2019년 2월 2일 2월 2일 2월 2일 2월 2일 2월 2일 2월

医白头 医抗生物 化二硫酸 医中毒性血清



医甲基苯基苯 机能源法保密性转变 医非异构体环境 医无视 计复系数

NATIONAL CENTER FOR EARTHQUAKE ENGINEERING RESEARCH[ State University of New York at Buffalo

# Study of Site Response at a Selected Memphis Site

by

H. Desai, S. Ahmad, E.S. Gazetas and M.R. Oh

Department of Civil Engineering State University of New York at Buffalo Buffalo, New York 14260

Technical Report NCEER-90-0023

October 11, 1990

This research was conducted at the State University of New York at Buffalo and was partially supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. ECE 86-07591.

> REPRODUCED BY U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE SPRINGFIELD, VA 22161



 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ 

 $\mathbf{z}$ 



# STUDY OF SITE RESPONSE AT A SELECTED MEMPHIS SITE

by

H. Desai<sup>1</sup>, S. Ahmad<sup>2</sup>, E.S. Gazetas<sup>3</sup> and M.R. Oh<sup>1</sup>

October 11, 1990

Technical Report NCEER-90-0023

NCEER Project Number 89-1508

NSF Master Contract Number ECE 86-07591

- 1 Graduate Student, Department of Civil Engineering, State University of New York at Buffalo
- 2 Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, State University of New York at Buffalo
- 3 Geotechnical Engineer, Acres International, Amherst, New York

NATIONAL CENTER FOR EARTHQUAKE ENGINEERING RESEARCH State University of New York at Buffalo Red Jacket Quadrangle, Buffalo, NY 14261

# **PREFACE**

The National Center for Earthquake Engineering Research (NCEER) is devoted to the expansion and dissemination of knowledge about earthquakes, the improvement of earthquake-resistant design, and the implementation of seismic hazard mitigation procedures to minimize loss of lives and property. The emphasis is on structures and lifelines that are found in zones of moderate to high seismicity throughout the United States.

NCEER's research is being carried out in an integrated and coordinated manner following a structured program. The current research program comprises four main areas:

- Existing and New Structures
- Secondary and Protective Systems
- Lifeline Systems
- Disaster Research and Planning

This technical report pertains to Program 1, Existing and New Structures, and more specifically to geotechnical studies.

The long term goal of research in Existing and New Structures is to develop seismic hazard mitigation procedures through rational probabilistic risk assessment for damage or collapse of structures, mainly existing buildings, in regions of moderate to high seismicity. The work relies on improved definitions of seismicity and site response, experimental and analytical evaluations of systems response, and more accurate assessment of risk factors. This technology will be incorporated in expert systems tools and improved code formats for existing and new structures. Methods of retrofit will also be developed. When this work is completed, it should be possible to characterize and quantify societal impact of seismic risk in various geographical regions and large municipalities. Toward this goal, the program has been divided into five components, as shown in the figure below:



**Tasks:**  Earthquake Hazards Estimates, Ground Motion Estimates, New Ground Motion Instrumentation, Earthquake & Ground Motion Data Base,

Site Response Estimates, Large Ground Deformation Estimates, Soil-Structure Interaction.

Typical Structures and Critical Structural Components: Testing and Analysis; Modern Analytical Tools.

Vulnerability Analysis, Reliability Analysis, Risk Assessment, Code Upgrading.

Architectural and Structural Design, Evaluation of Existing Buildings.

#### **ABSTRACT**

The influence of geological and geotechnical factors on potential ground motions in Memphis due to a  $65 \text{km}$  distant hypothetical  $\text{M}_{_{\text{W}}}$ =7 New Madrid earthquake has been investigated. From the study of the seismotectonic environment and the seismicity of the region, the characteristics of the "design earthquake" are selected. A seismological model of the radiation/attenuation of the earthquake source and of the generated waves is used to generate synthetic bedrock ("hard rock") accelerograms, which are then propagated through a deep deposit of "softrock" to obtain input base excitations for the near-surface soil profiles. A representative soil profile for Memphis is selected from a large number of borelog data. Propagation of the generated seismic waves through this soil profile is modeled with state-of-the-art formulations to obtain the seismic motions at the ground surface. Effects of nonlinear inelastic versus equivalent-linear analysis has also been investigated. Results are presented in the form of site-specific response spectra and a proposed design spectrum.

# **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

This report is a part of an ongoing investigation of site response in Memphis area due to the New Madrid Earthquakes, sponsored by the National Center for Earthquake Engineering Research (Grant No. 89-1508). The support of the NCEER for this work is gratefully acknowledged. The writers are also indebted Prof. A.S. Papageorgiou of R.P.I. and Dr. Klaus Jacob of Lamont-Doherty Geological Observatory for many valuable suggestions, and Professor J.H. Prevost of Princeton Unviersity for providing the nonlinear dynamic analysis computer program DYNA1D.









# INTRODUCTION

The city of Memphis, Tennessee is located about 65km away from the southern segment of the New Madrid seismic zone (Fig. 1-1). The New Madrid seismic zone is regarded by seismologists and disaster response planners as the most hazardous zone east of the Rocky Mountains [8]. In the winter of 1811-1812, this zone produced three of the largest earthquakes known to have occurred in North America  $(M_s = 8.5, 8.4 \text{ and } 8.8; [8 \& 11])$ . The zone is still, quite seismically active, and a major geological structure--an ancient crustal rift- has been identified [4] to exist beneath the shallow sediments of the Mississippi embankment (Fig. 1-2). This rift is of such character and dimension that it could generate major earthquakes. Thus, the city of Memphis is currently regarded as an area of potential seismic hazard.

The effect of local soil conditions on the amplitude and frequency content of ground motions at the surface of soil deposits at Memphis due to a potential New Madrid earthquake has been the subject of considerable interest and research in recent years. In this report, an effort is made to investigate the effects of geological and geotechnical conditions on ground surface motions at a representative site of Memphis (with a typical Upland Memphis soil profile) due to a hypothetical  $M_{\nu}$ =7.0 New Madrid earthquake. First, from the study of the seismotectonic environment and the seismicity of the region, the characteristics of the "design earthquake" are selected. Second, a stochastic seismological model ( $[2]$ ,  $[6]$  &  $[9]$ ) of the radiation/attenuation of the earthquake source and of the generated waves is used to generate synthetic seismic bedrock motions. The influence of the model parameters, such as stress parameter,  $\Delta \sigma$ , and cut-off frequency,  $f_{max}$ , on the ground surface response spectra is also investigated. The actual bedrock ("hard rock") in the Memphis area is very deep, located at a depth of about 2500 to 3000 ft. below the ground surface level. Therefore, response spectra defined for "hard-rock" must not be used to prescribe input motions to the base of the near-surface soil profiles unless the velocity contrast between soil profile and underlying earth, and the amplifying effect of



Fig. 1-1 New Madrid Seismic Zone (after Hwang et a1, [7])

# DESIGN EARTHQUAKE

In this study, based on the work of Johnston [8], a New Madrid earthquake of moment magnitude  $M_{ur} = 7.0$ , having a 20% probability of exceedence in 50 years and 40% probability of exceedence in 100 years as depicted in Fig. 2-1, is assumed to occur near Marked Tree, Arkansas. The epicentral distance of the selected site in Memphis from the seismic source is about 65km.



Fig. 2-1 - Frequency-Magnitude Curve for New Madrid Earthquake Zone (after Johnston, [8])

#### **PREDICTION OF BEDROCK MOTION**

# 3.1 Seismological Parameters

The paucity of strong motion recordings from intraplate earthquake events makes prediction of strong motion in Central and Eastern United States a difficult problem. The scaling of earthquake source parameters of intraplate events is not well understood yet. However, there appears to be a consensus  $(3]$  &  $[12]$ ) that intraplate seismic sources scale roughly with a constant stress drop.

Based on the work of  $[3]$ ,  $[12]$  and  $[1]$  the following seismological parameters applicable to the Eastern North America (ENA) are used with the Hanks-McGuire-Boore stochastic model ([2] & [6]) to obtain synthetic bedrock earthquake motions:

Moment magnitude Epicentral distance Focal depth Stress scaling parameter Cut-off frequency Quality factor Bedrock shearwave velocity  $M_{\rm w} = 7.0$  $R = 65km$  $h = 10km$  $\Delta \sigma$  =100,150 & 200 bars  $f_{max}$  = 20, 30 & 40 Hz  $Q(f) = 1000 f^{0.4}$  (an average of the various Q) models proposed for ENA, as reviewed by McGuire & Toro  $[9]$ ).  $V_r = 3.5$  km/sec

Source rock density  $\rho_r = 2.7 \text{ gm/cm}^3$ 

$$
D(f) = \exp\left[\frac{-\pi \cdot f \cdot R}{Q(f) \cdot V_r}\right] \left[1 + \left(f/f_{\text{max}}\right)^8\right]^{-0.5} \tag{4}
$$

and I(f) is a function which translates spectral displacement into acceleration spectra and is defined by the expression

$$
I(f) = (2\pi f)^2 \tag{5}
$$

#### 3.3 Synthetic Bedrock Accelerograms

As already mentioned, the semi-theoretical method, based on the work of [2] and [9], is used to generate synthetic time histories of bedrock acceleration. The method assumes a simple source acceleration spectrum [5] exhibiting two characteristic frequencies,  $\rm f_c$  and  $\rm f_{max}$ , and attaining a constant value proportional to the seismic moment M<sub>o</sub> at frequencies  $f_c < f < f_{max}$ . Having assessed the source spectrum, simple wave propagation physics are invoked to obtain the modulus of the acceleration spectral density function at a R-distant point on the surface of the earth. Then, Random Vibration theory is used to obtain rms and peak values of acceleration and velocity, and acceleration response spectrum. Furthermore, synthetic acceleration histories are generated in a semi-empirical way, by using the previously predicted modulus while extracting the phases from an actual accelerogram (recorded under "similar" conditions; Imperial valley earthquake, 1979, R=57 kM,  $M_{\rm w}$ =7.0). The advantage of this technique is that the non-stationarity, randomness, and change in frequency with time are incorporated naturally in the synthetic motion. The basic assumption is that the source and wave propagation parameters are reflected primarily in the spectral modulus, while multipath effects and surface wave contributions affect the phase spectrum.

Based on the above mentioned methodology a synthetic bedrock accelerogram generated for Memphis area along with several acceleration response spectra (with 5% damping) are portrayed in Figs. (3-1 to 3-4). The predominant periods are seen to be about 0.12s. Although this seems to be somewhat low for an  $M_{\text{tr}}$ 7 event, recorded evidence can be cited in support of a  $T_{p}^{\infty}0.10$ s. Specifically, at least two significant accelerograms have been found with similar  $T_p$  values:



Fig. 3-1 - Effect of  $\Delta\sigma$  on Bedrock Spectral Acceleration



Fig. 3-2 - Effect of f max on Bedrock Spectral Acceleration

# **SOFT-ROCK ACCELEROGRAM**

The ac tual bedrock ("hard- rock") in Memphis area is very (approx. 3000 feet) deep. Therefore, a response spectrum defined for bedrock must not be used to prescribe input motion to the base of the near-surface soil profile unless the velocity contrast between soil profile and underlaying earth, and the amplifying effect of the "softer-rock" between the "hard-rock" and the soil profile are taken into account. Thus, for Memphis, the synthetic bedrock motion obtained with the seismological model is propagated through a very deep  $(z\rightarrow\alpha)$ representative "soft-rock" layer having a shear wave velocity  $V_{\text{cr}}\approx600$  m/sec. and density  ${\rho_{_{\bf S\, r}}}$ =2.5 gm/cm $^3$ . The incident wave is transmitted through the hardrocksoftrock interface with an amplitude  $(A_{sr})$  which exceeds the incoming-wave amplitude  $(A_r)$  by a factor is equal to the square-root of the impedance ratio; i.e. ,

$$
A_{sr} \approx A_r \sqrt{\frac{\rho_r V_r}{\rho_{sr} V_{sr}}} \tag{6}
$$

This expression suggested by Joyner & Boore [17] is based on Aki & Richards [18] and is believed to approximately account for transmission of incoming non-vertical waves, non-plane-layered laterally heterogeneous soil conditions and "corrugated" soil-rock interface(s) (Jacob [19]).

The resulting soft-rock accelerogram and its response spectrum are displayed in Figs. 4-1 and 4-2, respectively. The peak acceleration for "softrock" is 0.366g compared to 0.146g of the "hard-rock". Similarly, the peak value of the soft-rock response spectrum is 1.Og compared to 0.4 g of the hardrock. Thus, the bedrock seismic motion is being amplified by the soft-rock deposit.

 $\mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}$ 

#### MODELING OF MEMPHIS SOIL PROFILE

A representative soil profile of Memphis, illustrated in Fig. 5-1, is obtained from a data analysis of the extensive borelog data of Memphis provided by Ng et al [10]. The selected soil profile represents about 70% of the Memphis borelogs and 90% of the Upland Memphis borelogs. The water table is located at 10 feet depth. As depicted in Fig. 5-1, the borelog terminates at 100 feet depth. Thus, the soil profile below 100 feet is constructed based on the geological stratification beneath the Memphis area reported by Whittenberg et al [16]. The soil deposit directly overlying the "soft-rock" is a stiff clay (CL) deposit known as "Jackson Formation".

Utilizing the SPT N-values and other available borelog data, the geotechnical properties of each soil layer are estimated with the help of existing empirical relationships between the N-values and the respective parameter. The low strain shear velocity and damping factors for soil layers are estimated based on the information provided by Seed et al [14] and Sun et al [15]. The estimated geotechnical properties for the representative soil profile are displayed in Table 5-1.



 $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{z})$  , where  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{z})$ 

# Notations



# SITE RESPONSE

The top soil layers (overlaying the soft-rock) of the representative Memphis soil profile (Fig. 5-1) is modelled using the computer programs SHAKE (Equivalent-linear analysis) and DYNA1D (Nonlinear inelastic analysis) to perform a one-dimensional dynamic site response analysis based on vertical propagation of shear waves. The "soft-rock" accelerogram is used as the input seismic motion at the base (z=-250 ft) of the near-surface soil layers.

The program SHAKE is based on the elastic wave propagation theory and it uses the "equivalent-linear" method to model the nonlinear dynamic shear moduli and damping as a function of shear strain. Nonlinear soil properties for the soil layers are modelled using the dynamic modulus degredation vs. shear strain (G vs.  $\tau$ ) and damping ratio vs. shear strain ( $\beta$  vs.  $\tau$ ) relationships reported by Seed et al [14] and Sun et al [15]. On the other hand, the DYNA1D code is a finite element based program (Prevost [13]) which uses nonlinear-inelastic constitutive models to incorporate the nonlinear inelastic stress-strain behavior of the soil materials.

The acceleration time history at the ground level obtained from the site response analysis with the SHAKE program is shown in Fig. 6 -1. The peak value of the ground acceleration is O.286g, compared to 0.146g of bedrock and 0.366g of "soft-rock".

Fig. 6-2 shows the normalized spectral accelerations at the ground level obtained with linear-elastic (SHAKE), equivalent-linear (SHAKE) and nonlinearinelastic (DYNAlD) modelling of the soil materials. The normalized spectral accelerations are the spectral accelerations normalized w.r.t. to the peak ground acceleration. The largest value of the normalized spectrum for linear analysis is 2.4 (at  $T\approx 0.13$  sec.), for equivalent-linear 3.0 (at  $T\approx 0.13$  sec.), and for nonlinear 2.4 (at  $T \approx 0.18$  sec.). Those peaks are close to the fundamental period of the top soil layer (T=0.14 sec.) and the soft-rock

spectral acceleration peaks. Additional peaks in ground acceleration spectra appear at  $T=0.3$  sec. and  $T=0.7-0.9$  sec., with  $T=0.8$  being the fundamental period of the near-surface soil profile. Furthermore, it is evident from Fig. 6-2 that a nonlinear inelastic analysis shifts the maximum value of the spectrum to a higher period (i.e.to a lower frequency), and the 2nd & 3rd spectral peaks have higher values than the corresponding linear and equivalent-linear peaks.



Fig. 6-3 - Simplified Soil Profile for Upland Memphis



Normalized Design Spectral Acceleration For Upland Memphis

Fig. 6-5 - Design Spectrum for Upland Memphis

#### **CONCLUSION**

Site response spectra for a representative soil deposit of Memphis are presented by utilizing the Hanks-McGuire-Boore seismological model to generate synthetic bedrock motions and state-of-the-art formulations SHAKE & DYNA1D to perform the seismic site response analyses. Influence of the underlaying "softrock" deposit on the site response at Memphis is found to be of primary importance.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Atkinson, G.N. and Boore, D.M. (1989). "Preliminary Analysis of Ground Motion Data from the 25 November 1988 Saguenay, Quebec Earthquake," Seismological Society of America, Abstract of 84th Annual Meeting.
- 2. Boore, D.M. (1983). "Stochastic Simulation of High-Frequency Ground Motion Based on Seismological Models of the Radiated Spectra," Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, vol. 73, no. 6, 1864-1894.
- 3. Boore, D.M. and Atkinson, G.M. (1987). "Stochastic Prediction of Ground Motion and Spectral Response Parameters at Hard-Rock Sites in Eastern North America," Bulletin of Seismological Society of America, vol. 77, no. 2, 440-467.
- 4. Braile, L.W., Hinze, W.J., Keller, G.R., and Lidiak, E.G. (1982). "The Northern Extension of the New Madrid Fault Seismic Zone," Investigations of the New Madrid, Missouri Earthquake Region, McKeown & Pakiser, Eds., U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper l236-L, 175-184.
- 5. Brune, J.N. (1970). "Tectonic Stress and Spectra of Seismic Shear Waves from Earthquakes," Journal of Geophysical Research, vol. 75, no. 26, 4994-5009.
- 6. Hanks, T.C. and McGuire, R.K. (1981). "The Character of High Frequency Strong Ground Motion," Bulletin of Seismic Society of America, vol. 71, 2071-2095.
- 7. Hwang, H-H.M., Chen, C.H. and Yu, G. (1989). "Bedrock Accelerations in Memphis Area due to Large New Madrid Earthquakes," National Center for Earthquake Engineering Research Technical Report-89-0029, SUNY at Buffalo.
- 8. Johnston, A.C. (1982). "A Major Earthquake Zone on the Mississippi," Scientific American, vol. 246, no. 4, 60-68.
- 9. McGuire, R.K. and Toro, G.R. (1989). "Issues of Strong Ground Motion Estimation in Eastern North America," in K.H. Jacob and C.J. Turkstra, Eds., Earthquake Hazards in ENA, Annal. of N.Y. Academy of Sciences, vol. 558.

#### NATIONAL CENTER FOR EARTHQUAKE ENGINEERING RESEARCH LIST OF TECHNICAL REPORTS

The National Center for Earthquake Engineering Research (NCEER) publishes technical reports on a variety of subjects related to earthquake engineering written by authors funded through NCEER. These reports are available from both NCEER's Publications Department and the National Technical Information Service (NTIS). Requests for reports should be directed to the Publications Department, National Center for Earthquake Engineering Research, State University of New York at Buffalo, Red Jacket Quadrangle, Buffalo, New York 14261. Reports can also be requested through NTIS, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, Virginia 22161. NTIS accession numbers are shown in parenthesis, if available.



- NCEER-SS-OOOS "Reliability Analysis of Code-Designed Structures Under Natural Hazards," by H.H-M. Hwang, H. Ushiba and M. Shinozuka, 2/29/88, (PBS8-229471/AS).
- NCEER-88-0009 "Seismic Fragility Analysis of Shear Wall Structures," by J-W Jaw and H.H-M. Hwang, 4/30/88, (PB89-102867/AS).
- NCEER-88-0010 "Base Isolation of a Multi-Story Building Under a Harmonic Ground Motion - A Comparison of Performances of Various Systems," by F-G Fan, G. Ahmadi and 1.G. Tadjbakhsh, 5/18/88, (PB89-122238/AS).
- NCEER-88-00l1 "Seismic Floor Response Spectra for a Combined System by Green's Functions," by F.M. Lavelle, L.A Bergman and P.D. Spanos, 5/1/88, (PBS9-102875/AS).
- NCEER-88-0012 "A New Solution Technique for Randomly Excited Hysteretic Structures," by G.Q. Cai and Y.K. Lin, 5/16/88, (PB89-102883/AS).
- NCEER-88-0013 "A Study of Radiation Damping and Soil-Structure Interaction Effects in the Centrifuge," by K. Weissman, supervised by lH. Prevost, 5/24/88, (PB89-144703/AS).
- NCEER-S8-0014 "Parameter Identification and Implementation of a Kinematic Plasticity Model for Frictional Soils," by J.H. Prevost and D.V. Griffiths, to be published.
- NCEER-88-0015 'Two- and Three- Dimensional Dynamic Finite Element Analyses of the Long Valley Dam," by D.V. Griffiths and J.H. Prevost, 6/17/88, (PB89-144711/AS).
- NCEER-88-0016 "Damage Assessment of Reinforced Concrete Structures in Eastern United States," by AM. Reinhorn, M.l Seidel, S.K. Kunnath and Y.l Park, 6/15/88, (PB89-122220/AS).
- NCEER-S8-0017 "Dynamic Compliance of Vertically Loaded Strip Foundations in Multilayered Viscoelastic Soils," by S. Ahmad and A.S.M. Israil, 6/17/88, (PB89-102891/AS).
- NCEER-88-0018 "An Experimental Study of Seismic Structural Response With Added Viscoelastic Dampers," by R.C. Lin, Z. Liang, T.T. Soong and R.H. Zhang, 6/30/88, (PB89-122212/AS).
- NCEER-88-0019 "Experimental Investigation of Primary - Secondary System Interaction," by G.D. Manolis, G. Juhn and AM. Reinhorn, 5/27/88, (PB89-122204/AS).
- NCEER -SS-0020 "A Response Spectrum Approach For Analysis of Nonclassically Damped Structures," by J.N. Yang, S. Sarkani and F.x. Long, 4/22/88, (PB89-102909/AS).
- NCEER-88-0021 "Seismic Interaction of Structures and Soils: Stochastic Approach," by A.S. Veletsos and AM. Prasad, 7/21/88, (PB89-122196/AS).
- NCEER-8S-0022 "Identification of the Serviceability Limit State and Detection of Seismic Structural Damage," by E. DiPasquale and A.S. Cakmak:, 6/15/88, (PB89-122188/AS).
- NCEER -88-0023 "Multi-Hazard Risk Analysis: Case of a Simple Offshore Structure," by B.K. Bhartia and E.H. Vanmarcke, 7/21/88, (PB89-145213/AS).
- NCEER-88-0024 "Automated Seismic Design of Reinforced Concrete Buildings," by Y.S. Chung, C. Meyer and M. Shinozuka. 7/5/88, (PB89-122170/AS).
- NCEER-88-0025 "Experimental Study of Active Control of MDOF Structures Under Seismic Excitations," by L.L. Chung, R.C. Lin, T.T. Soong and AM. Reinhorn, 7/10/88, (pB89-122600/AS).
- NCEER-88-0026 "Earthquake Simulation Tests of a Low-Rise Metal Structure," by J.S. Hwang, K.C. Chang, G.C. Lee and R.L. Ketter, 8/1/88, (PB89-102917/AS).
- NCEER-88-0027 "Systems Study of Urban Response and Reconstruction Due to Catastrophic Earthquakes," by F. Kozin and H.K. Zhou, 9/22/88, (PB90-162348/AS).



A-5

Our Schools," Edited by K.E.K. Ross, *12/31/89,* (PB90-207895).



 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ 

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$