismic Wave Coherency and Rupture Velocity using the SMART 1 Strong-Motion Array Recordings," by Abrahamson, N.A., March 1985, (PB86 214 343)A07.

UCB/EERC-85/03 "Dynamic Properties of a Thirty Story Condominium Tower Building," by Stephen, R.M., Wilson, E.L. and Stander, N., April 1985, (PB86 118965/AS)A06.

UCB/EERC-85/04 "Development of Substructuring Techniques for On-Line Computer Controlled Seismic Performance Testing," by Dermitzakis, S. and Mahin, S., February 1985, (PB86 132941/AS)A08.

UCB/EERC-85/05 "A Simple Model for Reinforcing Bar Anchorages under Cyclic Excitations," by Filippou, F.C., March 1985, (PB86 112 919/AS)A05.

UCB/EERC-85/06 "Racking Behavior of Wood-framed Gypsum Panels under Dynamic Load," by Oliva, M.G., June 1985.

UCB/EERC-85/07 "Earthquake Analysis and Response of Concrete Arch Dams," by Fok, K.-L. and Chopra, A.K., June 1985, (PB86 139672/AS)A10.

UCB/EERC-85/08 "Effect of Inelastic Behavior on the Analysis and Design of Earthquake Resistant Structures," by Lin, J.P. and Mahin, S.A., June 1985, (PB86 135340/AS)A08.

UCB/EERC-85/09 "Earthquake Simulator Testing of a Base-Isolated Bridge Deck," by Kelly, J.M., Buckle, I.G. and Tsai, H.-C., January 1986, (PB87 124 152/AS)A06.

UCB/EERC-85/10 "Simplified Analysis for Earthquake Resistant Design of Concrete Gravity Dams," by Fenves, G. and Chopra, A.K., June 1986, (PB87 124 160/AS)A08.

UCB/EERC-85/11 "Dynamic Interaction Effects in Arch Dams," by Clough, R.W., Chang, K.-T., Chen, H.-Q. and Ghanaat, Y., October 1985, (PB86 135027/AS)A05.

UCB/EERC-85/12 "Dynamic Response of Long Valley Dam in the Mammoth Lake Earthquake Series of May 25-27, 1980," by Lai, S. and Seed, H.B., November 1985, (PB86 142304/AS)A05.

UCB/EERC-85/13 "A Methodology for Computer-Aided Design of Earthquake-Resistant Steel Structures," by Austin, M.A., Pister, K.S. and Mahin, S.A., December 1985, (PB86 159480/AS)A10.

UCB/EERC-85/14 "Response of Tension-Leg Platforms to Vertical Seismic Excitations," by Liou, G.-S., Penzien, J. and Yeung, R.W., December 1985, (PB87 124 871/AS)A08.

UCB/EERC-85/15 "Cyclic Loading Tests of Masonry Single Piers: Volume 4 - Additional Tests with Height to Width Ratio of 1," by Sveinsson, B., McNiven, H.D. and Sucuoglu, H., December 1985.

Partitions," by Yanev, B. and McNiven, H.D., December 1985. UCB/EERC-86/01 "A Study of Seismically Resistant Eccentrically Braced Steel Frame Systems," by Kasai, K. and Popov, E.P., January 1986, (PB87 124 178/AS)A14. UCB/EERC-86/02 "Design Problems in Soil Liquefaction," by Seed, H.B., February 1986, (PB87 124 186/AS)A03. UCB/EERC-86/03 "Implications of Recent Earthquakes and Research on Earthquake-Resistant Design and Construction of Buildings," by Bertero, V.V., March 1986, (PB87 124 194/AS)A05. "The Use of Load Dependent Vectors for Dynamic and Earthquake Analyses," by Leger, P., Wilson, E.L. UCB/EERC-86/04 and Clough, R.W., March 1986, (PB87 124 202/AS)A12. UCB/EERC-86/05 "Two Beam-To-Column Web Connections," by Tsai, K.-C. and Popov, E.P., April 1986, (PB87 124 301/AS)A04. "Determination of Penetration Resistance for Coarse-Grained Soils using the Becker Hammer Drill," by UCB/EERC-86/06 Harder, L.F. and Seed, H.B., May 1986, (PB87 124 210/AS)A07. UCB/EERC-86/07 "A Mathematical Model for Predicting the Nonlinear Response of Unreinforced Masonry Walls to In-Plane Earthquake Excitations," by Mengi, Y. and McNiven, H.D., May 1986, (PB87 124 780/AS)A06. UCB/EERC-86/08 "The 19 September 1985 Mexico Earthquake: Building Behavior," by Bertero, V.V., July 1986. UCB/EERC-86/09 "EACD-3D: A Computer Program for Three-Dimensional Earthquake Analysis of Concrete Dams," by Fok, K.-L., Hall, J.F. and Chopra, A.K., July 1986, (PB87 124 228/AS)A08. UCB/EERC-86/10 "Earthquake Simulation Tests and Associated Studies of a 0.3-Scale Model of a Six-Story Concentrically Braced Steel Structure," by Uang, C.-M. and Bertero, V.V., December 1986. UCB/EERC-86/11 "Mechanical Characteristics of Base Isolation Bearings for a Bridge Deck Model Test," by Kelly, J.M., Buckle, I.G. and Koh, C.-G., 1987. UCB/EERC-86/12 "Modelling of Dynamic Response of Elastomeric Isolation Bearings," by Koh, C.-G. and Kelly, J.M., 1987. UCB/EERC-87/01 "The FPS Earthquake Resisting System: Experimental Report," by Zayas, V.A., Low, S.S. and Mahin, S.A., June 1987. UCB/EERC-87/02 "Earthquake Simulator Tests and Associated Studies of a 0.3-Scale Model of a Six-Story Eccentrically Braced Steel Structure," by Whittaker, A., Uang, C.-M. and Bertero, V.V., July 1987. UCB/EERC-87/03 "A Displacement Control and Uplift Restraint Device for Base-Isolated Structures," by Kelly, J.M., Griffith, M.C. and Aiken, I.G., April 1987. UCB/EERC-87/04 "Earthquake Simulator Testing of a Combined Sliding Bearing and Rubber Bearing Isolation System," by Kelly, J.M. and Chalhoub, M.S., 1987. UCB/EERC-87/05 "Three-Dimensional Inelastic Analysis of Reinforced Concrete Frame-Wall Structures," by Moazzami, S. and Bertero, V.V., May 1987. UCB/EERC-87/06 "Experiments on Eccentrically Braced Frames With Composite Floors," by Ricles, J. and Popov, E., June 1987. UCB/EERC-87/07 "Dynamic Analysis of Seismically Resistant Eccentrically Braced Frames," by Ricles, J. and Popov, E., June 1987. "Undrained Cyclic Triaxial Testing of Gravels - The Effect of Membrane Compliance, " by Evans, M.D. and UCB/EERC-87/08 Seed, H.B., July 1987. UCB/EERC-87/09 "Hybrid Solution Techniques For Generalized Pseudo-Dynamic Testing," by Thewalt, C. and Mahin, S. A., July 1987. UCB/EERC-87/10 "Investigation of Ultimate Behavior of AISC Group 4 and 5 Heavy Steel Rolled-Section Splices," by Bruneau, M. and Mahin, S. A., July 1987.

"An Experimental Program for Studying the Dynamic Response of a Steel Frame with a Variety of Infill

UCB/EERC-85/16

UCB/EERC-87/11 "Residual Strength of Sand From Dam Failures in the Chilean Earthquake of March 3, 1985," by De Alba, P., Seed, H. B., Retamal, E. and Seed, R. B., September 1987.