REPORT NO. UCB/EERC-88/20 DECEMBER 1988

EARTHQUAKE ENGINEERING RESEARCH CENTER

BASE ISOLATION IN JAPAN, 1988

by

JAMES M. KELLY

Report to the National Science Foundation

REPRODUCED BY U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE SPRINGFIELD, VA. 22161

COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA AT BERKELEY

For sale by the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, Virginia 22161

See back of report for up to date listing of EERC reports.

DISCLAIMER

Any opinions, findings, and conclusions of recommendations expressed in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation or the Earthquake Engineering Research Center, University of California at Berkeley

50272-101			~ ~~~~~~ ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	_
REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	1. REPORT NO. NSF/ENG-88055	2.	з Р.	B9 1-212 449
4. Title and Subtitle Base Isolation in Japan, 1988			5. Report Da Decem	
		6.		
7. Author(s)	<u></u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	8. Performin	g Organization Rept. No.
Dames M. Kerry		-		
Earthquake Engineer	ing Research Center		10. Project/	Task/Work Unit No.
University of Calif 1301 S 46th St.	ornia, Berkeley		11. Contract	(C) or Grant(G) No.
Richmond, CA 94804				00/0/
12. Sponsoring Organization Name ;	and Address		13. Type of	-UU434 Report & Period Covered
National Science Fo	undation			
Washington DC 205	50			
		·	14.	
15. Supplementary Notes				
16. Abstract (Limit: 200 words)			<u> </u>	
NSF has provided the multidisciplinary U accelerated impleme on structures and e research data being was assembled to go these data to assis because most of the countries as France	e University of Californ nited Stated research pl ntation of base isolatio quipment. The first pha generated by others and to Japan and determine t in the preparation of team members had first- , Germany, New Zealand,	an that addresses n for mitigation se of this plan in particular th the state-of-the a research plan. hand knowledge of etc. The result	grant to dev s the issues that of the effect was to collect ne Japanese. -research the Other count f research be s of the rese	elop a coordinated t are blocks to ts of earthquakes t the appropriate A team of researchers are and then use tries were not visited and done in such earch expedition
are reported in thi	s document in detail.			
17. Document Analysis a. Descrip	tors			
b. Identifiers/Open-Ended Term				
	-			
c. COSATI Field/Group				
18. Availability Statement	Release Unlimited	19. Security Cl unclas	ass (This Report) sified	21. No. of Pages 100
		20. Security CI unclas	ass (This Page) sified	22. Price
(See ANSI-Z39.18)	See Instructi	ons on Reverse		OPTIONAL FORM 272 (4-77 (Formerly NTIS-35)

.

`

REPORT NO. UCB/EERC-88/20 DECEMBER 1988

EARTHQUAKE ENGINEERING RESEARCH CENTER

BASE ISOLATION IN JAPAN, 1988

by

JAMES M. KELLY

Report to the National Science Foundation

REPRODUCED BY U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE SPRINGFIELD, VA. 22161

COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA AT BERKELEY

For sale by the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, Virginia 22161

See back of report for up to date listing of EERC reports.

DISCLAIMER

Any opinions, findings, and conclusions of recommendations expressed in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation or the Earthquake Engineering Research Center, University of California at Berkeley

Ŀ

4

REPORT DOCUMENTATION	1. REPORT NO.	2,	³ PI	391-212449
PAGE	NSF/ENG-88055			_
Title and Subtitle	* 1000		5. Report Da	te
Base Isolation in	Japan, 1988		Decemi	ber 1988
,			6.	
. Author(s)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	8. Performin	g Organization Rept. No.
James M. Kelly			UCB/EI	ERC-88/20
. Performing Organization Name	and Address		10. Project/1	ask/Work Unit No.
Earthquake Enginee	ring Research Center		ļ	
University of Cali	tornia, Berkeley		11. Contract(C) or Grant(G) No.
Richmond CA 9480			(C)	
KICHMOND, OK 9400			(G) CES88-	-00434
2. Sponsoring Organization Name	e and Address	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	13. Type of I	Report & Period Covered
National Science F	oundation			
1800 G. St. NW				•
Washington, DC 20	550		14.	
		_ , <u>,,</u>	L	
NSF has provided t multidisciplinary	United Stated research pl	an that addresse	s the issues that	are blocks to
NSF has provided t multidisciplinary accelerated implem on structures and research data bein was assembled to g these data to assi because most of th countries as France are reported in th	United Stated research pl mentation of base isolation equipment. The first pha- ag generated by others and to Japan and determine st in the preparation of the team members had first- te, Germany, New Zealand, his document in detail.	an that addresse n for mitigation se of this plan in particular t the state-of-the a research plan. hand knowledge o etc. The result	s the issues that of the effect was to collect he Japanese. -research the Other count f research be s of the rese	are blocks to ts of earthquakes t the appropriate A team of reæarchers re and then use ries were not visited ing done in such arch expedition
NSF has provided t multidisciplinary accelerated implem on structures and research data bein was assembled to g these data to assi because most of th countries as France are reported in th	United Stated research pl mentation of base isolatio equipment. The first pha- ng generated by others and to Japan and determine st in the preparation of me team members had first- e, Germany, New Zealand, his document in detail.	an that addresse n for mitigation se of this plan in particular t the state-of-the a research plan. hand knowledge o etc. The result	s the issues that of the effec was to collec he Japanese. -research the Other count f research be s of the rese	are blocks to ts of earthquakes t the appropriate A team of reæarchers re and then use ries were not visited ing done in such arch expedition
NSF has provided t multidisciplinary accelerated implem on structures and research data bein was assembled to g these data to assi because most of th countries as Franc are reported in th	United Stated research plaentation of base isolatio equipment. The first pha ag generated by others and to Japan and determine st in the preparation of the team members had first- te, Germany, New Zealand, his document in detail.	an that addresse n for mitigation se of this plan in particular t the state-of-the a research plan. hand knowledge o etc. The result	s the issues that of the effect was to collec he Japanese. -research the Other count f research be s of the rese	are blocks to ts of earthquakes t the appropriate A team of reæarchers re and then use ries were not visited ing done in such arch expedition
NSF has provided t multidisciplinary accelerated implem on structures and research data bein was assembled to g these data to assi because most of th countries as France are reported in th	United Stated research plaentation of base isolatio equipment. The first pha ag generated by others and to to Japan and determine st in the preparation of the team members had first- e, Germany, New Zealand, his document in detail.	an that addresse n for mitigation se of this plan in particular t the state-of-the a research plan. hand knowledge o etc. The result	s the issues that of the effect was to collect he Japanese. research the Other count f research be s of the rese	are blocks to ts of earthquakes t the appropriate A team of reæarchers re and then use ries were not visited ing done in such arch expedition
NSF has provided t multidisciplinary accelerated implem on structures and research data bein was assembled to g these data to assi because most of th countries as France are reported in th	United Stated research plaentation of base isolatio equipment. The first pha ag generated by others and to Japan and determine st in the preparation of he team members had first- te, Germany, New Zealand, his document in detail.	an that addresse n for mitigation se of this plan in particular t the state-of-the a research plan. hand knowledge o etc. The result	s the issues that of the effect was to collect he Japanese. -research the Other count f research be s of the rese	are blocks to ts of earthquakes t the appropriate A team of reæarchers re and then use ries were not visited ing done in such arch expedition
NSF has provided t multidisciplinary accelerated implem on structures and research data bein was assembled to g these data to assi because most of th countries as France are reported in th 7. Document Analysis a. Descr	United Stated research plaentation of base isolatio equipment. The first pha ag generated by others and to Japan and determine ast in the preparation of the team members had first- e, Germany, New Zealand, dis document in detail.	an that addresse n for mitigation se of this plan in particular t the state-of-the a research plan. hand knowledge o etc. The result	s the issues that of the effect was to collect he Japanese. research the Other count f research be s of the research	are blocks to ts of earthquakes t the appropriate A team of reæarchers re and then use ries were not visited ing done in such arch expedition
NSF has provided t multidisciplinary accelerated implem on structures and research data bein was assembled to g these data to assi because most of th countries as France are reported in th 7. Document Analysis a. Descr b. Identifiers/Open-Ended Terr c. COSATI Field/Group	United Stated research plaentation of base isolatio equipment. The first pha ag generated by others and to Japan and determine est in the preparation of the team members had first- te, Germany, New Zealand, ais document in detail.	an that addresse n for mitigation se of this plan in particular t the state-of-the a research plan. hand knowledge o etc. The result	s the issues that of the effect was to collect he Japanese. research the Other count f research be s of the research	are blocks to ts of earthquakes t the appropriate A team of reæarchers re and then use ries were not visited ing done in such arch expedition
NSF has provided t multidisciplinary accelerated implem on structures and research data bein was assembled to g these data to assi because most of th countries as France are reported in th 7. Document Analysis a. Descr b. Identifiers/Open-Ended Terr c. COSATI Field/Group 3. Availability Statemen;	United Stated research plaentation of base isolatio equipment. The first pha ag generated by others and go to Japan and determine st in the preparation of he team members had first- te, Germany, New Zealand, his document in detail.	an that addresse n for mitigation se of this plan in particular t the state-of-the a research plan. hand knowledge o etc. The result	s the issues that of the effect was to collect he Japanese. research the Other count of research be s of the research s of the research	are blocks to ts of earthquakes t the appropriate A team of reœarchers re and then use ries were not visited ing done in such arch expedition
NSF has provided t multidisciplinary accelerated implem on structures and research data bein was assembled to g these data to assi because most of th countries as France are reported in th 7. Document Analysis a. Descr b. Identifiers/Open-Ended Terr c. COSATI Field/Group 3. Availability Statemen;	United Stated research plaentation of base isolation equipment. The first phates and generated by others and go to Japan and determine st in the preparation of the team members had first- te, Germany, New Zealand, and document in detail.	an that addresse n for mitigation se of this plan in particular t the state-of-the a research plan. hand knowledge o etc. The result	s the issues that of the effect was to collect he Japanese. research the Other count of research be s of the research s of the research the research s of the research	are blocks to ts of earthquakes t the appropriate A team of reæarchers re and then use ries were not visited ing done in such arch expedition

.

۰.

.`

(Formerly NTIS-35) Department of Commerce

[

. .

F L

1

4

·

BASE ISOLATION IN JAPAN, 1988

by

James M. Kelly Professor of Civil Engineering University of California at Berkeley

Report to the National Science Foundation

Report No. UCB/EERC-88/20 Earthquake Engineering Research Center College of Engineering University of California, Berkeley

December 1988

- N

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This report was prepared by Professor James M. Kelly with assistance from the members of the base isolation study group.

The visit to Japan by the group was supported by the National Science Foundation with grant no. CES-8800434.

Preceding page blank

PREFACE

Over the past ten years or so, the National Science Foundation has supported fundamental research on base isolation for mitigation of the effects of earthquakes on structures and equipment. The initial research came at a time when interest in the concept of base isolation was growing worldwide. The NSF-sponsored research has led to many developments. Worldwide applications of this technology range from buildings to bridges and include special structures such as nuclear power plants. NSF provided research support which acted as the catalyst that led to the first major implementation of base isolation in the United States at the Foothill Communities Law and Justice Center in San Bernardino County, California.

Since completion of the San Bernardino County building in 1985, many United States researchers have asked why base isolation has not been implemented in the United States at a faster pace. Even though there are currently several base isolation projects underway or in the planning stage in the United States, the response to the above query is that additional research is needed to allow the concept to be implemented in the course of general practice of structural engineering.

NSF has provided the University of California at Berkeley, through the principal investigator Professor James M. Kelly, a grant to develop a coordinated multidisciplinary United States research plan that addresses the issues that are blocks to accelerated implementation of the base isolation concept. The first phase of this plan was to collect the appropriate research data being generated by others and in particular the Japanese. Professor Kelly assembled a team of researchers to go to Japan and determine the state-ofthe-research there and then use these data to assist in the preparation of a research plan. Other countries were not visited because most of the team members had first-hand knowledge of research being done in such countries as France, Germany, New Zealand, etc. The results of the research expedition are reported in this document in detail.

The expectation of this research project is the development of a detailed research plan for base isolation research in the United States. This plan will be written such that the research will be goal-oriented and each individual research project will be coordinated with other research projects in the group, allowing a systems approach to the research. As noted previously, completion of the research contained in the overall plan will accelerate the implementation of the base isolation concept in the United States by professionals.

Base isolation has applications not only in California but across the United States. At this time, it appears that the first widespread implementation of base isolation systems will be in California. The reason for this is that the current economics of the base isolation systems are such that in a competitive environment base isolation systems are more appropriate to higher seismic loadings. The past five years have shown that the greatest interest in the subject is in California but applications are also in Utah.

Research issues are broad based and range from properties of basic materials to design methodologies. It is therefore important to involve the appropriate disciplines and interests in any research that is conducted.

Even though the details of the research expedition to Japan are covered in the report that follows, there are several interesting observations that resulted from planning and conducting the research expedition. Initial investigation into who should be contacted in Japan resulted in the conclusion that in Japan, base isolation research is being conducted by the private sector with one general exception. The exception is that the government of Japan is supporting base isolation research in connection with its nuclear program. We concluded that most data would be available from the private sector and we scheduled workshops with them. We met with research groups in manufacturing that supply base isolation research, and with the research groups that contract research from the government's nuclear program. Most of our time was spent with the research groups in the private sector that support their own research with internal resources. This research is conducted in laboratories associated with the manufacturing and construction companies or research institutes directly connected to these groups.

It is important to contrast this with the method of research support for base isolation systems in the United States. Like Japan, the United States has an effort in base isolation associated with the nuclear program (DOE). The scope of the United States program, however, appears to be smaller than that of the Japanese program. In contrast with the overall Japanese program, the principal means of support for base isolation research in the United States is the National Science Foundation where the level of support is approximately one-half million dollars per year. It is difficult to determine the size of base isolation research support in Japan. In speaking with the executive of the Japanese "big six" construction companies, however, we determined that the total sales for the six are about 750 to 1,000 billion yen per year per company. Of that amount, approximately one percent is spent on research. Currently, about four percent of the research effort of the six is in the area of base isolation research. This translates to about \$14 million to \$19 million per year, in contrast with the NSF expenditure of \$500,000 per year. In the United States, engineering and construction companies historically allocate between 0.2 and 0.4 percent of sales to research. We therefore noted that the private sector in Japan is giving significant support to base isolation research while the United States private sector is apparently providing little. In addition, the Japanese nuclear industry has a significant and coordinated base isolation research program.

We learned that the Japanese companies view base isolation as an important new element in seismic engineering and earthquake hazard mitigation. This is because Japan is a seismically active area and base isolation is a new and advanced technology that will aid the individual Japanese companies in obtaining or maintaining a competitive edge in their businesses.

It was obvious to the participants that the United States needs to continue research efforts in base isolation in a fashion that will also enable United States engineering and construction companies to maintain or obtain a competitive edge in their businesses. It is unfortunate that the United States has to depend so heavily on NSF and other government agencies for research support in the civil engineering fields. This research effort could be supplemented by additional resources from the United States private sector, providing technological, social, and business benefits to the United States.

The research team would like to acknowledge the able assistance provided by Mr. Alexander DeAngelis and his staff at the NSF Tokyo Office in helping to make this research endeavor possible.

A. J. Eggenberger, National Science Foundation - V -

. ~ I. 1 L | | | |

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	i
PREFACE	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	viii
INTRODUCTION	1
ITINERARY FOR BASE ISOLATION STUDY GROUP	3
OBSERVATIONS AT SITES VISITED	7
1. Kajima Corporation Research Institute, Tokyo	7
2. Bridgestone Corporation, Tokyo	8
3. Oiles Industries Co., Ltd., Technical Research	
Laboratory and Sumitomo Construction Co., Ltd., Fujisawa City	9
4. Ohaski Research Institute, Tokyo	9
5. Ohbayashi-Gumi Corporation, Tokyo	9
6. Takenaka Komuten Ltd., Tokyo	10
7. Nuclear Power Engineering Test Center (NUPEC), Tadotsu	10
8. Mitsubishi Heavy Industries (MHI), Takasago	11
9. Central Research Institute of Electric Power Industry,	
(CRIEPI), Tokyo	12
10. Taisei Corporation, Tokyo	12
11. Okumura Corporation, Tsukuba Science City	13
12. Building Research Institute (BRI), Ministry of Construction,	
Tsukuba Science City	14
13. Public Works Research Institute (PWRI), Ministry of	
Construction, Tsukuba Science City	15
14. Tohoku University Experimental Building, Sendai	15
IMPLEMENTATION OF BASE ISOLATION IN JAPAN	17
DEMONSTRATION BUILDINGS ON BASE ISOLATION SYSTEMS	19
COMMERCIAL BUILDINGS USING BASE ISOLATION	63
CONCLUDING REMARKS	77
REFERENCES	78
BIBLIOGRAPHY OF BASE ISOLATION PUBLICATIONS IN JAPAN	79

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure

Page

1	Base-Isolated Dwelling in Yachiyo City	20		
2	Deformation of Isolation Bearing in Pull-back Test of Building			
3	Cross Sections of Building	21		
4	Building Plan and Bearing Layout	21		
5	Peak Acceleration Distribution at the Yachiyo City Building	23		
6	Okumura Company Demonstration Building in Tsukuba Science City	24		
7	Isolators in Okumura Building	24		
8	Coil Type Elasto-Plastic Steel Dampers	25		
9	Section through Building	25		
10	Plan of Base-Isolated Building Showing Layout of Isolators and Dampers	25		
11	Ohbayashi-Gumi Ltd. Demonstration Base-Isolated Building			
	(High-Tech Research and Development Center)	27		
12	Isolators and Cantilever Type Elasto-Plastic Steel Dampers	28		
13	Plan of Building—Layout of Isolators and Dampers	29		
14	Section through Building	29		
15	Oiles Industries Ltd. Demonstration Building	31		
16	Plan of Building Showing Location of Isolators	32		
17	Section through Building	32		
18(:	a) Location of Strong-Motion Accelerographs and Maximum Recorded			
	Accelerations during East Tokyo Earthquake, March 18, 1988	34		
18(1	b) Observed Time Histories during East Tokyo Earthquake,			
	March 18, 1988	35		
19	Kajima Corporation Demonstration Building			
	(Environmental Engineering Laboratory)	36		
20	Isolators, Dampers and Oil Buffers as installed in the			
	Kajima Building	37		
21	Plan of Kajima Building Showing Layout of Isolation			
	System Components	38		
22	Cross-Section of Kajima Laboratory	38		
23	Rubber Bearing in Kajima Building	40		
24	Hysteretic Steel Damper in Kajima Building	41		
25	Oil Buffer in Kajima Building	42		
26	Fail-Safe Concrete Blocks in Kajima Isolation System	43		
27	Sliding Bearings for Passageway between Isolated and			
	Fixed Laboratories	43		

LIST OF FIGURES (CONT.)

Figure

\mathbf{P}	
г	age

28	Takenaka Company Isolated Test Model (Coal Silo)	45
29	Section through Takenaka Test Model	46
30	Plan of Test Model Showing Location of Isolators and Dampers	46
31	Rubber Bearings and Oil Dampers in Takenaka Test Model	47
32	Funabashi Dormitory: Takenaka Company Demonstration Building	47
33	Cross-Section of Funabashi Dormitory Showing	
	Architectural Design	48
34	Isolators and Dampers in Funabashi Dormitory	48
35	Rubber Bearings in Funabashi Dormitory	49
36	Viscous Dampers used in Funabashi Dormitory	49
37	Base-Isolated Christian History Museum in Osio	51
38	Isolation System for the Christian History Museum	
	(Bearings, Coil Dampers and Lead Dampers)	´51
39	Shimuzu Company Test Buildings at Tohuku University	53
40	Layout of Test Buildings Showing Isolators and Dampers	53
41	Dimensions of Elastomeric Bearings and Oil Dampers	54
42	Recorded Maximum Accelerations during Earthquake	
	of February 6, 1987	54
43	Acceleration Time Histories for Earthquake of February 6, 1987	56
44	Amplification Factors for Test Building	57
45	Relationship between Shear Stiffness and Shear Strain	
	for High Damping Elastomer	58
46	Relationship between Damping and Shear Strain	58
47	Taisei Corporation Demonstration Building on TASS System	60
48	Vertical Load Support and Sliding Components	61
49	Horizontal Elastomeric Spring Used in TASS System	61
50	TASS System in Demonstration Building	62
51	Shimizu Corporation Shibuya Office Building Built by	
	Ohbayashi-Gumi Ltd.	67
52	Plan and Section of Shimizu Corporation Base-Isolated	
	Building in Shibuya	68
53	Electron Microscope Building by Ohbayashi-Gumi Ltd.	69
54	Ibaraki Branch Office of Shimizu Corporation	70
55	Itoh Mansion under Construction by Sumitomo	
	Construction Company	72
56	Asano Building under Construction by Sumitomo	
56	Asano Building under Construction by Sumitomo Construction Company	73
56 57	Asano Building under Construction by Sumitomo Construction Company View of Fujita Corporation Base-Isolated Building	73 75
56 57 58	Asano Building under Construction by Sumitomo Construction Company View of Fujita Corporation Base-Isolated Building Isolators under Fujita Corporation Building	73 75 75

. . .

INTRODUCTION

A base isolation study group led by Professor James M. Kelly of the Earthquake Engineering Research Center of the University of California at Berkeley visited Japan between May 24, 1988 and June 5, 1988. The group consisted of the following members:

Dr. A. J. Eggenberger, National Science Foundation

Dr. I. Buckle, Dynamic Isolation Systems

Dr. F. F. Tajirian, Bechtel National Corporation

Professor N. Mostaghel, University of Utah

Professor M. Constantinou, State University of New York at Buffalo

Dr. N. Vaidya, Paul C. Rizzo Associate

Dr. A. T. Onesto, Energy Technology Engineering Center (ETEC)

The purpose of the visit was: (1) to learn of recent developments in base isolation in Japan; (2) to examine the base isolation systems that have been implemented in Japan; (3) to learn of the performance of these buildings in recent earthquakes; (4) to discuss the possibilities for future cooperation between base isolation researchers in Japan and the United States; (5) to understand the reasons for the enthusiastic acceptance and implementation of this technology in Japan; and (6) to ascertain the amount of funding for base isolation research in Japan.

The following sites were visited:

- (1) Kajima Corporation Technical Research Institute, Tokyo
- (2) Bridgestone Corporation, Tokyo
- (3) Oiles Industries and Sumitomo Construction Co., Fujisawa City
- (4) Ohsaki Research Institute of Shimizu Construction Co., Tokyo
- (5) Ohbayashi-Gumi Corporation, Tokyo
- (6) Takenaka Komuten Ltd, Tokyo
- (7) Tadotsu Nuclear Power Engineering Test Center (NUPEC), Tadotsu
- (8) Mitsubishi Heavy Industries, Takasago

- (9) Central Research Institute of the Electric Power Industry (CRIEPI), Tokyo
- (10) Taisei Corporation, Tokyo
- (11) Okumura Corporation, Tsukuba Science City
- (12) Building Research Institute, Ministry of Construction, Tsukuba Science City
- (13) Public Works Research Institute, Ministry of Construction, Tsukuba Science City
- (14) Tohoku University Experimental Building, Sendai

A copy of the trip itinerary follows, and a summary of the observations of the group at each site is given in the next section. The body of the report presents more detailed analyses of individual base-isolated demonstration buildings and concludes with a summary of what the group was able to determine as the current state of implementation of base isolation for commercial applications.

Nippon Express Travel U.S.A., Inc.

ITINERARY FOR BASE ISOLATION STUDY GROUP 0523

May	23(Mon)	9:30am	Please assemble in front of United Airlines counter. George Shiobara will meet you here.
		11 : 15am	Leave San Francisco via UA 819 for Tokyo.
			Lunch and snack will be served on board.
			Your flight time is 10 hours and 40 minutes.
			(Overnight on board)
May	24(Tue)	1:55pm	Arrive at Narita New Tokyo Int'l airport. After Immigration and Custom clearance, you will meet
			your throughtout guide.
			He will accompany with you until you leave Tokyo on 6/05.
			Transfer to notel by private coach.
			(Holiday Inn Tokyo)
May	25(Wed)		You have appointment with Kajima Corporation between 9:00am and 2:00pm.
			Kajima Corporation is located near from Tobitakyu station of Keio Line.
			You can take Keio Line from Shinjuku station and take 30 minutes
			from Shinjuku station to Tobitakyu station.
			From your hotel to Shinjuku station, I would like to suggest
			that you better to take subway or JR(Japan Rail Line).
			Because in the morning, surface traffic is very crowdy.
			If you take a taxi from your hotel to Shinjuku station,
			you need one hour or more and much expense.
			This is wasting time and money.
			Please ask your throughout guide about a measure to Shinjuku.
		9:00am	Visit Kajima Corporation including luncheon until 2:00pm.
		2:00pm	Leave Kaiima Corporation for Bridgestone Corporation.
		Lvoopm	From Kajima to Bridgestone, you have to take a rail way
			but you have to change 2 or 3 times.
			Please have your guide check how you can go to Bridgestone
			from Kajima
		3•00nm	Visit Bridgestone including dinner until 7:00nm
		7.00pm	Leave Bridgestone for your hotel
		,pm	* You will take Rail way and Subway few times
			I guess you need approvimately VEN 1 200 (\$ 10) for
			i guess you need approximately IEA 1,200 (ϕ 10) for your transportation for neuron
			While you star in Ispan -lease always provide shall
			while you stay in Japan, please always provide small
			money for example 1,000 YEA bill or coin.
			Lasner in your notel will nelp your exchange anytime.
			when you purchase ticket for train and subway, drink,
			cigarete and phone, all items are sold by vending machine
			and you need coin or 1,000 YEN bill.
			Furchase by 5,000 YEN and 10,000 YEN bill by vending
			machine is not available.
			(Holiday Inn Tokyo)

- 3 -

Nippon Express Travel U.S.A., Inc.

9:00am May 26(Thu) You have appointment with Oilers Technical Center whic is located at Fujisawa. Please leave your hotel for Tokyo station before 7:30am. You can go to Tokyo station on your foot by 15 minutes. Please take a Tokaido Line of JR to Fujisawa. All train bound for Tokyo is very crowdy between 6:30am and 8:30 am, but bound for Yokohama and Fujisawa is no probem. I guarantee you can teke a seat. Leave Oilers Technical Center for your hotel by Tokaido Line. 2:00pm 4:30pm Leave your hotel for Shimizu Construction by subway. Approximately 10 minutes to Hibiya station by subway. 5:00pm Visit Shimizu Construction (Dr.Ohashi's office) until 8:00pm including dinner. Leave Shimizu Construction for your hotel. 8:00pm (Holiday Inn Tokyo) I guess you need approximately YEN 2,000 per person for your transportation. May 27(Fri) You have appointment with Obayashi Gumi at 9:00am. You have to go to Ikebukuro station by JR or subway then you take Seibu Ikebukuro Line to Kiyose station. Please leave your hotel before 7:30am. 9:00am Visit Obayashi Gumi until 12:30pm including snack lunch. 12:30pm Leave Obayashi Gumi for Takenaka Komuten. On the way to Takenaka, you have to change 3 times. Please have your guide check how you can go. 2:30pm Visit Takenaka Komuten until 5:30pm. 5:30pm Leave Takenaka Komuten for your hotel. (Holiday Inn Tokyo) I guess you need approximately YEN 1,500 per person for your transportation. May 28(Sat) 8:00am Leave hotel for Tokyo station by private car. Please leave your large baggage at Holiday Inn. 9:44am Depart Tokyo station for Kyoto by bullet train "Hikari 345" 2nd class. 12:32pm Arrive at Kytoto station. On arrival, proceed to half day Kyoto sightseeing by private coach with your guide. Terminate at hotel. (Holiday Inn Kyoto) Foy your lunch, You have no time to take a lunch at Kyoto. I would like to suggest you purchase box lunch at Tokyo station before you depart and take it on board. Various box lunch are available on the platform of your train (Hikari 345) at Tokyo station. May 29(Sun) Full day Nara sightseeing tour by private coach with your guide. Please talk with your guide regarding "our departure time and lunch.

(Holiday Inn Kyoto)

Page : Three of four Date : May 12th, 1988

May	30(Mon)	8:00am 8:53am 10:22am 10:39am 11:20am 11:30am 11:43am 1:00pm 4:39pm 5:11pm	Leave your hotel by private coach for Kyoto station. Leave Kyoto for Okayama by bullet train "Hikari 211". Arrive Okayama. Leave Okayama for Sakaide by JR train. Arrive Sakaide. Leave Sakaide for Tadotsu by JR train. Arrive Tadotsu. Visit Nuclear Power Engineering Test Center until 4:00pm. Leave Tadotsu for Takamatsu by JR train. Arrive Takamatsu. Then transfer to your hotel by private coach. (Takamatsu Washington Hotel)
May	31(Tue)	9:00am 9:56am 10:12am 10:54am	Leave hotel for Takamatsu station by private coach. Leave Takamatsu for Okayama via Sakaide by JR train. Arrive Sakaide. Arrive Okayama.
		11:02am 11:27am 12:00pm	Leave Okayama for Himeji by bullet train "Hikari 266" Arrive Himeji and change to Sanyo Dentetsu line for Takasago. Arrive Takasago.
		12:00pm 3:27pm	 Visit Mitsubishi Heavy Industries until pm including luncheon. Leave Himeji for Tokyo by bullet train "Hikari 268" * You have to leave Takasago for Himeji in time to connect with Hikari 268.
		7:08pm	Arrive Tokyo. Transfer to your hotel by private coach. (Holiday Inn Tokyo)
Jun	01(Wed)	9:00am 9:30am 1:00pm 2:30pm	Leave hotel for Central Research Inst by subway. Visit Central Research Inst of Electric Power Ind. Leave Tokyo station for Higashitotsuka station by Yokosuka JR Yokosuka Line. Visit Taisei Corporation until 4:30pm After visit, return to your hotel. (Holiday Inn Tokyo) I guess you need approximately YEN 1,500 per person for your transportation.
Jun	02(Thu)	8:30am 10:00am 2:30pm 4:00pm	Leave your hotel by private coach for Tsukuba Science City. Visit Okumura Gumi until 2:00pm Visit Building Research Institute, Ministry of Construction until 4:00pm. Leave Tsukuba for Tokyo by private coach. (Holiday Inn Tokyo)
Jun	03(Fri)	8:30am 9:44am 11:34am 1:00pm 3:00pm	Leave hotel for Ueno station by private coach. Leave Ueno station for Sendai by bullet train "Yamabiko 13" Arrive Sendai. Then Shimizu Construction in Tohoku University Campus by private coach. Visit Shimizu Construction Co until 3:00pm. Leave Campus and transfer to your hotel. (Sendai Plaza Hotel) Sendai is small but nice city. I hope you enjoy your stay in Sendai. After your visit in Sendai, still you have enough time. Lets go out from hotel until become dark.

- 5 -

Page : Four of four Date : May 12th, 1988

Nippon Express Travel U.S.A., Inc.

Jun 04(Sat) Free until departure. 1:00pm Leave Hotel for Sendai station by private coach. 2:00pm Leave Sendai for Tokyo(Ueno) by bullet train "Yamabiko 116" 4:00pm Arrive Ueno station. Then transfer to your hotel by private coach. (Holiday Inn Tokyo) Jun 05(Sun) Free until departure 1:00pm Leave hotel for Narita Int'l airport by private coach. 3:40pm Leave Tokyo for San Francisco by UA 820. Dinner and snack will served on board. 8:55am Arrive San Francisco. * On Jun 5th, pleas provide YEN 2,000 per person at Narita Int'l airport. (Narita New Tokyo Int'l airport facilities user fee) Your throughout guide will say good by for you at Passport

Control of Narita.

In case of emergency: Ambulance and Fire Call 109 Police Call 110 If you lost your way NSF TOKYO 224-5505 Nippon Express Tokyo 542-2801 Mr.Nakamura is person in charge of your group.

OBSERVATIONS AT SITES VISITED

1. Kajima Corporation Technical Research Institute, Tokyo

The visit to Kajima included a tour of the base-isolated acoustic laboratory building and the large earthquake testing facilities which include bearing test machines, a large shaking table and a large reaction wall. The Kajima isolation system consists of (unfilled) steel laminated elastomeric bearings with steel bars to provide damping. The bearings are designed to be more flexible in the vertical direction than other systems used in Japan. The vertical isolation frequency for the Kajima system is 5 Hz. This low frequency is intended to provide isolation from earthquakes as well as microtremors and ambient ground vibrations. The effectiveness of the system during both earthquakes and other ground vibrations has been demonstrated in the acoustic laboratory building, built in 1986 and consisting of a 2-story reinforced concrete building supported on 18 bearings. Damping is provided by 14 round steel bars. In addition, oil dampers are used to reduce vertical and rocking motions during earthquakes. To date, Kajima has designed three buildings on seismic isolation, the largest of which is ten stories high. Kajima is also marketing computer floor isolation systems which consist of rollers combined with rubber stoppers to limit excessive displacements. The rollers roll in dishes with prescribed curvatures. In the event of an earthquake the floor would slide within the confines of the dish periphery and return to its original position by the action of its own weight.

Kajima's large bearing testing machine, completed in 1988, is unique. It is a tri-axial machine capable of applying dynamic horizontal load along two perpendicular axes in real time while simultaneously maintaining a vertical load on the bearing. The same laboratory also houses a 4 m x 4 m shaking table with 5 controlled degrees of freedom and a maximum payload capacity of 20 tonnes. The maximum horizontal acceleration that can be applied at full payload is 1.2g and the frequency range is 0—30 Hz. A 12 m reaction wall equipped with 24 hydraulic actuators for pseudodynamic testing of large panel elements is housed in the same facility.

Kajima is also involved in the MITI/CRIEPI program (see Site 9 below) for liquid metal fast breeder reactors (LMFBR). They are currently testing high-damping rubber bearings in conjunction with Bridgestone. The results have been very encouraging. Kajima feels that when high-damping rubber bearings are used the need for additional

- 7 -

damping devices such as the steel bars that are currently used will be eliminated.

2. Bridgestone Corporation, Tokyo

Bridgestone is a major tire manufacturer as well as the leading elastomeric bearing manufacturer in Japan. Bridgestone's annual budget for base isolation research is \$800,000. Bearings of various sizes and load capacities are manufactured, ranging to a maximum load of 600 tonnes. Most sizes are standardized and are available as shelf items. They are made of low-damping (about 3%) natural rubber with a durometer hardness of 40. Because of the low inherent damping in the bearings additional damping devices are required in actual applications. The useful life of these bearings has been estimated by the Japan Building Center to be about 30 years. In Japanese specifications bearings are required to be replaced if their mechanical properties change by more than 20%.

The bearings are usually rigidly bolted to the foundation and the superstructure rather than dowelled — the currently preferred connection detail in the United States. Tests have shown that bolting increases the stability of the bearings at large horizontal displacements without causing excessive tensile stresses in the rubber. Special details, such as rounding of the steel shim edges, are specified to reduce stress concentrations. The bearings are designed to carry an average pressure of less than 1000 psi and to have a vertical-to-horizontal stiffness ratio of about 1600. Bridgestone also manufactures floor isolation systems that consist of stacks of miniature bearings with load capacities between 1 and 4 tonnes bolted to interleaving metal plates.

Recent developments in bearing design and newly developed high-damping elastomers were described. In 1988, Bridgestone began to market a steel-laminated elastomeric bearing with high damping. These bearings have damping values which exceed the damping of the bearings which were manufactured by LTV Oilstates of Texas for the Foothill Communities Law and Justice Center in California (the first base-isolated building in the United States). In general the bearings are manufactured to much higher standards than those in the United States. This results in the cost of the Bridgestone bearings being about ten times higher than for comparable U.S. bearings. The study group toured the Bridgestone testing facilities and witnessed a dynamic test of a small bearing (their large bearing test machine is at another site). Much research and development (R&D) effort is devoted to demonstrating the long life expectancy of their new bearings (60 years) using analytical and accelerated experimental testing techniques.

3. Oiles Industries Co., Ltd, Technical Research Laboratory and Sumitomo Construction Co., Fujisawa City

The Oiles technical building, the fourth isolated structure built in Japan, was constructed by Sumitomo and completed in February 1987. The 5-story office building is supported on 30 piles 15 m long. The isolation system consists of 35 lead-rubber bearings. Oiles has a license from New Zealand to manufacture this type of bearing in Japan. Oiles prefers to use dowel type connections. Oiles also markets computer floor isolation systems which consist of sliding bearings with steel springs to provide a restoring force. Sumitomo is currently constructing two buildings on seismic isolation, including a 10story reinforced concrete office structure. Its system is currently being marketed in the United States. Sumitomo is also involved in the development of passive control systems to enhance the damping in buildings using friction devices.

4. Ohsaki Research Institute, Tokyo

This institute is one of the Shimizu Construction Company's two research facilities. The study group visited its Ginza office in Tokyo where extensive research in earthquake engineering is performed. A video of the work conducted at the institute was shown. Several advanced soil-structure-interaction analysis programs have been developed at the institute and are being verified using experimental data. The institute is also involved in base isolation research. Its annual budget in this area is about \$1.6 million. Shimizu constructed the testing facility at Tohoku University in Sendai at a cost of \$1 million (see Site 14 below).

5. Ohbayashi-Gumi Corporation, Tokyo

The first isolated structure built by Ohbayashi-Gumi was a "high-tech" research and development center at the company's technical research site. The building is a 5story reinforced concrete building with a total floor area of 1620 m² and a height of 22 m supported on 14 elastomeric bearings. Damping is provided by 96 steel bars. Ohbayashi invests about \$1.3 million annually on base isolation research. To date Ohbayashi has designed and started construction on three other buildings, including an 8-story office building. Ohbayashi is currently testing high-damping rubber bearings and lead-filled rubber bearings. The study group toured their extensive testing facilities, and at the same site two large scale-model base-isolated test buildings were examined. The first is a concrete box-like structure, 6 m x 9 m in plan supported on four high-damping rubber bearings which are soft vertically (5 Hz vertical frequency). Tests are underway to demonstrate the vibration isolation capabilities of this system. These bearings were purchased from the United States through Base Isolation Consultants (BIC) of San Francisco. The other model consists of two identical structures, one conventionally founded on a fixed foundation and the other isolated. The superstructure consists of 4 steel columns supporting a 2-tonne concrete block. The isolation system consists of 4 rubber bearings and 4 steel dampers. Both structures are instrumented so that observations and comparisons of the performance of the two can be made during real earthquake motions.

6. Takenaka Komuten Ltd, Tokyo

1

The Funabashi Chikuyuryo Company dormitory in Chiba, built by Takenaka Komuten in 1986, is a 3-story reinforced concrete structure and is supported on 14 elastomeric bearings (\$11,000 each) and 8 viscous dampers (\$6000 each) The bearings are unique in that they were designed for 300% maximum shear strain and are bolted to the foundation and superstructure. The viscous dampers are very effective during microtremors. Takenaka is also developing an isolation system capable of supporting a 150tonne load with a horizontal frequency of 0.2 Hz. Company engineers presented Takenaka's program on commercial developments in base isolation.

7. Nuclear Power Engineering Test Center, Tadotsu

The shaking table of the Nuclear Power Engineering Test Center (NUPEC) at Tadotsu is the largest such facility in the world. It was completed in 1982 at a cost of 30 billion yen (approximately \$230 million), with a yearly operating budget of about 1 billion yen (approximately \$8 million).

The table is 15 m x 15 m x 3.5 m in size and has a payload capacity of 1000 tonnes. Maximum horizontal accelerations of 1.84g and maximum vertical accelerations of 0.92g can be applied. The performance characteristics of the table are as follows:

Weight of Table	420 tonnes
Maximum Payload	1000 tonnes
Maximum Stroke	± 20 cm horiz., ± 10 cm vert.
Maximum Velocity	± 75 cm/sec horiz., ± 37.5 cm/sec vert.
Maximum Acceleration (at 500 tonnes load)	2.72g horiz., 1.36g vert.
Degrees of Freedom	1 horizontal, 1 vertical
Actuators	7 horiz. each ± 450 tonnes,
	12 vert. each ± 300 tonnes
Frequency Range	0-30 Hz
Duration of Excitation	20 sec at maximum velocity
Hydraulic Power Supply	11—1080 lpm at 2100 N/cm ² (2980 psi)
Data Acquisition	300 channels
Foundation	45 m x 90 m x 21 m, 150,000 tonnes

The table has been used to test several large-scale nuclear components for light water reactors. Scale factors ranged from 1 to 3.7 with model weights from 290 to 750 tonnes. The Tadotsu table will be used in the early 1990s to perform large-scale tests on a seismically isolated Liquid Metal Fast Breeder Reactor (LMFBR) as part of the MITI/CRIEPI research program (see Site 9 below).

At the Tadotsu site, NUPEC is constructing a large scale soil-structure- interaction (SSI) test facility at a cost of \$25 million to \$50 million. Large-scale nuclear building models will be excited by large dynamic actuators. The purpose of these tests is to verify the seismic stability of nuclear power plants located on softer quaternary rocks and to verify methodologies for SSI analysis. The objective of this program is to increase the number of sites available in Japan where nuclear plants could be located. It is currently required that Japanese nuclear plants be founded directly on stiff bedrock.

8. Mitsubishi Heavy Industries, Takasago

The earthquake testing facility of Mitsubishi Heavy Industries (MHI) includes a large shaking table and a large bearing test machine. MHI's main interest in base isolation is in its applicability to nuclear plants, specifically LMFBRs. MHI recently completed tests sponsored jointly by CRIEPI and EPRI (Electric Power Research Institute, California, U.S.A.) to examine the behavior of 1/4-scale elastomeric bearings with lead

- 11 -

plugs. Significant shaking table tests performed at the facility included a 1/3-scale turbine model supported on a three-dimensional isolation system consisting of GERB type helical steel springs and viscous dampers. MHI is currently doing tests for the MITI/CRIEPI program.

9. Central Research Institute of the Electric Power Industry, Tokyo

The main purpose of visiting the Central Research Institute of the Electric Power Industry (CRIEPI) was to learn about its seven-year, \$50 million MITI-sponsored program for qualifying a seismic isolation system for the LMFBR. This program is now in its second year and will run through 1992. The decision of whether to use seismic isolation in the demonstration FBR (DFBR1) will be made in 1989. Hitachi and Kajima have been selected by CRIEPI to lead the seismic isolation program. Other reactor manufacturers and construction companies are also participating in the program. The main objectives of the program include the selection of the most suitable seismic isolation devices. performing large-scale tests on the selected isolators, identifying the effects of long-period motions on isolated power plants, and performing large-scale shaking table tests at Tadotsu (these are planned for the early 1990s). The program also includes the construction of a large-scale isolated nuclear building model and observation of its response during actual earthquakes. Construction of the building model is set for 1990. The program will result in design guidelines for the seismic isolation of nuclear buildings. It will also evaluate cost savings when base isolation is used, the licensing of seismic isolation, maintenance requirements and other technical uncertainties. The two main candidates for horizontal isolation devices are high-damping elastomeric bearings and elastomeric bearings with lead plugs. Methods for vertical isolation are also being investigated. As part of this program CRIEPI is collaborating with the Okumura Corporation in monitoring the response of a demonstration isolation building in Tsukuba Science City (see Site 11 below).

10. Taisei Corporation, Tokyo

Taisei Corporation is marketing a sliding type isolation system which consists of elastic sliding bearings (the sliding surface is bonded to an elastomeric bearing) and neoprene bearings to provide the restoring force. This is known as the TASS system. It is the only sliding system currently marketed for the seismic isolation of buildings in Japan. Sliding type isolation systems have been used more extensively for the isolation of computer floors and equipment. A building currently under construction was examined. The building weighs 2200 tonnes and is supported on 8 sliding bearings with 8 neoprene bearings to provide the restoring force. The friction coefficient of the sliding interface is 0.1.

Taisei has implemented an isolation system for equipment in a radar tower at Narita airport. The isolated structure is 5 m x 5 m in plan and weighs 10 tonnes. The isolation system consists of 8 roller bearings and 8 steel plates acting as horizontal restoring springs. The system is designed for a maximum horizontal displacement of 20 cm and maximum acceleration of 0.35g.

Taisei verified the characteristics of the TASS system using the company shaking table, a three-degree-of-freedom $4 \text{ m} \ge 4 \text{ m}$ table with a maximum load capacity of 20 tonnes.

11. Okumura Corporation, Tsukuba Science City

The Okumura isolated building visited is located in Tsukuba Science City. It is a 4-story reinforced concrete building supported on 25 unfilled rubber bearings. Damping is provided by 12 looped steel bar dampers. The bearings were manufactured by Showa-Densen-Denran. The steel bars were designed to remain elastic up to 30 mm horizontal displacement. To enhance damping at low strains (before yielding of the steel), unconfined lead plugs were added to the steel bar mechanism. CRIEPI has sponsored a program to test the building using roof-mounted dynamic shakers and snap-back free vibration tests. This building has experienced several earthquakes. The largest acceleration measured at the base was 0.2g resulting from an earthquake with a magnitude of 5.1 and an epicenter 5 km from the building. The accelerations in the building were reduced to less than 0.02g. In addition Okumura has built two other structures, a 3-story and a 4-story apartment house. Okumura is currently testing high-damping rubber bearings in their large bearing dynamic test machine. Okumura is also interested in using highdamping rubber bearings in lieu of the steel dampers. 12. Building Research Institute, Ministry of Construction, Tsukuba Science City

The Building Research Institute (BRI) is conducting research in seismic isolation and is involved in the approval process for base-isolated buildings. An overview of the status of the implementation of base isolation in Japan and the review process followed by the Ministry of Construction for issuing building permits for base-isolated structures was presented by institute personnel.

In addition to base isolation BRI is interested in the development of passive and active control systems for the seismic protection of building structures. Passive control systems include friction and viscous dampers which, when incorporated in a building, will significantly enhance damping during earthquakes. One system currently being tested jointly with Sumitomo-Kensetsu and which is co-sponsored by BRI and the Japan Association of Building Research Promotion is a viscous damped wall. Such a system has been incorporated in a test structure 8 m x 4 m in plan and 11 m in height. The walls are hollow steel tubes filled with a viscous fluid and a steel plate which is embedded in the fluid. The motion of the plate during earthquakes is resisted by the viscous fluid and provides damping of about 10 percent. The walls extend the full height of the structure and are 20 cm x 240 cm in the long direction of the test structure and 20 cm x 140 cm in the short direction. The structure and surrounding area is instrumented to measure the response during earthquakes. Five earthquake motions have been recorded since completion of the structure in 1987. The strongest occurred in December 1987 with a peak horizontal acceleration of 0.086g at the foundation level and 0.22g on the roof. The effective damping of the structure was estimated to be 11.3 percent. Numerical analysis showed that without the viscous dampers the roof accelerations would have been about 0.85g.

The BRI testing laboratory includes a massive 25 m high reaction wall. Pseudodynamic tests on a full-scale 5-story reinforced masonry building were recently performed to failure. The tests were part of the U.S.-Japan research program on masonry structures. 13. Public Works Research Institute, Ministry of Construction, Tsukuba Science City

The Public Works Research Institute (PWRI) carries out research in all areas related to public works undertaken by the Ministry of Construction. PWRI's interest in base isolation is in the applicability of the concept to bridge structures. Currently there are no base isolated bridges in Japan, but there are plans to construct as many as ten isolated bridges by the end of 1989.

PWRI has performed shaking table tests on bridges at its facilities. Lead-rubber bearings and high-damping rubber bearings have been tested. Bearing tests showed that the equivalent damping in the lead-rubber bearings ranged from 0.15 to 0.20 and the corresponding range for the high-damping rubber bearings was 0.10 to 0.16.

14. Tohoku University Experimental Building, Sendai

Tohoku University and Shimizu have constructed two identical full-sized 3-story reinforced concrete buildings on adjacent sites. One is a conventional building and the other is base-isolated; the dimensions of and construction techniques used for the two superstructures are identical. The original isolation system consisted of 6 laminated rubber bearings and 12 oil dampers. Static and dynamic loading tests were performed on the isolated building to verify its dynamic characteristics. A total of 18 earthquakes has been recorded in the buildings. The largest measured acceleration was 0.04g. In all cases the conventional building amplified the base motions so that the roof accelerations were several times greater than those at the base, while for the isolated building the accelerations were reduced and the building responded as a rigid structure with minimum acceleration amplification between the base and the roof. The original isolation system has since been replaced with high damping elastomeric bearings and the structure is monitored to observe its response to actual earthquake motions.


Preceding page blank - 17 -

IMPLEMENTATION OF BASE ISOLATION IN JAPAN

Active development of base isolation began in the United States in the mid 1970s. Experimental research was carried out at the Earthquake Simulator Laboratory of the Earthquake Engineering Research Center (EERC) of the University of California at Berkeley. Several types of isolation systems were studied using very large structural models representing low- and medium-rise steel frames or reinforced concrete buildings. This research at EERC led to further experimental work at other American institutes. Acceptance of this new seismic design approach has, however, been slow. The first baseisolated building in the United States, the Foothill Communities Law and Justice Center in San Bernardino County, was completed in December 1985, and another in Salt Lake City, Utah was completed in late 1988.

In contrast, base isolation research and development was slow to start in Japan, but since the first large base-isolated building was completed in 1986 the use of base isolation has increased very rapidly. There are now (late 1988) seventeen base-isolated buildings either completed or under construction and many others in the design phase or undergoing analysis.

In Japan the large construction companies have taken the leading role in developing base isolation technology. These companies have decided that base isolation is superior to conventional seismic design and can provide a competitive edge in the construction industry. They are therefore aggressively marketing the technology to potential clients. The first buildings on base isolation were, accordingly, demonstration projects with the construction companies building them for their own use. More recent projects have been for a variety of clients and have included office buildings, manufacturing facilities, and apartment blocks.

The most common form of base isolation used in Japan is steel-laminated natural rubber bearings with additional devices to enhance the damping in the system. The rubber compounds used have very low intrinsic damping and the additional elements, such as steel rods, viscous dampers, hydraulic shock absorbers, and lead plugs, among others, have been used to produce the necessary damping in the system. In a way these designs mirror the research history in the United States where in extensive shaking table testing many energy-absorbing mechanisms were tried in parallel with the rubber bearings. As the experimental research progressed over a period of ten years, the various additional dampers were shown to be unnecessary, inconvenient and sometimes deleterious and the damping was incorporated in the elastomer itself through appropriate compounding. The high-damping natural rubber isolation system used in the San Bernardino building evolved from this research program. Recently Bridgestone Corporation developed a natural rubber with high damping and several of the construction companies in Japan are evaluating this approach. These isolators have been installed in one demonstration building as described below.

DEMONSTRATION BUILDINGS ON BASE ISOLATION SYSTEMS

There is a long history of innovative earthquake-resistant design in Japan. Many ingenious devices and structural systems have been proposed and some implemented. A review of these unconventional approaches has been given by Izumi [1]. None of these has achieved enough acceptance by the structural engineering profession in Japan to achieve widespread use.

The acceptance of base isolation as an earthquake-resistant design strategy has also been very slow in Japan although proposals for its use were made in the late seventies. A construction company called Unitika advertised a natural rubber isolation system called the Yurine bearing in 1978 but no use was made of the technique.

The first isolated building in Japan was built in 1982. It is a small two-story house built on six natural rubber bearings produced by Bridgestone Corporation. The building was constructed by Tokyo Kenchiku Structural Engineers and research on its seismic response has been carried out by faculty at Fukuoka University. Details of the structure, the isolation system, and a bearing under test are shown in Figures 1 through 4. It is approximately 10 m by 5 m in plan and was constructed in a conventional way so as to allow its use as housing. It is located in Yachiyo City in Chiba Prefecture, an area that experiences relatively frequent earthquakes. In fact within three years seventeen earthquakes were recorded on the system of strong motion accelerographs installed in the building.

The rubber bearings used in this building are 30 cm in diameter and 8.2 cm high. The elastomer is a relatively unfilled natural rubber providing a natural period of approximately 1.8 seconds and damping of approximately 3%. A variety of different types of additional damping components was investigated in the building including an elasto-plastic type using a cantilevered steel rod, a sand type using friction between a steel rod and sand, a frictional type and a damper using precast concrete plates that rub against the side of the building. The damper with the most satisfactory performance in forced-vibration and free-vibration tests was the elasto-plastic damper; this provided an equivalent damping of around 20% for the system.

Observations of the earthquake response of the building over a three-year period after completion and installation of the seismometers reported by Tada *et al.* [2] have



Figure 1 Base-Isolated Dwelling in Yachiyo City



Figure 2 Deformation of Isolation Bearing in Pull-Back Test of Building





Figure 3 Cross Sections of Building

Figure 4 Building Plan and Bearing Layout

been highly favorable although the ground motions experienced have in most cases been quite small. The roof accelerations have always been less than the ground accelerations, sometimes dramatically so, and as expected the acceleration reduction ratio increases with increasing ground motion. The highest recorded input during the initial monitoring period occurred in late 1985 when a peak ground motion of 10.2%g produced a peak roof acceleration of 2.4%g. A summary of the recorded data is shown in Figure 5. The observation program is continuing and in December 1987 an earthquake with a ground motion of 13.1%g was recorded. The maximum roof acceleration in this case was only 3.5%g, demonstrating the remarkable attenuation of the isolation system.

Almost certainly as a result of the entirely favorable response of the building in Yachiyo City a number of much larger buildings using base isolation were constructed in Japan with many being completed in late 1986 and 1987. In almost every case these buildings were designed and built by construction companies as demonstration buildings and are used by the companies as offices and laboratories. These buildings were built to test the feasibility of the isolation systems, to observe their responses to earthquakes and to demonstrate the technology to potential clients.

The first of these larger buildings to be completed was the Okumura Construction Co. Technical Center in Tsukuba City, Ibaraki Prefecture. The building is a four-story reinforced concrete building 20 m in length and 15 m wide by 14 m high. The isolation system is comprised of natural rubber bearings, provided by Showa-Densen-Denran, and a number of steel elasto-plastic coil type dampers similar to those used in the Yachiyo City house. Photographs of the building bearings and the dampers are shown in Figures 6 through 10. The bearings are 500 mm in diameter by 140 mm high and 25 are used in the building. Twelve of the steel dampers are included in the system.

The building is used as an office building for the Okumura Corporation Research Institute. It has a total floor area of 1330 m^2 and a total weight of 2250 tonnes. A test program on the building has been carried out jointly by the Okumura Corporation and the Central Research Institute of the Electric Power Industry (CRIEPI). Static tests on the elements and the building and forced- and free-vibration tests on the building were carried out. The building was extensively instrumented with seismometers and its response to earthquakes has been observed as part of this experimental program. The results of the various studies have been reported by Abe et al. [3] and Aoyagi et al. [4].



Figure 5 Peak Acceleration Distribution at the Yachiyo City Building



Figure 6 Okumura Company Demonstration Building in Tsukuba Science City



Figure 7 Isolators in Okumura Building



Figure 8 Coil Type Elasto-Plastic Steel Dampers



Figure 9 Section through Building



Figure 10 Plan of Base-Isolated Building Showing Layout of Isolators and Dampers

At small strains the bearings and dampers provide a period of about 1.1 seconds with a damping ratio of 2.5%. The dampers are elastic up to a displacement of about 3 cm and provide no damping for displacements below this level. The predicted maximum damping which can be provided by the dampers under large excursions is about 18% at which stage the period lengthens to about 1.8 seconds. The building has responded well in earthquakes. Twenty-six events were recorded in 1987, the maximum peak ground acceleration measured was 20%g while the measured peak amplification of the roof was 2.0%g, a remarkable attenuation of response.

The fact that the dampers do not yield until 3 cm displacement results in the building being very responsive to small low-frequency input producing an amplification of the input at these frequencies. This is to be expected since for small input the system is almost undamped. To compensate for this effect an additional damper in the form of a shear lead plug has been added to increase the damping for low-level inputs. As of this writing no information on the response with these additional elements in place has been reported.

The next major base-isolated structure to be completed was constructed by the Ohbayashi Corporation as a research laboratory at the company's research institute. It is again both a demonstration building and a test structure for the Ohbayashi Corporation's base isolation system.

The building is a five-story reinforced concrete structure 1624 m^2 in total area. It is 22 m long, 15 m wide and 22 m high. The isolation system uses 14 natural rubber isolators 756 mm diameter and 406 mm thick. The isolators are in two rows along the long sides of the building and are designed to provide a horizontal period of about 3 seconds and a vertical frequency of 15 Hz. Damping is provided by 96 vertical steel rods between the foundation and the superstructure, each rod being 32 mm in diameter. They are arranged in groups of eight between the isolators. The building and the isolation elements are shown in Figures 11 through 14.

The building is extensively instrumented with strong motion accelerographs and has experienced some earthquakes (Takeda *et al.* [5]). In one event a peak ground acceleration of 4.4%g was recorded with 0.71%g being recorded at the roof of the building. This is to be compared with the accelerations recorded in an adjacent administration building, an entirely conventional four-story reinforced concrete structure. In this building, the



Figure 11 Ohbayashi-Gumi Ltd. Demonstration Base-Isolated Building (High Tech Research and Development Center)



Figure 12 Isolators and Cantilever Type Elasto-Plastic Steel Dampers



Figure 13 Plan of Building—Layout of Isolators and Dampers



roof acceleration was recorded at 5.9%g.

The next building to be completed was the Oiles Company Technical Center in Fujisawa City, Kanagawa Prefecture. This is currently the largest base-isolated building in Japan and is used as a laboratory facility at the research institute of the Oiles Industry Company. Oiles Industry is a manufacturer of self-lubricating bearings, roller and sliding bearings for bridges. Oiles has an agreement with the Development Finance Corporation of New Zealand to manufacture lead-filled base isolation bearings in Japan. The company commissioned the design and construction of the demonstration building at their plant in Fujisawa City. The building was designed and built by the Sumitomo Construction Company.

The Oiles Technical Center (Figure 15) is a five-story reinforced concrete frame building 36 m by 30 m in plan (Figure 16), with column grids at 6.0 m and 9.0 m centers. A vertical section of the building is shown in Figure 17. As a technical center, the building houses the Departments of Research, Technical Development, Quality Assurance, Technical Information, and Mechanical Development. The Oiles Patent Office and Computer Center are also located in the building.

Construction began in April 1986 and was completed in February 1987. It has a floor area of 4800 m² and a total weight of 7500 tonnes above the isolators. Thirty-five lead-filled elastomeric isolators support the structure with diameters ranging from 650 mm to 800 mm. The lead plugs vary from 130 mm to 160 mm in diameter. All bearings are 363 mm high.

The building was designed with a base shear coefficient of 0.2, the same as that required for a conventional building. No economy was therefore possible in the design and the rationale for construction was improved seismic safety, damage-free performance in major earthquakes and its use as a demonstration building. For this last purpose the building serves three useful functions. First, it is used to show building owners, architects, and structural and building service engineers, typical details and configurations necessary to construct and maintain a base-isolated building. Second, it is used as a full-scale isolation test laboratory. Both forced- and free-vibration tests have been conducted on the building and these are repeated from time to time to check the durability and reliability of the isolation system. Useful data on the recovery of hysteretic damping after free-vibration testing have also been obtained. Third, the building is instrumented



Figure 15 Oiles Industries Ltd. Demonstration Building in Fujisawa City



Figure 16 Plan of Building Showing Location of Isolators



Figure 17 Section through Building

with twenty-seven strong motion accelerographs, eight displacement transducers, and nine stress sensors in the piles. Records of structural response have been obtained for several earthquakes [6]. While most of these have been low-level events for which the hysteretic dampers have barely yielded, measurable attenuation in floor accelerations has been demonstrated and the design assumptions have been confirmed. Figure 18 illustrates acceleration records from the building during the east Tokyo earthquake of March 18, 1988. The measured peak ground acceleration was 6.2%g and at the roof 4.3%g. The relative displacement at the isolation system for this input was just under l cm.

A laboratory building at the research institute of the Kajima Corporation was completed at about the same time as the Oiles Technical Center. This structure, the Environmental Engineering Laboratory located near Tokyo, is a functional building that doubles as a full-scale experimental model for advanced construction technology. The facility consists of two adjacent buildings, an acoustic/environmental vibration laboratory and a thermal control/air flow/equipment laboratory. The two buildings are connected by a corridor and an atrium roof (Figure 19). The thermal control laboratory was constructed using conventional precast concrete technology and is supported on a standard concrete foundation. The acoustic laboratory is of similar construction but is supported on a base isolation system consisting of eighteen laminated rubber bearings, fourteen hysteretic dampers, and an unknown number of oil buffers (energy-absorbing devices) as shown in Figure 20. The foundations and the base floors of the two buildings therefore differ fundamentally. Figure 21 shows the locations of the various supports and isolation devices used for the acoustic laboratory and Figure 22 shows a section through the building.

The structure represents a full-scale model of an operational laboratory designed for earthquake motion isolation. The building is supported on a foundation that provides a complete mechanism for isolation against earthquake motions and other ambient vibrations. Inside the isolated structure, acoustic and vibration experiments can be performed with a high degree of accuracy.

Soft vertical rubber springs are utilized to isolate the building and filter out microtremors caused by external ground-transmitted vibrations. Fail-safe blocks which limit ultimate system displacements are used to provide secondary protection against primary system failure. The horizontal frequency of the building is 0.5 Hz and the vertical



Figure 18(a) Location of Strong-Motion Accelerographs and Maximum Recorded Accelerations during East Tokyo Earthquake, March 18, 1988 - 34 -



Observed Acceleration of OILES TC Building (X-Direction) 1988.3.18

Figure 18(b) Observed Time Histories during East Tokyo Earthquake, March 18, 1988



Figure 19 Kajima Corporation Demonstration Building (Environmental Engineering Laboratory)



Figure 20 Isolators, Dampers, and Oil Buffers as Installed in the Kajima Building



Figure 21 Plan of Kajima Building Showing Layout of Isolation System Components



Figure 22 Cross-Section of Kajima Laboratory

frequency at the design weight is 5 Hz. This low vertical frequency is achieved by thick layers of soft natural rubber. The bearing shown in Figure 23 has a shape factor of 5.2 and consists of five rubber layers each 48 mm thick and four steel insert plates each 5 mm thick. The bearing has a design load of 3.1 MPa and a design shear displacement of 20 cm.

The thick-layered laminated bearings do not provide sufficient energy absorption and dampers are incorporated to increase overall system damping. The hysteretic damper and concrete deformation retainer are shown in Figure 24. The steel rod at the center dissipates horizontal vibration energy through inelastic flexural deformation. The deformation retainer protects the steel rod from damage at the fixed end and improves the fatigue strength by a factor of 4. According to a Kajima spokesperson the shape of the deformation restrainer was determined through trial and error.

Oil buffers are incorporated to reduce vertical vibrations and rocking motions during an earthquake. Figure 25 illustrates an oil buffer damping device. Analytical methods have been used to demonstrate that vertical response can be reduced by over 50% with these buffers. The reaction force of the oil buffer is proportional to its velocity.

Two ancillary components are included in addition to the primary isolation components. Fail-safe blocks are used adjacent to the rubber bearings to provide secondary protection against failure of the primary system. The block shown in Figure 26 is provided with a mechanism to adjust clearance between the device and the foundation. Slide bearings (Figure 27) are used to support the passageway and atrium roof joining the two laboratories.

Analysis, testing, and recorded earthquake data [7] have demonstrated that the isolation system can reduce peak building accelerations by a factor of 4 to 5. Measurements of micro-tremors have demonstrated 20 db reductions for frequencies greater than 10 Hz. The elastic stiffness of the hysteretic damper acts as a wind brace for the building. The system is designed to limit wind response to less than 1 gal for winds with a one-year return period. The validity of the analytical model predicting wind response has been demonstrated by measurements. Motions of 27 mm and accelerations of 0.41 gal have been recorded with 16 m/sec winds.

The Takenaka Construction Company began a study of base isolation systems in 1982 and in 1984 constructed a full-scale test model using a 550 ton coal silo (Figures 28



165tf RUBBER BEARING



Figure 23 Rubber Bearing in Kajima Building













Figure 25 Oil Buffer for Kajima Building



Figure 26 Fail-Safe Concrete Blocks in Kajima Isolation System



Figure 27 Sliding Bearings for Passageway between Isolated and Fixed Laboratories

through 30) mounted on natural rubber bearings provided by the Bridgestone Corporation. The damping in the isolators was very low, equivalent to about 2.4%, for an isolated period of 2.0 sec, and to provide adequate damping viscous fluid dampers (Figure 31) provided by Oiles Industries were included in the system. Free-vibration tests were carried out and the observed damping was about 12% [8]. The performance of the system in the tests was so satisfactory that the company designed and built its own demonstration building using this system. Known as the Funabashi Dormitory (located near the Nishi-Funabashi station about an hour's train ride from Tokyo), the building was designed and built as a research facility. The Takenaka technical research laboratory has instrumented the building and is presently collecting performance data.

The building (Figure 32) is a three-story structure housing quarters for single male employees of the Takenaka Corporation. The structural framework and the lateral load-resisting system consist of shear walls and reinforced concrete frames. The architectural design (Figure 33) for the facility includes an open atrium area on the second floor between two wings of residential rooms. This required that the second and third floors overhang the first.

If conventionally founded, the resulting structural system could lead to poor seismic performance. Base isolation removed this design obstacle. In addition, base isolation allowed a relatively large open area on the first floor to be unencumbered by shear walls that would typically have been required to transmit seismic forces to the foundation.

The foundation and the first floor dimensions are 9 m by 37.2 m, while the second and third floor dimensions including the overhangs are 16.2 m by 44.2 m. The total height of the building above the basement is about 11 m. The basement within which the base isolation system is situated has a clear height of about 1.5 m, not enough clearance to stand comfortably but enough to enable inspection of the base isolation system.

Poor soil conditions at the site required that the building be supported on piles placed to a depth of about 23 m bearing on a subsoil layer of fine sand. A total of 14 piles, one located at each building column, support the entire weight of the structure. The pile caps are tied together by a grid of grade beams. The base isolation bearings and the energy-absorbing devices are located on top of the grade beams.

The base isolation system for the building consists of 14 steel reinforced natural rubber bearings (Figures 34 and 35) provided by the Bridgestone Corporation, one at



Figure 28 Takenaka Company Isolated Test Model (Coal Silo)







Figure 30 Plan of Test Model Showing Location of Isolators and Dampers



Figure 31 Rubber Bearings and Oil Dampers in Takenaka Test Model



Figure 32 Funabashi Dormitory: Takenaka Company Demonstration Building



Figure 33 Cross-Section of Funabashi Dormitory Showing Architectural Design



Figure 34 Isolators and Dampers in Funabashi Dormitory



Figure 35 Rubber Bearings in Funabashi Dormitory



Figure 36 Viscous Dampers Used in Funabashi Dormitory

each pile location, supplemented by eight dampers. Of the 14 bearings, eight carry a vertical load of 150 tonnes, and six carry a vertical load of 200 tonnes. The 150-tonne bearings are 686 mm in diameter and 186 mm thick, while the 200-tonne bearings are 766 mm in diameter and 166 mm thick. Thus, the vertical pressure on the bearings is 4.06 MPa and 4.34 MPa, respectively.

The viscous polymer based dampers are unique to this system. Each damper is about 150 cm² in plan with a total height of about 30 cm. A viscous polymer is contained within the lower part of the damper. The upper bearing plate of the damping mechanism (see Figure 36) is fixed to the superstructure and positioned on top of the viscous fluid. The drag of the viscous fluid on the bearing plate as it displaces horizontally during an earthquake produces a damping force proportional to the relative velocity. The building, the base isolation system, and its performance are described in reference [9].

The rubber bearings in this system serve predominantly to support the building's weight, reduce the fundamental frequency of the base-isolated structure and provide a lateral restoring force. The dampers serve to absorb seismic energy and control displacements. While the damping devices do not support vertical load, the viscous damper adds to the horizontal stiffness of the base isolation system. The design shear strain in the bearings is 300%.

A potentially very large market for base isolation systems is the seismic protection of museums. Priceless artifacts have been damaged during severe earthquakes in museums in Greece, Yugoslavia, and Italy and there are many museums located in highly seismic areas. The first museum to be built on a base isolation system is the Japanese Christian History Museum in Osio, Kanagawa Prefecture. The region is subject to frequent earthquakes and is designated by the government as requiring positive countermeasures against seismic attack. A base isolation system was adopted for this museum to protect the many exhibits concerning the history and development of Christianity in Japan.

The building, shown in Figure 37, is a reinforced concrete structure with two stories above ground and one at basement level. The building has a total floor area of 550 m², weighs 830 tonnes and is carried on 12 natural rubber isolators provided by the Bridgestone Corporation. They are 435 mm in diameter and 220 mm in height and provide a



Figure 37 Base-Isolated Christian History Museum in Osio



Figure 38 Isolation System for the Christian History Museum (Bearings, Coil Dampers, Lead Dampers)

natural period of 1.9 seconds. The system includes 12 steel dampers of the type used in the Yachiyodai House and the Okumura Corporation building. With these features, the period of the isolated structure is 1.3 seconds. Another type of damper is incorporated to provide damping at small displacements when the steel dampers are less effective. This damper consists of lead bars in the form of a J attached between the foundation and the superstructure. A view of the complete isolation system is shown in Figure 38 and details are given in reference [10].

The building was constructed by TCRI and Yunichika Corporation and was opened to the public in April 1988. The response of the building to earthquakes is being studied by researchers at Fukuoka University. As of this writing, no earthquake response has been reported.

In a joint research program, Tohoku University and Shimizu Construction Company constructed two full-sized test buildings side by side on the Sendai campus in northern Japan. Construction was completed in May 1986. The buildings are threestory reinforced concrete structures, one conventionally founded and the other base isolated. The dimensions and construction methods for the superstructure were otherwise identical. Each building has a rigid frame structure, and the outer walls consist of lightweight concrete panels. The plan dimensions of each building are 6 m by 10 m, the total combined area being 180 m². The site consists of an 18 m layer of loam with gravel with an average shear wave velocity of 310 m/sec overlying sandy tuff. The site frequency from micro-tremor observations is about 4 Hz.

A general view of the buildings is shown in Figure 39; the structure on the right is base isolated. Plan and elevation views are shown in Figure 40. The isolation system consists of 6 laminated unfilled elastomeric bearings and 12 oil dampers. Figure 41 shows the bearing dimensions and oil dampers. The oil dampers have an equivalent damping ratio of 15% for a wide range of shear strain including small strains. The viscous oil used is expected to have a life of at least ten years.

Several static tests have been performed on the isolated building to confirm the bearing stiffness and damping properties. A static horizontal load was applied at the base of the isolated building using jacks to displace the building by ± 170 mm. Forced-vibration tests were performed to confirm the dynamic properties of the buildings. Vibration exciters were placed on the center of the roof slab and the natural frequencies


Figure 39 Shimuzu Company Test Buildings at Tohuku University



Plan



Elevation

Figure 40 Layout of Test Buildings Showing Isolators and Dampers



Oil Damper Type B

Figure 41 Dimensions of Elastomeric Bearings and Oil Dampers



Figure 42 Recorded Maximum Accelerations during Earthquake of February 6, 1987

and damping ratios were obtained experimentally. The natural frequencies of the conventional building were 3.6 Hz in the x-direction and 4.4 Hz in the y-direction. The frequencies of the isolated building with the oil dampers were 0.72 and 0.73 Hz, respectively. The damping ratios of the isolated building were 16% in the x-direction and 15% in the y-direction. The damping of the bearings alone is less than 2%.

The buildings have been instrumented with 11 strong motion accelerographs installed in the base rock at elevation -27 m, near the surface in the free field, on the base slab, and on the roofs of the two buildings. Thirty earthquakes were recorded [11] between June 1, 1986 and July 20, 1987, most of which were low-level events. The largest acceleration recorded on the ground surface was 981 gals (.091g) and the corresponding peak accelerations at the roofs were 272 gals for the conventional building and 42 gals for the isolated structure. One of the strongest earthquakes recorded at the site was the earthquake of February 6, 1987 (magnitude 6.7, epicentral distance of 168 km). The values of maximum acceleration for this earthquake are shown in Figure 42 and the time histories of acceleration are shown in Figure 43.

The amplification functions in the x-direction for the thirty earthquakes are plotted in Figure 44(a). The mean value of amplification is 5.95 in the conventional building and 0.99 in the isolated structure. The amplification factors in the y-direction are shown in Figure 44(b). The mean amplification values are 3.08 and 0.89 in the conventional and isolated buildings, respectively. The results for these earthquakes demonstrate the effectiveness of the isolation system in reducing accelerations induced in the superstructure.

The isolation system described above has since been replaced by 6 high-damping rubber bearings manufactured by Bridgestone Corporation. The relationship between lateral stiffness and damping versus shear strain for these bearings is shown in Figures 45 and 46, respectively. The damping ratio at low strain levels is 19% and decreases to about 15% for higher strain. The buildings are being monitored for earthquakes to study the performance of these high-damping bearings.

A departure from the previous base-isolated demonstration buildings is that of the Taisei Corporation. This building was due to be completed in late 1988 on the grounds of the Taisei Technological Research Center in Yokohama. In contrast to other base isolation systems which use natural rubber bearings as primary isolation elements, the









- 56 -



Figure 44 Amplication Factors for Test Buildings







Figure 46 Relationship between Damping and Shear Strain

isolation system for this building uses a sliding system called TASS (Taisei Shake Suppression System).

The building shown in Figure 47 is a cast-in-place, four-story reinforced concrete structure with a plan area of 323 m^2 . The building is supported on eight TASS bearings which use a teflon/stainless steel sliding surface and carry the entire vertical load of the building. A separate rubber bearing that carries no vertical load is positioned near each sliding bearing (Figures 48 through 50) to act as a restoring force and to control sliding displacement.

The sliding bearing has six layers of rubber under the teflon surface permitting a certain amount of movement before the interface begins to slide. Each bearing is 85 cm in diameter as loaded to 7 MPa. The friction coefficient varies from 0.1 to 0.16 and the isolation period before sliding occurs is about 1.2 seconds.

The building above the isolators was designed for a base shear coefficient of 0.15. Analyses of the response of the structure to El Centro 1940 and to Hachinohe 1968 were performed assuming a constant friction factor of 0.10 and it was concluded that the system would reduce the peak acceleration in the building considerably with a predicted maximum displacement of around 25 cm. Shaking table testing of a model for demonstration was carried out and the results are presented in reference [12].



Figure 47 Taisei Corporation Demonstration Building on TASS System



Figure 48 Vertical Load Support and Sliding Components



Figure 49 Horizontal Elastomeric Spring Used in TASS System



Figure 50 TASS System in Demonstration Building

COMMERCIAL BUILDINGS USING BASE ISOLATION

There is at present no design code for base-isolated buildings in Japan. Each design is reviewed by two committees in a review process that takes three months. A sevenmember committee of the Japan Building Center acting as a consultant to the Ministry of Construction reviews the design and it is further reviewed by a committee of the Ministry of Construction before approval is granted. In the absence of a code the design criteria acceptable to the Ministry of Construction are as follows:

- (a) soft ground sites are to be avoided (liquefaction potential);
- (b) basin-shaped areas should be carefully studied for wave amplification and enhancement of long-period motions;
- (c) height is restricted to a maximum of twenty stories;
- (d) eccentricities should not be excessive;
- (e) base shear coefficient should be greater than 0.15 and superstructure should be designed for ductility;
- (f) the design philosophy is to allow for no damage for level 1 earthquakes (PGV = 25 cm/sec), minor damage for level 2 earthquakes (PGV = 50 cm/sec), and to activate fail-safe systems for level 3 earthquakes (PGV = 75 cm/sec);
- (g) time history analyses must be performed using El Centro 1940 NS, Taft 1952 EW, and Hachinohe 1968 NS and EW (long-period motion);
- (h) elastomeric bearings should be designed to carry an average pressure of less than 10 MPa; the allowable average shear strain (extreme lateral displacement divided by total rubber thickness) is a function of average pressure and is generally less than 200%;
- (i) aging and fire-proofing of isolators should be checked; and
- (j) provisions should be made for replacing the isolation mechanism if necessary.

Several construction companies have gained approval for commercial buildings that are now under construction and others are awaiting approval. A partial list of these buildings is given in Table 1. Such details as are available on the isolation systems and the design of the buildings follow.

TABLE 1

BASE-ISOLATED BUILDINGS IN JAPAN, JUNE 1988 (PARTIAL LIST)

(a) Building and Construction Company

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1. Yachiyodai ResidenceTCH2. Okumura Const. Tech. CtrTCH3. Ohbayashi Res. Inst.Ohb4. Oiles Const. Tech. CtrSum5. Kajima Const. Res. Inst.Kaji6. Nakano ApartmentTCH7. Shibuya Shimizu BuildingOhb8. Tohoku Univ. Obs. StnShim9. Elizabeth Sanders Mus.TCH10. Takenaka DormitoryTak11. Tsukuba Mukizai Res. Inst.Ohb12. Shimizu Const. Tsuchiura Off.Shim13. Bridgestone BuildingShim14. Kumagaya DormitoryKum15. Minami-Koshigaya Apt.Sum16. Asano BuildingSum17. Kogawa ApartmentKum18. Tokyu Const. Sugamihara Off.Tok19. Jingu ApartmentHaz20. Taisei Office BuildingTais	I*DwellingI & OkumuraOfficeayashiResearch CtritomoResearch CtrmaLaboratoryI & OkumuraApartmentayashiOfficenizuObserv. StnI & YunichikaMuseumenakaDormitoryayashiLaboratorynizuOfficeayashiDormitoryayashiLaboratorynizuOfficeayashiLaboratorynizuOfficenizuOfficenizuOfficenizuOfficeagayaApartmentuOfficenagayaApartmentyuOfficeagayaApartmentyuOfficeagayaApartmentofficeOffice

*TCRI: Tokyo Construction Research Institute.

(b) Construction Details

BUILDING	STORIES	DATE	ISOLATION SYSTEM
 Yachiyodai Residence Okumura Const.Tech.Ctr Ohbayashi Res.Inst. Oiles Const.Tech.Ctr Kajima Const.Res.Inst. Nakano Apartment Shibuya Shimizu Building Tohoku Univ.Obs.Stn Elizabeth Sanders Mus. Takenaka Dormitory Tsukuba Mukizai Res.Inst. Shimizu Const. Tsuchiura Off. Bridgestone Building Kumagaya Dormitory Minami-Koshigaya Apt. Asano Building Kogawa Apartment Tokyu Const. Sugamihara Off. Jingu Apartment Taisei Office Building 	$\begin{array}{c}2\\4\\5+(1)\\5\\2\\4\\5+(1)\\3\\2+(1)\\3\\1\\4\\8\\3\\10\\7\\3\\2\\3\\4\end{array}$	1983 1986 1986 1986 1986 1986 1986 1986 1987 1987 1987 1988 UC UC UC UC UC UC UC UC UC UC UC UC UC	RB & Friction Dampers RB & Looped Steel Dampers RB & Steel Bar Dampers Lead/Rubber RB & Steel Cantilever Dampers RB & Looped Steel Dampers RB & Coll Dampers/HDRB RB & Coll Dampers/HDRB RB & Looped Steel Dampers RB & Viscous Dampers RB & Steel Bar Dampers Lead/Rubber RB & Steel Bar Dampers Lead/Rubber Lead/Rubber Lead/Rubber Lead/Rubber Not chosen Not chosen Not chosen TASS Sliding System

- (1): Indicates underground floors
- UC: Under construction
- UA: Undergoing approval process
- RB: Rubber bearing
- HDRB: High Damping Rubber Bearing

Ohbayashi-Gumi Co. has completed an office building in Shibuya for Shimizu Co. This is a five-story (Figure 51) reinforced concrete building of 560 m² on twenty Bridgestone natural rubber isolators with 108 round steel bar dampers. It was granted Ministry of Construction approval in 1987 and was completed in 1988. Details of the building and the isolation system are shown in Figure 52.

Ohbayashi-Gumi has also recently completed an electron microscope laboratory at the Tsukuba Mukizai Research Institute. This building is only one story (Figure 53) and is 834 m² in area. It uses 32 Bridgestone bearings and 48 round steel bars as dampers. The need for isolation in this building is not dictated by seismic design requirements for the building but by the need to provide a high degree of seismic protection to the extremely sensitive instrumentation that it houses. The system provides a building which is both vibration isolated and seismically isolated. The design of the building was approved in 1987 and construction completed in 1988. In late 1987 Ohbayashi-Gumi was granted approval for an eight-story office building of 461 m² which is now under construction.

The Okumura Construction Co. in collaboration with Tokyo Kenchiku Structural Engineering completed a four-story apartment of 225 m² in 1987. It is carried on 12 rubber bearings and has 7 of the coil-type elasto-plastic dampers developed by Okumura. The same collaboration has built a 102 m^2 research laboratory for the Fujita Corporation in Kanagawa. It is a three-story reinforced concrete building on 4 rubber bearings with the coil-type dampers.

The Okumura Construction Company was granted permission in 1987 to build a three-story apartment house of 192 m^2 on 10 rubber bearings with 7 coil-type dampers.

The Shimizu Construction Co. has built two base-isolated buildings in addition to its demonstration building. One is a four-story office building of 170 m^2 on 14 leadrubber bearings provided by Oiles Industries (see Figure 54). It is located in Ibaraki and is a branch office of the company. The second is an eight-story office building for the Bridgestone Corporation on 12 Bridgestone bearings. The dampers in this building are round steel cantilever bars.

In addition to the design and construction of the Oiles Technical Center, Sumitomo has recently completed the design of a ten-story apartment building and a seven-story office building both of which are to be isolated with lead-rubber bearings. The



Figure 51 Shimizu Corporation Shibuya Office Building Built by Ohbayashi-Gumi Ltd.

.



Figure 52 Plan and Section of Shimizu Corporation Base-Isolated Building in Shibuya



Figure 53 Electron Microscope Building by Ohbayashi-Gumi Ltd



Figure 54 Ibaraki Branch Office of Shimuzu Corporation

apartment building is known as the Itoh Mansion in Minami-Kosigaya near Tokyo (Figure 55). Its total weight of 660 tonnes will be supported on 14 lead-rubber bearings, each 900 mm in diameter with various lead plugs ranging from 160 to 222 mm in diameter. Eight uplift restrainers are used to resist overturning of the building in the transverse (narrow) direction (Figure 55). These devices are structural yokes which surround massive edge beams that run the length of the building. Each set of four uplift restrainers has a capacity of 500 tonnes. Two omnidirectional stoppers are used to control displacement (maximum limiting force is 400 tonnes). This building was approved in February 1988 and construction is underway.

Located in Nagoya City and to be known as the Asano Building, the seven-story office building is unusual in that it is to be isolated just below the second floor (Figure 56). The total weight to be supported above the isolators is 3800 tonnes. Ten leadrubber bearings of diameter 800 mm and 900 mm and two horizontal stoppers are to be installed on a mezzanine floor within the first story of the building. The motivation for isolating at this level is to eliminate the trenches around the building which are necessary if the isolators are located in the basement. Such a detail permits the building to extend to the lot line and optimizes the use of expensive commercial real estate.

A consequence of this configuration has been the need to quantify the fire resistance of elastomeric bearings. Sumitomo has conducted tests on various bearings using different fire protection materials wrapped around each. Performance has been observed in three-hour tests at 1000 degrees centigrade in accordance with the Japanese equivalent of the ASTM standard. Excellent resistance has been demonstrated and this work appears to confirm previous work done by the Malaysian Rubber Producers' Research Association of England on unprotected bearings. The design for the Asano Building has been submitted for approval and should be under construction before the end of 1988.

The Fujita Corporation has built a small three-story reinforced concrete building on isolation bearings provided by Oiles Industries Ltd. The bearings are 450 mm in diameter and are 350 mm high, there are four in the isolation system and each carries a load of 85 tonnes. The building was initially constructed as fixed at the base and remained so for six months after which the isolators were installed. Forced vibration tests and observations of its earthquake response were carried out for both isolated and non-isolated states. Small earthquakes that occurred when the building was both fixed and isolated









SECTION

WEST ELEVATION





Figure 56 Asano Building under Construction by Sumitomo Construction Company

and comparisons of the responses indicate that the amplification factor for ground acceleration was smaller for the isolated condition. The effectiveness of the system should of course increase as the earthquake intensity increases. The building on the four bearings is shown in Figure 57 and a close-up view of two of the bearings is shown in Figure 58.

Nikken Sekkei is designing a 6-story, 3.5 m by 22.5 m computer center using 28 low-damping natural rubber bearings 700 mm high and 600 mm in diameter. The period of the structure on rubber alone is about 3.0 seconds. The system will incorporate a set of friction dampers designed by Sumitomo Metal Industries, Ltd. The system will include 12 of the friction dampers. The total weight of the building is 7000 tonnes, and the floor area is 4500 m² and it is 24.8 m high. Approval is anticipated in May 1989 and construction will begin shortly after. An artist's rendering of the building is shown in Figure 59.

There are several other projects undergoing the approval process at this time, both offices and apartment buildings, the tallest of which is fourteen stories. No details of the isolators or superstructures are available. It is to be expected that the boom in the construction of base-isolated buildings in Japan will continue and will be very influential in stimulating acceptance of the strategy in other countries.



Figure 57 View of Fujita Corporation Base-Isolated Building



Figure 58 Isolators under Fujita Corporation Building



Figure 59 Rendering of Proposed Nikken Sekkei Base-Isolated Building

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Why has base isolation taken such a hold in Japan and why so rapidly? Research expenditures play a major role. Each of the large construction companies has invested about \$3 million per year on base isolation research and development which combined with the investment by the bearing manufacturers such as Bridgestone and Oiles Industries puts total investment in base isolation research and development at approximately \$25 million per year. This should be compared with a total of around \$14 million made available for all earthquake engineering research by the National Science Foundation in the United States.

All the companies market base isolation very aggressively using videotapes, highquality brochures, presentations on national television, technical papers in national and international conferences and numerous other formats. Most companies offer a multifaceted approach to isolation including approaches to seismic isolation, vibration isolation (against traffic-induced vibration), floor isolation systems for computer centers and energy-absorbing devices for interior walls.

The approval process is conducted at a higher level than in the United States where a building permit would be issued by the office of the city engineer, who may not be comfortable with a new technology. It is not reduced cost that has generated the boom. Base-isolated buildings in Japan are generally between 5% and 10% more expensive than conventional construction. The increased cost is justified in the increased safety of the buildings and the potential reduction in damage to the contents of the building due to moderate and severe earthquakes over the life of the building.

In the final analysis it is most probably the promise of increased safety and reduced damage that has led to the rapid acceptance of the new technology. Japan is a country where severe earthquakes are a certainty and the potential for catastrophic damage extremely high. Moderate earthquakes occur many times a year and are a constant public reminder of the danger. In contrast earthquakes in the United States, even in California, are less frequent and the public is not as alert to the danger. In addition there is a long-term bias toward low-cost, low-bid construction. The Japanese view appears to be that life-cycle costs rather than first costs are more important in deciding on an earthquake-resistant design strategy and it is this philosophy that has led to the rapid acceptance of base isolation in Japan.

REFERENCES

- 1. Masanori Izumi, The State of the Art Passive and Active Control of Building Vibrations in Japan (June 1988), Tohoku University, Sendai, Japan, June 1988.
- 2. H Tada, "The Research Study of Aseismic Isolation System by the Enforcement Construction: Parts 1-13," in: Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Architectural Institute of Japan, 1983-1988.
- 3. I Abe, S Otsuka, and O Harada, "A Study of the Dynamic Behavior of a Base Isolated Structure (Parts 1, 2)," in: Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Architectural Institute of Japan, 1987.
- 4. S Aoyagi and O Harada, "Experimental Study on Reliability of Base Isolated Buildings," in: Proceedings of the 7th Japan Earthquake Engineering Symposium, p. 1591-1596, 1986.
- 5. T Takeda, H Okada, T Tsunoda, T Nakamura, M Seki, H Uchida, and A Teramura, "Study on Earthquake Base Isolation System for Structures: Parts 1-12," in: Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Architectural Institute of Japan, Technical Research Institute, Ohbayashi Corporation, 1985-1988.
- 6. I Shimoda, S Nakano, Y Kitagawa, and M Miyazaki, Experimental Study on Base Isolated Building Using Lead Rubber Bearing Through Vibration Tests, Technical Report, Sumitomo Construction Company,
- 7. H Koshida, A Yasaka, M Iizuka, T Yano, N Fujimoto, and K Andow, "Vibration Tests of the Base Isolated Building," in: 7th Japanese Earthquake Engineering Symposium, p. 1633-1638, Tokyo, Japan, 1986.
- 8. Masahiko Higashino, Saturo Aizawa, and Yutaka Hayamizu, "An Experimental Study of a Base-Isolation System Using Laminated Rubber Bearings and Viscous Dampers," *Takenaka Technical Research Report*, p. 155-163, Takenaka Technical Research Laboratory, Japan, November 1987.
- 9. The Base Isolation System in Funabashi Chikuyuryo Dormitory and Earthquake Records, April 1987, Takenaka Technical Research Laboratory, Japan, May 1988.
- 10. H Tada, M Takayama, and Y Mae, Earthquake Shock Absorbers, Mitsubishi Metal Corporation, Tokyo, Japan, December 1987.
- 11. Hiroshi Yamahara and Masanori Izumi, Actual Proof Tests of the Base-Isolated Building Using Full-Sized Model, Shimuzu Construction, Tohoku University, Japan, August 1987.
- 12. I Nagashima, S Kawamura, K Kitazawa, and M Hisano, "Study on a Base Isolation System," in: Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, United States, June 22-24, 1987.

BIBLIOGRAPHY OF BASE ISOLATION PUBLICATIONS IN JAPAN

The published literature on base isolation has increased rapidly in recent years. The author apologizes in advance for any errors or omissions and requests that authors whose papers have been omitted send him a copy.

Aizawa, S., "A Study on Earthquake Isolation System Using Laminated Rubber Bearing, Experiments on Large Scale Specimen, Parts 1-3," in: Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of Architectural Institute of Japan, 1985.

Akiyama, H., Y. Yabe, F. Otake, and S. Mase, Proposal for First Story Energy Concentrated Steel Structure with Flexible-Stiff Mixed Frames, Document for Council on Tall Buildings in Urban Habitat, Japan, February 1988.

Aoyagi, S., T. Matsuda, O. Harada, and S. Ohtsuka, Vibration Tests and Earthquake Response Observations of Base Isolated Building, Japan.

"Multi Rubber Bearings - The Laminate Rubber that Absorbs Most all Vibrations and Displacements (Brochure)," Bridgestone, in: Some Analyses on Seismic Isolation Applied to Building Structures in Japan, p. 237-256, Japan Nuclear Energy Information Center, October 1987.

Bridgestone Rubber Bearing for Base Isolation (MRB) (Brochure), Bridgestone Co., May 25, 1988.

Central Research Institute of Electric Power Industry, Seismic Isolation Study -JFY86 Element Test Results, 7th CRIEPI/EPRI FBR Workshop, Tokyo, Japan, January 27-30, 1987.

Central Research Institute of Electric Power Industry, Seismic Isolation Study -Overview, 7th CRIEPI/EPRI FBR Workshop, Tokyo, Japan, January 27-30, 1987.

Earthquake Engineering Section, Abiko Laboratory, CRIEPI, and Nuclear Power Department, Tsukuba Research Institute, Observation of Earthquake Response at the Base Isolation Building of Tsukuba Research Institute of Okumura Corporation, Ibaraki-Ken Nanseibu Earthquake, June 30, 1987, Okumura Corporation, Japan, July 1987.

Fujita, T., S. Hattori, and J. Ishida, "An Earthquake Isolation Floor Using Pre-Tensed or Pre-Compressed Springs, Reports 1-7," *SEISAN-KENKYU*, University of Tokyo, Japan, 1980-1982.

Fujita, T., S. Fujita, and T. Yoshizawa, "Development of an Earthquake Isolation Device Using Rubber Bearing and Friction Damper," Bulletin of the Earthquake Resistant Structure Research Center, 16: 67-76, University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan, March 1983.

Fujita, T., K. Yogo, and T. Omi, "An Earthquake Isolation Device Using Linear Motion Mechanism, Reports 1-3," SEISAN-KENKYU, University of Tokyo, Japan, 1983.

Fujita, T., S. Fujita, and T. Yoshizawa, "An Aseismic Base Isolation Device Using Laminated Rubber Bearings for Heavy Mechanical Equipment, Reports 1-4," SEISAN-KENKYU, University of Tokyo, Japan, 1982-1983.

Fujita, T. and S. Kuramoto, "Excitation Tests of a Three-Dimensional Earthquake Isolation Device and Observation of its Response to Natural Excitations," *SEISAN-KENKYU*, 35(9): 39-41, University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan, September 1983. Fujita, T. and S. Hattori, "Observation of a Three-Dimensional Earthquake Isolation Device to Natural Earthquake Excitation (1st Report)," SEISAN-KENKYU, 36(9): 29-32, University of Tokyo, Japan, September 1984.

Fujita, T., "Earthquake Isolation Technology for Industrial Facilities - Research, Development, and Applications in Japan," Bulletin of the New Zealand National Society for Earthquake Engineering, 18: 224-249, Tokyo, Japan, September 1985.

Fujita, T., "Improvement of a Horizontally Two-Dimensional Earthquake Isolation Floor for a Partially Three-Dimensional Earthquake Isolation Floor," SEISAN-KENKYU, 37(1): 30-33, University of Tokyo, Japan, January 1985.

Fujita, T. and S. Hatori, "Responses of Three-Dimensional Earthquake Isolation Device to Natural Earthquakes," Bulletin of the Earthquake Resistant Structure Research Center, No. 19: 47-52, University of Tokyo, Japan, March 1986.

Fujita, T., H. Tsuda, and S. Suzuki, "Static Tests on Laminated Rubber Bearings for Earthquake Isolation of Heavy Equipment, Parts 1-3," SEISAN-KENKYU, University of Tokyo, Japan, 1986.

Hayashi, S., "Factors Affecting the Properties of Laminated Rubber Bearing of Seismic Base Isolation System," in: Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of Architectural Institute of Japan, 1985.

Higashino, M., S. Aizawa, and Y. Hayamizu, "An Experimental Study of a Base-Isolation System Using Laminated Rubber Bearings and Viscous Dampers," *Takenaka Technical Research Report*, p. 155-163, Takenaka Technical Research Laboratory, Japan, November 1987.

Iizuka, M., "Base Isolation System of Buildings for both Earthquake and Vibration, Parts 1-2," in: Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of Architectural Institute of Japan, 1985.

Izumi, M., Earthquake Observation at Base-Isolated Buildings in Japan - from Oct. 1985 till June 1987, Tohoku University, Sendai, Japan, August 1987.

Izumi, M., The State of the Art - Passive and Active Control of Building Vibrations in Japan (June 1988), Tohoku University, Sendai, Japan, June 1988.

"Japan's Bouncing Buildings the Solution to Earthquakes," The Japan Times, Tokyo, Japan, January 13, 1988.

Kajima Institute of Construction Technology, Environmental Engineering Laboratory, Kajima Corporation, Tokyo, Japan, 1986.

Kajima Institute of Construction Technology, Base Isolation System for Earthquake Protection and Vibration Isolation - Application to Acoustic Laboratory Building, Kajima Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.

Kamiya, Y., N. Machida, C. Ueda, Y. Katano, N. Mashiko, E. Yamanaka, K. Matsumoto, N. Ogino, and K. Sawada, "Study on Earthquake Base Isolation System, Parts 1-3," Kobe University, Japan, in: *Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of Architectural Institute of Japan*, 1987.

Katayama, T., K. Kawashima, and Y. Murakami, "Current Design Considerations for Reducing Seismic Lateral Force of Highway Bridges in Japan," in: New Zealand-Japan Workshop on Base Isolation of Highway Bridges, Wellington, New Zealand, November 1987.

Kawashima, K., K. Hasegawa, H. Yamauchi, and H. Kosaka, "Seismic Response of Base Isolated Highway Bridge by Shaking Table Tests," in: 4th Joint U.S.-Japan Workshop on Bridge Engineering Performance, Strengthening, and Innovation, San Diego, California, United States, May 11-12, 1988.

Kitagawa, Y. and M. Hirosawa, "Twentieth Joint Meeting, U.S.-Japan Panel on Wind and Seismic Effects," Building Research Institute, Ministry of Construction, Base Isolated Building Structure in Japan, Japan, May 17-20, 1988.

Koizumi, T., N. Tsujiuchi, and T. Fujita, "Seismic Qualification of Nuclear Control Board by Using Base Isolation Technique," in: *Transactions of the 9th International Conference on Structural Mechanics in Reactor Technology (SMiRT-9)*, vol. K2, p. 693-698, Lausanne, Switzerland, August 17-21, 1987.

Koshida, H., A. Yasaka, M. Iizuka, T. Yano, N. Fujimoto, and K. Andow, "Vibration Tests of the Base Isolated Building," in: 7th Japanese Earthquake Engineering Symposium, p. 1633-1638, Tokyo, Japan.

Maebayashi, K., A. Mita, T. Itoh, and H. Yamahara, "Design and Analysis Methods of Shock and Vibration Isolation for High-Tech Facilities," in: ASTM, PVP - Vol. 127, SEISMIC ENGINEERING: Recent Advances in Design, Analysis, Testing and Qualification Methods, p. 469-475, San Diego, CA, United States, June 28 - July 2, 1987.

Matsuda, T., S. Aoyagi, S. Shiomi, A. Sakurai, O. Harada, and M. Takeuchi, "Experimental Study on the Dynamic Behavior of the Base Isolated Building," in: *Transactions of the 9th International Conference on Structural Mechanics in Reactor Technology (SMiRT-9)*, vol. K2, p. 687-692, Lausanne, Switzerland, August 17-21, 1987.

Mitsubishi Heavy Industries, Ltd., MHI Activities on Seismic Design Study, Especially on Seismic Isolation Technology, Vibration and Noise Control Laboratory, Takasago R & D Center, Japan, May 1988.

Mitsubishi Heavy Industries, Ltd., List of Published Paper (Vibration and Noise), Vibration and Noise Control Laboratory, Takasago R & D Center, Japan, April 1988.

Miyazaki, M., Sumitomo Construction Company, Design and Analysis of a Base-Isolated Building Using Lead-Rubber Bearings, 1987.

Miyazaki, M., K. Nakano, Y. Kitagawa, and I. Shimoda, Design and its Performance Verification of a Base-Isolated Building Using Lead Rubber Bearings in Japan, Sumitomo Construction Company.

Nakamura, T., H. Okada, T. Takeda, and T. Suzuki, Torsional Response Characteristics of Building with Base Isolation System, Parts 1-2, Technical Research Institute, Ohbayashi Corporation, Tokyo, Japan, 1988.

Ohbayashi Base Isolation System and Base Isolated Buildings (Draft), Technical Research Institute, Ohbayashi Corporation, Tokyo, Japan, April 1988.

Okada, Y., "An Investigation of Seismic Base Isolation for Nuclear Power Plants, Parts 1-4," in: Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of Architectural Institute of Japan, Tokai, Japan, 1985.

Okada, H., T. Tsunoda, T. Nakamura, M. Seki, A. Teramura, H. Nohata, H. Uchida, T. Takeda, Y. Fujitani, Y. Kashiwabara, M. Kageyama, and A. Kawaguchi, "Study on Earthquake Base Isolation System for Structures, Parts 1-16," Technical Research Institute, Ohbayashi Corporation, in: *Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Architectural Institute of Japan*, 1984-1987.

Okumura Corporation, Research & Development (Brochure), Okumura Technical Research Institute, Japan, November 1987.

Okumura Corporation, Seismic Test Facilities (Brochure), Japan, 1987.

Okumura Corporation, Base Isolation (Brochure), Japan, 1988.

Sakurai, A., H. Shiojiri, S. Aoyagi, T. Matsuda, S. Fujimoto, Y. Sasaki, and H. Hirayama, "Seismic Isolation Structure for Pool-Type LMFBR - Isolation Building with Vertically Isolated Floor for NSSS," in: Transactions of the 9th International Conference on Structural Mechanics in Reactor Technology (SMiRT-9), vol. K2, p.

743-748, Lausanne, Switzerland, August 17-21, 1987.

Sakurai, A., S. Shiomi, S. Aoyagi, T. Matsuda, N. Tanaka, H. Isogai, K. Fujita, and T. Shimomura, "Seismic Isolation Design Analysis on Pool-Type LMFBR," in: Transactions of the 9th International Conference on Structural Mechanics in Reactor Technology (SMiRT-9), vol. K2, p. 767-772, Lausanne, Switzerland, August 17-21, 1987.

Schreffler, R., "Bridgestone Earthquake Bearing Pads Enter Market," Rubber Plastics News, 27, Tokyo, Japan, October 6, 1986.

Schreffler, R., "Bridgestone's Earthquake Pad Business Growing," Rubber Plastics News, p. 5, April 6, 1987.

Seki, W., Y. Fukahori, Y. Iseda, and T. Matsunaga, "A Large-Deformation Finite-Element Analysis for Multilayer Elastomeric Bearings," *Rubber Chemistry and Technology*, 60(5): 856-869, Tokyo, Japan, December 1987.

Seki, M., H. Uchida, H. Katsumata, and T. Takeda, "Simulation of Earthquake Response of Base Isolated Building by Computer-Actuator On-Line System," in: *Proceedings of the Pacific Conference on Earthquake Engineering*, p. 365-376, Wairakei, New Zealand, August 5-8, 1987.

Shimoda, I., S. Nakano, Y. Kitagawa, and M. Miyazaki, Experimental Study on Base Isolated Building Using Lead Rubber Bearing Through Vibration Tests, Sumitomo Construction Company.

Shiraki, K., T. Inagaki, J. Esaki, J. Fujimoto, M. Sasaki, M. Hori, and K. Ikebe, "Published Papers on Seismic Engineering," *Design and Erection Technology for Low-Tunned Foundations of Rotating Machinery*, Mitsubishi Heavy Industries, Ltd., Vibration and Noise Control Laboratory, Takasago R & D Center, Japan, June 1984.

Sonoda, Y., K. Sekine, M. Madokoro, H. Kasai, A. Sakurai, S. Shiomi, S. Aoyagi, and Y. Matsuda, "A Study on the Seismic Isolation of Fast Breeder Reactor Plant 3-D Seismic Isolation of Reactor Structure," in: Transactions of the 9th International Conference on Structural Mechanics in Reactor Technology (SMiRT-9), vol. K2, p. 673-678, Lausanne, Switzerland, August 17-21, 1987.

Sugimoto, H., "Proceedings of the Architectural Institute of Japan," Development of Base Isolation Devices and Earthquake Response Analysis, Parts 2-3,

Sumitomo Construction Company, Base-Isolation Building with Lead Rubber Bearing - Oiles Technical Center Building and its Vibration Tests, 1988.

Sumitomo Construction Company, Itoh Mansion - Minami-Kosigaya, 1988.

Asano Building; Mezzanine-Isolated Building in NAGOYA City, Sumitomo Construction Company.

Sumitomo Construction Company, Oiles Technical Center - Recorded Acceleration Data during the East of Tokyo Earthquake of March 18th, 1988,

Suzuki, H., T. Sugi, H. Kuwahara, and N. Kaizu, "Studies on Aseismic Isolation Device for Electric Substation," in: *Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference* on Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering, p. 347-357, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, United States, June 22-24, 1987.

Tada, H., "The Research Study of Aseismic Isolation System by the Enforcement Construction, Parts 1-13," in: Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of Architectural Institute of Japan, 1983-1988.

Takahashi, I., Y. Koyanagi, N. Fukuwa, and K. Yoshida, "Dynamic Characteristics of Base-Isolated Reactor Building Subjected to Various Types of Traveling Waves," in: Transactions of the 9th International Conference on Structural Mechanics in Reactor Technology (SMiRT-9), vol. K2, p. 661-666, Lausanne, Switzerland, August 17-21, 1987.

Takai, H., T. Uno, and K. Nagai, "Study on the Friction Damper as the Device of a Base Isolation System," in: Some Analyses on Seismic Isolation Applied to Building Structures in Japan, p. 217-218, Japan Nuclear Energy Information Center, October 1987.

Takayama, M., H. Tada, and A. Wada, "A Research of Base Isolation System by the Enforcement Construction," in: 5th Canadian Conference on Earthquake Engineering, p. 607-615, Ottawa, Canada, 1987.

Takayama, M., H. Tada, and A. Wada, "A Practical Research of Base Isolation System," in: *Proceedings of the Pacific Conference on Earthquake Engineering*, vol. 2, p. 285-296, Wairakei, New Zealand, August 5-8, 1987.

Takeda, T., H. Uchida, H. Okada, M. Seki, T. Nakamura, A. Teramura, Y. Kashiwabara, T. Yoshihara, T. Tsunoda, Y. Nawaoka, and S. Hirano, "Development of Base Isolation System for Earthquake Protection and Vibration by Loading Test of Laminated High-Damping Rubber, Parts 1-3," Technical Research Institute, Ohbayashi Corporation, in: Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Architectural Institute of Japan, 1987.

Takeda, T., T. Tsunoda, H. Okada, A. Teramura, M. Seki, T. Nakamura, A. Kawaguchi, M. Kageyama, H. Uchida, A. Nohata, Y. Fujitani, Y. Kashiwabara, and S. Watanabe, *Study on Seismic Base Isolation System for. Structures, Parts 1-3,* Technical Research Institute, Ohbayashi Corporation, Tokyo, Japan, 1985-1988.

Takenaka Technical Research Laboratory, The Full Scale Base Isolation System Model Built in Takenaka Technical Research Laboratory and Earthquake Records, 1984-1987, Takenaka Technical Research Laboratory, Japan, May 1988.

Teramoto, T. and H. Kitamura, "Some Recent Earthquake Engineering Research and Practice in Japan," in: Some Analyses on Seismic Isolation Applied to Building Structures in Japan, p. 7-17, Japan Nuclear Energy Information Center, October 1987.

The Base Isolation System in Funabashi Chikuyuryo Dormitory and Earthquake Records, April 1987, Takenaka Technical Research Laboratory, Japan, May 1988.

Tokuda, N., A. Kashiwazaki, and M. Akimoto, "Shaking Test of 3-Dimensional Isolator by Using Air Spring and Rubber Bearing," in: ASTM, PVP - Vol. 127, SEISMIC ENGINEERING: Recent Advances in Design, Analysis, Testing and Qualification Methods, p. 421-428, San Diego, CA, United States, June 28 - July 2, 1987.

Uchida, K., K. Emori, K. Mizukoshi, Y. Takenaka, J. Betbeder-Matibet, J. P. Noel-Leroux, and P. Ulrich, "Dynamic Behavior of Nuclear Power Plant Founded on Sliding Elastomer Bearing Pads, Parts 1-2," in: *Proceedings of the Annual Meeting* of Architectural Institute of Japan, 1985.

Uchida, K., K. Emori, K. Mizukoshi, Y. Takenaka, J. Betbeder-Matibet, J. P. Noel-Leroux, and P. Ulrich, "Seismic Response Analysis of Nuclear Power Plant Founded on Sliding Elastomer Bearing Pads," in: *Transactions of the 9th International Conference on Structural Mechanics in Reactor Technology (SMiRT-9)*, vol. K2, p. 667-672, Lausanne, Switzerland, August 17-21, 1987.

Yamahara, H. and M. Izumi, Actual Proof Tests of the Base-Isolated Building Using Full-Sized Model, Shimuzu Construction, Tohoku University, Japan, August 1987.

Yamahara, H., Present Situation of the Development of the Base-Isolated Building in Japan, Shimuzu Construction, Japan, September 1987.

Yasaka, A., M. Iizuka, Y. Fukushima, Y. Hirao, Y. Sonoda, M. Kurihara, K. Odaka, M. Kurihara, H. Kasai, M. Madokoro, T. Yashiro, T. Takabayashi, K. Mizukoshi,

T. Takenaka, and H. Koshida, "Feasibility Study on the Seismic Isolation of Pool-Type LMFBR, Parts 1-3," in: Transactions of the 9th International Conference on Structural Mechanics in Reactor Technology (SMiRT-9), vol. K2, p. 749-766, Lausanne, Switzerland, August 17-21, 1987.

Yasaka, A., K. Mizukoshi, M. Iizuka, Y. Takenaka, S. Maeda, and N. Fujimoto, Biaxial Hysteresis Model for Base Isolation Devices, Tokyo, Japan.

EARTHQUAKE ENGINEERING RESEARCH CENTER REPORT SERIES

EERC reports are available from the National Information Service for Earthquake Engineering(NISEE) and from the National Technical Information Service(NTIS). Numbers in parentheses are Accession Numbers assigned by the National Technical Information Service; these are followed by a price code. Contact NTIS, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield Virginia, 22161 for more information. Reports without Accession Numbers were not available from NTIS at the time of printing. For a current complete list of EERC reports (from EERC 67-1) and availability information, please contact University of California, EERC, NISEE, 1301 South 46th Street, Richmond, California 94804.

UCB/EERC-80/01	"Earthquake Response of Concrete Gravity Dams Including Hydrodynamic and Foundation Interaction Effects," by Chopra, A.K., Chakrabarti, P. and Gupta, S., January 1980, (AD-A087297)A10.
UCB/EERC-80/02	"Rocking Response of Rigid Blocks to Earthquakes," by Yim, C.S., Chopra, A.K. and Penzien, J., January 1980, (PB80 166 002)A04.
UCB/EERC-80/03	"Optimum Inelastic Design of Seismic-Resistant Reinforced Concrete Frame Structures," by Zagajeski, S.W. and Bertero, V.V., January 1980, (PB80 164 635)A06.
UCB/EERC-80/04	"Effects of Amount and Arrangement of Wall-Panel Reinforcement on Hysteretic Behavior of Reinforced Concrete Walls," by Iliya, R. and Bertero, V.V., February 1980, (PB81 122 525)A09.
UCB/EERC-80/05	"Shaking Table Research on Concrete Dam Models," by Niwa, A. and Clough, R.W., September 1980, (PB81 122 368)A06.
UCB/EERC-80/06	"The Design of Steel Energy-Absorbing Restrainers and their Incorporation into Nuclear Power Plants for Enhanced Safety (Vol 1a): Piping with Energy Absorbing Restrainers: Parameter Study on Small Systems," by Powell, G.H., Oughourlian, C. and Simons, J., June 1980.
UCB/EERC-80/07	'Inelastic Torsional Response of Structures Subjected to Earthquake Ground Motions,' by Yamazaki, Y., April 1980, (PB81 122 327)A08.
UCB/EERC-80/08	"Study of X-Braced Steel Frame Structures under Earthquake Simulation," by Ghanaat, Y., April 1980, (PB81 122 335)A11.
UCB/EERC-80/09	'Hybrid Modelling of Soil-Structure Interaction," by Gupta, S., Lin, T.W. and Penzien, J., May 1980, (PB81 122 319)A07.
UCB/EERC-80/10	"General Applicability of a Nonlinear Model of a One Story Steel Frame," by Sveinsson, B.I. and McNiven, H.D., May 1980, (PB81 124 877)A06.
UCB/EERC-80/11	"A Green-Function Method for Wave Interaction with a Submerged Body," by Kioka, W., April 1980, (PB81 122 269)A07.
UCB/EERC-80/12	"Hydrodynamic Pressure and Added Mass for Axisymmetric Bodies.," by Nilrat, F., May 1980, (PB81 122 343)A08.
UCB/EERC-80/13	"Treatment of Non-Linear Drag Forces Acting on Offshore Platforms," by Dao, B.V. and Penzien, J., May 1980, (PB81 153 413)A07.
UCB/EERC-80/14	"2D Plane/Axisymmetric Solid Element (Type 3-Elastic or Elastic-Perfectly Plastic) for the ANSR-II Program," by Mondkar, D.P. and Powell, G.H., July 1980, (PB81 122 350)A03.
UCB/EERC-80/15	"A Response Spectrum Method for Random Vibrations," by Der Kiureghian, A., June 1981, (PB81 122 301)A03.
UCB/EERC-80/16	"Cyclic Inelastic Buckling of Tubular Steel Braces," by Zayas, V.A., Popov, E.P. and Mahin, S.A., June 1981, (PB81 124 885)A10.
UCB/EERC-80/17	[•] Dynamic Response of Simple Arch Dams Including Hydrodynamic Interaction, [•] by Porter, C.S. and Chopra, A.K., July 1981, (PB81 124 000)A13.
UCB/EERC-80/18	*Experimental Testing of a Friction Damped Aseismic Base Isolation System with Fail-Safe Characteristics," by Kelly, J.M., Beucke, K.E. and Skinner, M.S., July 1980, (PB81 148 595)A04.
UCB/EERC-80/19	"The Design of Steel Energy-Absorbing Restrainers and their Incorporation into Nuclear Power Plants for Enhanced Safety (Vol.1B): Stochastic Seismic Analyses of Nuclear Power Plant Structures and Piping Systems Subjected to Multiple Supported Excitations," by Lee, M.C. and Penzien, J., June 1980, (PB82 201 872)A08.
UCB/EERC-80/20	"The Design of Steel Energy-Absorbing Restrainers and their Incorporation into Nuclear Power Plants for Enhanced Safety (Vol 1C): Numerical Method for Dynamic Substructure Analysis," by Dickens, J.M. and Wilson, E.L., June 1980.
UCB/EERC-80/21	"The Design of Steel Energy-Absorbing Restrainers and their Incorporation into Nuclear Power Plants for Enhanced Safety (Vol 2); Development and Testing of Restraints for Nuclear Piping Systems," by Kelly, J.M. and Skinner, M.S., June 1980.
UCB/EERC-80/22	"3D Solid Element (Type 4-Elastic or Elastic-Perfectly-Plastic) for the ANSR-II Program," by Mondkar, D.P. and Powell, G.H., July 1980, (PB81 123 242)A03.
UCB/EERC-80/23	"Gap-Friction Element (Type 5) for the Ansr-II Program." by Mondkar, D.P. and Powell, G.H., July 1980, (PB81 122 285)A03.
UCB/EERC-80/24	'U-Bar Restraint Element (Type 11) for the ANSR-II Program," by Oughourlian, C. and Powell, G.H., July 1980, (PB81 122 293)A03.
UCB/EERC-80/25	Testing of a Natural Rubber Base Isolation System by an Explosively Simulated Earthquake, by Kelly, J.M., August 1980, (PB81 201 360)A04.
UCB/EERC-80/26	"Input Identification from Structural Vibrational Response," by Hu, Y., August 1980, (PB81 152 308)A05.
UCB/EERC-80/27	"Cyclic Inelastic Behavior of Steel Offshore Structures," by Zayas, V.A., Mahin, S.A. and Popov, E.P., August 1980, (PB81 196 180)A15.
UCB/EERC-80/28	"Shaking Table Testing of a Reinforced Concrete Frame with Biaxial Response," by Oliva, M.G., October 1980, (PB81 154 304)A10.
UCB/EERC-80/29	[*] Dynamic Properties of a Twelve-Story Prefabricated Panel Building, [*] by Bouwkamp, J.G., Kollegger, J.P. and Stephen, R.M., October 1980, (PB82 138 777)A07.
UCB/EERC-80/30	[*] Dynamic Properties of an Eight-Story Prefabricated Panel Building, [*] by Bouwkamp, J.G., Kollegger, J.P. and Stephen, R.M., October 1980, (PB81 200 313)A05.
UCB/EERC-80/31	"Predictive Dynamic Response of Panel Type Structures under Earthquakes," by Kollegger, J.P. and Bouwkamp, J.G., October 1980, (PB81 152 316)A04.
UCB/EERC-80/32	"The Design of Steel Energy-Absorbing Restrainers and their Incorporation into Nuclear Power Plants for Enhanced Safety (Vol 3): Testing of Commercial Steels in Low-Cycle Torsional Fatigue," by Spanner, P., Parker, E.R., Jongewaard, E. and Dory, M., 1980,

UCB/EERC-80/33	"The Design of Steel Energy-Absorbing Restrainers and their Incorporation into Nuclear Power Plants for Enhanced Safety (Vol 4): Shaking Table Tests of Piping Systems with Energy-Absorbing Restrainers," by Stiemer, S.F. and Godden, W.G., September 1980, (PB82 201 880)A05.
UCB/EERC-80/34	"The Design of Steel Energy-Absorbing Restrainers and their Incorporation into Nuclear Power Plants for Enhanced Safety (Vol 5): Summary Report," by Spencer, P., 1980.
UCB/EERC-80/35	"Experimental Testing of an Energy-Absorbing Base Isolation System," by Kelly, J.M., Skinner, M.S. and Beucke, K.E., October 1980, (PB81 154 072)A04.
UCB/EERC-80/36	"Simulating and Analyzing Artificial Non-Stationary Earth Ground Motions," by Nau, R.F., Oliver, R.M. and Pister, K.S., October 1980, (PB81 153 397)A04.
UCB/EERC-80/37	"Earthquake Engineering at Berkeley - 1980," by, September 1980, (PB81 205 674)A09.
UCB/EERC-80/38	"Inelastic Seismic Analysis of Large Panel Buildings," by Schricker, V. and Powell, G.H., September 1980, (PB81 154 338)A13.
UCB/EERC-80/39	[*] Dynamic Response of Embankment, Concrete-Gavity and Arch Dams Including Hydrodynamic Interation, [*] by Hall, J.F. and Chopra, A.K., October 1980, (PB81 152 324)A11.
UCB/EERC-80/40	"Inelastic Buckling of Steel Struts under Cyclic Load Reversal.," by Black, R.G., Wenger, W.A. and Popov, E.P., October 1980, (PB81 154 312)A08.
UCB/EERC-80/41	"Influence of Site Characteristics on Buildings Damage during the October 3,1974 Lima Earthquake," by Repetto, P., Arango, I. and Seed, H.B., September 1980, (PB81 161 739)A05.
UCB/EERC-80/42	"Evaluation of a Shaking Table Test Program on Response Behavior of a Two Story Reinforced Concrete Frame," by Blondet, J.M., Clough, R.W. and Mahin, S.A., December 1980, (PB82 196 544)A11.
UCB/EERC-80/43	'Modelling of Soil-Structure Interaction by Finite and Infinite Elements,' by Medina, F., December 1980, (PB81 229 270)A04.
UCB/EERC-81/01	"Control of Seismic Response of Piping Systems and Other Structures by Base Isolation," by Kelly, J.M., January 1981, (PB81 200 735)A05.
UCB/EERC-81/02	"OPTNSR- An Interactive Software System for Optimal Design of Statically and Dynamically Loaded Structures with Nonlinear Response," by Bhatti, M.A., Ciampi, V. and Pister, K.S., January 1981, (PB81 218 851)A09.
UCB/EERC-81/03	'Analysis of Local Variations in Free Field Seismic Ground Motions,' by Chen, JC., Lysmer, J. and Seed, H.B., January 1981, (AD-A099508)A13.
UCB/EERC-81/04	"Inelastic Structural Modeling of Braced Offshore Platforms for Seismic Loading," by Zayas, V.A., Shing, PS.B., Mahin, S.A. and Popov, E.P., January 1981, (PB82 138 777)A07.
UCB/EERC-81/05	"Dynamic Response of Light Equipment in Structures," by Der Kiureghian, A., Sackman, J.L. and Nour-Omid, B., April 1981, (PB81 218 497)A04.
UCB/EERC-81/06	"Preliminary Experimental Investigation of a Broad Base Liquid Storage Tank," by Bouwkamp, J.G., Kollegger, J.P. and Stephen, R.M., May 1981, (PB82 140 385)A03.
UCB/EERC-81/07	The Seismic Resistant Design of Reinforced Concrete Coupled Structural Walls," by Aktan, A.E. and Bertero, V.V., June 1981, (PB82 113 358)A11.
UCB/EERC-81/08	"Unassigned," by Unassigned, 1981.
UCB/EERC-81/09	"Experimental Behavior of a Spatial Piping System with Steel Energy Absorbers Subjected to a Simulated Differential Seismic Input," by Stiemer, S.F., Godden, W.G. and Kelly, J.M., July 1981, (PB82 201 898)A04.
UCB/EERC-81/10	"Evaluation of Seismic Design Provisions for Masonry in the United States," by Sveinsson, B.I., Mayes, R.L. and McNiven, H.D., August 1981, (PB82 166 075)A08.
UCB/EERC-81/11	"Two-Dimensional Hybrid Modelling of Soil-Structure Interaction," by Tzong, TJ., Gupta, S. and Penzien, J., August 1981, (PB82 142 118)A04.
UCB/EERC-81/12	"Studies on Effects of Infills in Seismic Resistant R/C Construction," by Brokken, S. and Bertero, V.V., October 1981, (PB82 166 190)A09.
UCB/EERC-81/13	'Linear Models to Predict the Nonlinear Seismic Behavior of a One-Story Steel Frame,' by Valdimarsson, H., Shah, A.H. and McNiven, H.D., September 1981, (PB82 138 793)A07.
UCB/EERC-81/14	"TLUSH: A Computer Program for the Three-Dimensional Dynamic Analysis of Earth Dams," by Kagawa, T., Mejia, L.H., Seed, H.B. and Lysmer, J., September 1981, (PB82 139 940)A06.
UCB/EERC-81/15	"Three Dimensional Dynamic Response Analysis of Earth Dams," by Mejia, L.H. and Seed, H.B., September 1981, (PB82 137 274)A12.
UCB/EERC-81/16	"Experimental Study of Lead and Elastomeric Dampers for Base Isolation Systems," by Kelly, J.M. and Hodder, S.B., October 1981, (PB82 166 182)A05.
UCB/EERC-81/17	The Influence of Base Isolation on the Seismic Response of Light Secondary Equipment, by Kelly, J.M., April 1981, (PB82 255 266)A04.
UCB/EERC-81/18	'Studies on Evaluation of Shaking Table Response Analysis Procedures," by Blondet, J. M., November 1981, (PB82 197 278)A10.
UCB/EERC-81/19	[•] DELIGHT.STRUCT: A Computer-Aided Design Environment for Structural Engineering, [•] by Balling, R.J., Pister, K.S. and Polak, E., December 1981, (PB82 218 496)A07.
UCB/EERC-81/20	"Optimal Design of Seismic-Resistant Planar Steel Frames," by Balling, R.J., Ciampi, V. and Pister, K.S., December 1981, (PB82 220 179)A07.
UCB/EERC-82/01	[*] Dynamic Behavior of Ground for Seismic Analysis of Lifeline Systems, [*] by Sato, T. and Der Kiureghian, A., January 1982, (PB82 218 926)A05.

UCB/EERC-82/02 Shaking Table Tests of a Tubular Steel Frame Model," by Ghanaat, Y. and Clough, R.W., January 1982, (PB82 220 161)A07.

UCB/EERC-82/03	"Behavior of a Piping System under Seismic Excitation: Experimental Investigations of a Spatial Piping System supported by Mechani- cal Shock Arrestors," by Schneider, S., Lee, HM. and Godden, W. G., May 1982, (PB83 172 544)A09.
UCB/EERC-82/04	'New Approaches for the Dynamic Analysis of Large Structural Systems,' by Wilson, E.L., June 1982, (PB83 148 080)A05.
UCB/EERC-82/05	'Model Study of Effects of Damage on the Vibration Properties of Steel Offshore Platforms,' by Shahrivar, F. and Bouwkamp, J.G., June 1982, (PB83 148 742)A10.
UCB/EERC-82/06	"States of the Art and Pratice in the Optimum Seismic Design and Analytical Response Prediction of R/C Frame Wall Structures," by Aktan, A.E. and Bertero, V.V., July 1982, (PB83 147 736)A05.
UCB/EERC-82/07	"Further Study of the Earthquake Response of a Broad Cylindrical Liquid-Storage Tank Model," by Manos, G.C. and Clough, R.W., July 1982, (PB83 147 744)A11.
UCB/EERC-82/08	"An Evaluation of the Design and Analytical Seismic Response of a Seven Story Reinforced Concrete Frame," by Charney, F.A. and Bertero, V.V., July 1982, (PB83 157 628)A09.
UCB/EERC-82/09	'Fluid-Structure Interactions: Added Mass Computations for Incompressible Fluid,' by Kuo, J.SH., August 1982, (PB83 156 281)A07.
UCB/EERC-82/10	'Joint-Opening Nonlinear Mechanism: Interface Smeared Crack Model," by Kuo, J.SH., August 1982, (PB83 149 195)A05.
UCB/EERC-82/11	*Dynamic Response Analysis of Techi Dam," by Clough, R.W., Stephen, R.M. and Kuo, J.SH., August 1982, (PB83 147 496)A06.
UCB/EERC-82/12	*Prediction of the Seismic Response of R/C Frame-Coupled Wall Structures,* by Aktan, A.E., Bertero, V.V. and Piazzo, M., August 1982, (PB83 149 203)A09.
UCB/EERC-82/13	"Preliminary Report on the Smart 1 Strong Motion Array in Taiwan," by Bolt, B.A., Loh, C.H., Penzien, J. and Tsai, Y.B., August 1982, (PB83 159 400)A10.
UCB/EERC-82/14	'Shaking-Table Studies of an Eccentrically X-Braced Steel Structure," by Yang, M.S., September 1982, (PB83 260 778)A12.
UCB/EERC-82/15	"The Performance of Stairways in Earthquakes," by Roha, C., Axley, J.W. and Bertero, V.V., September 1982, (PB83 157 693)A07.
UCB/EERC-82/16	"The Behavior of Submerged Multiple Bodies in Earthquakes," by Liao, WG., September 1982, (PB83 158 709)A07.
UCB/EERC-82/17	"Effects of Concrete Types and Loading Conditions on Local Bond-Slip Relationships," by Cowell, A.D., Popov, E.P. and Bertero, V.V., September 1982, (PB83 153 577)A04.
UCB/EERC-82/18	"Mechanical Behavior of Shear Wall Vertical Boundary Members: An Experimental Investigation," by Wagner, M.T. and Bertero, V.V., October 1982, (PB83 159 764)A05.
UCB/EERC-82/19	"Experimental Studies of Multi-support Seismic Loading on Piping Systems," by Kelly, J.M. and Cowell, A.D., November 1982.
UCB/EERC-82/20	Generalized Plastic Hinge Concepts for 3D Beam-Column Elements," by Chen, P. FS. and Powell, G.H., November 1982, (PB83 247 981)A13.
UCB/EERC-82/21	'ANSR-II: General Computer Program for Nonlinear Structural Analysis," by Oughourlian, C.V. and Powell, G.H., November 1982, (PB83 251 330)A12.
UCB/EERC-82/22	"Solution Strategies for Statically Loaded Nonlinear Structures," by Simons, J.W. and Powell, G.H., November 1982, (PB83 197 970)A06.
UCB/EERC-82/23	'Analytical Model of Deformed Bar Anchorages under Generalized Excitations," by Ciampi, V., Eligehausen, R., Bertero, V.V. and Popov, E.P., November 1982, (PB83 169 532)A06.
UCB/EERC-82/24	"A Mathematical Model for the Response of Masonry Walls to Dynamic Excitations," by Sucuoglu, H., Mengi, Y. and McNiven, H.D., November 1982, (PB83 169 011)A07.
UCB/EERC-82/25	Earthquake Response Considerations of Broad Liquid Storage Tanks, by Cambra, F.J., November 1982, (PB83 251 215)A09.
UCB/EERC-82/26	Computational Models for Cyclic Plasticity, Rate Dependence and Creep, by Mosaddad, B. and Powell, G.H., November 1982, (PB83 245 829)A08.
UCB/EERC-82/27	"Inelastic Analysis of Piping and Tubular Structures," by Mahasuverachai, M. and Powell, G.H., November 1982, (PB83 249 987)A07.
UCB/EERC-83/01	"The Economic Feasibility of Seismic Rehabilitation of Buildings by Base Isolation," by Kelly, J.M., January 1983, (PB83 197 988)A05.
UCB/EERC-83/02	'Seismic Moment Connections for Moment-Resisting Steel Frames.,' by Popov, E.P., January 1983, (PB83 195 412)A04.
UCB/EERC-83/03	[*] Design of Links and Beam-to-Column Connections for Eccentrically Braced Steel Frames, [*] by Popov, E.P. and Malley, J.O., January 1983, (PB83 194 811)A04.
UCB/EERC-83/04	"Numerical Techniques for the Evaluation of Soil-Structure Interaction Effects in the Time Domain," by Bayo, E. and Wilson, E.L., February 1983, (PB83 245 605)A09.
UCB/EERC-83/05	'A Transducer for Measuring the Internal Forces in the Columns of a Frame-Wall Reinforced Concrete Structure," by Sause, R. and Bertero, V.V., May 1983, (PB84 119 494)A06.
UCB/EERC-83/06	"Dynamic Interactions Between Floating Ice and Offshore Structures," by Croteau, P., May 1983, (PB84 119 486)A16.
UCB/EERC-83/07	"Dynamic Analysis of Multiply Tuned and Arbitrarily Supported Secondary Systems," by Igusa, T. and Der Kiureghian, A., July 1983, (PB84 118 272)A11.
UCB/EERC-83/08	"A Laboratory Study of Submerged Multi-body Systems in Earthquakes," by Ansari, G.R., June 1983, (PB83 261 842)A17.
UCB/EERC-83/09	Effects of Transient Foundation Uplift on Earthquake Response of Structures," by Yim, CS. and Chopra, A.K., June 1983, (PB83 261 396)A07.
UCB/EERC-83/10	"Optimal Design of Friction-Braced Frames under Seismic Loading," by Austin, M.A. and Pister, K.S., June 1983, (PB84 119 288)A06.
UCB/EERC-83/11	Shaking Table Study of Single-Story Masonry Houses: Dynamic Performance under Three Component Seismic Input and Recommen- dations," by Manos, G.C., Clough, R.W. and Mayes, R.L., July 1983, (UCB/EERC-83/11)A08.
UCB/EERC-83/12	*Experimental Error Propagation in Pseudodynamic Testing," by Shiing, P.B. and Mahin, S.A., June 1983, (PB84 119 270)A09.
UCB/EERC-83/13	"Experimental and Analytical Predictions of the Mechanical Characteristics of a 1/5-scale Model of a 7-story R/C Frame-Wall Building Structure," by Aktan, A.E., Bertero, V.V., Chowdhury, A.A. and Nagashima, T., June 1983, (PB84 119 213)A07.

UCB/EERC-83/14	"Shaking Table Tests of Large-Panel Precast Concrete Building System Assemblages," by Oliva, M.G. and Clough, R.W., June 1983,
UCB/EERC-83/15	"Seismic Behavior of Active Beam Links in Eccentrically Braced Frames," by Hjelmstad, K.D. and Popov, E.P., July 1983, (PB84 119
UCB/EERC-83/16	"System Identification of Structures with Joint Rotation," by Dimsdale, J.S., July 1983, (PB84 192 210)A06,
UCB/EERC-83/17	"Construction of Inelastic Response Spectra for Single-Degree-of-Freedom Systems," by Mahin, S. and Lin, J., June 1983, (PB84 208 834)A05.
UCB/EERC-83/18	"Interactive Computer Analysis Methods for Predicting the Inelastic Cyclic Behaviour of Structural Sections," by Kaba, S. and Mahin, S., July 1983, (PB84 192 012)A06.
UCB/EERC-83/19	"Effects of Bond Deterioration on Hysteretic Behavior of Reinforced Concrete Joints," by Filippou, F.C., Popov, E.P. and Bertero, V.V., August 1983, (PB84 192 020)A10.
UCB/EERC-83/20	"Analytical and Experimental Correlation of Large-Panel Precast Building System Performance," by Oliva, M.G., Clough, R.W., Velkov, M. and Gavrilovic, P., November 1983.
UCB/EERC-83/21	"Mechanical Characteristics of Materials Used in a 1/5 Scale Model of a 7-Story Reinforced Concrete Test Structure," by Bertero, V.V., Aktan, A.E., Harris, H.G. and Chowdhury, A.A., October 1983, (PB84 193 697)A05.
UCB/EERC-83/22	'Hybrid Modelling of Soil-Structure Interaction in Layered Media,' by Tzong, TJ. and Penzien, J., October 1983, (PB84 192 178)A08.
UCB/EERC-83/23	[*] Local Bond Stress-Slip Relationships of Deformed Bars under Generalized Excitations, [*] by Eligehausen, R., Popov, E.P. and Bertero, V.V., October 1983, (PB84 192 848)A09.
UCB/EERC-83/24	"Design Considerations for Shear Links in Eccentrically Braced Frames," by Malley, J.O. and Popov, E.P., November 1983, (PB84 192 186)A07.
UCB/EERC-84/01	"Pseudodynamic Test Method for Seismic Performance Evaluation: Theory and Implementation," by Shing, PS.B. and Mahin, S.A., January 1984, (PB84 190 644)A08.
UCB/EERC-84/02	[*] Dynamic Response Behavior of Kiang Hong Dian Dam, [*] by Clough, R.W., Chang, KT., Chen, HQ. and Stephen, R.M., April 1984, (PB84 209 402)A08.
UCB/EERC-84/03	"Refined Modelling of Reinforced Concrete Columns for Seismic Analysis," by Kaba, S.A. and Mahin, S.A., April 1984, (PB84 234 384)A06.
UCB/EERC-84/04	"A New Floor Response Spectrum Method for Seismic Analysis of Multiply Supported Secondary Systems," by Asfura, A. and Der Kiureghian, A., June 1984, (PB84 239 417)A06.
UCB/EERC-84/05	"Earthquake Simulation Tests and Associated Studies of a 1/5th-scale Model of a 7-Story R/C Frame-Wall Test Structure," by Bertero, V.V., Aktan, A.E., Charney, F.A. and Sause, R., June 1984, (PB84 239 409)A09.
UCB/EERC-84/06	"R/C Structural Walls: Seismic Design for Shear," by Aktan, A.E. and Bertero, V.V., 1984.
UCB/EERC-84/07	"Behavior of Interior and Exterior Flat-Plate Connections subjected to Inelastic Load Reversals," by Zee, H.L. and Moehle, J.P., August 1984, (PB86 117 629/AS)A07.
UCB/EERC-84/08	"Experimental Study of the Seismic Behavior of a Two-Story Flat-Plate Structure," by Moehle, J.P. and Diebold, J.W., August 1984, (PB86 122 553/AS)A12.
UCB/EERC-84/09	"Phenomenological Modeling of Steel Braces under Cyclic Loading," by Ikeda, K., Mahin, S.A. and Dermitzakis, S.N., May 1984, (PB86 132 198/AS)A08.
UCB/EERC-84/10	"Earthquake Analysis and Response of Concrete Gravity Dams," by Fenves, G. and Chopra, A.K., August 1984, (PB85 193 902/AS)A11.
UCB/EERC-84/11	"EAGD-84: A Computer Program for Earthquake Analysis of Concrete Gravity Dams," by Fenves, G. and Chopra, A.K., August 1984, (PB85 193 613/AS)A05.
UCB/EERC-84/12	"A Refined Physical Theory Model for Predicting the Seismic Behavior of Braced Steel Frames," by Ikeda, K. and Mahin, S.A., July 1984, (PB85 191 450/AS)A09.
UCB/EERC-84/13	"Earthquake Engineering Research at Berkeley - 1984," by, August 1984, (PB85 197 341/AS)A10.
UCB/EERC-84/14	"Moduli and Damping Factors for Dynamic Analyses of Cohesionless Soils," by Seed, H.B., Wong, R.T., Idriss, I.M. and Tokimatsu, K., September 1984, (PB85 191 468/AS)A04.
UCB/EERC-84/15	"The Influence of SPT Procedures in Soil Liquefaction Resistance Evaluations," by Seed, H.B., Tokimatsu, K., Harder, L.F. and Chung, R.M., October 1984, (PB85 191 732/AS)A04.
UCB/EERC-84/16	"Simplified Procedures for the Evaluation of Settlements in Sands Due to Earthquake Shaking," by Tokimatsu, K. and Seed, H.B., October 1984, (PB85 197 887/AS)A03.
UCB/EERC-84/17	"Evaluation of Energy Absorption Characteristics of Bridges under Seismic Conditions," by Imbsen, R.A. and Penzien, J., November 1984.
UCB/EERC-84/18	"Structure-Foundation Interactions under Dynamic Loads," by Liu, W.D. and Penzien, J., November 1984, (PB87 124 889/AS)A11.
UCB/EERC-84/19	"Seismic Modelling of Deep Foundations," by Chen, CH. and Penzien, J., November 1984, (PB87 124 798/AS)A07.
UCB/EERC-84/20	[*] Dynamic Response Behavior of Quan Shui Dam, [*] by Clough, R.W., Chang, KT., Chen, HQ., Stephen, R.M., Ghanaat, Y. and Qi, JH., November 1984, (PB86 115177/AS)A07.
UCB/EERC-85/01	"Simplified Methods of Analysis for Earthquake Resistant Design of Buildings," by Cruz, E.F. and Chopra, A.K., February 1985, (PB86 112299/AS)A12.
LICE/EEDC 95/03	"Estimation of Salemin Ways Coherence and Bunture Valority using the SMAPT 1 Steam Mation Army Departure " by Abrohomson

UCB/EERC-85/02 *Estimation of Seismic Wave Coherency and Rupture Velocity using the SMART 1 Strong-Motion Array Recordings,* by Abrahamson, N.A., March 1985, (PB86 214 343)A07.
UCB/EERC-85/03	"Dynamic Properties of a Thirty Story Condominium Tower Building," by Stephen, R.M., Wilson, E.L. and Stander, N., April 1985, (PB86 118965/AS)A06.
UCB/EERC-85/04	"Development of Substructuring Techniques for On-Line Computer Controlled Seismic Performance Testing," by Dermitzakis, S. and Mahin, S., February 1985, (PB86 132941/AS)A08.
UCB/EERC-85/05	"A Simple Model for Reinforcing Bar Anchorages under Cyclic Excitations," by Filippou, F.C., March 1985, (PB86 112 919/AS)A05.
UCB/EERC-85/06	"Racking Behavior of Wood-framed Gypsum Panels under Dynamic Load," by Oliva, M.G., June 1985.
UCB/EERC-85/07	"Earthquake Analysis and Response of Concrete Arch Dams," by Fok, KL. and Chopra, A.K., June 1985, (PB86 139672/AS)A10.
UCB/EERC-85/08	"Effect of Inelastic Behavior on the Analysis and Design of Earthquake Resistant Structures," by Lin, J.P. and Mahin, S.A., June 1985, (PB86 135340/AS)A08.
UCB/EERC-85/09	"Earthquake Simulator Testing of a Base-Isolated Bridge Deck," by Kelly, J.M., Buckle, I.G. and Tsai, HC., January 1986, (PB87 124 152/AS)A06.
UCB/EERC-85/10	"Simplified Analysis for Earthquake Resistant Design of Concrete Gravity Dams," by Fenves, G. and Chopra, A.K., June 1986, (PB87 124 160/AS)A08.
UCB/EERC-85/11	"Dynamic Interaction Effects in Arch Dams," by Clough, R.W., Chang, KT., Chen, HQ. and Ghanaat, Y., October 1985, (PB86 135027/AS)A05.
UCB/EERC-85/12	"Dynamic Response of Long Valley Dam in the Mammoth Lake Earthquake Series of May 25-27, 1980," by Lai, S. and Seed, H.B., November 1985, (PB86 142304/AS)A05.
UCB/EERC-85/13	"A Methodology for Computer-Aided Design of Earthquake-Resistant Steel Structures," by Austin, M.A., Pister, K.S. and Mahin, S.A., December 1985, (PB86 159480/AS)A10.
UCB/EERC-85/14	"Response of Tension-Leg Platforms to Vertical Seismic Excitations," by Liou, GS., Penzien, J. and Yeung, R.W., December 1985, (PB87 124 871/AS)A08.
UCB/EERC-85/15	[*] Cyclic Loading Tests of Masonry Single Piers: Volume 4 - Additional Tests with Height to Width Ratio of 1," by Sveinsson, B., McNiven, H.D. and Sucuoglu, H., December 1985.
UCB/EERC-85/16	"An Experimental Program for Studying the Dynamic Response of a Steel Frame with a Variety of Infill Partitions," by Yanev, B. and McNiven, H.D., December 1985.
UCB/EERC-86/01	A Study of Seismically Resistant Eccentrically Braced Steel Frame Systems," by Kasai, K. and Popov, E.P., January 1986, (PB87 124 178/AS)A14.
UCB/EERC-86/02	"Design Problems in Soil Liquefaction," by Seed, H.B., February 1986, (PB87 124 186/AS)A03.
UCB/EERC-86/03	"Implications of Recent Earthquakes and Research on Earthquake-Resistant Design and Construction of Buildings," by Bertero, V.V., March 1986, (PB87 124 194/AS)A05.
UCB/EERC-86/04	"The Use of Load Dependent Vectors for Dynamic and Earthquake Analyses," by Leger, P., Wilson, E.L. and Clough, R.W., March 1986, (PB87 124 202/AS)A12.
UCB/EERC-86/05	"Two Beam-To-Column Web Connections," by Tsai, KC. and Popov, E.P., April 1986, (PB87 124 301/AS)A04.
UCB/EERC-86/06	"Determination of Penetration Resistance for Coarse-Grained Soils using the Becker Hammer Drill," by Harder, L.F. and Seed, H.B., May 1986, (PB87 124 210/AS)A07.
UCB/EERC-86/07	"A Mathematical Model for Predicting the Nonlinear Response of Unreinforced Masonry Walls to In-Plane Earthquake Excitations," by Mengi, Y. and McNiven, H.D., May 1986, (PB87 124 780/AS)A06.
UCB/EERC-86/08	"The 19 September 1985 Mexico Earthquake: Building Behavior," by Bertero, V.V., July 1986.
UCB/EERC-86/09	'EACD-3D: A Computer Program for Three-Dimensional Earthquake Analysis of Concrete Dams," by Fok, KL., Hall, J.F. and Chopra, A.K., July 1986, (PB87 124 228/AS)A08.
UCB/EERC-86/10	'Earthquake Simulation Tests and Associated Studies of a 0.3-Scale Model of a Six-Story Concentrically Braced Steel Structure," by Uang, CM. and Bertero, V.V., December 1986, (PB87 163 564/AS)A17.
UCB/EERC-86/11	"Mechanical Characteristics of Base Isolation Bearings for a Bridge Deck Model Test," by Kelly, J.M., Buckle, I.G. and Koh, CG., 1987.
UCB/EERC-86/12	"Effects of Axial Load on Elastomeric Isolation Bearings," by Koh, CG. and Kelly, J.M., November 1987.
UCB/EERC-87/01	"The FPS Earthquake Resisting System: Experimental Report," by Zayas, V.A., Low, S.S. and Mahin, S.A., June 1987.
UCB/EERC-87/02	"Earthquake Simulator Tests and Associated Studies of a 0.3-Scale Model of a Six-Story Eccentrically Braced Steel Structure," by Whit- taker, A., Uang, CM. and Bertero, V.V., July 1987.
UCB/EERC-87/03	"A Displacement Control and Uplift Restraint Device for Base-Isolated Structures," by Kelly, J.M., Griffith, M.C. and Aiken, I.D., April 1987.
UCB/EERC-87/04	"Earthquake Simulator Testing of a Combined Sliding Bearing and Rubber Bearing Isolation System," by Kelly, J.M. and Chalhoub, M.S., 1987.
UCB/EERC-87/05	"Three-Dimensional Inelastic Analysis of Reinforced Concrete Frame-Wall Structures," by Moazzami, S. and Bertero, V.V., May 1987.
UCB/EERC-87/06	"Experiments on Eccentrically Braced Frames with Composite Floors," by Ricles, J. and Popov, E., June 1987.
UCB/EERC-87/07	"Dynamic Analysis of Seismically Resistant Eccentrically Braced Frames," by Ricles, J. and Popov, E., June 1987.
UCB/EERC-87/08	"Undrained Cyclic Triaxial Testing of Gravels-The Effect of Membrane Compliance," by Evans, M.D. and Seed, H.B., July 1987.
UCB/EERC-87/09	'Hybrid Solution Techniques for Generalized Pseudo-Dynamic Testing," by Thewalt, C. and Mahin, S.A., July 1987.
UCB/EERC-87/10	"Ultimate Behavior of Butt Welded Splices in Heavy Rolled Steel Sections," by Bruneau, M., Mahin, S.A. and Popov, E.P., July 1987.
UCB/EERC-87/11	"Residual Strength of Sand from Dam Failures in the Chilean Earthquake of March 3, 1985," by De Alba, P., Seed, H.B., Retamal, E. and Seed, R.B., Sentember 1987

UCB/EERC-87/12	"Inelastic Seismic Response of Structures with Mass or Stiffness Eccentricities in Plan," by Bruneau, M. and Mahin, S.A., September 1987.
UCB/EERC-87/13	"CSTRUCT: An Interactive Computer Environment for the Design and Analysis of Earthquake Resistant Steel Structures," by Austin, M.A., Mahin, S.A. and Pister, K.S., September 1987.
UCB/EERC-87/14	"Experimental Study of Reinforced Concrete Columns Subjected to Multi-Axial Loading," by Low, S.S. and Moehle, J.P., September 1987.
UCB/EERC-87/15	"Relationships between Soil Conditions and Earthquake Ground Motions in Mexico City in the Earthquake of Sept. 19, 1985," by Seed, H.B., Romo, M.P., Sun, J., Jaime, A. and Lysmer, J., October 1987.
UCB/EERC-87/16	"Experimental Study of Seismic Response of R. C. Setback Buildings," by Shahrooz, B.M. and Mochle, J.P., October 1987.
UCB/EERC-87/17	"The Effect of Slabs on the Flexural Behavior of Beams," by Pantazopoulou, S.J. and Mochle, J.P., October 1987.
UCB/EERC-87/18	"Design Procedure for R-FBI Bearings," by Mostaghel, N. and Kelly, J.M., November 1987.
UCB/EERC-87/19	"Analytical Models for Predicting the Lateral Response of R C Shear Walls: Evaluation of their Reliability," by Vulcano, A. and Ber- tero, V.V., November 1987.
UCB/EERC-87/20	"Earthquake Response of Torsionally-Coupled Buildings," by Hejal, R. and Chopra, A.K., December 1987.
UCB/EERC-87/21	"Dynamic Reservoir Interaction with Monticello Dam," by Clough, R.W., Ghanaat, Y. and Qiu, X-F., December 1987.
UCB/EERC-87/22	"Strength Evaluation of Coarse-Grained Soils," by Siddiqi, F.H., Seed, R.B., Chan, C.K., Seed, H.B. and Pyke, R.M., December 1987.
UCB/EERC-88/01	"Seismic Behavior of Concentrically Braced Steel Frames," by Khatib, I., Mahin, S.A. and Pister, K.S., January 1988.
UCB/EERC-88/02	"Experimental Evaluation of Seismic Isolation of Medium-Rise Structures Subject to Uplift," by Griffith, M.C., Kelly, J.M., Coveney, V.A. and Koh, C.G., January 1988.
UCB/EERC-88/03	"Cyclic Behavior of Steel Double Angle Connections," by Astanch-Asl, A. and Nader, M.N., January 1988.
UCB/EERC-88/04	"Re-evaluation of the Slide in the Lower San Fernando Dam in the Earthquake of Feb. 9, 1971," by Seed, H.B., Seed, R.B., Harder, L.F. and Jong, HL., April 1988.
UCB/EERC-88/05	"Experimental Evaluation of Seismic Isolation of a Nine-Story Braced Steel Frame Subject to Uplift," by Griffith, M.C., Kelly, J.M. and Aiken, I.D., May 1988.
UCB/EERC-88/06	"DRAIN-2DX User Guide.," by Allahabadi, R. and Powell, G.H., March 1988.
UCB/EERC-88/07	"Cylindrical Fluid Containers in Base-Isolated Structures," by Chalhoub, M.S. and Kelly, J.M., April 1988.
UCB/EERC-88/08	"Analysis of Near-Source Waves: Separation of Wave Types using Strong Motion Array Recordings," by Darragh, R.B., June 1988.
UCB/EERC-88/09	"Alternatives to Standard Mode Superposition for Analysis of Non-Classically Damped Systems," by Kusainov, A.A. and Clough, R.W., June 1988.
UCB/EERC-88/10	"The Landslide at the Port of Nice on October 16, 1979," by Seed, H.B., Seed, R.B., Schlosser, F., Blondeau, F. and Juran, I., June 1988.
UCB/EERC-88/11	"Liquefaction Potential of Sand Deposits Under Low Levels of Excitation," by Carter, D.P. and Seed, H.B., August 1988.
UCB/EERC-88/12	"Nonlinear Analysis of Reinforced Concrete Frames Under Cyclic Load Reversals," by Filippou, F.C. and Issa, A., September 1988.
UCB/EERC-88/13	"Implications of Recorded Earthquake Ground Motions on Seismic Design of Building Structures," by Uang, CM. and Bertero, V.V., September 1988.
UCB/EERC-88/14	"An Experimental Study of the Behavior of Dual Steel Systems," by Whittaker, A.S., Uang, CM. and Bertero, V.V., September 1988.
UCB/EERC-88/15	"Dynamic Moduli and Damping Ratios for Cohesive Soils," by Sun, J.I., Golesorkhi, R. and Seed, H.B., August 1988.
UCB/EERC-88/16	"Reinforced Concrete Flat Plates Under Lateral Load: An Experimental Study Including Biaxial Effects," by Pan, A. and Moehle, J., November 1988.
UCB/EERC-88/17	"Earthquake Engineering Research at Berkeley - 1988," by EERC, November 1988.
UCB/EERC-88/18	"Use of Energy as a Design Criterion in Earthquake-Resistant Design," by Uang, CM. and Bertero, V.V., November 1988.
UCB/EERC-88/19	"Steel Beam-Column Joints in Seismic Moment Resisting Frames," by Tsai, KC. and Popov, E.P., September 1988.
UCB/EERC-88/20	"Base Isolation in Japan, 1988," by Kelly, J.M., December 1988.
UCB/EERC-89/01	"Behavior of Long Links in Eccentrically Braced Frames," by Engelhardt, M.D. and Popov, E.P., January 1989.
UCB/EERC-89/02	"Earthquake Simulator Testing of Steel Plate Added Damping and Stiffness Elements," by Whittaker, A., Bertero, V.V., Alonso, J. and Thompson, C., January 1989.
UCB/EERC-89/03	"Implications of Site Effects in the Mexico City Earthquake of Sept. 19, 1985 for Earthquake-Resistant Design Criteria in the San Fran- cisco Bay Area of California," by Seed, H.B. and Sun, J.I., March 1989.

.

.