

NATIONAL CENTER FOR EARTHQUAKE ENGINEERING RESEARCH

State University of New York at Buffalo

PB91-125377

RECONNAISSANCE REPORT ON THE NORTHERN IRAN EARTHQUAKE OF JUNE 21, 1990

by

M. Mehrain

Dames & Moore 911 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 700 Los Angeles, CA 90017

Technical Report NCEER-90-0017

October 4, 1990

This research was conducted at Dames & Moore and was partially supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. ECE 86-07591.

REPRODUCED BY U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE SPRINGFIELD, VA 22161

NOTICE

This report was prepared by Dames & Moore as a result of research sponsored by the National Center for Earthquake Engineering Research (NCEER). Neither NCEER, associates of NCEER, its sponsors, Dames & Moore, or any person acting on their behalf:

- a. makes any warranty, express or implied, with respect to the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report or that such use may not infringe upon privately owned rights; or
- b. assumes any liabilities of whatsoever kind with respect to the use of, or the damage resulting from the use of, any information, apparatus, method or process disclosed in this report.

RECONNAISSANCE REPORT ON THE NORTHERN IRAN EARTHQUAKE OF JUNE 21, 1990

by

M. Mehrain¹

October 4, 1990

Technical Report NCEER-90-0017

NCEER Project Number 89-4006

NSF Master Contract Number ECE 86-07591

1 Associate, Dames & Moore, 911 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 700, Los Angeles, California 90017

NATIONAL CENTER FOR EARTHQUAKE ENGINEERING RESEARCH State University of New York at Buffalo Red Jacket Quadrangle, Buffalo, NY 14261

.

· · · · · ·

i .

. .

· .

50272 - 101	·	
REPORT DOCUMENTATION 1. REPORT NO.	2.	PB91-125377
4. Title and Subtitle		5. Report Date
Reconnaissance Report On The No June 21, 1990	orthern Iran Earthquake O	f October 4, 1990
7. Author(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	8. Performing Organization Rept. N
M. Mehrain		
9. Performing Organization Name and Address		10. Project/Task/Work Unit No.
	· · · ·	11. Contract(C) or Grant(G) No. (C) 89-4006 ECE 86-07591 (G)
12. Sponsoring Organization Name and Address	- <u>.</u>	13. Type of Report & Period Covere
National Center for Earthquake Er State University of New York at E	ngineering Research Buffalo	Technical Report
Red Jacket Quadrangle Buffalo, New York 14261		14.
15. Supplementary Notes		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
This research was conducted at D National Science Foundation under	ames & Moore and was par Grant No. ECE 86-07591.	tially supported by the
On Thursday, June 21, 1990, an e proximately 200 km northwest of T quake caused strong shaking in a also caused widespread damage in the city of Rasht and hundreds of	earthquake, whose epicente ehran, struck the Gilan P large part of Iran, includi areas within a 100 km radi towns and villages in the	er was reported to be ap- rovince of Iran. The ear ing Tehran and Tabriz. ius of the epicenter incluc Gilan and Zanjan province
On Thursday, June 21, 1990, an e proximately 200 km northwest of T quake caused strong shaking in a also caused widespread damage in the city of Rasht and hundreds of It has been estimated that this ear Most of the fatalities resulted from 100,000 buildings. Poor performant ion materials, inadequate design a reinforced masonry buildings being Nonductile concrete frame building relatively well. The 106 meter hig and subjected to very intense grou for this report is based on the obs of the area one month after the ea sources.	earthquake, whose epicente ehran, struck the Gilan P large part of Iran, includi areas within a 100 km radi towns and villages in the thquake caused between 3 the collapse and major da nee of buildings was due to and detailing, or deficiency the most hazardous form s showed poor performance th Sefidrud Dam, located w and motion, appeared to sus- servations of the author do rthquake, as well as inform	er was reported to be ap- rovince of Iran. The early ing Tehran and Tabriz. I us of the epicenter includ Gilan and Zanjan province 5,000 and 50,000 fatalities mage to approximately the use of brittle constr- t in workmanship with un- of building construction. e, while bridges performed the 1 km of the epicenter stain little damage. The curring a brief reconnaissan mation reported by other
On Thursday, June 21, 1990, an e proximately 200 km northwest of T quake caused strong shaking in a also caused widespread damage in the city of Rasht and hundreds of It has been estimated that this ear Most of the fatalities resulted from 100,000 buildings. Poor performan tion materials, inadequate design a reinforced masonry buildings being Nonductile concrete frame building relatively well. The 106 meter hig and subjected to very intense grou for this report is based on the obs of the area one month after the ea sources.	earthquake, whose epicente ehran, struck the Gilan P large part of Iran, includi areas within a 100 km radi towns and villages in the thquake caused between 3 the collapse and major da nee of buildings was due to and detailing, or deficiency the most hazardous form s showed poor performance h Sefidrud Dam, located w and motion, appeared to sus servations of the author do rthquake, as well as inform	er was reported to be ap- rovince of Iran. The eart ing Tehran and Tabriz. I us of the epicenter includ Gilan and Zanjan province 5,000 and 50,000 fatalities mage to approximately the use of brittle constr in workmanship with un- of building construction. e, while bridges performed the 1 km of the epicenter stain little damage. The curring a brief reconnaissand mation reported by other
On Thursday, June 21, 1990, an e proximately 200 km northwest of T quake caused strong shaking in a also caused widespread damage in the city of Rasht and hundreds of It has been estimated that this ear Most of the fatalities resulted from 100,000 buildings. Poor performant tion materials, inadequate design a reinforced masonry buildings being Nonductile concrete frame building relatively well. The 106 meter hig and subjected to very intense grou for this report is based on the obs of the area one month after the ea sources.	earthquake, whose epicente ehran, struck the Gilan P large part of Iran, includi areas within a 100 km radi towns and villages in the thquake caused between 3 the collapse and major da nee of buildings was due to and detailing, or deficiency the most hazardous form s showed poor performance th Sefidrud Dam, located w and motion, appeared to sus- servations of the author do rthquake, as well as inform	er was reported to be ap- rovince of Iran. The eart ing Tehran and Tabriz. I us of the epicenter includ Gilan and Zanjan province 5,000 and 50,000 fatalities mage to approximately the use of brittle constr in workmanship with un- of building construction. e, while bridges performed while bridges performed ithin 1 km of the epicenter stain little damage. The c uring a brief reconnaissant mation reported by other
On Thursday, June 21, 1990, an e proximately 200 km northwest of T quake caused strong shaking in a also caused widespread damage in the city of Rasht and hundreds of It has been estimated that this ear Most of the fatalities resulted from 100,000 buildings. Poor performan tion materials, inadequate design a reinforced masonry buildings being Nonductile concrete frame building relatively well. The 106 meter hig and subjected to very intense grou for this report is based on the obs of the area one month after the ea sources.	earthquake, whose epicente ehran, struck the Gilan P large part of Iran, includi areas within a 100 km radi towns and villages in the thquake caused between 3 the collapse and major da nee of buildings was due to and detailing, or deficiency the most hazardous form s showed poor performance th Sefidrud Dam, located w and motion, appeared to sus servations of the author due rthquake, as well as inform	er was reported to be ap- rovince of Iran. The eart ing Tehran and Tabriz. I us of the epicenter includ Gilan and Zanjan province 5,000 and 50,000 fatalities mage to approximately the use of brittle constr in workmanship with un- of building construction. e, while bridges performed the 1 km of the epicenter stain little damage. The curring a brief reconnaissand mation reported by other
On Thursday, June 21, 1990, an e proximately 200 km northwest of T quake caused strong shaking in a also caused widespread damage in the city of Rasht and hundreds of It has been estimated that this ear Most of the fatalities resulted from 100,000 buildings. Poor performant tion materials, inadequate design a reinforced masonry buildings being Nonductile concrete frame building relatively well. The 106 meter hig and subjected to very intense grou for this report is based on the obs of the area one month after the ea sources.	earthquake, whose epicente ehran, struck the Gilan P large part of Iran, includi areas within a 100 km radi towns and villages in the thquake caused between 3 the collapse and major da nee of buildings was due to and detailing, or deficiency the most hazardous form s showed poor performance th Sefidrud Dam, located w and motion, appeared to sus- servations of the author do rthquake, as well as inform	er was reported to be ap- rovince of Iran. The eart ing Tehran and Tabriz. I us of the epicenter includ Gilan and Zanjan province 5,000 and 50,000 fatalities mage to approximately the use of brittle constr in workmanship with un- of building construction. e, while bridges performed the 1 km of the epicenter stain little damage. The c uring a brief reconnaissance mation reported by other
On Thursday, June 21, 1990, an e proximately 200 km northwest of T quake caused strong shaking in a also caused widespread damage in the city of Rasht and hundreds of It has been estimated that this ear Most of the fatalities resulted from 100,000 buildings. Poor performan tion materials, inadequate design a reinforced masonry buildings being Nonductile concrete frame building relatively well. The 106 meter hig and subjected to very intense grou for this report is based on the obs of the area one month after the ea sources.	earthquake, whose epicente ehran, struck the Gilan P large part of Iran, includi areas within a 100 km radi towns and villages in the thquake caused between 3 the collapse and major da nee of buildings was due to and detailing, or deficiency the most hazardous form s showed poor performance h Sefidrud Dam, located w and motion, appeared to sus servations of the author du rthquake, as well as inform	er was reported to be ap- rovince of Iran. The eart ing Tehran and Tabriz. I us of the epicenter includ Gilan and Zanjan province 5,000 and 50,000 fatalities mage to approximately the use of brittle constr in workmanship with un- of building construction. e, while bridges performed the 1 km of the epicenter stain little damage. The curring a brief reconnaissand mation reported by other
On Thursday, June 21, 1990, an e proximately 200 km northwest of T quake caused strong shaking in a also caused widespread damage in the city of Rasht and hundreds of It has been estimated that this ear Most of the fatalities resulted from 100,000 buildings. Poor performant tion materials, inadequate design a reinforced masonry buildings being Nonductile concrete frame building relatively well. The 106 meter hig and subjected to very intense grout for this report is based on the obs of the area one month after the ea sources.	BUILDINGS BUILDINGS LIQUEFACTION MASONRY INFILL IRAN CONCRETE	er was reported to be ap- rovince of Iran. The eart ing Tehran and Tabriz. I us of the epicenter includ Gilan and Zanjan province 5,000 and 50,000 fatalities mage to approximately the use of brittle constr- r in workmanship with un- of building construction. e, while bridges performed the 1 km of the epicenter stain little damage. The curing a brief reconnaissant mation reported by other
On Thursday, June 21, 1990, an e proximately 200 km northwest of T quake caused strong shaking in a also caused widespread damage in the city of Rasht and hundreds of It has been estimated that this ear Most of the fatalities resulted from 100,000 buildings. Poor performant tion materials, inadequate design a reinforced masonry buildings being Nonductile concrete frame building relatively well. The 106 meter hig and subjected to very intense grou for this report is based on the obs of the area one month after the ea sources. 7. Document Analysis a. Descriptors ARTHQUAKE ENGINEERING TRADITIONAL HOUSING UNREINFORCED MASONRY DAMAGE ADOBE c. COSATI Field/Group A Availability Statement	BUILDINGS BUILDINGS LIQUEFACTION MASONRY INFILL IRAN CONCRETE	er was reported to be ap- rovince of Iran. The eart ing Tehran and Tabriz. I us of the epicenter includ Gilan and Zanjan province 5,000 and 50,000 fatalities mage to approximately the use of brittle constr in workmanship with un- of building construction. e, while bridges performed thus 1 km of the epicenter stain little damage. The court aring a brief reconnaissant mation reported by other
On Thursday, June 21, 1990, an e proximately 200 km northwest of T quake caused strong shaking in a also caused widespread damage in the city of Rasht and hundreds of It has been estimated that this ear Most of the fatalities resulted from 100,000 buildings. Poor performant tion materials, inadequate design a reinforced masonry buildings being Nonductile concrete frame building relatively well. The 106 meter hig and subjected to very intense grou for this report is based on the obs of the area one month after the ea sources. 7. Document Analysis a Descriptors ARTHQUAKE ENGINEERING TRADITIONAL HOUSING UNREINFORCED MASONRY DAMAGE ADOBE c. COSATI Field/Group 8. Aveilability Statement Release Unlimited	BUILDINGS BUILDINGS LIQUEFACTION MASONRY INFILL IRAN CONCRETE	er was reported to be ap- rovince of Iran. The eart ing Tehran and Tabriz. I us of the epicenter includ Gilan and Zanjan province 5,000 and 50,000 fatalities mage to approximately the use of brittle constr- r in workmanship with un- of building construction. e, while bridges performed the 1 km of the epicenter stain little damage. The c uring a brief reconnaissand mation reported by other

۰, · · · · ·

.

. . .

n.

.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This earthquake reconnaissance project was partially supported by NCEER and Dames & Moore. The International Institute of Earthquake Engineering and Seismology in Iran provided valuable guidance for the author's field survey and information for preparation of this report.

. 1

.

. . . Х<u>.</u> ()

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

			•
<u>Section</u>			,
1	INT	RODUCTION	1-1
2	SEI	SMOLOGICAL AND GEOTECHNICAL ASPECTS	2-1
	2.1	Peak Ground Accelerations	2-2
	2.2	Faulting	2-2
	2.3	Landslide and Rockfall	2-2
·	2.3	Liquefaction	2-5
3	STR	RUCTURAL PERFORMANCE	3-1
	3.1	Adobe and Unreinforced Masonry Bearing Wall Buildings	.3-1
	3.2	Unreinforced Brick Masonry Infill Walls	3-5
	3.3	Steel Structures	3-5
	3.4	Reinforced Concrete Buildings	3-7
	3.5	Industrial Buildings	3-10
	3.6	Miscellaneous Structures	3-13
4	SUN	MMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	4-1
5	REF	FERENCES	5-1

v

Preceding page blank

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure</u>		Page
2- 1	Map of Northern Iran.	2-1
2-2	Sand filled water well caused by liquefaction.	2-3
2-3	Liquefaction related settlement of the house relative to the stair.	2-3
2-4	Wall crack caused by liquefaction settlement.	2-4
2-5	Large differential settlement due to liquefaction.	2-4
3-1	Destruction of buildings in the epicentral region.	3-2
3-2	Widespread collapse of unreinforced masonry buildings.	3-2
3-3	Roof collapse in an unreinforced masonry bearing wall structure.	3-3
3-4	Parapet and partial roof collapse of Rasht City Hall.	3-3
3-5	Major damage to buildings with extensive amount of unreinforced shear walls.	3-4
3-6	Building of Fig. 3.5. Presence of reinforced concrete bond beam prevented roof collapse.	3-4
3-7	Post office building in the area of high ground motion appears to be undamaged.	3-6
3-8	Typical damage to hollow clay unreinforced masonry infill walls.	3-6
3-9	Masonry infill constructed with light steel reinforcement.	3-8
3-10	Damaged steel structure with inadequate bracing.	3-8
3-11	Steel bracing of building in Fig. 3-10.	3-9
3-12	Permanent sway of an 8-story steel structure under construction.	3-9
3-13	Structural steel framing in building of Fig. 3-12.	3-11
3-14	Typical concrete frame construction in Iran.	3-11

vii

LIST OF FIGURES (Continued)

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
3-15	Collapse of a mid-rise concrete building and damage to the adjacent building.	3-12
3-16	Collapsed concrete building in Rasht.	3-12
3-17	Light steel industrial building without structural damage after rupture of rod bracing.	3-14
3-18	Concrete elevated water tank without water exhibited tension cracks at the base of its shaft	3-14
3-19	Concrete elevated water tank, 2/3 full, collapsed.	3-15
3-20	Elevated water tank of Fig. 3-19 collapsed away from the adjacent building.	3-15
3-21	Concrete bridge with large lateral pier displacement appears to be undamaged.	3-16
3-22	Pier displacement of bridge shown in Fig. 3-21.	3-16
3-23	Sefidrud Dam within 1 km of the fault.	3-17

SECTION 1 INTRODUCTION

On Thursday, June 21, 1990 at 12:30 a.m. local time (9:00 p.m. Wednesday, June 20 Greenwich Standard Time), a destructive earthquake occurred in the Gilan Province in northern Iran. The magnitude of this earthquake was estimated at 7.3 by the University of Tehran Seismology Center and at 7.7 by the National Earthquake Information Center in Denver, Colorado. The epicenter was reported to be approximately 200 km northwest of Tehran between the towns of Manjil and Rudbar. The earthquake caused strong shaking in a large part of Iran, including Tehran and Tabriz some 200 and 400 km away, respectively. It caused widespread damage in areas within a 100 km radius of the epicenter, including the City of Rasht (some 60 km away) and hundreds of towns and villages in Gilan and Zanjan Provinces.

It has been estimated that this earthquake caused life loss on the order of 35,000 to 50,000 people. Most of the casualties resulted from collapse and major damage to approximately 100,000 buildings. The number of homeless persons has been estimated at 400,000.

This earthquake affected a relatively populated, urbanized region of Iran with many important engineered structures. Detailed review of some of these structures is anticipated which will substantially add to the body of existing knowledge on earthquake behavior of structures.

This report summarizes observations of the author during a brief reconnaissance survey of the area one month after the earthquake, as well as information reported by other sources on this earthquake. It serves to provide preliminary information to set the stage for more detailed future investigations.

, ,

)

G .

SECTION 2

SEISMOLOGICAL AND GEOTECHNICAL ASPECTS

The June 21, 1990 earthquake occurred in a region with recognized high seismicity. Manjil is located on the Alborz Mountains, which is part of the Alpine Himalayan seismic belt. The area has experienced many large earthquakes in the past. Based on the information reported by N.N. Ambrasseys (1), the region within 200 km of the epicenter has experienced 14 earthquakes of magnitude 6.0 to 7.7 within the last twelve centuries. The area is zoned as the highest seismicity in the Iranian Code for seismic resistance of buildings (2).

The epicenter of the earthquake, based on damage distribution, has been estimated to be about 5 km north of City of Manjil near Sefidrud Dam. Figure 2-1 shows the epicentral region and major cities affected by the quake.





2-1

A preliminary estimate of the Modified Mercalli Intensity distribution has been reported by A.A. Moinfar and A. Naderzadeh (3). These intensity estimates are: Manjil X; Rudbar IX; Zanjan and Rasht VI; Tehran V and Tubriz IV.

2.1 Peak Ground Accelerations

Ground accelerations have been reported (3) from some 15 accelerograms at the time of preparation of this report. Selected peak horizontal ground accelerations and approximate distance to the fault are as follows:

<u>City</u>	Approx. Distance to Fault (km)	Horiz. Acceleration
Ab-bar	10	65
Ghazvin	50	19
Abhar	70	13
Zanjan	50	10
Karaj	170	4
Tehran	200	2

Doole

2.2 Faulting

Preliminary surveys tend to indicate a right lateral surface fault rupture with a length of 80 km. The horizontal and vertical displacement of the faults are approximately 20 and 50 cm, respectively (3). The fault is at a distance of less than 1 km north of the Sefidrud Dam.

2.3 Landslide and Rockfall

Numerous landslides and rockfalls have been reported. Rockfalls due to the main shock and, subsequently, due to the aftershocks, resulted in closure of roads. This situation



Figure 2-2 Sand filled water well caused by liquefaction

Figure 2-3 Liquefaction related settlement of the house relative to the stair





Figure 2-4 Wall crack caused by liquefaction settlement.





Large differential settlement due to liquefaction

delayed relief operations. A massive rockfall adjacent to the Sefidrud Dam resulted in collapse of the guard house and the death of its guard.

2.3 Liquefaction

Liquefaction and resulting ground settlement were observed in several towns, most notably in Astaneh Ashrafieh, Loshan and Noosher. Many shallow water wells were filled with sand (Fig. 2-2) and several cases of sand boils were observed. Figs. 2-3 through 2-5 show structural damage due to large differential settlement which resulted from soil liquefaction.

. . • · •

*

SECTION 3 STRUCTURAL PERFORMANCE

Many engineered and non-engineered structures were severely shaken by the June 21, 1990 northern Iran earthquake. A brief survey of performance of each structure will follow.

3.1 Adobe and Unreinforced Masonry Bearing Wall Buildings

As in past earthquakes in Iran and elsewhere, buildings constructed with adobe and unreinforced masonry bearing walls experienced substantial damage and/or total collapse. The damage was particularly widespread in regions close to the epicenter and was responsible for the great majority of life loss associated with this earthquake.

In Manjil and Rudbar, located near the epicenter, the degree of damage to unreinforced masonry buildings is such that only a few buildings remain standing. Most buildings that have not collapsed are beyond repair and require demolition. The damage generally appeared to be equally severe for brick or block walls supporting either lightweight roof systems, concrete slabs, or brick infilled steel (jack arch) roof systems. Fig. 3-1 through 3-3 show the degree of destruction in the Manjil area. Fig. 3-4 shows the collapse of the parapet and roof of the City Hall building in Rasht. Buildings with many unreinforced masonry walls acting as shear resisting elements were also damaged beyond repair (Fig. 3-5).

Many unreinforced masonry bearing wall structures used reinforced concrete bond beams (concrete beams poured on top of walls). Potential for total collapse of these buildings appears to have reduced (Fig. 3-6).

A exception to the damage pattern is the Rostamabad post office (Fig. 3-7) which appears to be unreinforced masonry, but remained undamaged. Further review of this building should reveal the reasons for its good performance.



Figure 3-1 Destruction of buildings in the epicentral region





3-2



Figure 3-3 Roof collapse in an unreinforced masonry bearing wall structure. The roof is brick infilled steel beam (jack arch) system which is very common in Iran.



Figure 3-4

Parapet and partial roof collapse of Rasht City Hall.



Figure 3-5 Major damage to buildings with extensive amount of unreinforced shear walls



Figure 3-6

Building of Figure 3-5. Presence of reinforced concrete bond beam prevented roof collapse

3-4

3.2 Unreinforced Brick Masonry Infill Walls

Thin unreinforced hollow or solid brick masonry infill is used almost exclusively in buildings in Iran. These thin walls have repeatedly proved to have poor performance in severe earthquakes. Their performance during this earthquake proved not to be an exception. Widespread damage to buildings with thin unreinforced masonry infill occurred in Rasht, located some 60 km from the epicenter. Numerous relatively modern buildings with expensive architectural finishes were evacuated by their residents due to extensive cracking or collapse of these "non-structural" elements (Fig. 3-8).

The thin unreinforced masonry infill in a three-story steel structure in Manjil (Fig. 3-9) appears to have performed better due to the presence of light steel sections which had been installed in plane of the wall. This resulted in reduction of wall span for out-of-plane bending.

Thick solid unreinforced masonry walls were sometimes used as shear resisting elements in mid-rise buildings. These walls typically are placed at two opposite ends of buildings and have no openings. In several buildings of this category in Rasht, these walls appeared to have reduced building drift, preventing total collapse.

3.3 Steel Structures

The design of steel structures in Iran differs substantially from that of the United States due to the unavailability of larger steel sections. Columns are typically double I-beams with batten plates or continous cover plates. Main girders typically consist of two I-beams located along the two sides of each column and supported underneath by bearing angles. In some cases, an angle is installed at the top of the beam to provide a minimal moment resisting connection.



Figure 3-7 Post office building in the area of high ground motion appears to be undamaged



Figure 3-8 Typical damage to hollow clay unreinforced masonry infill walls

In the direction perpendicular to the main girder, beams designed for gravity only support the infill brick floor system (jack arch). Alternatively, a reinforced concrete joist system spans between the main girders. In either case, there is no moment connection for lateral loads perpendicular to the main girders. Lateral loads in this direction are often resisted by cross bracing or solid unreinforced masonry walls are used as shear walls. Sometimes the structure is constructed with no apparent lateral load resisting system.

Extensive damage was experienced in many of these steel buildings which have 4 to 8 stories. Several cases of total collapse occurred in the City of Rasht, where a majority of these structures were constructed. The degree of damage to mid-rise steel buildings in Rasht could also be related to the hypothesized long period of the ground motion in this city. The damage to all steel structures surveyed by the author may be traced to major deficiencies in the design and construction of the structures. Fig. 3-10 shows a 4-story damaged steel structure which lacks bracing on one side. The bracing, where provided, is shown in Fig. 3-11. These braces failed at the connections and, according to the building technician, have been repaired to their original configuration. This points to very poor design of brace as well as welding at connections. Poor welding was also observed in the gravity load system of this building.

Fig. 3-12 shows permanent sway of an 8-story steel structure which was under construction at the time of earthquake. Fig. 3-13 shows the second floor framing of the same building, indicating a lack of moment frames in the direction in which permanent sway occurred.

3.4 Reinforced Concrete Buildings

Typical reinforced concrete construction in Iran uses poured-in-place concrete floor joists. Hollow clay or concrete blocks fill the space between joists and provide forming for the topping slab. Reinforced concrete girders typically have the same depth as floor joists.



Figure 3-9 Masonry infill constructed with light steel reinforcement



Figure 3-10 Damaged steel structure with inadequate bracing



Figure 3-11 Steel bracing of building in figure 3-10.



Figure 3-12 Permanent sway of an 8-story steel structure under construction

This system provides a flat surface under the floor structure (Fig. 3-14) to receive gypsum trowled-on ceiling.

Although some structures have reinforced concrete shear walls to resist seismic forces, many buildings with this type of construction rely on the moment frame action of the gravity frame for lateral loads. However, ductile detailing in the form of beam stirrups, column hoops, joint reinforcement and development lengths as required by the U.S. codes are typically not used. As expected, performance of these buildings proved to be very poor.

Fig. 3-15 shows two adjacent 5-story concrete frame buildings that were under construction at the time of the earthquake. The structure on the right collapsed completely, impacting and buckling the corner column of the structure to the left.

Fig. 3-16 shows another mid-rise concrete building totally collapsed. The reinforcement of this building, as shown in the picture, is plain (undeformed) bars.

Note that both collapsed buildings are in the City of Rasht, some 60 km from the epicenter. Although ground motion records are not yet reported for this City, accelerations on the order of 20 percent gravity is estimated. It should also be noted that both buildings were under construction, and did not yet carry the total gravity load to which they were designed.

3.5 Industrial Buildings

A great majority of industrial buildings in the earthquake striken area are of light steel construction (Soule or Butler type). Although extensive damage and collapse of infill was experienced, the structure itself performed well. Even when the steel rod-bracing was ruptured, the structure often remained undamaged. Fig. 3-17 shows such a structure in Ganjeh, some 30 km from the epicenter.

3-10



Figure 3-13 Structural steel framing in building of Figure 3-12



Figure 3-14 Typical concrete frame construction in Iran



Figure 3-15 Collapse of a mid-rise concrete building and damage to the adjacent building.





3.6 Miscellaneous Structures

Elevated Tanks: A 1500 m^3 , 46 meter high water tank, constructed 20 years ago and serving the City of Rasht, completely collapsed. This structure was reported to be about two-thirds full of water. The structure had a reinforced concrete shaft and a prestressed concrete tank. Fortunately, the structure collapsed away from the adjacent structure (Fig. 3-19 and 3-20).

Two similar elevated tanks (Fig. 3-18) in the same area, which were under construction and were thus empty, experienced horizontal cracks at the shaft near the base.

A steel elevated water tank in Manjil experienced rupture of its bracing members but remained upright.

Bridges: There are many steel and concrete bridges in the area. No major damage to bridges was reported. One major bridge (Fig. 3-21) within 20 km of the epicenter showed lateral displacement at the ground level of approximately 10 inches (Fig. 3-22). There was no readily observable damage to the columns or girders of this bridge.

Dams: Sefidrud Dam is probably the most important structure in the epicentral region. It is located less than one km from the fault. The dam is of the buttress type (Fig. 3-23), with a height of 106 meters and length of 425 meters. The upstream and downstream slope is 0.4 and 0.6, respectively, resulting in a base width of 100 meters. It has been reported that the dam was designed for a static lateral force coefficient of 0.25. The reservoir was almost full at the time of earthquake. This dam experienced horizontal and diagonal cracks at the top of some buttresses, but remained stable.

Sangar Dam, a diversion structure located some 50 km north of the epicenter was structurally undamaged. However, several radial gates of the dam failed at their connections and reportedly were thrown several hundred feet downstream. This damage was reported to have been caused by dislodging of the counterweight cables.



Figure 3-17 Light steel industrial building without structural damage after rupture of rod bracing.

Figure 3-18

Concrete elevated water tank without water exhibited tension cracks at the base of its shaft.

3-14







Figure 3-20 Elevated water tank of Figure 3-19 collapsed away from the adjacent building



Figure 3-21 Concrete bridge with large lateral pier displacement appears to be undamaged



Figure 3-22 Pier displacement of bridge shown in Figure 3-21.





Figure 3-23 Sefidrud dam within 1 km of the fault.

, . .

SECTION 4 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Although the performance of buildings in this earthquake seems to be consistent with lessons learned in the past, it provides a substantial amount of new data for further study and research. Findings of this reconnaissance survey are summarized as follows:

- Poor performance of buildings was due to the use of brittle construction materials, inadequate design and detailing or deficiency in workmanship. In many cases, all these factors were present together in a single structure.
- 2. Unreinforced masonry buildings once again proved to be the most hazardous form of building construction. Presence of reinforced concrete bond beam at the top of unreinforced masonry walls appeared to reduce the probability of total collapse of the structure.
- 3. Far field, long-period ground motion appeared to be a factor in poor response of mid-rise buildings in the City of Rasht.
- 4. Nonductile concrete frame buildings showed poor performance as in past earthquakes. Detailed review of these buildings can provide valuable information for calibrating existing methodology for evaluation of the collapse resistance of this type of building construction.
- 5. Bridges performed relatively well. Review of these bridges can be instructive for assessment of existing steel and concrete bridges in the U.S. and elsewhere.
- 6. Elevated concrete water tanks had unacceptable performance. Much can be learned from detailed review of this type of "inverted pendulum" structure.

7. The 106 m high Sefidrud Dam, located within 1 km of the epicenter and subjected to very intense ground motion (0.60g), appeared to sustain little damage. This structure can also be an excellent data point for evaluating concrete gravity dams.

SECTION 5

REFERENCES

- (1) N.N. Ambraseys, "A History of Persian Earthquakes", Cambridge University Press, London, 1982.
- (2) Iranian Code for Seismic Resistant Design of Buildings; Ministry of Housing and Development, Building and Housing Research Center, Publication No. 82, Feb. 1988.
- (3) A.A. Moinfar and A. Naderzadeh, "The Manjil, Iran Earthquake of 20 June 1990"; Ministry of Housing and Development, Building and Housing Research, Publication No. 119, July 1990.

ac:\eq\mm\iran.rpt

د

NATIONAL CENTER FOR EARTHQUAKE ENGINEERING RESEARCH LIST OF TECHNICAL REPORTS

The National Center for Earthquake Engineering Research (NCEER) publishes technical reports on a variety of subjects related to earthquake engineering written by authors funded through NCEER. These reports are available from both NCEER's Publications Department and the National Technical Information Service (NTIS). Requests for reports should be directed to the Publications Department, National Center for Earthquake Engineering Research, State University of New York at Buffalo, Red Jacket Quadrangle, Buffalo, New York 14261. Reports can also be requested through NTIS, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, Virginia 22161. NTIS accession numbers are shown in parenthesis, if available.

NCEER-87-0001	"First-Year Program in Research, Education and Technology Transfer," 3/5/87, (PB88-134275/AS).
NCEER-87-0002	"Experimental Evaluation of Instantaneous Optimal Algorithms for Structural Control," by R.C. Lin, T.T. Soong and A.M. Reinhorn, 4/20/87, (PB88-134341/AS).
NCEER-87-0003	"Experimentation Using the Earthquake Simulation Facilities at University at Buffalo," by A.M. Reinhorn and R.L. Ketter, to be published.
NCEER-87-0004	"The System Characteristics and Performance of a Shaking Table," by J.S. Hwang, K.C. Chang and G.C. Lee, 6/1/87, (PB88-134259/AS). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
NCEER-87-0005	"A Finite Element Formulation for Nonlinear Viscoplastic Material Using a Q Model," by O. Gyebi and G. Dasgupta, 11/2/87, (PB88-213764/AS).
NCEER-87-0006	"Symbolic Manipulation Program (SMP) - Algebraic Codes for Two and Three Dimensional Finite Element Formulations," by X. Lee and G. Dasgupta, 11/9/87, (PB88-219522/AS).
NCEER-87-0007	"Instantaneous Optimal Control Laws for Tall Buildings Under Seismic Excitations," by J.N. Yang, A. Akbarpour and P. Ghaemmaghami, 6/10/87, (PB88-134333/AS).
NCEER-87-0008	"IDARC: Inelastic Damage Analysis of Reinforced Concrete Frame - Shear-Wall Structures," by Y.J. Park, A.M. Reinhorn and S.K. Kunnath, 7/20/87, (PB88-134325/AS).
NCEER-87-0009	"Liquefaction Potential for New York State: A Preliminary Report on Sites in Manhattan and Buffalo," by M. Budhu, V. Vijayakumar, R.F. Giese and L. Baumgras, 8/31/87, (PB88-163704/AS). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
NCEER-87-0010	"Vertical and Torsional Vibration of Foundations in Inhomogeneous Media," by A.S. Veletsos and K.W. Dotson, 6/1/87, (PB88-134291/AS).
NCEER-87-0011	"Seismic Probabilistic Risk Assessment and Seismic Margins Studies for Nuclear Power Plants," by Howard H.M. Hwang, 6/15/87, (PB88-134267/AS).
NCEER-87-0012	"Parametric Studies of Frequency Response of Secondary Systems Under Ground-Acceleration Excitations," by Y. Yong and Y.K. Lin, 6/10/87, (PB88-134309/AS).
NCEER-87-0013	"Frequency Response of Secondary Systems Under Seismic Excitation," by J.A. HoLung, J. Cai and Y.K. Lin, 7/31/87, (PB88-134317/AS).
NCEER-87-0014	"Modelling Earthquake Ground Motions in Seismically Active Regions Using Parametric Time Series Methods," by G.W. Ellis and A.S. Cakmak, 8/25/87, (PB88-134283/AS).
NCEER-87-0015	"Detection and Assessment of Seismic Structural Damage," by E. DiPasquale and A.S. Cakmak, 8/25/87, (PB88-163712/AS).
NCEER-87-0016	"Pipeline Experiment at Parkfield, California," by J. Isenberg and E. Richardson, 9/15/87, (PB88- 163720/AS). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).

- NCEER-87-0017 "Digital Simulation of Seismic Ground Motion," by M. Shinozuka, G. Deodatis and T. Harada, 8/31/87, (PB88-155197/AS). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-87-0018 "Practical Considerations for Structural Control: System Uncertainty, System Time Delay and Truncation of Small Control Forces," J.N. Yang and A. Akbarpour, 8/10/87, (PB88-163738/AS).
- NCEER-87-0019 "Modal Analysis of Nonclassically Damped Structural Systems Using Canonical Transformation," by J.N. Yang, S. Sarkani and F.X. Long, 9/27/87, (PB88-187851/AS).
- NCEER-87-0020 "A Nonstationary Solution in Random Vibration Theory," by J.R. Red-Horse and P.D. Spanos, 11/3/87, (PB88-163746/AS).
- NCEER-87-0021 "Horizontal Impedances for Radially Inhomogeneous Viscoelastic Soil Layers," by A.S. Veletsos and K.W. Dotson, 10/15/87, (PB88-150859/AS).
- NCEER-87-0022 "Seismic Damage Assessment of Reinforced Concrete Members," by Y.S. Chung, C. Meyer and M. Shinozuka, 10/9/87, (PB88-150867/AS). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-87-0023 "Active Structural Control in Civil Engineering," by T.T. Soong, 11/11/87, (PB88-187778/AS).
- NCEER-87-0024 Vertical and Torsional Impedances for Radially Inhomogeneous Viscoelastic Soil Layers," by K.W. Dotson and A.S. Veletsos, 12/87, (PB88-187786/AS).
- NCEER-87-0025 "Proceedings from the Symposium on Seismic Hazards, Ground Motions, Soil-Liquefaction and Engineering Practice in Eastern North America," October 20-22, 1987, edited by K.H. Jacob, 12/87, (PB88-188115/AS).
- NCEER-87-0026 "Report on the Whittier-Narrows, California, Earthquake of October 1, 1987," by J. Pantelic and A. Reinhorn, 11/87, (PB88-187752/AS). This report is available only through NTIS (see address given above).
- NCEER-87-0027 "Design of a Modular Program for Transient Nonlinear Analysis of Large 3-D Building Structures," by S. Srivastav and J.F. Abel, 12/30/87, (PB88-187950/AS).
- NCEER-87-0028 "Second-Year Program in Research, Education and Technology Transfer," 3/8/88, (PB88-219480/AS).
- NCEER-88-0001 "Workshop on Seismic Computer Analysis and Design of Buildings With Interactive Graphics," by W. McGuire, J.F. Abel and C.H. Conley, 1/18/88, (PB88-187760/AS).
- NCEER-88-0002 "Optimal Control of Nonlinear Flexible Structures," by J.N. Yang, F.X. Long and D. Wong, 1/22/88, (PB88-213772/AS).
- NCEER-88-0003 "Substructuring Techniques in the Time Domain for Primary-Secondary Structural Systems," by G.D. Manolis and G. Juhn, 2/10/88, (PB88-213780/AS).
- NCEER-88-0004 "Iterative Seismic Analysis of Primary-Secondary Systems," by A. Singhal, L.D. Lutes and P.D. Spanos, 2/23/88, (PB88-213798/AS).
- NCEER-88-0005 "Stochastic Finite Element Expansion for Random Media," by P.D. Spanos and R. Ghanem, 3/14/88, (PB88-213806/AS).
- NCEER-88-0006 "Combining Structural Optimization and Structural Control," by F.Y. Cheng and C.P. Pantelides, 1/10/88, (PB88-213814/AS).
- NCEER-88-0007 "Seismic Performance Assessment of Code-Designed Structures," by H.H-M. Hwang, J-W. Jaw and H-J. Shau, 3/20/88, (PB88-219423/AS).

NCEER-88-0008	"Reliability Analysis of Code-Designed Structures Under Natural Hazards," by H.H-M. Hwang, H. Ushiba and M. Shinozuka, 2/29/88, (PB88-229471/AS).
NCEER-88-0009	"Seismic Fragility Analysis of Shear Wall Structures," by J-W Jaw and H.H-M. Hwang, 4/30/88, (PB89-102867/AS).
NCEER-88-0010	"Base Isolation of a Multi-Story Building Under a Harmonic Ground Motion - A Comparison of Performances of Various Systems," by F-G Fan, G. Ahmadi and I.G. Tadjbakhsh, 5/18/88, (PB89-122238/AS).
NCEER-88-0011	"Seismic Floor Response Spectra for a Combined System by Green's Functions," by F.M. Lavelle, L.A. Bergman and P.D. Spanos, 5/1/88, (PB89-102875/AS).
NCEER-88-0012	"A New Solution Technique for Randomly Excited Hysteretic Structures," by G.Q. Cai and Y.K. Lin, 5/16/88, (PB89-102883/AS).
NCEER-88-0013	"A Study of Radiation Damping and Soil-Structure Interaction Effects in the Centrifuge," by K. Weissman, supervised by J.H. Prevost, 5/24/88, (PB89-144703/AS).
NCEER-88-0014	"Parameter Identification and Implementation of a Kinematic Plasticity Model for Frictional Soils;" by J.H. Prevost and D.V. Griffiths, to be published.
NCEER-88-0015	"Two- and Three- Dimensional Dynamic Finite Element Analyses of the Long Valley Dam," by D.V. Griffiths and J.H. Prevost, 6/17/88, (PB89-144711/AS).
NCEER-88-0016	"Damage Assessment of Reinforced Concrete Structures in Eastern United States," by A.M. Reinhorn, M.J. Seidel, S.K. Kunnath and Y.J. Park, 6/15/88, (PB89-122220/AS).
NCEER-88-0017	"Dynamic Compliance of Vertically Loaded Strip Foundations in Multilayered Viscoelastic Soils," by S. Ahmad and A.S.M. Israil, 6/17/88, (PB89-102891/AS).
NCEER-88-0018	"An Experimental Study of Seismic Structural Response With Added Viscoelastic Dampers," by R.C. Lin, Z. Liang, T.T. Soong and R.H. Zhang, 6/30/88, (PB89-122212/AS).
NCEER-88-0019	"Experimental Investigation of Primary - Secondary System Interaction," by G.D. Manolis, G. Juhn and A.M. Reinhorn, 5/27/88, (PB89-122204/AS).
NCEER-88-0020	"A Response Spectrum Approach For Analysis of Nonclassically Damped Structures," by J.N. Yang, S. Sarkani and F.X. Long, 4/22/88, (PB89-102909/AS).
NCEER-88-0021	"Seismic Interaction of Structures and Soils: Stochastic Approach," by A.S. Veletsos and A.M. Prasad, 7/21/88, (PB89-122196/AS).
NCEER-88-0022	"Identification of the Serviceability Limit State and Detection of Seismic Structural Damage," by E. DiPasquale and A.S. Cakmak, 6/15/88, (PB89-122188/AS).
NCEER-88-0023	"Multi-Hazard Risk Analysis: Case of a Simple Offshore Structure," by B.K. Bhartia and E.H. Vanmarcke, 7/21/88, (PB89-145213/AS).
NCEER-88-0024	"Automated Seismic Design of Reinforced Concrete Buildings," by Y.S. Chung, C. Meyer and M. Shinozuka, 7/5/88, (PB89-122170/AS).
NCEER-88-0025	"Experimental Study of Active Control of MDOF Structures Under Seismic Excitations," by L.L. Chung, R.C. Lin, T.T. Soong and A.M. Reinhorn, 7/10/88, (PB89-122600/AS).
NCEER-88-0026	"Earthquake Simulation Tests of a Low-Rise Metal Structure," by J.S. Hwang, K.C. Chang, G.C. Lee and R.L. Ketter, 8/1/88, (PB89-102917/AS).
NCEER-88-0027	"Systems Study of Urban Response and Reconstruction Due to Catastrophic Earthquakes," by F. Kozin and H.K. Zhou, 9/22/88, (PB90-162348/AS).

NCEER-88-0028	"Seismic Fragility Analysis of Plane Frame Structures," by H.H.M. Hwang and Y.K. Low, 7/31/88, (PB89-131445/AS).
NCEER-88-0029	"Response Analysis of Stochastic Structures," by A. Kardara, C. Bucher and M. Shinozuka, 9/22/88, (PB89-174429/AS).
NCEER-88-0030	"Nonnormal Accelerations Due to Yielding in a Primary Structure," by D.C.K. Chen and L.D. Lutes, 9/19/88, (PB89-131437/AS).
NCEER-88-0031	"Design Approaches for Soil-Structure Interaction," by A.S. Veletsos, A.M. Prasad and Y. Tang, 12/30/88, (PB89-174437/AS).
NCEER-88-0032	"A Re-evaluation of Design Spectra for Seismic Damage Control," by C.J. Turkstra and A.G. Tallin, 11/7/88, (PB89-145221/AS).
NCEER-88-0033	"The Behavior and Design of Noncontact Lap Splices Subjected to Repeated Inelastic Tensile Loading," by V.E. Sagan, P. Gergely and R.N. White, 12/8/88, (PB89-163737/AS).
NCEER-88-0034	"Seismic Response of Pile Foundations," by S.M. Mamoon, P.K. Banerjee and S. Ahmad, 11/1/88, (PB89-145239/AS).
NCEER-88-0035	"Modeling of R/C Building Structures With Flexible Floor Diaphragms (IDARC2)," by A.M. Reinhorn, S.K. Kunnath and N. Panahshahi, 9/7/88, (PB89-207153/AS).
NCEER-88-0036	"Solution of the Dam-Reservoir Interaction Problem Using a Combination of FEM, BEM with Particular Integrals, Modal Analysis, and Substructuring," by C-S. Tsai, G.C. Lee and R.L. Ketter, 12/31/88, (PB89-207146/AS).
NCEER-88-0037	"Optimal Placement of Actuators for Structural Control," by F.Y. Cheng and C.P. Pantelides, 8/15/88, (PB89-162846/AS).
NCEER-88-0038	"Teflon Bearings in Aseismic Base Isolation: Experimental Studies and Mathematical Modeling," by A. Mokha, M.C. Constantinou and A.M. Reinhorn, 12/5/88, (PB89-218457/AS).
NCEER-88-0039	"Seismic Behavior of Flat Slab High-Rise Buildings in the New York City Area," by P. Weidlinger and M. Ettouney, 10/15/88, (PB90-145681/AS).
NCEER-88-0040	"Evaluation of the Earthquake Resistance of Existing Buildings in New York City," by P. Weidlinger and M. Ettouney, 10/15/88, to be published.
NCEER-88-0041	"Small-Scale Modeling Techniques for Reinforced Concrete Structures Subjected to Seismic Loads," by W. Kim, A. El-Attar and R.N. White, 11/22/88, (PB89-189625/AS).
NCEER-88-0042	"Modeling Strong Ground Motion from Multiple Event Earthquakes," by G.W. Ellis and A.S. Cakmak, 10/15/88, (PB89-174445/AS).
NCEER-88-0043	"Nonstationary Models of Seismic Ground Acceleration," by M. Grigoriu, S.E. Ruiz and E. Rosenblueth, 7/15/88, (PB89-189617/AS).
NCEER-88-0044	"SARCF User's Guide: Seismic Analysis of Reinforced Concrete Frames," by Y.S. Chung, C. Meyer and M. Shinozuka, 11/9/88, (PB89-174452/AS).
NCEER-88-0045	"First Expert Panel Meeting on Disaster Research and Planning," edited by J. Pantelic and J. Stoyle, 9/15/88, (PB89-174460/AS).
NCEER-88-0046	"Preliminary Studies of the Effect of Degrading Infill Walls on the Nonlinear Seismic Response of Steel Frames," by C.Z. Chrysostomou, P. Gergely and J.F. Abel, 12/19/88, (PB89-208383/AS).
	A-4

"Reinforced Concrete Frame Component Testing Facility - Design, Construction, Instrumentation and NCEER-88-0047 Operation," by S.P. Pessiki, C. Conley, T. Bond, P. Gergely and R.N. White, 12/16/88, (PB89-174478/AS). NCEER-89-0001 "Effects of Protective Cushion and Soil Compliancy on the Response of Equipment Within a Seismically Excited Building," by J.A. HoLung, 2/16/89, (PB89-207179/AS). NCEER-89-0002 "Statistical Evaluation of Response Modification Factors for Reinforced Concrete Structures," by H.H.M. Hwang and J-W. Jaw, 2/17/89, (PB89-207187/AS). NCEER-89-0003 "Hysteretic Columns Under Random Excitation," by G-Q. Cai and Y.K. Lin, 1/9/89, (PB89-196513/ AS). NCEER-89-0004 "Experimental Study of 'Elephant Foot Bulge' Instability of Thin-Walled Metal Tanks," by Z-H. Jia and R.L. Ketter, 2/22/89, (PB89-207195/AS). "Experiment on Performance of Buried Pipelines Across San Andreas Fault," by J. Isenberg, E., NCEER-89-0005 Richardson and T.D. O'Rourke, 3/10/89, (PB89-218440/AS). NCEER-89-0006 "A Knowledge-Based Approach to Structural Design of Earthquake-Resistant Buildings," by M. Subramani, P. Gergely, C.H. Conley, J.F. Abel and A.H. Zaghw, 1/15/89, (PB89-218465/AS). NCEER-89-0007 "Liquefaction Hazards and Their Effects on Buried Pipelines," by T.D. O'Rourke and P.A. Lane, 2/1/89, (PB89-218481). NCEER-89-0008 "Fundamentals of System Identification in Structural Dynamics," by H. Imai, C-B. Yun, O. Maruyama and M. Shinozuka, 1/26/89, (PB89-207211/AS). "Effects of the 1985 Michoacan Earthquake on Water Systems and Other Buried Lifelines in Mexico," NCEER-89-0009 by A.G. Ayala and M.J. O'Rourke, 3/8/89, (PB89-207229/AS). NCEER-89-R010 "NCEER Bibliography of Earthquake Education Materials," by K.E.K. Ross, Second Revision, 9/1/89, (PB90-125352/AS). NCEER-89-0011 "Inelastic Three-Dimensional Response Analysis of Reinforced Concrete Building Structures (IDARC-3D), Part I - Modeling," by S.K. Kunnath and A.M. Reinhorn, 4/17/89, (PB90-114612/AS). "Recommended Modifications to ATC-14," by C.D. Poland and J.O. Malley, 4/12/89, NCEER-89-0012 (PB90-108648/AS). "Repair and Strengthening of Beam-to-Column Connections Subjected to Earthquake Loading," by M. NCEER-89-0013 Corazao and A.J. Durrani, 2/28/89, (PB90-109885/AS). NCEER-89-0014 "Program EXKAL2 for Identification of Structural Dynamic Systems," by O. Maruyama, C-B. Yun, M. Hoshiya and M. Shinozuka, 5/19/89, (PB90-109877/AS). NCEER-89-0015 "Response of Frames With Bolted Semi-Rigid Connections, Part I - Experimental Study and Analytical Predictions," by P.J. DiCorso, A.M. Reinhorn, J.R. Dickerson, J.B. Radziminski and W.L. Harper, 6/1/89, to be published. NCEER-89-0016 "ARMA Monte Carlo Simulation in Probabilistic Structural Analysis," by P.D. Spanos and M.P. Mignolet, 7/10/89, (PB90-109893/AS). "Preliminary Proceedings from the Conference on Disaster Preparedness - The Place of Earthquake NCEER-89-P017 Education in Our Schools," Edited by K.E.K. Ross, 6/23/89. "Proceedings from the Conference on Disaster Preparedness - The Place of Earthquake Education in NCEER-89-0017 Our Schools," Edited by K.E.K. Ross, 12/31/89, (PB90-207895).

٢,

NCEER-89-0018	"Multidimensional Models of Hysteretic Material Behavior for Vibration Analysis of Shape Memory Energy Absorbing Devices, by E.J. Graesser and F.A. Cozzarelli, 6/7/89, (PB90-164146/AS).
NCEER-89-0019	"Nonlinear Dynamic Analysis of Three-Dimensional Base Isolated Structures (3D-BASIS)," by S. Nagarajaiah, A.M. Reinhorn and M.C. Constantinou, 8/3/89, (PB90-161936/AS).
NCEER-89-0020	"Structural Control Considering Time-Rate of Control Forces and Control Rate Constraints," by F.Y. Cheng and C.P. Pantelides, 8/3/89, (PB90-120445/AS):
NCEER-89-0021	"Subsurface Conditions of Memphis and Shelby County," by K.W. Ng, T-S. Chang and H-H.M. Hwang, 7/26/89, (PB90-120437/AS).
NCEER-89-0022	"Seismic Wave Propagation Effects on Straight Jointed Buried Pipelines," by K. Elhmadi and M.J. O'Rourke, 8/24/89, (PB90-162322/AS).
NCEER-89-0023	"Workshop on Serviceability Analysis of Water Delivery Systems," edited by M. Grigoriu, 3/6/89, (PB90-127424/AS).
NCEER-89-0024	"Shaking Table Study of a 1/5 Scale Steel Frame Composed of Tapered Members," by K.C. Chang, J.S. Hwang and G.C. Lee, 9/18/89, (PB90-160169/AS).
NCEER-89-0025	"DYNA1D: A Computer Program for Nonlinear Seismic Site Response Analysis - Technical Documen- tation," by Jean H. Prevost, 9/14/89, (PB90-161944/AS).
NCEER-89-0026	"1:4 Scale Model Studies of Active Tendon Systems and Active Mass Dampers for Aseismic Protec- tion," by A.M. Reinhorn, T.T. Soong, R.C. Lin, Y.P. Yang, Y. Fukao, H. Abe and M. Nakai, 9/15/89, (PB90-173246/AS).
NCEER-89-0027	"Scattering of Waves by Inclusions in a Nonhomogeneous Elastic Half Space Solved by Boundary Element Methods," by P.K. Hadley, A. Askar and A.S. Cakmak, 6/15/89, (PB90-145699/AS).
NCEER-89-0028	"Statistical Evaluation of Deflection Amplification Factors for Reinforced Concrete Structures," by H.H.M. Hwang, J-W. Jaw and A.L. Ch'ng, 8/31/89, (PB90-164633/AS).
NCEER-89-0029	"Bedrock Accelerations in Memphis Area Due to Large New Madrid Earthquakes," by H.H.M. Hwang, C.H.S. Chen and G. Yu, 11/7/89, (PB90-162330/AS).
NCEER-89-0030	"Seismic Behavior and Response Sensitivity of Secondary Structural Systems," by Y.Q. Chen and T.T. Soong, 10/23/89, (PB90-164658/AS).
NCEER-89-0031	"Random Vibration and Reliability Analysis of Primary-Secondary Structural Systems," by Y. Ibrahim, M. Grigoriu and T.T. Soong, 11/10/89, (PB90-161951/AS).
NCEER-89-0032	"Proceedings from the Second U.S Japan Workshop on Liquefaction, Large Ground Deformation and Their Effects on Lifelines, September 26-29, 1989," Edited by T.D. O'Rourke and M. Hamada, 12/1/89, (PB90-209388/AS).
NCEER-89-0033	"Deterministic Model for Seismic Damage Evaluation of Reinforced Concrete Structures," by J.M. Bracci, A.M. Reinhorn, J.B. Mander and S.K. Kunnath, 9/27/89.
NCEER-89-0034	"On the Relation Between Local and Global Damage Indices," by E. DiPasquale and A.S. Cakmak, 8/15/89, (PB90-173865).
NCEER-89-0035	"Cyclic Undrained Behavior of Nonplastic and Low Plasticity Silts," by A.J. Walker and H.E. Stewart, 7/26/89, (PB90-183518/AS).
NCEER-89-0036	"Liquefaction Potential of Surficial Deposits in the City of Buffalo, New York," by M. Budhu, R. Giese and L. Baumgrass, 1/17/89, (PB90-208455/AS).

NCEER-89-0037	"A Deterministic Assessment of Effects of Ground Motion Incoherence," by A.S. Veletsos and Y. Tang, 7/15/89, (PB90-164294/AS).
NCEER-89-0038	"Workshop on Ground Motion Parameters for Seismic Hazard Mapping," July 17-18, 1989, edited by R.V. Whitman, 12/1/89, (PB90-173923/AS).
NCEER-89-0039	"Seismic Effects on Elevated Transit Lines of the New York City Transit Authority," by C.J. Cos- tantino, C.A. Miller and E. Heymsfield, 12/26/89, (PB90-207887/AS).
NCEER-89-0040	"Centrifugal Modeling of Dynamic Soil-Structure Interaction," by K. Weissman, Supervised by J.H. Prevost, 5/10/89, (PB90-207879/AS).
NCEER-89-0041	"Linearized Identification of Buildings With Cores for Seismic Vulnerability Assessment," by I-K. Ho and A.E. Aktan, 11/1/89.
NCEER-90-0001	"Geotechnical and Lifeline Aspects of the October 17, 1989 Loma Prieta Earthquake in San Francisco," by T.D. O'Rourke, H.E. Stewart, F.T. Blackburn and T.S. Dickerman, 1/90, (PB90-208596/AS).
NCEER-90-0002	"Nonnormal Secondary Response Due to Yielding in a Primary Structure," by D.C.K. Chen and L.D. Lutes, 2/28/90.
NCEER-90-0003	"Earthquake Education Materials for Grades K-12," by K.E.K. Ross, 4/16/90.
NCEER-90-0004	"Catalog of Strong Motion Stations in Eastern North America," by R.W. Busby, 4/3/90.
NCEER-90-0005	"NCEER Strong-Motion Data Base: A User Manuel for the GeoBase Release (Version 1.0 for the Sun3)," by P. Friberg and K. Jacob, 3/31/90.
NCEER-90-0006	"Seismic Hazard Along a Crude Oil Pipeline in the Event of an 1811-1812 Type New Madrid Earthquake," by H.H.M. Hwang and C-H.S. Chen, 4/16/90.
NCEER-90-0007	"Site-Specific Response Spectra for Memphis Sheahan Pumping Station," by H.H.M. Hwang and C.S. Lee, 5/15/90.
NCEER-90-0008	"Pilot Study on Seismic Vulnerability of Crude Oil Transmission Systems," by T. Ariman, R. Dobry, M. Grigoriu, F. Kozin, M. O'Rourke, T. O'Rourke and M. Shinozuka, 5/25/90.
NCEER-90-0009	"A Program to Generate Site Dependent Time Histories: EQGEN," by G.W. Ellis, M. Srinivasan and A.S. Cakmak, 1/30/90.
NCEER-90-0010	"Active Isolation for Seismic Protection of Operating Rooms," by M.E. Talbott, Supervised by M. Shinozuka, 6/8/9.
NCEER-90-0011	"Program LINEARID for Identification of Linear Structural Dynamic Systems," by C-B. Yun and M. Shinozuka, 6/25/90.
NCEER-90-0012	Two-Dimensional Two-Phase Elasto-Plastic Seismic Response of Earth Dams," by A.N. Yiagos, Supervised by J.H. Prevost, 6/20/90.
NCEER-90-0013	"Secondary Systems in Base-Isolated Structures: Experimental Investigation, Stochastic Response and Stochastic Sensitivity," by G.D. Manolis, G. Juhn, M.C. Constantinou and A.M. Reinhorn, 7/1/90.
NCEER-90-0014	"Seismic Behavior of Lightly-Reinforced Concrete Column and Beam-Column Joint Details," by S.P. Pessiki, C.H. Conley, P. Gergely and R.N. White, 8/22/90.
NCEER-90-0015	"Two Hybrid Control Systems for Building Structures Under Strong Earthquakes," by J.N. Yang and A. Danielians, 6/29/90.

NCEER-90-0016	"Instantaneous	Optimal	Control	with	Acceleration	and	Velocity	Feedback,"	by J.N.	Yang	and Z. Li,
	6/29/90.	-									

NCEER-90-0017

"Reconnaissance Report on the Northern Iran Earthquake of June 21, 1990," by M. Mehrain, 10/4/90.